

This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

#### Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + Refrain from automated querying Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

#### **About Google Book Search**

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at http://books.google.com/



- RIGHARD AMER, LAW BOOKSELLER, LINCOLNS INN GATE. CAREY STREET. LONDON.

JSN ZAW WFU •

Á

## COLLECTION

o ř

# D E C R E E S

Ėī

THE COURT OF EXCHEQUER

in .

# TITHE-CAUSES,

FROM

THE USURPATION TO THE PRESENT TIME.

VOL. I.

• *,* 

### COLLECTION

OF

# D E C R E E S

BY

THE COURT OF EXCHEQUER

IN

# TITHE-CAUSES,

FROM

#### THE USURPATION TO THE PRESENT TIME.

CAREFULLY EXTRACTED FROM

THE BOOKS OF DECREES AND ORDERS

OF

#### THE COURT OF EXCHEQUER

(By the Permission of the Court),

AND ARRANGED IN CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER. WITH TABLES OF THE NAMES OF THE CASES, AND THE CONTENTS.

BY

#### HUTTON #OOD,

ONE OF THE SIX CLERKS OF THE COURT OF EXCHEQUER.

#### IN FOUR VOLUMES.

VOLUMB THE FIRST.

#### London:

PRINTED BY BUNKER, HOMPSON, AND CO.
FOR THE AUTHOR,

AND FOR G. G. AND J. ROBINSON, PATERNOSTER-ROW.

1798.

## LIBRARY OF THE LELAND GIANFORD JR. UNIVERSITY.

a.56438

JUL 23 1901

#### THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

## SIR ARCHIBALD MACDONALD, Knt.

LORD CHIEF BARON:

THIS COLLECTION

01

# DECREES IN TITHE-CAUSES,

IN

THE COURT OF EXCHEQUER,

IS.

(WITH HIS LORDSHIP'S PERMISSION),

MOST RESPECTFULLY

INSCRIBED,

BY

HIS LORDSHIP's

PREDIENT, AND MOST

HUMBLE SERVANT,

HUTTON WOOD.

Hart-Street; Bloomsbury, Jan. 30, 1798. LIBRARY OF THE EELAND STANFORD, JR., UNIVERSITY LAW DEPARTMENT.

#### PREFACE.

IMPORTANT as it certainly is, not only to the Professor of the Law, but to the whole Body of the Clergy, and to every Man possessed of Landed Property in this Kingdom, to be furnished with an ample and accurate Code of the legal Decisions which have from Time to Time been made in Disputes concerning Tithes, it is a known Fact, that there have been hitherto sewer authentic Reports published upon this Subject than upon any other Branch of English Jurisprudence: a Desect which, it is humbly hoped, the present Work will in some Measure supply.

THE Manuscript from which it is formed was the laborious Production of more than seven Years, and has been honoured not only by the favourable Attention of the present LORD CHIEF BARON and the Rest of THE BARONS of the Court of Exchequer, but by the Approbation of many respectable and distinguished Characters at the Bar.

THE feveral Cases will contain the Substance of the Plaintiss's Bill and the Desendant's Answer, together with the material Allegations of those subsequent Pleadings which the respective Parties thought it necessary to exhibit to the Court. To which will be added the Judgment of the Court, and the Reasons occasionally given for such Judgment, as pronounced by THE BARONS on the whole Case thus brought before them, and entered in THE BOOK OF DECREES AND ORDERS by the Officers belonging to the Court.

THE Merit of the Work, as far as the Author is concerned, will materially depend upon the Accuracy with which he has extracted the several Cases; and, as he is conscious that no Care or Diligence on his Part has been wanting to obtain that End, he trusts the Work will be well received by, and prove extensively useful, not only to the Profession in particular, but to the Public in general.

. , . •

#### CONDITIONS.

- I. THE Work will confift of Four Volumes, printed on fine Royal Octavo Paper; each Volume containing from Thirty-Four to Thirty-Eight Sheets, including a correct List of the Names of the Cases, and a copious Index.
- II. The First Volume, which will contain Forty Sheets, will be published during HILARY TERM; the Second Volume, if possible, on or before the Trinity Term following; and the Third and Fourth Volumes during the ensuing Michaelmas Term.
- III. An explanatory Preface; a List of the Subscribers Names; and a Chronological Account of the Names of the Persons and Parishes, in Cases not reported in this work, in which Decisions in Tithe Causes have been made by the Court of Exchequer, during the above Period, will accompany each Volume.
- IV. The Price to Subscribers will be Thirteen Shillings and Six-Pence each Volume, in Boards, and to Non-Subscribers Fifteen Shillings. The Subscription to continue open until the End of each Term; and the Money to be paid on the Delivery of the Books.
- V. The Names of those Gentlemen who intend to honor the Work by their Subscriptions will be received at the following Places:

At MR. HUTTON WOOD's, No. 15, Hart-Street, Bloomfbury.

At the Exchequer Office.

At Messes, G. G. and J. Robinsons, Bookfellers, Paternofter-Row.



## TABLE

# THE NAMES OF THE CASES,

В.

### THE FIRST VOLUME.

A.

	Page		Page
A BBOTT v. Hicks,	319	Babb (Hall v.),	220
Abbott (Brook v.),	263	Baines v. Clarke and Hardy,	244
Abbott (Brook v.);	263	Baker (Holland v.,	486
Adman (Ansell v.),	352	Ball v. Keyburne,	99
Alchorne v. Gilbert,	278	Barker v Clarke,	277
Alcock v. Hilyard and Le Grand,	279	Barker (Tabor v.),	86
Aldworth v. New College, Oxford	, 137	Barker (Widdrington v.),	145
Alford (James v. Miles and),	89	Barrett (Siddenham v.),	267
Anfell v. Adman,	352	Barry (Rouse v.),	92
	. 115	Bafire v. Wharton,	83
Archbishop of York (Wentworth v.)	,441	Baskerville (Sheers v.),	549
Archbishop of York v. The Duke of	of	Batchelor (Umfreville v.),	326
Newcastle,	446	Baynton v. Bennett,	4
Archer (Hayward v.),	384	Beacon (Kidley v.),	422
Arnold (Webb v.),	162	Bean v. Lee,	537
Arundel (Bishop v.),	459	Bearcroft (Attorney General v.),	17
Arundell (Bowles v.), 462.	. 508	Beaumont (Trustram v.),	491
Ashfordby v. Newcomen, 166. 183	. 207	Beaumont (Wood v.),	216
Astleyn (Meires v.)	202	Bell v. Curson,	37 <b>7</b>
Athrop (Cotterell v.),	235	Bennett (Baynton v.),	4
Atterbury (Lord Nottingham v.),	227	Bennett (Pearse v),	184
Atterbury v. Turner,	227	Benson v. Harpham,	155
Attorney General v. Bearcroft,	17	Berkley (Boles v.),	108
Attorney General v. Brewiter,	455	Berwick v. Swanton,	295
Attorney General v. Oldys,	280	Bevan v. Williams,	350
Attorney General v. Phillips,	118	Bewley (Haffel v.),	389
Attorney General (Wilmot v.),	127	Bickham (Strode v.),	268
Audley v. Fiddy,	5	Biggs v. Martin and Letts,	321
Ayliff (Stump v.),	294	Bircham v. Bulmer,	498
Ayloffe v. Pennington,	46	Birchinshaw v Wilcock,	287

Bishop

Page	Page
Birkett (Shephard v.), - 290	Cane and Salmon (Lifter v.), 293
Birlaver v. Newman, - 397	Cannon (Grant v.), - 313
Bishop v. Arundel, 459. 508	Capon (Cutlove v.), - 339
Bishop of Oxford v. Overbury, 91	Carew (Pidsley v.), - 410
Bishop of Winchester (Coventry v.), 114	Carlisse (Sewell v. Dean and Chap-
Bishop of Worcester (Wise v.), 96	ter of), 139
Bishopp (Rodd v.), - 351	Casheere (Stanley v.), - 111
Bishopp v. Rothwell, - 334	Castle v. Maultin, - 205
Blackborn v. Miles, 55	Cave (Banning v.), - 544
Blackett v. Wharton, - 83	Cave v. Earnsby, - 317
Blincowe (Tufton v.), - 301	Cawthorne (Platts v.), - 303
Boles v. Berkley 108	Chadd (Leigh v.), - 215
Bond (Trewin v.), - 398	
Boothouse v. Hayward, - 345	Chamberlain (Newte v.), - 482
Bowles v. Lord Arundel, 462	
Bowyer v. Gibbs, - 359	Z11 - 1 197 -1
Brabourne v. Eyres, - 126	
Brecknock (Bullin v.), - 272	Chittle (Hawkins v.), - 356
Breckon (Osborn v.), - 279	Chitty v. Reeve, - 251
	Clarke (Barker v.), - 277
Brett v. Franklyn, - 533 Brewster (The Attorney General v.), 455	Clarke and Hardy (Baines v.), 244
	Clarke (Naunton v.), - 538
	Clarke v. Sunderland, - 175
	Claxton v. Langton, - 283
Bridges (Smelter v.), - 337	
Bridgwater (The Earl of) v. Theed, 167	Clewer (Hatcher v.), 7 199
Brittaine v. Coventry, - 33	Clewer v. Pullen, - 212
Brogden (Mitchell v.), - 353	Clough (Warner v.), - 250
Brooking (Diake v.), - 470	Cobden v. Bridger, - 401
Brooke v. Abbutt, - 263	Codrington (Hunt v.), - 391
Brooke v. Hull, - 201	Coe v. Mason, 41
Brown (Page v.), - 68	Coe v. Smith, 400
Brown (Grant v.).	
Buck (Dent v.), - 405	
Bull v. Mellier and Collier, 181	Coles (Pocock v.), - 336
Bullin v. Brecknock, - 272	
Bulmer v. Bircham, - 498	
Burning v. Cave, - 544	Collier (Bull v. Mellier and), 181
Burges v. Reeve, - 388	
Burgis v. Diamond, - 65	Conant v. Greaves, - 140
Burnaford v. Torrett, - 451	Conuie (Preston v), - 158
Burrell v. Greenacres, - 404	
Burton (Markinfield v.), - 50	
Bush (Durant v.), - 164	
Butcher (Margetts v.), - 210	
	Coventry v. The Bishop of Winchester, 114
•	Coward v. Higden, - 169
C.	Cowper (Layfield v.), - 330
C 14 TT //	Cox (Hayes v.), - 69
Caister v. Hornsby, - 479	Cox v. Livelay, - 152
Callew 4. Vines and Powell, 385	Crefiner v Gally, - 289
Cambridge (King's College; v. Maf-	Crispe v. Mickleburgh, - 515
call, - 261	Crockat v. Harrington, - 401
Caracll v. Ward, - 209	Crossman v. Goodridge, - 254
Campion (Umfreville v.), 329	Crossman v. Walker, 70
	Crouch

Page	Page
Crouch (Rifden v.), - 117	
Curson (Bell v.), 377	Wells v.), 435
Cutlove v. Capon, 339	<b>5</b> ) 77 1
- 539	75': PT11 C
	Dykes v. Thompton, 513
Ď.	
υ.	
Danvers v. Wood, . 441	E.
T) 1 /T 1 \ T	
1) ' (D (1 ' 1 ' )	Faul of Wort or Creamment of
1) m	Earl of Kent v. Greenway, 480
Dames (C. 1	Earnsby (Cave v.), - 317
T	Eaftmond (Sandys v.), • 364
There I Take 1	Edbrooke (Mills v.), - 47
Deacon (Leach v. Watts and), 373	Edge v. Oglander, - 288
Dean and Chapter of Carlifle (Sewell v.),	Edgerton v. Follett, 277
	T11 . 4177 1 1 . 1
Dean and Chapter of Hereford v.	Elderton (Wright v.), - 518
Symonds, - 495	Eiliot (Nicholas v.), 523
Dean and Chapter of Litchfield v.	Elftone v. Sowden, 397
Woodroffe, - 440	T 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Dean and Chapter of Wells v. Durfton, 435	Enticknap (Layfield v,), - 383
Dean and Chapter of Wells v. Thurle, 91	Ernste v. Watts, 304
Dean and Chapter of Worcester v.	Estopp v. Portman, - 346
Seabright, - 491	Evans v. Tindall,
Dearfeley (Dickins v.), 123 Deeds v. Lewis, 285	
Da la Dama (Trianna 1 )	
DCIA DAILE CLICOTOWOOD 01.1.	
De la Dierre (Stanley a.)	Eyres (Brabourne v.), - 126
De la Pierre (Stocker v.), 242	Zyres (Diabourse vo); = 120
De la Pierre (Stocker v.), Dent v. Buck, 405	Lytes (Diaboarde very,
De la Pierre (Stocker v.), Dent v. Buck, Denyer (Salmon v.), 302	
De la Pierre (Stocker v.), Dent v. Buck, Denyer (Salmon v.), Devereux v. Radley,	
De la Pierre (Stocker v.), Dent v. Buck, Denyer (Salmon v.), Devereaux v. Radley, Deve (Saurdes v.), Devereaux v. Tarker v.), Devereaux v. Tarker v.),	<b>F.</b>
De la Pierre (Stocker v.), Dent v. Buck, Denyer (Salmon v.), Devereaux v. Radley, Dewe (Saunders v.), Dewes v. Tredwell,	F. Fane v. Martin, - 171
De la Pierre (Stocker v.), Dent v. Buck, Denyer (Salmon v.), Devereaux v. Radley, Dewe (Saunders v.), Dewes v. Tredwell, Dewey v. Price, 308	F. Fane v. Martin, - 71 Fawcett v. Tennants, - 78
De la Pierre (Stocker v.), Dent v. Buck, Denyer (Salmon v.), Devereaux v. Radley, Dewe (Saunders v.), Dewes v. Tredwell, Dewey v. Price, Diamond (Burgis v.),	F. Fane v. Martin, - 71 Fawcett v. Tennants, - 78 Fenwick v. Ogle, - 469
De la Pierre (Stocker v.), Dent v. Buck, Denyer (Salmon v.), Devereaux v. Radley, Dewe (Saunders v.), Dewes v. Tredwell, Dewey v. Price, Diamond (Burgis v.), Dickens v. Dearfeley,	F.  Fane v. Martin,  Fawcett v. Tennants,  Fenwick v. Ogle,  Fewldale (Tancred v.),  Fields (Andrew)
De la Pierre (Stocker v.), Dent v. Buck, Denyer (Salmon v.), Devereaux v. Radley, Dewe (Saunders v.), Dewes v. Tredwell, Dewey v. Price, Diamond (Burgis v.), Dickins v. Dearfeley, Dickins v. Dearfeley, Dickins v. Dearges v.),	F.  Fane v. Martin, - 71  Fawcett v. Tennants, - 78  Fenwick v. Ogle, - 469  Fewfdale (Tancred v.), - 285  Fiddy (Audley v.), -
De la Pierre (Stocker v.), Dent v. Buck, Denyer (Salmon v.), Devereaux v. Radley, Dewe (Saunders v.), Dewes v. Tredwell, Dewey v. Price, Diamond (Burgis v.), Dickens v. Dearfeley, Dickinson (Waters v.), Dixie v. Deacon,	F.  Fane v. Martin,
De la Pierre (Stocker v.), Dent v. Buck, Denyer (Salmon v.), Devereaux v. Radley, Dewe (Saunders v.), Dewes v. Tredwell, Dewey v. Price, Diamond (Burgis v.), Dickens v. Dearfeley, Dickinson (Waters v.), Dixie v. Deacon, Dodd v. Ingleton,	F.  Fane v. Martin, Fawcett v. Tennants, Fenwick v. Ogle, Fewfdale (Tancred v.), Fiddy (Audley v.), Fifield v. Squire, Filtz (Hall v), Fid. Windows
De la Pierre (Stocker v.), Dent v. Buck, Denyer (Salmon v.), Devereaux v. Radley, Dewe (Saunders v.), Dewes v. Tredwell, Dewey v. Price, Diamond (Burgis v.), Dickens v. Dearfeley, Dickinson (Waters v.), Dixie v. Deacon, Dodd v. Ingleton, Dodderidge v. Startop,	F.  Fane v. Martin, Fawcett v. Tennants, Fenwick v. Ogle, Fewfdale (Tancred v.), Fiddy (Audley v.), Fifield v. Squire, Filtz (Hall v.), Fifth v. Wimberley, Fittians (Martin)
De la Pierre (Stocker v.), Dent v. Buck, Denyer (Salmon v.), Devereaux v. Radley, Dewe (Saunders v.), Dewes v. Tredwell, Dewey v. Price, Diamond (Burgis v.), Dickens v. Dearfeley, Dickinfon (Waters v.), Dixie v. Deacon, Dodd v. Ingleton, Downes (Leigh v.), Downes (Leigh v.),	F.  Fane v. Martin, Fawcett v. Tennants, Fenwick v. Ogle, Fewfdale (Tancred v.), Fiddy (Audley v.), Fifield v. Squire, Filtz (Hall v), Fifn v. Wimberley, Fitzjames (Morfe v.), Flavored J.
De la Pierre (Stocker v.), Dent v. Buck, Dent v. Buck, Denyer (Salmon v.), Devereaux v. Radley, Dewe (Saunders v.), Dewes v. Tredwell, Dewey v. Price, Diamond (Burgis v.), Dickens v. Dearfeley, Dickinfon (Waters v.), Dixie v. Deacon, Dodd v. Ingleton, Dodd v. Ingleton, Downes (Leigh v.), Downes (Price v.), Downes (Price v.), Downes (Price v.), Downes (Price v.),	F.  Fane v. Martin, Fawcett v. Tennants, Fenwick v. Ogle, Fewfdale (Tancred v.), Fiddy (Audley v.), Fifield v. Squire, Filtz (Hall v), Fifth v. Wimberley, Fitzjames (Morfe v.), Fleetwood v. Livefay, Follest (Edgarton)
De la Pierre (Stocker v.), Dent v. Buck, Denyer (Salmon v.), Devereaux v. Radley, Dewe (Saunders v.), Dewes v. Tredwell, Dewey v. Price, Diamond (Burgis v.), Dickens v. Dearfeley, Dickinson (Waters v.), Dixie v. Deacon, Dodd v. Ingleton, Dodderidge v. Startop, Downes (Leigh v.), Downes (Price v.), Drake v. Brookins, Doullar (Nichally)	F.  Fane v. Martin, Fawcett v. Tennants, Fenwick v. Ogle, Fewfdale (Tancred v.), Fiddy (Audley v.), Fifield v. Squire, Filtz (Hall v), Fifh v. Wimberley, Fitzjames (Morfe v.), Fleetwood v. Livefay, Follett (Edgleron v.), Foot (Welligeron v.)
De la Pierre (Stocker v.), Dent v. Buck, Denyer (Salmon v.), Devereaux v. Radley, Dewe (Saunders v.), Dewes v. Tredwell, Dewey v. Price, Diamond (Burgis v.), Dickins v. Dearfeley, Dickins fon (Waters v.), Dixie v. Deacon, Dodd v. Ingleton, Dodderidge v. Startop, Downes (Leigh v.), Drake v. Brooking, Dudley (Nicholls v.),	F.  Fane v. Martin, Fawcett v. Tennants, Fenwick v. Ogle, Fewsdale (Tancred v.), Fiddy (Audley v.), Fifield v. Squire, Filtz (Hall v), Fish v. Wimberley, Fitzjames (Morse v.), Fleetwood v. Livesay, Follett (Edgerton v.), Foot (Walkinson v.), Figure 172  172  172  175  175  176  177  179
De la Pierre (Stocker v.), Dent v. Buck, Denyer (Salmon v.), Devereaux v. Radley, Dewe (Saunders v.), Dewes v. Tredwell, Dewey v. Price, Diamond (Burgis v.), Dickens v. Dearfeley, Dickinson (Waters v.), Dixie v. Deacon, Dodd v. Ingleton, Dodderidge v. Startop, Downes (Leigh v.), Downes (Price v.), Drake v. Brooking, Dudley (Nicholls v.), Duffield (Wickham v.),	F.  Fane v. Martin, Fawcett v. Tennants, Fenwick v. Ogle, Fewsidale (Tancred v.), Fiddy (Audley v.), Fifield v. Squire, Filtz (Hall v), Fish v. Wimberley, Fitzjames (Morse v.), Fleetwood v. Livesay, Follett (Edgerton v.), Foot (Walkinson v.), Forster (Hitch v.), Forster (Hitch v.), Food
De la Pierre (Stocker v.), Dent v. Buck, Denyer (Salmon v.), Devereaux v. Radley, Dewe (Saunders v.), Dewes v. Tredwell, Dewey v. Price, Diamond (Burgis v.), Dickens v. Dearfeley, Dickinson (Waters v.), Dixie v. Deacon, Dodd v. Ingleton, Dodderidge v. Startop, Downes (Leigh v.), Downes (Price v.), Drake v. Brooking, Dudsley (Nicholls v.), Duffield (Wickham v.), Du Garde v. Weete,	F.  Fane v. Martin, Fawcett v. Tennants, Fenwick v. Ogle, Fewsdale (Tancred v.), Fisheld v. Squire, Fisheld v. Squire, Fish v. Wimberley, Fitzjames (Morse v.), Fleetwood v. Livesay, Follett (Edgerton v.), Forster (Hitch v.), Foster v. Chinor, Fos
De la Pierre (Stocker v.), Dent v. Buck, Dent v. Buck, Denyer (Salmon v.), Devereaux v. Radley, Dewe (Saunders v.), Dewes v. Tredwell, Dewey v. Price, Diamond (Burgis v.), Dickens v. Dearfeley, Dickinson (Waters v.), Dixie v. Deacon, Dodd v. Ingleton, Dodderidge v. Startop, Downes (Leigh v.), Downes (Price v.), Drake v. Brooking, Dudley (Nicholls v.), Duffield (Wickham v.), Du Garde v. Weete, Duke of Neweastle (The Archbishop	F.  Fane v. Martin, Fawcett v. Tennants, Fenwick v. Ogle, Fewfdale (Tancred v.), Fiddy (Audley v.), Fifield v. Squire, Fifield v. Squire, Fiftz (Hall v), Fifth v. Wimberley, Fitzjames (Morfe v.), Follett (Edgerton v.), Foot (Wsikinson v.), Forfter (Hitch v.), Fofter v. Chinor, Fofter (Uher v.), Forfter (Phir v.), Forfter v. Chinor, Fofter v. Chinor,
De la Pierre (Stocker v.), Dent v. Buck, Dent v. Buck, Denyer (Salmon v.), Devereaux v. Radley, Dewe (Saunders v.), Dewes v. Tredwell, Dewey v. Price, Diamond (Burgis v.), Dickens v. Dearfeley, Dickinson (Waters v.), Dixie v. Deacon, Dodd v. Ingleton, Dodderidge v. Startop, Downes (Leigh v.), Downes (Price v.), Drake v. Brooking, Dudley (Nicholls v.), Duffield (Wickham v.), Du Garde v. Weete, Duke of Newcastle (The Archbishop of York v.),	F.  Fane v. Martin, Fawcett v. Tennants, Fenwick v. Ogle, Fewfdale (Tancred v.), Fiddy (Audley v.), Fifield v. Squire, Filtz (Hall v), Fifth v. Wimberley, Fitzjames (Morfe v.), Follett (Edgerton v.), Foot (Wsikinson v.), Forfter (Hitch v.), Fofter (Uther v.), Fofter (Uther v.), Foulk (Robinson v.), Foundation (Robinson v.)
De la Pierre (Stocker v.), Dent v. Buck, Denyer (Salmon v.), Devereaux v. Radley, Dewe (Saunders v.), Dewes v. Tredwell, Dewey v. Price, Diamond (Burgis v.), Dickens v. Dearfeley, Dickinson (Waters v.), Dickinson (Waters v.), Dixie v. Deacon, Dodd v. Ingleton, Dodderidge v. Startop. Downes (Leigh v.), Downes (Price v.), Downes (Price v.), Drake v. Brooking, Dustled (Wicholls v.), Dustled (Wicholls v.), Dustled (Wickham v.), Du Garde v. Weete, Duke of Newcastle (The Archbishop of York v.), Dukeson (Skinner v.)	F.  Fane v. Martin,  Fawcett v. Tennants,  Fenwick v. Ogle,  Fewfdale (Tancred v.),  Fiddy (Audley v.),  Fifield v. Squire,  Filtz (Hall v),  Fifth v. Wimberley,  Fitzjames (Morfe v.),  Follett (Edgerton v.),  Foot (Wilkinson v.),  Forfter (Hitch v.),  Fofter v. Chinor,  Fourtain (Pern v.),  Fourtain (
De la Pierre (Stocker v.), Dent v. Buck, Dent v. Buck, Denyer (Salmon v.), Devereaux v. Radley, Dewe (Saunders v.), Dewe (Saunders v.), Dewes v. Tredwell, Dewey v. Price, Diamond (Burgis v.), Dickens v. Dearfeley, Dickinson (Waters v.), Dickinson (Waters v.), Dixie v. Deacon, Dodd v. Ingleton, Dodderidge v. Startop. Downes (Leigh v.), Downes (Price v.), Drake v. Brooking, Dudley (Nicholls v.), Duffield (Wickham v.), Du Garde v. Weete, Duke of Newcastle (The Archbishop of York v.), Dummer v. Wingstield,	F.  Fane v. Martin,  Fawcett v. Tennants,  Fenwick v. Ogle,  Fewfdale (Tancred v.),  Fiddy (Audley v.),  Fifield v. Squire,  Filtz (Hall v),  Fifth v. Wimberley,  Fitzjames (Morfe v.),  Follett (Edgerton v.),  Foot (Wilkinson v.),  Forfter (Hitch v.),  Fofter v. Chinor,  Foter (Usher v.),  Foulk (Robinson v.),  Fountain (Pern v.),  For v. Shawe,  For (Lister v.)  For v. Chiver v.)  For v. Shawe,  For v. Lister v.)  For v. Chiver v.)  For v. Shawe,  For v. Lister v.)
De la Pierre (Stocker v.), Dent v. Buck, Dent v. Buck, Denyer (Salmon v.), Devereaux v. Radley, Dewe (Saunders v.), Dewes v. Tredwell, Dewey v. Price, Diamond (Burgis v.), Dickens v. Dearfeley, Dickinson (Waters v.), Dixic v. Deacon, Dodd v. Ingleton, Dodd v. Ingleton, Dodderidge v. Startop, Downes (Leigh v.), Downes (Price v.), Drake v. Brooking, Dustied (Wickham v.), Dustield (Wickham v.), Du Garde v. Weete, Duke of Newcastle (The Archbishop of York v.), Dukeson (Skinner v.) Dummer v. Wingfield, Dunbar v. St. John,	F.  Fane v. Martin, Fawcett v. Tennants, Fenwick v. Ogle, Fewfdale (Tancred v.), Fiddy (Audley v.), Fifield v. Squire, Filtz (Hall v), Fifth v. Wimberley, Fitzjames (Morfe v.), Follett (Edgerton v.), Foot (Walkinson v.), Forfter (Hitch v.), Fofter (Usher v.), Foulk (Robinson v.), Foulk (Robinson v.), Fountain (Pern v.), Fox v. Shawe, Foy (Lister v.), Fry (Lister v.)
De la Pierre (Stocker v.), Dent v. Buck, Dent v. Buck, Denyer (Salmon v.), Devereaux v. Radley, Dewe (Saunders v.), Dewes v. Tredwell, Dewey v. Price, Diamond (Burgis v.), Dickens v. Dearfeley, Dickinson (Waters v.), Dixie v. Deacon, Dodd v. Ingleton, Dodd v. Ingleton, Downes (Leigh v.), Downes (Price v.), Drake v. Brooking, Dudley (Nicholls v.), Duffield (Wickham v.), Du Garde v. Wecte, Duke of Newcastle (The Archbishop of York v.), Dukeson (Skinner v.) Dummer v. Wingfield, Dunbar v. St. John, Dunstall (Osborne v.),	F.  Fane v. Martin, Fawcett v. Tennants, Fenwick v. Ogle, Fewfdale (Tancred v.), Fiddy (Audley v.), Fifield v. Squire, Filtz (Hall v), Fifth v. Wimberley, Fitzjames (Morfe v.), Follett (Edgerton v.), Foot (Wsikinson v.), Forfter (Hitch v.), Fofter v. Chinor, Fofter v. Chinor, Foulk (Robinson v.), Foulk (Robinson v.), Foulk (Robinson v.), Fox v. Shawe, Foy (Lifter v.), Francis v. Welling ham, Francis v. Welling ham, Francis v. Repair
De la Pierre (Stocker v.), Dent v. Buck, Dent v. Buck, Denyer (Salmon v.), Devereaux v. Radley, Dewe (Saunders v.), Dewes v. Tredwell, Dewey v. Price, Diamond (Burgis v.), Dickens v. Dearfeley, Dickinson (Waters v.), Dixic v. Deacon, Dodd v. Ingleton, Dodd v. Ingleton, Dodderidge v. Startop, Downes (Leigh v.), Downes (Price v.), Drake v. Brooking, Dustied (Wickham v.), Dustield (Wickham v.), Du Garde v. Weete, Duke of Newcastle (The Archbishop of York v.), Dukeson (Skinner v.) Dummer v. Wingfield, Dunbar v. St. John,	F.  Fane v. Martin, Fawcett v. Tennants, Fenwick v. Ogle, Fewsidale (Tancred v.), Fiddy (Audley v.), Fisted v. Squire, Filtz (Hall v), Fish v. Wimberley, Fitzjames (Morse v.), Fleetwood v. Livesay, Foot (Walkinson v.), Forster (Hitch v.), Fofter v. Chinor, Foster (Usher v.), Foulk (Robinson v.), Fountain (Pern v.), Foulk (Robinson v.), Fountain (Pern v.), Foulk (Robinson v.), Fountain (Pern v.), Fountain v. Welling ham,

Gally (Creffner v.), 289 Gardner v. Pole, 473 Gardner v. Stokes, 327 Gawden v. Gilbert, 50 Geary (Pyott v.), 666 Geary (Pyott v.), 667 Gey (Hardley v.), 668 Gibs v. Bowyer, 389 Gifford (Wightwick v.), 221 Gilbert (Alchorne v.), 534 Gilbert (Gawden v.), 534 Gifford (Wightwick v.), 221 Gilbert (Gawden v.), 534 Goddard v. Gilbert, 389 Goddard v. Gilbert, 389 Goddard v. Gilbert, 389 Goddard v. Mann, 366 Goddard v. Mann, 366 Goddard v. Mann, 366 Goddard v. Mann, 366 Goddard v. Thinder, 433 Goddard v. Grantham, 536 Goddard v. Grantham, 537 Grantham, 636 Goddard v. Granth	<b>G.</b>			Page
Gally (Crefiner v.), 289 Harris (Witherington v.), 445 Gardner v. Pole, 289 Hart v. Grantham, 57 Gardner v. Pole, 473 Hartley v. Gey, 193 Gardner v. Golbert, 589 Hafor v. Yallop, 536 Geary (Pyott v.), 66 Haffel v. Bewley, 389 Gee v. Pearch, 386. 436 Haffings v. Goldwyer, 70 Gey (Hartley v.), 535 Hatcher v. Clelwer, 199 Gibbs v. Bowyer, 359 Hatcher v. Ridley, 149 Gibfon (Underwood v.), 534 Hatcher (Wyndham v.), 130 Gifford (Wightwick v.), 221 Hawkins v. Chittle, 356 Gilbert (Gawden v.), 228 Hayward (Boothoufe v.), 359 Gilbert (Gawden v.), 444 Goddard v. Mann, 456 Goddry v. Trinder, 433 Goddard v. Mann, 456 Goddard v. Mann, 457 Goddard v. Mann, 456 Goddard v. Mann, 457 Goddard v. Mann, 456 Godda			Harrington (Crocket v.).	
Gardner w., Pole, Gardner w., Pole, Gardner w., Stokes, Gawden v., Gilbert, Geavy (Pyott v.), Gibbs v., Bowyer, Gibfon (Underwood v.), Gifford (Wightwick v.), Gifford (Gawden v.), Gibbert (Alchorne v.), Giblert (Alchorne v.), Giblert (Alchorne v.), Giblert (Gawden v.), Giblert (Alchorne v.), Giblert (Gawden v.), Goddard v. Mann, Goddard v. Wightwick v.), Goddard v. Trinder, Goddirey v. Trinder, Goddirey v. Trinder, Goddirey (Haftings v.), Gooddal v. Perkins, Goodsee (Skinner v.), Gooddal v. Perkins, Goodsee (Skinner v.), Grant v. Brown, Grant v. Dawes, Grant v. Brown, Grant v. Brown, Grant v. Brown, Grant v. Cannon, Grant v. Gawasa v. Tae, Goddard v.), Gray (Thompfon v.), Green (Wickham v.), Green (Wickham v.), Green (Wickham v.), Gray (Thompfon v.), Grant v. Cannon, Grant v. Gawasa v. Tae, Goddard v. Cannon, Grant v. C		Page	Harris (Witherington w.).	-
Garth w. Stokes, Garth w. Stokes, Gawden v. Gilbert, Gawden v. Gilbert, Gee v. Pearch, Gee v. Pearch, Gibbs v. Bowyer, Gibbs v. Bowyer, Gibbs v. Bowyer, Gibbs v. Bowyer, Gibfon (Underwood v.), Gilbert (Gawden v.), Gildert (Gawden v.), Goddard v. Mann, Goddire v. Mann, Goddire v. Mann, Goddire v. Trinder, G	Gally (Creffner v.),	28g	Hart v. Grantham.	
Gawden w. Gilbert, Gawden w. Gilbert, Gee w. Pearch, Gee w. Pearch, Gee w. Pearch, Gey (Hartley w.), Gibfon (Underwood w.), Gibford (Wightwick w.), Gifford (Wightwick w.), Goddard w. Mann, Goddard w. Trinder, Goddard w. Trinder, Goddard w. Trinder, Goddard w. Mann, Goddar	Gardner v. Pole,			
Geary (Pyott v.), Geary (Pyott v.), Gey (Hartley v.), Gibbs v. Bowyer, Gibbs v. Bowyer, Gibfon (Underwood v.), Gifford (Wightwick v.), Goldwer (Stainer v.), Goldwer (Haftings v.), Goodding (Croffman v.), Goodding v. Perkins, Goodding v. Perkins, Grant v. Cannon, Grant v. Brown, Grant v. Cannon, Grant v. Connon, Grant v. Clarke v.), Gray v. Sawyer, Gray (Thompfon v.), Greaves (Conaut v.), Greaves (Conaut v.), Greaves (Conaut v.), Greaves (Wightwick v.), Green (Wightam v.), Green (Lloyd v.), Green (Lloyd v.), Green (Wightam v.), Green (Wightam v.), Grey v. Jenkins, Griffin (Tooker v.), Grey v. Jenkins, Griffin (Tooker v.), H.  Hall v. Babb, Hall v. Filtz, Hall v. Wyatt, Hodgion v. Skelton, Holbech v. Taylor, Hodgion v. Skelton, Holland v. Coker and Baker, Holland v. Coker and Baker, Holland v. Coker and Baker, Holled v. Wooffton, Holling v. Oglander, Harding v. Golding, Hardwick v. Newce, Hardy (Baines v. Clarke aad), Harpham (Benfon v.), Horton v. Higginbottom, Harpham (Benfon v.), Harding v. Golding, Hardwick v. Newce, Hardin	Garth v. Stokes,		Harton w. Tookev.	
Gee w. Pearch, 386. 436 Haffel w. Bewley, 700 Gee w. Pearch, 193 Hatcher w. Clewer, 193 Hatcher w. Clewer, 194 Hatcher w. Ridley, 149 Gibbs w. Bowyer, 259 Hatcher w. Ridley, 149 Gibfon (Underwood w.), 211 Hawkins w. Chuttle, 356 Gilbert (Alchorne w.), 278 Hayward w. Archer, 384 Glaffe w. Glaffe, 393 Hayward (Overbury w.), 384 Goddard w. Mann, 366 Heath w. Sandford, 427 Godfrey w. Trinder, 366 Heath w. Sandford, 427 Godfrey w. Trinder, 366 Hereford (Dean and Chapter of) w. Symonds, 367 Hereford (Dean and Chapter of) w. Symonds, 384 Herne (Woodford w.), 247 Goodridge (Croffman w.), 254 Hewlyn w. Wildman, 209 Grant w. Brown, 313 Hickes (Hinde w.), 319 Grant w. Brown, 311 Hickes (Hinde w.), 319 Hicks (Abbott w.), 319 Grant w. Brown, 311 Hickes (Hinde w.), 319 Hicks (Abbott w.), 319 Gravevs (Conant w.), 314 Higginfon (Gwinnock w.), 327 Higgen (Coward w.), 328 Hill w. Wyatt, 404 Hilly ard and Le Grand (Alcock w.), 279 Green (Wiekham w.), 367 Higgen (Coward w.), 367 Hill w. Simpfon, 368 Hitch w. Forfter, 309 Hocks (Ward w.), 369 Greenwood (Waugh w.), 369 Hill w. Wyatt, 404 Hilly ard and Le Grand (Alcock w.), 279 Hodgin w. Milhaw, 486 Hitch w. Tornker, 309 Hodgin w. Skelton, 486 Hitch w. Tornker, 309 Hodgin w. Skelton, 486 Hitch w. Tornker, 309 Hodgin w. Wilfhaw, 487 Holland w. Thornburgh, 487 Holland w. Thornburgh, 486 Hitch w. Tornburgh, 486 Holland w. Thornburgh, 487 Holland w. Thornburgh, 487 Holland w. Thornburgh, 487 Holland w. Thornburgh, 487 Holland w. Wonfton, 487 Holland w. Hicker, 488 Holland w. Wonfton, 488 Holland w. Wonfton, 488 Holland w. Wonfton, 488 Holland w. Wonfton, 489 Holland w. Hicker, 489 Holland w. Wonfton, 489 Holland w. Wonfton, 489 Holland w.	Gawden v. Gilbert,		Hafon v. Yallop.	
Gee (Hartley v.), 193 Hatcher v. Clewer, 199 Gibbs v. Bowyer, 359 Hatcher v. Ridley, 149 Gibfon (Underwood v.), 534 Hatcher v. Ridley, 149 Gibfon (Underwood v.), 534 Hatcher (Wyndham v.), 130 Gifford (Wightwick v.), 221 Hawkins v. Chittle, 356 Gilbert (Alchorne v.), 278 Hayes v. Co.), 69 Gilbert (Alchorne v.), 89 Hayward v. Archer, 69 Hayward (Overbury v.), 384 Goddard v. Mann, 366 Heath v. Sandford, 427 Goddrey v. Trinder, 433 Hele v. Pronte, 45 Golding (Harding v.), 70 Goodall v. Perkins, 365 Herreford (Dean and Chapter of) v. Symonds, Goodridge (Crofiman v.), 254 Hewlyn v. Wildman, 209 Grant v. Brown, 321 Hicks (Abbott v.), 319 Grantan v. Dawes, 399 Hicks (Abbott v.), 319 Grantan w. Dawes, 399 Hicks (Abbott v.), 319 Grantan w. Hart v.), 57 Higgein (Coward v.), 160 Gratwick (Rothwell v.), 334 Higginfon (Swinnock v.), 336 Gray (Thompfon v.), 68 Hiller (Ward v.), 307 Grav v. Sawyer, 146 Hiller (Ward v.), 307 Green (Wiokham v.), 414 Hill v. Simpfon, 255 Green (Wiokham v.), 414 Hill v. Simpfon, 255 Hiller (Ward v.), 279 Green (Wiokham v.), 440 Hill v. Simpfon, 255 Hiller v. Hill v. Watt, 440 Hillyard and Le Grand (Alcock v.), 279 Hodgfon v. Wilhaw, 480 Hockmore v. Richard, 486 Hick v. Tornburgh, 486 Hockmore v. Richard, 486 Hick v. Tornburgh, 487 Holland v. Coker and Baker, 486 Hockmore v. Richard, 4	Geary (Pyott v.),			
Gibbs w. Bowyer, Gibbs w. Bowyer, Gibfon (Underwood w.), Gifford (Wightwick w.), Gifford (Wightwick w.), Gilbert (Achorne w.), Gilbert (Gawden w.), Goddrig w. Trinder, Goddrig w. Trinder, Goddrig w. Trinder, Goddrig w. Trinder, Goddrig (Harding w.), Goodall w. Perkins, Goodall w. Perkins, Goodall w. Perkins, Goodard (Skinner w.), Grant w. Brown, Grant w. Brown, Grant w. Brown, Grant w. Cannon, Grant w. Chruft, Grantlam w.), Gray (Thompson	Gee v. Pearch, 386.			
Gibfon (Underwood v.), - 534 Hatcher (Wyndham v.), - 130 Gifford (Wightwick v.), - 521 Hawkins v. Chuttle, - 356 Gilbert (Gawden v.), - 89 Hayward v. Archer, - 334 Gilbert (Gawden v.), - 89 Hayward v. Archer, - 334 Goldard v. Goldare, - 393 Hayward (Boothoufe v.), 345 Gilbert (Gawden v.), - 170 Hayward (Overbury v.), 345 Goldard v. Mann, - 366 Heath v. Sandford, - 427 Golding (Harding v.), - 365 Hereford (Dean and Chapter of) v. 50 Golding (Harding v.), - 365 Hereford (Dean and Chapter of) v. 50 Golding (Perkins, - 338 Herv. (Woodford v.), - 247 Goodridge (Croffman v.), - 259 Hewett v. Snow, - 368 Gorant v. Brown, - 311 Hickes (Hinde v.), - 319 Grant v. Brown, - 311 Hickes (Hinde v.), - 319 Grant v. Cannon, - 311 Hickes (Hinde v.), - 319 Grant v. Cannon, - 311 Hickes (Hinde v.), - 305 Hilger (Coward v.), - 306 Hilger (Ward v.), - 307 Grave v. Sawyer, - 146 Hill v. Simpfon, - 255 Girdin (Tooker v.), - 304 Hill v. Wyatt, - 440 Hill v. W	Gey (Hartley v.),		Hatcher v. Clewer.	-
Gifford (Wightwick v.), Gifford (Wightwick v.), Gilbert (Alchorne v.), Gilbert (Alchorne v.), Gilbert (Alchorne v.), Gilbert (Cawden v.), Gilbert (Gawden v.), Golding v.), Golding v.), Golding v.), Golding v.), Golding v. Golding v.), Golding v.), Golding v.), Golding v.), Golding (Harding v.), Golding (Perkins, Goodall v. Perkins, Grant v. Brown, Grant v. Cannon, Grant v. Golding v.), Green (Lloyd v.), Greaves (Conaut v.), Green (Wickham v.), Green (Woodford v.), Green (Woodford v.), Greaves (Conaut v.), Grant v. Browes, Gooddide (Croffinan v.)	Gibbs v. Bowyer,		Hatcher v. Ridley.	
Gilbert (Alchorne v.), - 221 Hawkins v. Chittle, 356 Gilbert (Alchorne v.), - 278 Hayward v. Archer, - 384 Hayward v. Archer, - 384 Gilaffe v. Glaffe, - 393 Hayward (Boothouse v.), 345 Goddard v. Mann, - 366 Heath v. Sandford, - 427 Godfrey v. Trinder, - 433 Hele v. Pronte, - 45 Golding (Harding v.), - 365 Glaffe (Dean and Chapter of) v. Symonds, - 247 Goodfrey (Crossima v.), - 529 Herres (Woodford v.), - 247 Hewlyn v. Wildman, - 269 Graham v. Dawes, - 399 Hicks (Abbott v.), - 319 Grant v. Brown, - 321 Hickes (Hinde v.), - 319 Grant v. Cannon, - 321 Hide (Staughton v.), - 394 Higgin (Coward v.), - 394 Higgin (Gwinnock v.), - 394 Higgin (Gwinnock v.), - 395 Hill v. Wyatt, - 440 Higgin bottom (Horton v.), - 424 Hill v. Wyatt, - 440 Hill v. Woyatt, - 440 Hill v. Woyatt, - 440 Hill v. Townes, - 310 notis Green (Lloyd v.), - 146 Hitchcock (Steele v.), - 253 Gwynne v. Sharpe, - 171 Hocken v. Taylor, - 68 Holdand v. Coker and Baker, - 186 Hitch v. Townes, - 310 notis Hockmore v. Richards, - 485 Hodgsin v. Skelton, - 314 Holding v. Coker and Baker, - 196 Holland v. Coker and Baker, - 196 Holla	Gibson (Underwood v.), -		Hatcher (Wyndham v.).	
Gilbert (Alchorne w.), - 278 Hayes w. Cox, - 309 Gilbert (Gawden v.), - 89 Hayward v. Archer, - 334 Giaffe w. Glaffe, - 393 Hayward (Boothouse v.), - 345 Gynn (Offley w.), - 170 Goddard w. Mann, - 366 Goddraf w. Trinder, - 433 Golding (Harding w.), - 365 Goddrey (Haftings w.), - 70 Goodall w. Perkins, - 338 Goodre (Skinner v.), - 529 Groadl w. Derwis, - 399 Grant w. Brown, - 321 Grant w. Cannon, - 313 Grant w. Cannon, - 313 Grant w. Cannon, - 314 Grantham (Hart w.), - 57 Grawick (Rothwell w.), - 314 Gray (Thompson v.), - 68 Gray (Thompson v.), - 68 Green (Wickham w.), - 140 Greenwood (Waugh w.), - 142 Green (Wickham w.), - 144 Green (Wickham w.), - 145 Grey w. Jenkins, - 146 Greway w. The Earl of Kent, Greenwood (Waugh w.), - 146 Grey w. Jenkins, - 146 Grev w. Jenkins, - 147 Grey w. Jenkins, - 148 Grey w. Jenkins, - 149 Hull w. Wyatt, - 255 Gwynne w. Sharpe, - 171 Halfey w. Oglander, - 181 Hall w. Babb, - 203 Hall w. Filtz, - 510 Hall w. Babb, - 160 Hall w. Babb, - 174 Hall w. Babb, - 174 Halfey w. Oglander, - 112 Halfing w. Golding, - 154 Hall w. Babb, - 160 Hall w. Babb, - 174 Halfey w. Oglander, - 112 Halfing w. Golding, - 154 Hall w. Babb, - 160 Hall w. Wolfton, - 316 Hollingworth w. Umfreville, - 336 Hollingworth w. Umfreville, - 479 Hol	Gifford (Wightwick v.),		Hawkins v. Chittle.	
Gilsfie v. Glaffe, 393 Glaffe v. Glaffe, 393 Glaffe v. Glaffe, 393 Glynn (Offley v.), 170 Goddard v. Mann, 366 Goddrey v. Trinder, 433 Goddard v. Mann, 436 Godding (Harding v.), 365 Goldwyer (Haftings v.), 70 Goodall v. Perkins, 338 Goodridge (Croffman v.), 529 Grant v. Brown, 319 Grant v. Cannon, 311 Grant v. Cannon, 313 Grant v. Cannon, 313 Grant v. Grant v.), 549 Grat v. Grant v.), 540 Grat v. Grant v.), 540 Grat v. Grant v.), 541 Gray v. Sawyer, 314 Gray v. Sawyer, 316 Green (Wickham v.), 567 Green (Wickham v.), 568 Green (Wickham v.), 569 Green (Wickham v.), 560 Hill v. Simpfon, 569 Hill v. Wyatt, 560 Hill v. Wyatt, 560 Hill v. Wyatt, 560 Hill v. Townes, 57 Hodgfon v. Skelton, 51 Hodgfon v. Skelton, 51 Hodgfon v. Wilfhaw, 560 Hodgfon v. Wilfhaw, 560 Holland v. Coker and Baker, 560 Holland v. Coker and Baker, 560 Holland v. Coker and Baker, 560 Holland v. Woolfton, 57 Holland v	Gilbert (Alchorne v.),	_		
Glaffe v. Glaffe, Glynn (Offley v.), - 393 Glynn (Offley v.), - 306 Goddard v. Mann, - 366 Goddrey v. Trinder, - 433 Goddrey v. Trinder, - 433 Goldwer (Haftings v.), - 365 Goodwer (Skinner v.), - 365 Goodwer (Skinner v.), - 529 Grant v. Dawes, - 399 Grant v. Brown, - 321 Grant v. Cannon, - 321 Grant v. Cannon, - 321 Grant v. Cannon, - 321 Gratwick (Rothwell v.), - 334 Gray v. Sawyer, - 146 Gratwick (Rothwell v.), - 334 Green (Lloyd v.), - 44 Green (Lloyd v.), - 44 Green (Wiokham v.), - 44 Green (Wiokham v.), - 44 Greenwood (Waugh v.), - 305 Grev v. Jenkins, - 44 Greenwood (Waugh v.), - 305 Grev v. Jenkins, - 44 Grewas v. Teage, - 309 Gwavas v. Teage, - 309 Hill v. Wyatt, - 440 Hill v. Townes, - 310 notic v.) Holland v. Coker and Baker, - 485 Holdgen v. Skelton, - 314 Holdgen v. Skelton, - 314 Holland v. Thornburgh, - 159 Hollingworth v. Umfreville, 332 Hall v. Filtz, - 100 Halfall (Tully v.), - 140 Hollingworth v. Umfreville, 332 Hall v. Golding, - 365 Horde v. Wanley, - 336 Hollingworth v. Umfreville, 332 Hollingworth v. Umfreville, 349 Hollingworth v. Holli	Gilbert (Gawden v.),	•		
Goddard v. Mann, Godfrey v. Trinder, Godfrey v. Trinder, Golding (Harding v.), Goodall v. Perkins, Goodall v. Perkins, Goodall v. Perkins, Goodare (Skinner v.), Graham v. Dawes, Graham v. Dawes, Graham v. Brown, Grant v. Cannon, Grant k. Cannon, Grant v. Cannon, Grant v. Cannon, Gray v. Sawyer, Gray (Thompfon v.), Greaves (Conaut v.), Green (Lloyd v.), Green (Wickham v.), Green v. Jenkins, Green v. Jenkins, Green v. Jenkins, Griffin (Tooker v.), Griffin (Tooker v.), Gurdon v. Sell, Gwavas v. Teage, Gwynne v. Sharpe,  Hall v. Babb, Hall v. Filtz, Hall v. Babb, Hall v. Filtz, Halfey w. Oglander, Harding v. Golding, Harding v. Golding, Harding v. Golding, Hardyard (Devebury v.), Harpham (Benfon v.), 170 Holkerton (Perion v.), 180 Hete w. Sandford, Hete w. Sandford, Hete w. Pronte, 180 Hete w. Sandford, 182 Hete w. Pronte, 180 Hete w. Sandford, 182 Hete w. Pronte, 183 Here (Woodford v.), 184 Hewelt v. Snow, 186 Heten (Woodford v.), 189 Hicks (Abbott v.), 189 Hicks (Abobtt v.), 189 Hicks (Abobtt v.), 189 Hicks (Abobtt v.), 189 Hicks (Abott v.), 189 Hicks (Ward v.), 180 Hilde (Ward v.), 180 Hilde (Ward v.), 180 Hilde (Ward v.),	Glasse v. Glasse,			
Goddrey v. Trinder, Golding (Harding v.), Goldwyer (Haftings v.), Goodall v. Perkins, Goodre (Skinner v.), Goodridge (Croffman v.), Grant v. Brown, Grant v. Brown, Grant v. Cannon, Grant v. Cannon, Grantwick (Rothwell v.), Gray v. Sawyer, Gray (Thempson v.), Green (Lloyd v.), Green (Wiskham v.), Green (Wiskham v.), Green (Wiskham v.), Green (Wiskham v.), Greenway v. The Earl of Kent, Griffin (Tooker v.),	Glynn (Offley v.),		Hayward (Overbury 4.).	
Golding (Harding w.), - 365 Goldwyer (Haftings w.), - 365 Goodall w. Perkins, - 338 Goodere (Skinner w.), - 529 Graham w. Dawes, - 399 Grant w. Brown, - 321 Grant w. Cannon, - 321 Gratwick (Rothwell w.), - 334 Gray w. Sawyer, - 46 Gray (Thompfon w.), - 68 Green (Lloyd w.), - 501 Green (Lloyd w.), - 501 Green (Wickham w.), - 501 Greenwood (Waugh w.), - 501 Hill w. Simpfon, - 255 Hill w. Wantte, - 120, 141 Hill w. Wyatt, - 440 Hill w. Wantte, - 120, 141 Hill w. Wyatt, - 440 Hill w. Wantte, - 120, 141 Hill w. Townes, - 310 notice Hilder w. Townes, - 310 notice Hill w. Townes, - 310 notice Hill w. Townes, - 310 notice Hill w. Townes, - 310 notice Hilder w. Townes, - 310 not				
Golding (Harding v.), Goldwyer (Haftings v.), Goodwer (Skinner v.), Goodwer (Skinner v.), Goodridge (Croffman v.), Grah v. Dawes, Grant v. Brown, Grant v. Cannon, Grant v. Cannon, Gratham (Hart v.), Gratwick (Rothwell v.), Gray v. Sawyer, Gray (Thompfon v.), Greaves (Conant v.), Green (Lloyd v.), Green (Wickham v.), Green (Wickham v.), Greenway v. The Earl of Kent, Greenwood (Waugh v.), Greenwood (Waugh v.), Grey v. Jenkins, Griffin (Tooker v.), Griffin (Tooker v.), Gwavas v. Teage, Gwynne v. Sharpe,  Hall v. Babb, H.  Hall v. Babb, Hall v. Golding, Harding v. Golding, Harding v. Golding, Hardy (Baines v. Clarke and), Hardpham (Benfon v.), Holkerton (Petrfon v.), Symonds, Symonds, Herre (Woodford v.), Symonds, Herne (Woodford v.), 146 Hewett v. Snow, Hewlyn v. Wildman, 1209 Hicks (Abbott v.), 1319 Hickes (Hinde v.), 140 Hickes (Hinde v.), 141 Higginfon (Swinnock v.), 142 Higginfon (Swinnock v.), 143 Higginbottom (Horton v.), 144 Higgin (Coward v.), 145 Higginbottom (Horton v.), 146 Higginbottom (Horton v.), 147 Hill v. Simpfon, 142 Hill v. Fill v. Wyatt, 144 Hill v. Wyatt, 144 Hill v. Wyatt, 145 Hill v. Wyatt, 146 Hill v. Forfter, 130 Hitch v. Forfter, 130 Hilde v. Hickes, 11 Hitch v. Forfter, 130 Holketh v. Taylor, 146 Hodgfon v. Richards, 148 Hodgfon v. Wilfhaw, 148 Hodgfon v. Wilfhaw, 148 Holland v. Thornburgh, 149 Hollingworth v. Umfreville, 132 Hollingworth v. Umfreville, 133 Hide (Staughton v.), 149 Hide (Staughton v.), 140 Higginbottom (Horton v.), 140 Higginbottom (Horton v.), 140 Higginbottom (Horton v.), 141 Higginfon (Swinneck v.), 142 Hill v. Simpfon, 142 Hill v. Simpfon, 142 Hill v. Simpfon, 144 Hill v. Simpfon, 145 Hill v. Simpfon, 145 Hill v. Simpfon, 140 Hill v. Simpfon, 141 Hill v. Simpfon, 140 Hill v. Simpfon, 141 Hill v. Simpfon, 140 Hill v. Simpfon, 141 Hill v. Simpfon, 140 Hill v. Simpfon, 140 Hill v. Simpfon, 140	Godfrey v. Trinder,	-	Hele v. Pronte.	
Goldwyer (Haltings v.), Goodall v. Perkins, Goodard (Skinner v.), Goodridge (Croffman v.), Grant v. Dawes, Grant v. Brown, Grant v. Cannon, Grant v. Cannon, Grant v. Cannon, Grant v. Cannon, Grantwick (Rothwell v.), Gray v. Sawyer, Gray (Thompfon v.), Green (Lloyd v.), Green (Lloyd v.), Green (Wiekham v.), Green v. Jenkins, Griffin (Tooker v.), Gruy on v. Sell, Gurdon v. Sharpe,  Hall v. Babb, Hall v. Oglander, Hardnig v. Golding, Hardwicke v. Newce, Hardy (Baines v. Clarke and), Harpham (Benfon v.), Hofkerton (Peufon v	Golding (Harding v.),		Hereford (Dean and Chapter of) w	, <del>1</del> 3
Goodall v. Perkins, Goodare (Skinner v.), Goodree (Skinner v.), Goodridge (Croffman v.), Grant v. Dawes, Grant v. Brown, Grant v. Brown, Grant v. Brown, Grant v. Cannon, Grantham (Hart v.), Gratwick (Rothwell v.), Gray v. Sawyer, Gray (Thompfon v.), Greaves (Conant v.), Green (Lloyd v.), Green (Wickham v.), Green (Wickham v.), Greenway v. The Earl of Kent, Greenway v. Jenkins, Griffin (Tooker v.), Grey v. Jenkins, Griffin (Tooker v.), Gray v. Sharpe,  H.  Hall v. Babb, Hall v. Babb, Hall v. Oglander, Harding v. Golding, Hardy (Baines v. Clarke and), Hardy (Baines v. Clarke and), Hardy (Baines v. Clarke and), Hardyn (Baines v. Clarke and), Hardyn (Baines v. Clarke and), Hardyn (Benfon v.),  Sonato v. Selidender (Pcirion v.), Sonato v. Wildman, Sonato v.), Sonato v. Wildman, Sonato v. Wildman, Sonato v.), Sonato v. Wildman, Sonato v. Wild	Goldwyer (Hastings v.),		Symonds.	
Goodridge (Croffman v.), Goodridge (Croffman v.), Graham v. Dawes, Grant v. Brown, Grant v. Brown, Grant v. Cannon, Grantham (Hart v.), Gratwick (Rothwell v.), Gray v. Sawyer, Gray (Thompfon v.), Greaves (Conant v.), Green (Lloyd v.), Green (Wickham v.), Green (Wickham v.), Greenway v. The Earl of Kent, Greenwood (Waugh v.), Greenwood (Waugh v.), Griffin (Tooker v.), Gurdon v. Sell, Gwavas v. Teage, Gwynne v. Sharpe,  H.  Hall v. Babb, H.  Hall v. Babb, Hall v. Godding, Halfey v. Oglander, Harding v. Golding, Hardwicke v- Newce, Hardy (Baines v. Clarke and), Harpham (Benfon v.), Holkerton (Peirson v.),  368 Hewett v. Snow, Hewlyn v. Wildman, 209 Hewett v. Snow, 319 Hewlty v. Wildman, 209 Hicks (Abbott v.), 314 Hewlyn v. Wildman, 209 Hewett v. Snow, 319 Hicks (Abbott v.), 419 Hickes (Hinde v.), 314 Hicke (Vard v.), 424 Hilly v. Ward, 424 Hill v. Wyatt, 424 Hill v. Wyatt, 424 Hill v. Wyatt, 425 Hill v. Wyatt, 426 Hill v. Forfter, 329 Hill v. Worlton v. Wilden, 420 Hill v. Filtz, 420 Hill v. F	Goodall v. Perkins,			
Goodridge (Croffman v.), Graham v. Dawes, Grant v. Dawes, Grant v. Cannon, Grant v. Cannon, Grantham (Hart v.), Gray v. Sawyer, Gray (Thompfon v.), Greaves (Conant v.), Green (Lloyd v.), Green (Lloyd v.), Green (Wickham v.), Green (Wickham v.), Green (Wickham v.), Greenway v. The Earl of Kent, Greenwood (Waugh v.), Greenway v. Jenkins, Griffin (Tooker v.), Griffin	Goodere (Skinner v.),			
Graham v. Dawes, Graham v. Dawes, Grant v. Cannon, Granta v. Cannon, Grant v. Grant v.), Grant v. Grant v.), Grant v. Grant v.), Grant v. Gran	Goodridge (Croffman v.),		Hewlyn v. Wildman.	-
Grant v. Brown, Grant v. Cannon, Grant v. Covard v.), Grant v. Grant v.), Grant v. Covard v.), Grant v. Covard v.), Grant v. Covard v.), Grant v. Covard v.), Grant v. Grant v.), Grant v. G	Graham v. Dawes,		Hicks (Abbott v.).	
Grant v. Cannon, Grath v. Cannon, Gratham (Hart v.), Gratwick (Rothwell v.), Gray v. Sawyer, Gray (Thompson v.), Greaves (Conant v.), Green (Lloyd v.), Green (Lloyd v.), Green (Wickham v.), Green (Wickham v.), Green (Wickham v.), Greenway v. The Earl of Kent, Greenwood (Waugh v.), Grey v. Jenkins, Griffin (Tooker v.), Gurdon v. Sell, Gwavas v. Teage, Gwynne v. Sharpe,  H.  Hall v. Babb, H.  Hall v. Babb, Hall v. Babb, Hall v. Golding, Hardwicke v. Newce, Hardy (Baines v. Clarke and), Harpham (Benson v.), Hokerton (Peuson v	Grant v. Brown,		Hickes (Hinde v.).	- •
Gratmam (Hart v.), Gratwick (Rothwell v.), Gray v. Sawyer, Gray (Thompton v.), Greaves (Conant v.), Green (Lloyd v.), Green (Lloyd v.), Green (Wiekham v.), Green (Wiekham v.), Greenwood (Waugh v.), Greenwood (Waugh v.), Grey v. Jenkins, Griffin (Tooker v.), Griffin (Tooker v.), Gurdon v. Sell, Gwavas v. Teage, Gwynne v. Sharpe,  H.  Hall v. Babb, Hall v. Babb, Hall v. Golding, Hardwicke v. Newce, Hardy (Baines v. Clarke and), Harpham (Benfon v.),  Figh Higden (Coward v.), 169 Higden (Coward v.), 169 Higgen (Coward v.), 124 Higginbottom (Horton v.), 124 Higginbottom (Horton v.), 125 Hill v. Swinpfon, 125 Hill v. Simpfon, 125 Hill v. Wyatt, 440 Hill v. Forfier, 169 Hill v. Simpfon, 125 Hill v. Wyatt, 440 Hill v. Woatt, 440 Hill v. Woatt, 440 Hill v. Wrimate, 120 Hill v. Wyatt, 440 Hill v. Wyatt, 440 Hill v. Woatt, 440 Hill v. Wrimate, 120 Hill v. Wyatt, 440 Hill v. Woatt, 440 Hill v. Wighan v. Janes, 485 Hodges (Umfreville v.), 253 Hodges (Umfreville v.), 263 Hodges (Umfreville v.), 264 Hodges (Umfreville v.), 275 Hodgfon v. Skelton, 275 Hodgfon v. Skelton, 275 Hodgfon v. Wilfhaw, 88 Hodgen (Vard v.), 265 Hodges (Umfreville v.), 275 Hodgfon v. Wilfhaw, 88 Hodgen (Vard v.), 87 Hodgfon v. Wilfhaw, 88 Hodgen (Vard v.), 89 Hodgen (Vard v.), 89 Hodgen (Vard v.), 89 Hodgen (Vard v.),		-	Hide (Staughton v.).	
Gratwick (Rothwell v.), Gray v. Sawyer, Gray (Thompson v.), Greaves (Conant v.), Green (Lloyd v.), Green (Lloyd v.), Green (Wickham v.), Green (Wickham v.), Greenacres (Burrell v.), Greenway v. The Earl of Kent, Greenwood (Waugh v.), Greenwood (Waugh v.), Grey v. Jenkins, Griffin (Tooker v.), Gurdon v. Sell, Gwavas v. Teage, Gwynne v. Sharpe,  H.  Hall v. Babb, H.  Hall v. Babb, Hall v. Babb, Hall v. Golding, Halfall (Tully v.), Halfall (Tully v.), Halfall v. Oglander, Hardwicke v. Newce, Hardwicke v. Newce, Hardy (Baines v. Clarke and), Harpham (Benson v.),  Hider (Ward v.), Hidginbottom (Horton v.), 424 Higginbottom (Horton v.), 424 Hilder (Ward v.), 425 Hilder (Ward v.), 426 Hilder (Ward v.), 426 Hilder (Ward v.), 427 Holder v. Waler, 428 Hitch v. Forfer, 429 Hotheton (Purion v.), 429 Hotheton (Purion v.), 420 Holder v. Woolfton, 421 Hotheton v. Woolfton, 424 Horton v. Higginbottom, 424 Horton v. Higginbottom, 424 Horton v. Higginbottom, 424 Horton v. Higginbottom, 425 Holder v. Waler, 420 Holder v. Waler, 420 Holder v. Waler, 420 Holder v. Voolfton, 421 Holder v. Waler, 420 Holder v. Waler, 421 Holder (Ward v.), 422 Holder v. Waler, 423 Holder (Ward v.), 424 Holder	Grantham (Hart v.),		Higden (Coward v.).	
Gray (Thompson v.), Greaves (Conant v.), Green (Lloyd v.), Green (Lloyd v.), Green (Wickham v.), Green (Wickham v.), Green (Wickham v.), Greenway v. The Earl of Kent, Greenwood (Waugh v.), Greenwood (Waugh v.), Grey v. Jenkins, Griffin (Tooker v.), Gurdon v. Sell, Gwavas v. Teage, Gwynne v. Sharpe,  H.  Hall v. Babb, Hall v. Filtz, Hallall (Tully v.), Halfey v. Oglander, Harding v. Golding, Hardwicke v- Newce, Hardy (Baines v. Clarke and), Harpham (Benson v.),  Has Hilder (Ward v.), Has Hilder (Ward v.), Has Hilder (Ward v.), Hall v. Primate, Hall v. Primate, Hall v. Rimpson, Hall v. Wyatt, Hall v. Hill v. Wyatt, Hall v. Wyatt, Hall v. Wyatt, Hall v. Wyatt, Hall v. Hill v. Wyatt, Hall v. Wyatt, Hall v. Forfier,  Hall v. Forfier, Hall v. Townes,  Hall v. Hill v. Woolfier, Hall v. Wyatt, Hall	Gratwick (Rothwell v.),		Higginson (Swinnock v.).	
Greaves (Conant v.), Green (Lloyd v.), Green (Lloyd v.), Green (Wiekham v.), Green (Wiekham v.), Greenacres (Burrell v.), Greenway v. The Earl of Kent, Greenwood (Waugh v.), Grey v. Jenkins, Griffin (Tooker v.), Gurdon v. Sell, Gwavas v. Teage, Gwynne v. Sharpe,  H.  Hill v. Wyatt, Hill v. Wyatt Hill v. Wash Holken, Townes,  10 Holken v. Hickes, 10 Hill v. Wyatt Hill v. Wyoft Hill v. Wyo	Gray v. Sawyer,		Higginbottom (Horton v.).	
Greaves (Conant v.), Green (Lloyd v.), Green (Wickham v.), Green (Wickham v.), Greenacres (Burrell v.), Greenway v. The Earl of Kent, Greenwood (Waugh v.), Grey v. Jenkins, Griffin (Tooker v.), Gurdon v. Sell, Gwavas v. Teage, Gwynne v. Sharpe,  H.  Hill v. Simpfon, 255 Hill v. Simpfon, 440 Hill v. Wyatt, 440 Hill v. Wyatt, 440 Hill v. Wyatt, 450 Hill v. Wyatt, 461 Hill v. Wyatt, 479 Hill v. Simpfon, 475 Hill v. Simpfon, 475 Hill v. Simpfon, 475 Hill v. Wyatt, 479 Hill v. Simpfon, 475 Hill v. Wyatt, 470 Hill v. Wyatt, 470 Hill v. Wyatt, 470 Hill v. Simpfon, 471 Hill v. Wyatt, 470 Hill v. Simpfon, 471 Hill v. Wyatt, 470 Hill v. Simpfon, 472 Hill v. Wyatt, 470 Hill v. Simpfon, 471 Holdend v. Hickes, 470 Hill v. Wyatt, 470 Hill v. Simpfon, 471 Holdend v. Hickes, 470 Hill v. Wyatt, 440 Hill v. Wian Legislow tom, 440 Hill v. Wyatt, 440 Hitch v. Townes, 485 Hotch v. Forfter, 485 Hotch v. Hokes, 485 Holden v. Hokes, 485 Holden v. Woolfton, 486 Holden v. Woolfton, 486 Holden v.	Gray (Thompson v.),		Hilder (Ward v.).	
Green (Wickham w.), Green (Wickham w.), Greenacres (Burrell w.), Greenway w. The Earl of Kent, Greenwood (Waugh w.), Grey w. Jenkins, Griffin (Tooker w.), Gurdon w. Sell, Gwavas w. Teage, Gwynne w. Sharpe,  H.  H.  Hall w. Babb, Hall w. Babb, Hall w. Babb, Hall w. Filtz, Halfall (Tully w.), Halfall (Tully w.), Halfall (Tully w.), Harding w. Golding, Hardwicke w. Newce, Hardy (Baines w. Clarke and), Harpham (Benfon w.),  Free Hall w. Wyatt, Hitch w. Wyatt, Hall w. Washes,  A 155 Holketon (Peinfon w.),  A 255 A 100 A 412 Hitch w. Trombers,  A 85 A 100 A 120	Greaves (Conant v.),	140	T.J. 1) D.,	
Green (Wiekham v.), Greenacres (Burrell v.), Greenway v. The Earl of Kent, Greenwood (Waugh v.), Grey v. Jenkins, Griffin (Tooker v.), Gurdon v. Sell, Gwavas v. Teage, Gwynne v. Sharpe,  H.  H.  Hill v. Wyatt, 440 Hilyard and Le Grand (Alcock v.), 279 Hitch v. Forster,  186 Hitch v. Forster, 190 Hitch v. Townes, 190 Holdes (Umfreville v.), 195 Hodges (Umfreville v.), 195 Hodges (Umfreville v.), 195 Hodgen v. Skelton, 197 Hodgen v. Skelton, 198 Hollech v. Taylor, 199 Holland v. Coker and Baker, 199 Holland v. Coker and Baker, 199 Hollingworth v. Umfreville, 199 Hollingwo	Green (Lloyd v.),	•	Hill v. Simpson.	
Greenway v. The Earl of Kent, Greenwood (Waugh v.), Grey v. Jenkins, Griffin (Tooker v.), Gwavas v. Teage, Gwynne v. Sharpe,  H.  Hiltch v. Forster, Hitch v. Townes, Holdes (Umfreville v.), Hodges (Umfreville v.), Hodges v. James, Hodgen v. Wilshaw, Holbech v. Taylor, Holland v. Coker and Baker, Holland v. Coker and Baker, Hollingworth v. Umfreville, Hall v. Filtz, Halfey v. Oglander, Harding v. Golding, Hardwicke v. Newce, Hardy (Baines v. Clarke and), Harpham (Benson v.),  Hilyard and Le Grand (Alcock v.), 279 Hitch v. Hickes, Hitch v. Forster, Houses, Hotheev. Hickes, Hitch v. Hores, Holten v. Townes, Holten v. Richards, Holdes v. Richards, Hodges (Umfreville v.), Hodgson v. Skelton, Holland v. Coker and Baker, Holland v. Coker and Baker, Holland v. Thornburgh, Hollingworth v. Umfreville, Hollingworth v. Umfreville, Holten v. Woolston, Holten v. Wanley, Horton v. Higginbottom, Hardy (Baines v. Clarke and), Horton v. Higginbottom, Hardy (Benson v.), Holkerton (Peirson v.), Holkerton v.), Holkerton (Peirson v.), Holkerton v.),	Green (Wiekham v.),	-		
Greenwood (Waugh v.),  Grey v. Jenkins,  Griffin (Tooker v.),  Gurdon v. Sell,  Gwavas v. Teage,  Gwynne v. Sharpe,  H.  Hitch v. Townes,  Holdse v. Richards,  Hodges (Umfreville v.),  253  Hodges (Umfreville v.),  253  Hodgen v. Skelton,  Hodgfon v. Skelton,  Holden v. Wilfhaw,  Holbech v. Taylor,  Holland v. Coker and Baker,  Holland v. Coker and Baker,  Holland v. Thornburgh,  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  332  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  332  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  332  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  332  Hollingworth v. Woolton,  Harding v. Golding,  Holwell v. Woolton,  Hardwicke v- Newce,  Hornfby (Caifter v.),  Hardwicke v. Newce,  Hornfby (Caifter v.),  Harpham (Benfon v.),  Hotherton v. Higginbottom,  424  Horton v. Higginbottom,  424  Horton v. Higginbottom,  424  Horton v. Higginbottom,  424  Horton v. Higginbottom,  424	Greenacres (Burrell v.),	•	Hilyard and Le Grand (Alcock v.	). 270
Greenwood (Waugh v.), Grey v. Jenkins, Griffin (Tooker v.), Gurdon v. Sell, Gwavas v. Teage, Gwynne v. Sharpe,  H.  Hodgsin v. James, Hodgsin v. James, Hodgsin v. James, Hodgsin v. Wilfhaw, Holbech v. Taylor, Holland v. Coker and Baker, Holland v. Coker and Baker, Holland v. Thornburgh, Hollingworth v. Umfreville, Hall v. Filtz, Halfey v. Oglander, Harding v. Golding, Hardwicke v- Newce, Hardy (Baines v. Clarke and), Harpham (Benson v.),  186  Hitch v. Forster, Joy Holte v. Townes, Holcock (Steele v.), 26  Hodges (Umfreville v.), 253  Hodges (Umfreville v.), 253  Hodgen v. Richards, 485  Holgen v. James, 486  Holland v. Coker and Baker, 486  Holland v. Coker and Baker, 486  Hollingworth v. Umfreville, 332  Hollingworth v. Umfreville, 332  Holmsby (Marsh v.), 336  Horde v. Wanley, 336  Horde v. Wanley, 479  Hardy (Baines v. Clarke and), 479  Hotherton v. Higginbottom, 424  Horton v. Higginbottom, 424  Horton v. Higginbottom, 424	Greenway v. The Earl of Kent,		Hinde v. Hickes,	
Grey v. Jenkins, Griffin (Tooker v.), Gurdon v. Sell, Gwavas v. Teage, Gwynne v. Sharpe,  Hodges (Umfreville v.), Hodgen v. James, Hodgen v. Wilfnaw, Hodgen v. Wilfnaw, Holland v. Coker and Baker, Holland v. Thornburgh, Hollingworth v. Umfreville, Hall v. Filtz, Halfey v. Oglander, Harding v. Golding, Hardwicke v- Newce, Hardy (Baines v. Clarke and), Harpham (Benfon v.),  Hitch v. Townes, Hitch v. Townes, Holcock (Steele v.), Hodges (Umfreville v.), Hodges (Umfreville v.), Hodges (Umfreville v.), Hodgen v. Richards, Hodgen v. Richards, Hodgen v. James, Holland v. Coker and Baker, Holland v. Coker and Baker, Holland v. Thornburgh, Hollingworth v. Umfreville, Hollingworth v. Umfreville, Holmfby (Marsh v.), Holled v. Woolston, Holled v. Wanley, Hornsby (Caister v.), Horson v. Higginbottom, Hardy (Benson v.), Holkerton (Peirson v.), Holkerton (Peirson v.),	Greenwood (Waugh v.),		Hitch v. Forster,	-
Gurdon v. Sell,  Gurdon v. Sell,  Gwavas v. Teage,  Gwynne v. Sharpe,  Hodges (Umfreville v.),  Hodgen v. James,  Hodgen v. Wilfnaw,  Holbech v. Taylor,  Holland v. Coker and Baker,  Holland v. Thornburgh,  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  Hall v. Filtz,  Halfey v. Oglander,  Harding v. Golding,  Hardwicke v- Newce,  Hardy (Baines v. Clarke and),  Harpham (Benfon v.),  Has Holkerton (Peirfon v.),  Has Holkerdo v. Richards,  Hodges (Umfreville v.),  157  Hodges (Umfreville v.),  253  Hodges (Umfreville v.),  253  Hodges (Umfreville v.),  253  Hodgen v. James,  For Holden v. Wilfnaw,  88  Holland v. Coker and Baker,  Holland v. Thornburgh,  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  332  Holmfby (Marsh v.),  110  Holt (Morgan v.),  336  Horde v. Wanley,  85  Hornsby (Caister v.),  479  Horton v. Higginbottom,  424  Horton v. Higginbottom,  424  Horton v. Higginbottom,  424  Horton v.),  380	Grey v. Jenkins,	412	Hitch v. Townes, - 31	o notis
Gwavas v. Teage, Gwynne v. Sharpe,  136 Hockmore v. Richards, Hodges (Umfreville v.), Hodgen v. James, Hodgfon v. Skelton, Hodgfon v. Wilfhaw, Holbech v. Taylor, Holland v. Coker and Baker, Holland v. Coker and Baker, Holland v. Thornburgh, Hollingworth v. Umfreville, Hall v. Filtz, Hollingworth v. Umfreville, Halfey v. Oglander, Harding v. Golding, Hardwicke v- Newce, Hardy (Baines v. Clarke and), Harpham (Benfon v.), Hokerton (Peirfon v.), Holkerton (Peirfon v.), Holkerton (Peirfon v.), Holkerton (Peirfon v.), Holkerton (Peirfon v.),  153 Hodges (Umfreville v.), 485 Hodgen v. James, Holden v. Wilfhaw, Hollend v. Coker and Baker, Holland v. Coker and Baker, Holland v. Coker and Baker, Hollingworth v. Umfreville, Holling	Griffin (Tooker v.),	146	Hitchcock (Steele v.),	_
Gwynne v. Sharpe,  171 Hodgsin v. James, Hodgfon v. Skelton, Hodgfon v. Wilshaw, Holbech v. Taylor, Holland v. Coker and Baker, Holland v. Thornburgh, Hollingworth v. Umfreville, Hall v. Filtz, Halfall (Tully v.), Halfey v. Oglander, Harding v. Golding, Hardwicke v- Newce, Hardy (Baines v. Clarke and), Harpham (Benson v.), Hodgsin v. James, Holdgfon v. James, Hollands, Holl	Gurdon v. Sell,		Hockmore v. Richards.	
Hall v. Babb, Hall v. Filtz, Halfall (Tully v.), Halfey v. Oglander, Harding v. Golding, Hardwicke v- Newce, Hardy (Baines v. Clarke and), Harpham (Benfon v.), Holdgfon v. Skelton, Holdgfon v. Skelton, Holdgfon v. Wilfhaw, Holdech v. Taylor, Holland v. Coker and Baker, Holland v. Coker and Baker, Holland v. Thornburgh, Hollingworth v. Umfreville, 332 Hollingworth v. Umfreville, 332 Hollingworth v.), 110 Hollingworth v.), 110 Hollingworth v. Woolfton, 111 Holdell v. Woolfton, 112 Holwell v. Woolfton, 113 Hornfby (Caifler v.), 147 Horton v. Higginbottom, 1424 Horton v. Higginbottom, 1424 Horton v.), 155 Holkerton (Peirfon v.), 380		-	Hodges (Umfreville v.),	
Hodgfon v. Skelton, Hodgfon v. Wilfhaw, Holbech v. Taylor, Holland v. Coker and Baker, Holland v. Coker and Baker, Holland v. Thornburgh, Hollingworth v. Umfreville, Holl	Gwynne v. Sharpe,	171	Hodgkin v. James,	
Holdson v. Wilshaw, Holbech v. Taylor, Holland v. Coker and Baker, Holland v. Coker and Baker, Holland v. Thornburgh, Holland v. Thornburgh, Hollingworth v. Umfreville, Hollingworth v. Umfreville, Holland v. Thornburgh, Hollingworth v. Umfreville, Hollin		•	Hodgfon v. Skelton,	
Holbech v. Taylor, Holland v. Coker and Baker, Holland v. Thornburgh, Holland v. Thornburgh, Holland v. Thornburgh, Holland v. Thornburgh, Hollingworth v. Umfreville, Hol			Hodgson v. Wilshaw,	
Hall v. Babb, Hall v. Filtz, Halfall (Tully v.), Halfey v. Oglander, Harding v. Golding, Hardwicke v- Newce, Hardy (Baines v. Clarke and), Harpham (Benson v.), Holland v. Coker and Baker, Holland v. Thornburgh, Hollingworth v. Umfreville, 332 Hollingworth v.), Hollingworth v.), Hollingworth v.), Hollingworth v. Umfreville, 332 Hollingworth v.) Hollingworth v. Umfreville, 332 Hollingworth v.) Hollingworth v. Umfreville, 332 Hollingworth v.), Hollingworth v. Umfreville, 332 Hollingworth v.), Hollingworth v.) 444 Horton v.), Hollingworth v. Umfreville, 332 Hollingworth v.), Hollingworth v.) Hollingwort			Holbech v. Taylor,	_
Hall v. Babb,  Hall v. Filtz,  Halfall (Tully v.),  Halfey v. Oglander,  Harding v. Golding,  Hardwicke v. Newce,  Hardy (Baines v. Clarke and),  Harpham (Benfon v.),  Holland v. Thornburgh,  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  332  Hollingworth v.),  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  332  Hollingworth v.),  110  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  332  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  344  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  332  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  411  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  424  Hornoft v. Woolfton,  411  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  424  Hornoft v. Woolfton,  424  Hornoft v. W	н.		Holland v. Coker and Baker.	486
Hall v. Filtz,  Halfall (Tully v.),  Halfey v. Oglander,  Harding v. Golding,  Hardwicke v- Newce,  Hardy (Baines v. Clarke and),  Harpham (Benfon v.),  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  332  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  344  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  346  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  348  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  349  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  340  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  441  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  442  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  444  Horlingworth v. Umfreville,  445  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  447  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  448  Horlingworth v. Umfreville,  449  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  440  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  440  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  440  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  441  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  441  Horlingworth v. Umfreville,  442  Horlingworth v. Umfreville,  443  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  444  Horlingworth v. Umfreville,  445  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  447  Hollingworth v. Umfreville,  448  Horlingworth v. Umfreville,  449  Horlingworth v. Umfreville,  440  Horlingworth v. Umfreville,  440  Horl	77 H		Holland v. Thornburgh.	
Halfall (Tully v.), - 74 Holt (Morgan v.), - 336 Halfey v. Oglander, - 112 Holwell v. Woolston, - 411 Harding v. Golding, . 365 Horde v. Wanley, 85 Hardwicke v- Newce, - 16 Hornsby (Caister v.), 479 Hardy (Baines v. Clarke and), 244 Horton v. Higginbottom, 424 Harpham (Benson v.), - 155 Hoskerton (Peirson v.), 380		220	Hollingworth v. Umfreville.	
Halfey v. Oglander, - 112 Holt (Morgan v.), - 336 Halfey v. Oglander, - 112 Holwell v. Woolston, - 411 Harding v. Golding, . 365 Horde v. Wanley, 85 Hardwicke v- Newce, - 16 Hornsby (Caister v.), 479 Hardy (Baines v. Clarke and), 244 Horton v. Higginbottom, 424 Harpham (Benson v.), - 155 Hoskerton (Peirson v.), 380		510	Holmsby (Marsh v.).	
Harding v. Golding,	raliali (Tully v.),	74	Holt (Morgan v.),	_
Harding v. Golding, Hardwicke v. Newce, Hardy (Baines v. Clarke and), Harpham (Benson v.), Horton v. Higginbottom, Horton v. Higginbottom, Hoskerton (Pcirson v.), 365 Horde v. Wanley, 479 479 424 Horton v. Higginbottom, 424 380	Figure v. Oglander,	-	Holwell v. Woolfton,	
Hardwicke v. Newce, - 16 Hornsby (Caister v.), 479 Hardy (Baines v. Clarke and), 244 Horton v Higginbottom, 424 Harpham (Benson v.), - 155 Hoskerton (Peirson v.), 380	narding v. Golding,	365	Horde v. Wanley,	• -
Hardy (Baines v. Clarke and), 244 Horton v Higginbottom, 424 Harpham (Benson v.), - 155 Hoskerton (Peirson v.), 380	Tiardwicke v- Newce,	16	Hornsby (Caister v.).	
The plant (Bemon 4.), - 155 Holkerton (Peirlon v.), - 380	mardy (Baines v. Clarke and),	244	Horton v Higginbottom,	
Holkyns	Frampham (Benion v.),	155	Holkerton (Peirson v.),	380
			He	lkyns

	Page	,	Page
Holkyns (Watts w.),	235		400
Howard (Stansfeld v.),	459	Lee (Bean v.),	537
Hull (Brooke v.)-	201	Le Grand (Alcock v. Hilyard and),	270
Humphreys v. Stopher.	472	Leigh v. Chadd,	215
Hungerford (Townson v.),	247	Leigh v. Downes, -	124
Hunt v. Codrington, -	391	Leigh v. Streatfield, -	394
20000	5,	Leigh v. Warren, -	476
		Letts (Biggs v. Martin and),	321
I. and J.		Lewis (Deeds v.),	287
<b>3</b> ·		Ley (Slanghter v.), -	315
Jackson (Pindar v.),	315	Lister v. Foy,	422
Jacob v. Semane,	125	Lister v. Cane and Salmon,	293
James (Hodgkin v.),	57	Litchfield (Dean and Chapter of) v.	,,,
James v. Miles and Alford,	89	Woodroffe, -	440
Jenkins (Grey v.), -	412	Livefay (Cox v.),	152 .
Ingleby v. Wyvell, -	73	Livelay (Fleetwood v.), -	93
Ingleton (Dodd v.),	188	Lloyd v. Green, -	501
Ingram v. Rees,	298	Lord Arundel (Bowles v.), 462.	
Innes v. Darby, -	<b>4</b> 88	Lord Leigh v. Downes, -	124
Johnson (Smith v.),	514	Lord Offoulston v. Widdrington,	248
Jones (Warterman v.),	463	Lord v. Pooke, -	134
Ifanck v. Portbury,	525	Lord Stamford v. Luke, -	526
Isles (Silverlocke v.)	176	Lough v. Chapman	27
	•	Lowther (Marwood v.), -	376
		Luke (Lord Stamford v.),	526
к.			•
** A ~ .		4-	
Kempster vStuart,	53	М.	
Kent v. Pettiver,	270	11	
Kent v. Pettiver, Kent (The Earl of) v. Greenway,	270 480	Mallabar v. Young,	408
Kent v. Pettiver, Kent (The Earl of) v. Greenway, Kent v. Webb,	270 480 79	Mallabar v. Young, Mann (Goddard v.),	366
Kent v. Pettiver, Kent (The Earl of) v. Greenway, Kent v. Webb, Kenyon v. West.	270 480 79 313	Mallabar v. Young, Mann (Goddard v.), Margetts v. Butcher,	
Kent v. Pettiver, Kent (The Earl of) v. Greenway, Kent v. Webb, Kenyon v. West. Kettleby v. Corbett,	270 480 79 313 212	Mallabar v. Young, Mann (Goddard v.), Margetts v. Butcher, Markinfield v. Burton,	366 210 50
Kent v. Pettiver, Kent (The Earl of) v. Greenway, Kent v. Webb, Kenyon v. Weft. Kettleby v. Corbett, Keyburne (Ball v.),	270 480 79 313 212 99	Mallabar v. Young, Mann (Goddard v.), Margetts v. Butcher, Markinfield v. Burton, Marsh v. Halmsby,	366 210 50 110
Kent v. Pettiver, Kent (The Earl of) v. Greenway, Kent v. Webb, Kenyon v. Weft. Kettleby v. Corbett, Keyburne (Ball v.), Kidley v. Beacon,	270 480 79 313 212 99 422	Mallabar v. Young, Mann (Goddard v.), Margetts v. Butcher, Markinfield v. Burton, Marsh v. Halmsby, Marshall (Silater v.),	366 210 50
Kent v. Pettiver, Kent (The Earl of) v. Greenway, Kent v. Webb, Kenyon v. Weft. Kettleby v. Corbett, Keyburne (Ball v.), Kidley v. Beacon, Kirkby v. Redhead,	270 480 79 313 212 99 422 19	Mallabar v. Young, Mann (Goddard v.), Margetts v. Butcher, Markinfield v. Burton, Marsh v. Holmsby, Marshall (Silater v.), Martin (Fane v.),	366 210 50 110
Kent v. Pettiver, Kent (The Earl of) v. Greenway, Kent v. Webb, Kenyon v. Weft. Kettleby v. Corbett, Keyburne (Ball v.), Kidley v. Beacon, Kirkby v. Redhead, Kinch (Dyde v.).	270 480 79 313 212 99 422 19	Mallabar v. Young, Mann (Goddard v.), Margetts v. Butcher, Markinfield v. Burton, Marsh v. Holmsby, Marshall (Silater v.), Martin (Fanc v.), Martin and Letts (Biggs v.),	366 210 50 110 383
Kent v. Pettiver, Kent (The Earl of) v. Greenway, Kent v. Webb, Kenyon v. Weft. Kettleby v. Corbett, Keyburne (Ball v.), Kidley v. Beacon, Kirkby v. Redhead, Kinch (Dyde v.). King v. Knight,	270 480 79 313 212 99 422 19 148	Mallabar v. Young, Mann (Goddard v.), Margetts v. Butcher, Markinfield v. Burton, Marsh v. Holmsby, Marshall (Silater v.), Martin (Fane v.), Martin and Letts (Biggs v.), Martin (Stephens v.),	366 210 50 110 383 71 321 357
Kent v. Pettiver, Kent (The Earl of) v. Greenway, Kent v. Webb, Kenyon v. Weft. Kettleby v. Corbett, Keyburne (Ball v.), Kidley v. Beacon, Kirkby v. Redhead, Kinch (Dyde v.). King v. Knight, King's College, Cambridge, v. Maseal	270 480 79 313 212 99 422 19 148 130 1,261	Mallabar v. Young, Mann (Goddard v.), Margetts v. Butcher, Markinfield v. Burton, Marsh v. Holmsby, Marshall (Silater v.), Martin (Fane v.), Martin and Letts (Biggs v.), Martin (Stephens v.), Marwood v. Lowther,	366 210 50 110 383 71 321
Kent v. Pettiver, Kent (The Earl of) v. Greenway, Kent v. Webb, Kenyon v. Weft. Kettleby v. Corbett, Kettleby v. Corbett, Keyburne (Ball v.), Kidley v. Beacon, Kirkby v. Redhead, Kinch (Dyde v.). King v. Knight, King's College, Cambridge, v. Mafeal Kingfman (Wilbraham v.),	270 480 79 313 212 99 422 19 148 130 1,261 328	Mallabar v. Young, Mann (Goddard v.), Margetts v. Butcher, Markinfield v. Burton, Marsh v. Holmsby, Marshall (Silater v.), Martin (Fane v.), Martin and Letts (Biggs v.), Martin (Stephens v.), Marwood v. Lowther, Mascall (King's College, Cam-	366 210 50 110 383 71 321 357 376
Kent v. Pettiver, Kent (The Earl of) v. Greenway, Kent v. Webb, Kenyon v. Weft. Kettleby v. Corbett, Kettleby v. Beacon, Kidley v. Beacon, Kirkby v. Redhead, Kinch (Dyde v.). King v. Knight, King's College, Cambridge, v. Mafeal Kingfman (Wilbraham v.), Knight (King v.),	270 480 79 313 212 99 422 19 148 130 1,261 328	Mallabar v. Young, Mann (Goddard v.), Margetts v. Butcher, Markinfield v. Burton, Marsh v. Halmsby, Marshall (Silater v.), Martin (Fane v.), Martin and Letts (Biggs v.), Martin (Stephens v.), Marwood v. Lowther, Mascall (King's College, Cambridge v.),	366 210 50 110 383 71 321 357
Kent v. Pettiver, Kent (The Earl of) v. Greenway, Kent v. Webb, Kenyon v. Weft. Kettleby v. Corbett, Keyburne (Ball v.), Kidley v. Beacon, Kirkby v. Redhead, Kinch (Dyde v.). King v. Knight, King's College, Cambridge, v. Mafeal Kingfman (Wilbraham v.), Knight (King v.), Knight v. Mawe,	270 480 79 313 212 99 422 19 148 130 1,261 328 130 281	Mallabar v. Young, Mann (Goddard v.), Margetts v. Butcher, Markinfield v. Burton, Marsh v. Holmsby, Marshall (Silater v.), Martin (Fane v.), Martin and Letts (Biggs v.), Martin (Stephens v.), Marwood v. Lowther, Mascall (King's College, Cambridge v.), Mason (Coc v.),	366 210 50 110 383 71 321 357 376
Kent v. Pettiver, Kent (The Earl of) v. Greenway, Kent v. Webb, Kenyon v. West. Kettleby v. Corbett, Keyburne (Ball v.), Kidley v. Beacon, Kirkby v. Redhead, Kinch (Dyde v.). King v. Knight, King v. Knight, King v. Knight (King v.), Knight (King v.), Knight v. Mawe, Know (Spateman v.),	270 480 79 313 212 99 422 19 148 130 1,261 328 130 281	Mallabar v. Young, Mann (Goddard v.), Margetts v. Butcher, Markinfield v. Burton, Marfh v. Holmfby, Marfhall (Silater v.), Martin (Fane v.), Martin (Stephens v.), Martin (Stephens v.), Marwood v. Lowther, Mafcall (King's College, Cambridge v.), Maion (Coc v.), Mafon v. Watfon,	366 210 50 110 383 71 321 357 376
Kent v. Pettiver, Kent (The Earl of) v. Greenway, Kent v. Webb, Kenyon v. Weft. Kettleby v. Corbett, Keyburne (Ball v.), Kidley v. Beacon, Kirkby v. Redhead, Kinch (Dyde v.). King v. Knight, King's College, Cambridge, v. Mafeal Kingfman (Wilbraham v.), Knight (King v.), Knight v. Mawe,	270 480 79 313 212 99 422 19 148 130 1,261 328 130 281	Mallabar v. Young, Mann (Goddard v.), Margetts v. Butcher, Markinfield v. Burton, Marfh v. Halmfby, Marfhall (Silater v.), Martin (Fane v.), Martin and Letts (Biggs v.), Marwood v. Lowther, Mafcall (King's College, Cambridge v.), Maion (Coe v.), Mafon v. Watfon, Maultin (Caftle v.),	366 210 50 110 383 71 321 357 376 261 41 540 205
Kent v. Pettiver, Kent (The Earl of) v. Greenway, Kent v. Webb, Kenyon v. West. Kettleby v. Corbett, Keyburne (Ball v.), Kidley v. Beacon, Kirkby v. Redhead, Kinch (Dyde v.). King v. Knight, King v. Knight, King v. Knight (King v.), Knight (King v.), Knight v. Mawe, Know (Spateman v.),	270 480 79 313 212 99 422 19 148 130 1,261 328 130 281	Mallabar v. Young, Mann (Goddard v.), Margetts v. Butcher, Markinfield v. Burton, Marfh v. Halmfby, Marfhall (Silater v.), Martin (Fane v.), Martin (Stephens v.), Marwood v. Lowther, Mafcall (King's College, Cambridge v.), Maion (Coc v.), Mafon v. Watfon, Maultin (Caftle v.), Mawe (Knight v.),	366 210 50 110 383 71 321 357 376 261 41 540 205 281
Kent v. Pettiver, Kent (The Earl of) v. Greenway, Kent v. Webb, Kenyon v. Weft. Kettleby v. Corbett, Keyburne (Ball v.), Kidley v. Beacon, Kirkby v. Redhead, Kinch (Dyde v.). King v. Knight, King's College, Cambridge, v. Mafeal Kingfman (Wilbraham v.), Knight v. Mawe, Know (Spateman v.), Kaowler and Waterman (Roberts v.)	270 480 79 313 212 99 422 19 148 130 1,261 328 130 281	Mallabar v. Young, Mann (Goddard v.), Margetts v. Butcher, Markinfield v. Burton, Marfh v. Halmfby, Marfhall (Silater v.), Martin (Fane v.), Martin (Stephens v.), Martin (Stephens v.), Marwood v. Lowther, Mafcall (King's College, Cambridge v.), Maion (Coc v.), Maíon v. Watfon, Maultin (Caftle v.), Mawe (Knight v.), Meires v. Aflleyn,	366 210 50 110 383 71 321 357 376 261 41 540 205
Kent v. Pettiver, Kent (The Earl of) v. Greenway, Kent v. Webb, Kenyon v. West. Kettleby v. Corbett, Keyburne (Ball v.), Kidley v. Beacon, Kirkby v. Redhead, Kinch (Dyde v.). King v. Knight, King v. Knight, King v. Knight (King v.), Knight (King v.), Knight v. Mawe, Know (Spateman v.),	270 480 79 313 212 99 422 19 148 130 1,261 328 130 281	Mallabar v. Young, Mann (Goddard v.), Margetts v. Butcher, Markinfield v. Burton, Marfh v. Halmfby, Marfhall (Silater v.), Martin (Fane v.), Martin (Stephens v.), Martin (Stephens v.), Marwood v. Lowther, Mafcall (King's College, Cambridge v.), Maion (Coc v.), Maion v. Watfon, Maultin (Caftle v.), Mawe (Knight v.), Meires v. Aftleyn, Melden (Watts v.), 77.	366 210 50 110 383 71 321 357 376 261 41 540 205 281 202 103
Kent v. Pettiver, Kent (The Earl of) v. Greenway, Kent v. Webb, Kenyon v. Weft. Kettleby v. Corbett, Keyburne (Ball v.), Kidley v. Beacon, Kirkby v. Redhead, Kinch (Dyde v.). King v. Knight, King's College, Cambridge, v. Mafeal Kingfman (Wilbraham v.), Knight (King v.), Knight v. Mawe, Know (Spateman v.), Kaowler and Waterman (Roberts v.)	270 480 79 313 212 99 422 19 148 130 281 325 ,238	Mallabar v. Young, Mann (Goddard v.), Margetts v. Butcher, Markinfield v. Burton, Marfh v. Halmfby, Marfhall (Silater v.), Martin (Fane v.), Martin and Letts (Biggs v.), Martin (Stephens v.), Marwood v. Lowther, Mafcall (King's College, Cambridge v.), Maion (Coc v.), Maion v. Watfon, Maultin (Caftle v.), Mawe (Knight v.), Meires v. Aftleyn, Melden (Watts v.), Mellier (Bull v. Collier and),	366 210 50 110 383 71 321 357 376 261 41 540 205 281 202 103 181
Kent v. Pettiver, Kent (The Earl of) v. Greenway, Kent v. Webb, Kenyon v. Weft. Kettleby v. Corbett, Keyburne (Ball v.), Kidley v. Beacon, Kirkby v. Redhead, Kinch (Dyde v.). King v. Knight, King v. Knight, King v. Kollege, Cambridge, v. Mafeal Kingfman (Wilbraham v.), Knight (King v.), Knight v. Mawe, Know (Spateman v.), Kaowler and Waterman (Roberts v.)  L. Langton (Claxton v.),	270 480 79 313 212 99 422 19 148 130 1,261 328 130 281	Mallabar v. Young, Mann (Goddard v.), Margetts v. Butcher, Markinfield v. Burton, Marfh v. Holmfby, Marfhall (Silater v.), Martin (Fane v.), Martin (Stephens v.), Martin (Stephens v.), Marwood v. Lowther, Mafcall (King's College, Cambridge v.), Mafon (Coc v.), Mafon v. Watfon, Malon (Cotfle v.), Mawe (Knight v.), Meires v. Aftleyn, Melden (Watts v.), Melden (Watts v.), Mcllier (Bull v. Collier and), Mickleburgh (Crifpe v.;	366 210 50 110 383 71 321 357 376 261 41 540 205 281 202 103 181 517
Kent v. Pettiver, Kent (The Earl of) v. Greenway, Kent v. Webb, Kenyon v. Weft. Kettleby v. Corbett, Keyburne (Ball v.), Kidley v. Beacon, Kirkby v. Redhead, Kinch (Dyde v.). King v. Knight, King's College, Cambridge, v. Mafeal Kingfman (Wilbraham v.), Knight (King v.), Knight v. Mawe, Know (Spateman v.), Kaowler and Waterman (Roberts v.)  L.  Langton (Claxton v.), Lawe (Page v.),	270 480 79 313 212 99 422 19 148 130 281 325 ,238	Mallabar v. Young, Mann (Goddard v.), Margetts v. Butcher, Markinfield v. Burton, Marfh v. Holmfby, Marfhall (Silater v.), Martin (Fane v.), Martin (Stephens v.), Martin (Stephens v.), Marwood v. Lowther, Mafcall (King's College, Cambridge v.), Mafon (Coc v.), Mafon v. Watfon, Malon (Caftle v.), Mawe (Knight v.), Meires v. Aftleyn, Melden (Watts v.), Melden (Watts v.), Miller (Bull v. Collier and), Mickleburgh (Crifpe v.;, Miles and Alford (Jantes v.),	366 210 50 110 383 71 321 357 376 261 41 540 205 281 202 103 181
Kent v. Pettiver, Kent (The Earl of) v. Greenway, Kent v. Webb, Kenyon v. Weft. Kettleby v. Corbett, Kettleby v. Beacon, Kirkby v. Redhead, Kinch (Dyde v.). King v. Knight, King's College, Cambridge, v. Mafeal Kingfman (Wilbraham v.), Knight (King v.), Knight v. Mawe, Know (Spateman v.), Kaowler and Waterman (Roberts v.)  L.  Langton (Claxton v.), Lawe (Page v.), Lawfon (Troutbeck v.),	270 480 79 313 212 94 422 148 130 1,261 328 130 281 325 3,238	Mallabar v. Young, Mann (Goddard v.), Margetts v. Butcher, Markinfield v. Burton, Marfn v. Halmfby, Marfnall (Silater v.), Martin (Fane v.), Martin and Letts (Biggs v.), Martin (Stephens v.), Marwood v. Lowther, Mafcall (King's College, Cambridge v.), Maíon (Coc v.), Maíon v. Watfon, Maultin (Caftle v.), Mawe (Knight v.), Meires v. Afleyn, Melden (Watts v.), Mellier (Bull v. Collier and), Mickleburgh (Crifpe v.; Miles and Alford (Jantes v.), Miles (Blackborne v.),	366 210 50 110 383 71 321 357 376 261 41 540 205 281 202 103 181 517
Kent v. Pettiver, Kent (The Earl of) v. Greenway, Kent v. Webb, Kenyon v. Weft. Kettleby v. Corbett, Keyburne (Ball v.), Kidley v. Beacon, Kirkby v. Redhead, Kinch (Dyde v.). King v. Knight, King's College, Cambridge, v. Mafeal Kingfman (Wilbraham v.), Knight (King v.), Knight v. Mawe, Know (Spateman v.), Kaowler and Waterman (Roberts v.)  L.  Langton (Claxton v.), Lawfon (Troutbeck v.), Layfield v. Cowper,	270 480 79 313 212 99 422 19 148 130 281 325 ,238	Mallabar v. Young, Mann (Goddard v.), Margetts v. Butcher, Markinfield v. Burton, Marsh v. Halmsby, Marshall (Silater v.), Martin (Fane v.), Martin and Letts (Biggs v.), Martin (Stephens v.), Marwood v. Lowther, Mascall (King's College, Cambridge v.), Mason (Coc v.), Mason (Coc v.), Mason (Castle v.), Mawe (Knight v.), Meires v. Astleyn, Melden (Watts v.), Mellier (Bull v. Collier and), Mickleburgh (Crispe v.; Miles and Alford (Jantes v.), Miles (Blackborne v.), Mills v. Edbrooke,	366 210 50 110 383 71 321 357 376 261 41 540 205 281 202 103 181 517
Kent v. Pettiver, Kent (The Earl of) v. Greenway, Kent v. Webb, Kenyon v. Weft. Kettleby v. Corbett, Keyburne (Ball v.), Kidley v. Beacon, Kirkby v. Redhead, Kinch (Dyde v.). King v. Knight, King's College, Cambridge, v. Mafeal Kingfman (Wilbraham v.), Knight (King v.), Knight v. Mawe, Know (Spateman v.), Knowler and Waterman (Roberts v.)  Lawfon (Claxton v.), Lawfon (Troutbeck v.), Layfield v. Cowper, Layfield v. Enticknap,	270 480 79 313 212 94 422 148 130 1,261 328 130 281 325 3,238	Mallabar v. Young, Mann (Goddard v.), Margetts v. Butcher, Markinfield v. Burton, Marsh v. Holmsby, Marshall (Silater v.), Martin (Fane v.), Martin and Letts (Biggs v.), Martin (Stephens v.), Marwood v. Lowther, Mascall (King's College, Cambridge v.), Mason (Coc v.), Mason (Coc v.), Mason v. Watson, Maultin (Castle v.), Mawe (Knight v.), Meires v. Astleyn, Melden (Watts v.), Mellier (Bull v. Collier and), Mickleburgh (Crispe v.;, Miles and Alford (Jantes v.), Miles (Blackborne v.), Mills v. Edbrooke, Mitchell v. Brogden,	366 210 50 110 383 71 321 357 376 261 41 540 205 281 202 103 1817 89 55 47 353
Kent v. Pettiver, Kent (The Earl of) v. Greenway, Kent v. Webb, Kenyon v. Weft. Kettleby v. Corbett, Keyburne (Ball v.), Kidley v. Beacon, Kirkby v. Redhead, Kinch (Dyde v.). King v. Knight, King's College, Cambridge, v. Mafeal Kingfman (Wilbraham v.), Knight (King v.), Knight v. Mawe, Know (Spateman v.), Kaowler and Waterman (Roberts v.)  L.  Langton (Claxton v.), Lawfon (Troutbeck v.), Layfield v. Cowper,	270 480 79 313 212 9422 148 130 1,261 328 130 281 325 3,238	Mallabar v. Young, Mann (Goddard v.), Margetts v. Butcher, Markinfield v. Burton, Marfh v. Holmfby, Marfhall (Silater v.), Martin (Fane v.), Martin (Stephens v.), Martin (Stephens v.), Martin (Stephens v.), Maroud v. Lowther, Mascall (King's College, Cambridge v.), Mason (Coc v.), Mason (Coc v.), Mason v. Watson, Maultin (Castle v.), Mawe (Knight v.), Meires v. Astleyn, Melden (Watts v.), Mellier (Bull v. Collier and), Mickleburgh (Crispe v.;, Miles and Alford (Jantes v.), Miles (Blackborne v.), Mills v. Edbrooke, Mitchell v. Brogden, Moreton (Portman v.),	366 210 50 110 383 71 321 357 376 261 41 540 205 281 202 103 181 517 89 55 47

		Page		Page
Morgan v. Holt;	•	336	Page v. Lawe,	11
Morfe v. Fitzjames,	-	395	Parsons v. Nobles -	131
Mumford (Sayer v.);	•	324	Patching (Priaule v. Stone and),	367
Murray v. Skinner,	•	54 i	Payne (Turnor v.), - 23	9.436
Murthwaite v. Pierce,	<b>∸</b>	234	Pearch (Gee v.),	386
		•	Pearse v. Bennett; -	184
			Pearson (Towrie v.),	13
N.			Pennington (Ayloffe v.), -	46
			Pennyfather (Shaller v.); -	506
Nash v. Pocock,	÷	311	Perne v. Styles,	176
Naunton v. Clarke,	-	538	Peirce (Murthwaite v.), -	234
Newcastle (Archbishop o	of York v.	,,	Peirce v. Ruffel, -	507
Duke of),		446	Peirson v. Hoskerton, -	380
Newce (Hardwicke v.)	, -	i6	Pemberton (Scudamore v.),	191
New College, Oxford (Al		• 137	Perch (Gee v.), - 386	5. 436
Newcomen (Ashfordby a	.), 166.183	.207	Perkins (Goodall v.),	338
Newman (Bulwer v.),	-	397	Pern v. Fountain,	504
Newfom (Wilkinson v.)	, -	355	Pern (Swaine v.),	341
Newte v. Chamberlain,	•	482	Pettiver (Kent v.), -	270
Nicholas v. Elliot,	-	523	Phillbridge v. Ord, -	349
Nicholls v. Dudley,	-	431	Phillips (The Attorney General v.	), 119
Noble (Parsons v.),	-	131	Pickering (Skipwith v.), -	265
Northleigh v. Collard,	T.	328	Pidfley v. Carew, -	410
Nottingham v. Atterbur	у,	227	Pierce (Sheffield v.),	38
Nunnelly (Washburne v.	),	259	Pigott (Sandys v.), -	284
• •			Pindar v. Jackson, -	315
			Platts v. Cawthorne, -	303
0.			Pocock v. Coles,	336
о.			Pocock (Nash v.),	336 311
		170	Pocock (Nash v.),	311
Offley v. Glynn,		1 7 <b>9</b> 206		336 311 390 473
			Pocock (Nash v.), Pocock (Spicer v.), Pole v. Gardner, Pooke (Lord v.),	31 i
Offley v. Glynn, Offley (Tattershall v.),		206	Pocock (Nash v.), Pocock (Spicer v.), Pole v. Gardner, Pooke (Lord v.), Portbury (Isaack v.);	311 390 473 134 525
Offley v. Glynn, Offley (Tattershall v.), Oglander (Edge v.),	•	206 288	Pocock (Nash v.), Pocock (Spicer v.), Pole v. Gardner, Pooke (Lord v.),	311 390 473 134 525 316
Offley v. Glynn, Offley (Tattershall v.), Oglander (Edge v.), Oglander (Halfey v.),	 - al v.);	206 288 112	Pocock (Nash v.), Pocock (Spicer v.), Pole v. Gardner, Pooke (Lord v.), Portbury (Isaack v.);	311 390 473 134 525
Offley v. Glynn, Offley (Tattershall v.), Oglander (Edge v.), Oglander (Halsey v.), Ogle (Fenwick v.), Oldys (Attorney Gener Oliver (Comport v.),	al v.);	206 288 112 469	Pocock (Nash v.), Pocock (Spicer v.), Pole v. Gardner, Pooke (Lord v.), Portbury (Isaack v.), Porter (Walker v.), Portman (Estopp v.), Portman v. Moreton,	311 390 473 134 525 316
Offley v. Glynn, Offley (Tattershall v.), Oglander (Edge v.), Oglander (Halsey v.), Ogle (Fenwick v.), Oldys (Attorney Gener Oliver (Comport v.), Ord (Phillbridge v.),	•	206 288 112 469 280	Pocock (Nash v.), Pocock (Spicer v.), Pole v. Gardner, Pooke (Lord v.), Portbury (Isaack v.), Porter (Walker v.), Portman (Estopp v.),	311 390 473 134 525 316 346 346 385
Offley v. Glynn, Offley (Tattershall v.), Oglander (Edge v.), Oglander (Halsey v.), Ogle (Fenwick v.), Oldys (Attorney Gener Oliver (Comport v.), Ord (Phillbridge v.), Orde (Thorowgood v.)	•	206 288 112 469 280 98	Pocock (Nash v.), Pocock (Spicer v.), Pole v. Gardner, Pooke (Lord v.), Portbury (Isaack v.), Porter (Walker v.), Portman (Estopp v.), Portman v. Moreton,	311 390 473 134 525 316 346 346
Offley v. Glynn, Offley (Tattershall v.), Oglander (Edge v.), Oglander (Halsey v.), Ogle (Fenwick v.), Oldys (Attorney Gener Oliver (Comport v.), Ord (Phillbridge v.), Orde (Thorowgood v.) Osborn v. Breckon,	, - <u>-</u>	206 288 112 469 280 98 349 43	Pocock (Nash v.), Pocock (Spicer v.), Pole v. Gardner, Pooke (Lord v.), Portbury (Ifaack v.), Porter (Walker v.), Portman (Estopp v.), Portman v. Moreton, Powell (Callow v. Vines and), Preston v. Conuie, Price (Dewey v.),	311 390 473 134 525 316 346 346 385 158 308
Offley v. Glynn, Offley (Tattershall v.), Oglander (Edge v.), Oglander (Halfey v.), Ogle (Fenwick v.), Oldys (Attorney Gener Oliver (Comport v.), Ord (Phillbridge v.), Orde (Thorowgood v.) Osborn v. Breckon, Osborne v. Dunstal,	, -	206 288 112 469 280 98 349 43 279 428	Pocock (Nash v.), Pocock (Spicer v.), Pole v. Gardner, Pooke (Lord v.), Portbury (Isack v.), Porter (Walker v.), Portman (Estopp v.), Portman v. Moreton, Powell (Callow v. Vines and), Preston v. Conuie, Price (Dewey v.), Price v. Downes,	311 390 473 134 525 316 346 346 385 158 308 522
Offley v. Glynn, Offley (Tattershall v.), Oglander (Edge v.), Oglander (Halfey v.), Ogle (Fenwick v.), Oldys (Attorney Gener Oliver (Comport v.), Ord (Phillbridge v.), Orde (Thorowgood v.) Osborn v. Breckon, Osborne v. Dunstall, Osfoulston (Lord) v. W	, -	206 288 112 469 280 98 349 43 279 428 , 248	Pocock (Nash v.), Pocock (Spicer v.), Pole v. Gardner, Pooke (Lord v.), Portbury (Isack v.), Porter (Walker v.), Portman (Estopp v.), Portman v. Moreton, Powell (Callow v. Vines and), Preston v. Conuie, Price (Dewey v.), Price v. Downes, Priaule v. Stone and Patching,	311 390 473 134 525 316 346 346 385 158 308
Offley v. Glynn, Offley (Tattershall v.), Oglander (Edge v.), Oglander (Halfey v.), Ogle (Fenwick v.), Oldys (Attorney Gener Oliver (Comport v.), Ord (Phillbridge v.), Orde (Thorowgood v.) Oshorn v. Breckon, Oshorn v. Dunstall, Ossoulston (Lord) v. W Overbury v. Hayward,	Jiddrington	206 288 112 469 280 98 349 43 279 428 248 384	Pocock (Nash v.), Pocock (Spicer v.), Pole v. Gardner, Pooke (Lord v.), Portbury (Isack v.), Porter (Walker v.), Portman (Estopp v.), Portman v. Moreton, Powell (Callow v. Vines and), Preston v. Conuie, Price (Dewey v.), Price v. Downes, Priaule v. Stone and Patching, Primate (Hill v.),	311 390 473 134 525 316 346 346 385 158 308 522
Offley v. Glynn, Offley (Tattershall v.), Oglander (Edge v.), Oglander (Halfey v.), Ogle (Fenwick v.), Oldys (Attorney Gener Oliver (Comport v.), Ord (Phillbridge v.), Orde (Thorowgood v.) Ofborn v. Breckon, Osborne v. Dunstall, Osfoulston (Lord) v. W Overbury v. Hayward, Overbury (The Bisho	Jiddrington	206 288 112 469 280 98 349 43 279 428 248 384	Pocock (Nash v.), Pocock (Spicer v.), Pole v. Gardner, Pooke (Lord v.), Portbury (Isack v.), Porter (Walker v.), Portman (Estopp v.), Portman v. Moreton, Powell (Callow v. Vines and), Preston v. Conuie, Price (Dewey v.), Price v. Downes, Priaule v. Stone and Patching, Primate (Hill v.), Pronte (Hele v.),	311 390 473 134 525 316 346 385 158 308 522 367
Offley v. Glynn, Offley (Tattershall v.), Oglander (Edge v.), Oglander (Halsey v.), Ogle (Fenwick v.), Oldys (Attorney Gener Oliver (Comport v.), Ord (Phillbridge v.), Orde (Thorowgood v.) Osborn v. Breckon, Osborne v. Dunstall, Offoulston (Lord) v. W Overbury v. Hayward, Overbury (The Bishoford v.),	Viddrington	206 288 112 469 280 98 349 43 279 428 , 248 384	Pocock (Nash v.), Pocock (Spicer v.), Pole v. Gardner, Pooke (Lord v.), Portbury (Isack v.), Porter (Walker v.), Portman (Estopp v.), Portman v. Moreton, Powell (Callow v. Vines and), Preston v. Conuie, Price (Dewey v.), Price v. Downes, Priaule v. Stone and Patching, Primate (Hill v.), Pronte (Hele v.), Pullen (Cluver v.);	311 390 473 134 525 316 346 346 385 158 308 522 367 0. 141 45
Offley v. Glynn, Offley (Tattershall v.), Oglander (Edge v.), Oglander (Halsey v.), Ogle (Fenwick v.), Oldys (Attorney Gener Oliver (Comport v.), Ord (Phillbridge v.), Orde (Thorowgood v.) Osborn v. Breckon, Osborne v. Dunstall, Ossoulston (Lord) v. W Overbury v. Hayward, Overbury (The Bishoford v.), Oxford (Aldworth v. N	Viddrington	206 288 112 469 280 98 349 43 279 428 , 248 384	Pocock (Nash v.), Pocock (Spicer v.), Pole v. Gardner, Pooke (Lord v.), Portbury (Isack v.), Porter (Walker v.), Portman (Estopp v.), Portman v. Moreton, Powell (Callow v. Vines and), Preston v. Conuie, Price (Dewey v.), Price v. Downes, Priaule v. Stone and Patching, Primate (Hill v.), Pronte (Hele v.),	311 390 473 134 525 316 346 346 385 158 308 522 367 0. 141
Offley v. Glynn, Offley (Tattershall v.), Oglander (Edge v.), Oglander (Halfey v.), Ogle (Fenwick v.), Oldys (Attorney Gener Oliver (Comport v.), Ord (Phillbridge v.), Orde (Thorowgood v.) Osborn v. Breckon, Osborn v. Dunstall, Ossoulton (Lord) v. W Overbury v. Hayward,	Viddrington op of Ox-	206 288 112 469 280 98 349 428 279 428 248 384	Pocock (Nash v.), Pocock (Spicer v.), Pole v. Gardner, Pooke (Lord v.), Portbury (Isack v.), Porter (Walker v.), Portman (Estopp v.), Portman v. Moreton, Powell (Callow v. Vines and), Preston v. Conuie, Price (Dewey v.), Price v. Downes, Priaule v. Stone and Patching, Primate (Hill v.), Pronte (Hele v.), Pullen (Cluver v.);	311 390 473 134 525 316 346 346 385 158 308 522 367 0. 141 45
Offley v. Glynn, Offley (Tattershall v.), Oglander (Edge v.), Oglander (Halfey v.), Ogle (Fenwick v.), Oldys (Attorney Gener Oliver (Comport v.), Ord (Phillbridge v.), Orde (Thorowgood v.) Osborne v. Breckon, Osborne v. Dunstall, Offoulston (Lord) v. W Overbury v. Hayward, Overbury v. Hayward, Overbury v. Haybard, Oxford (Aldworth v. N in), Oxford (The Bishop of	Viddrington op of Ox-	206 288 112 469 280 98 349 428 428 248 384	Pocock (Nash v.), Pocock (Spicer v.), Pole v. Gardner, Pooke (Lord v.), Portbury (Isack v.), Porter (Walker v.), Portman (Estopp v.), Portman v. Moreton, Powell (Callow v. Vines and), Preston v. Conuie, Price (Dewey v.), Price v. Downes, Priaule v. Stone and Patching, Primate (Hill v.), Pronte (Hele v.), Pullen (Cluver v.);	311 390 473 134 525 316 346 346 385 158 308 522 367 0. 141 45
Offley v. Glynn, Offley (Tattershall v.), Oglander (Edge v.), Oglander (Halfey v.), Ogle (Fenwick v.), Oldys (Attorney Gener Oliver (Comport v.), Ord (Phillbridge v.), Orde (Thorowgood v.) Osborn v. Breckon, Osborn v. Dunstall, Ossoulton (Lord) v. W Overbury v. Hayward,	Viddrington op of Ox-	206 288 112 469 280 98 349 428 279 428 248 384	Pocock (Nash v.), Pocock (Spicer v.), Pole v. Gardner, Pooke (Lord v.), Portbury (Isaack v.), Porter (Walker v.), Portman (Estopp v.), Portman v. Moreton, Powell (Callow v. Vines and), Preston v. Conuie, Price (Dewey v.), Price v. Downes, Priaule v. Stone and Patching, Primate (Hill v.), Pronte (Hele v.), Pullen (Cluver v.), Pyott v. Geary,	311 390 473 134 525 316 346 346 385 158 308 522 367 0. 141 45
Offley v. Glynn, Offley (Tattershall v.), Oglander (Edge v.), Oglander (Halfey v.), Ogle (Fenwick v.), Oldys (Attorney Gener Oliver (Comport v.), Ord (Phillbridge v.), Orde (Thorowgood v.) Osborne v. Breckon, Osborne v. Dunstall, Offoulston (Lord) v. W Overbury v. Hayward, Overbury v. Hayward, Overbury v. Haybard, Oxford (Aldworth v. N in), Oxford (The Bishop of	Viddrington op of Ox-	206 288 112 469 280 98 349 428 428 248 384	Pocock (Nash v.), Pocock (Spicer v.), Pole v. Gardner, Pooke (Lord v.), Portbury (Isack v.), Porter (Walker v.), Portman (Estopp v.), Portman v. Moreton, Powell (Callow v. Vines and), Preston v. Conuie, Price (Dewey v.), Price v. Downes, Priaule v. Stone and Patching, Primate (Hill v.), Pronte (Hele v.), Pullen (Cluver v.);	311 390 473 134 525 316 346 346 385 158 308 522 367 0. 141 45
Offley v. Glynn, Offley (Tattershall v.), Oglander (Edge v.), Oglander (Halfey v.), Ogle (Fenwick v.), Oldys (Attorney Gener Oliver (Comport v.), Ord (Phillbridge v.), Orde (Thorowgood v.) Osborne v. Breckon, Osborne v. Dunstall, Offoulston (Lord) v. W Overbury v. Hayward, Overbury v. Hayward, Overbury v. Haybard, Oxford (Aldworth v. N in), Oxford (The Bishop of	Viddrington op of Ox-	206 288 112 469 280 98 349 428 428 248 384	Pocock (Nash v.), Pocock (Spicer v.), Pole v. Gardner, Pooke (Lord v.), Portbury (Isaack v.), Porter (Walker v.), Portman (Estopp v.), Portman v. Moreton, Powell (Callow v. Vines and), Preston v. Conuie, Price (Dewey v.), Price v. Downes, Priaule v. Stone and Patching, Primate (Hill v.), Pronte (Hele v.), Pullen (Cluver v.), Pyott v. Geary,	311 390 473 134 525 316 346 346 385 158 308 522 367 0. 141 45
Offley v. Glynn, Offley (Tattershall v.), Oglander (Edge v.), Oglander (Halfey v.), Ogle (Fenwick v.), Oldys (Attorney Gener Oliver (Comport v.), Ord (Phillbridge v.), Orde (Thorowgood v.) Osborn v. Breckon, Osborne v. Dunstall, Osfoulston (Lord) v. W Overbury v. Hayward, Overbury (The Bisho ford v.), Oxford (Aldworth v. N in), Oxford (The Bishop of bury,	Viddrington op of Ox-	206 288 112 469 280 98 349 428 248 384 91 e 137	Pocock (Nash v.), Pocock (Spicer v.), Pole v. Gardner, Pooke (Lord v.), Portbury (Ifaack v.), Porter (Walker v.), Portman (Estopp v.), Portman v. Moreton, Powell (Callow v. Vines and), Preston v. Conuie, Price (Dewey v.), Price v. Downes, Priaule v. Stone and Patching, Primate (Hill v.), Pullen (Cluver v.), Pyott v. Geary,	311 390 473 134 525 316 346 385 158 308 522 367 0. 141 45 212 66
Offley v. Glynn, Offley (Tattershall v.), Oglander (Edge v.), Oglander (Halfey v.), Ogle (Fenwick v.), Oldys (Attorney Gener Oliver (Comport v.), Ord (Phillbridge v.), Orde (Thorowgood v.) Osborn v. Breckon, Osborne v. Dunstall, Ossorie v. Hayward, Overbury v. Hayward, Overbury (The Bisho ford v.), Oxford (Aldworth v. N in), Oxford (The Bishop of bury,	Viddrington op of Ox-	206 288 112 469 280 98 349 428 428 248 384	Pocock (Nash v.), Pocock (Spicer v.), Pole v. Gardner, Pooke (Lord v.), Portbury (Ifaack v.), Porter (Walker v.), Portman (Estopp v.), Portman v. Moreton, Powell (Callow v. Vines and), Preston v. Conuic, Price (Dewey v.), Price v. Downes, Priaule v. Stone and Patching, Primate (Hill v.), Pullen (Cluver v.), Pyott v. Geary,  Q.  Quanter (Thorowgood vi),	311 390 473 134 525 316 346 385 158 308 522 367 0. 141 45 212 66

R.			Page
		Silverlocke v. Isless	176
	Page	Simpson v. Hill,	255
Radley (Devereaux v.),	66	Simpson v. Tucker, -	197
Rashleigh v. Davis, -	59	Skelton (Hodgson w.),	314
Redhead (Kirkby v.),	19	Skinner v. Dukeson, -	119
Redman (Wilson v.),	63	Skinner v. Goodere, -	529
Remington v. Thornton;	154	Skinner (Murray v.),	341
Reeve (Burges v.),	388	Skinner v. Smith,	161
Reeve (Chitty v.),		Skipp v. Voke,	107
Rees (Ingram v.),	251	Skipwith v. Pickering,	265
	298	Slade (St. Aubin v.),	102
	485	Slaughter v. Ley,	
Ridley (Hatcher v.),	149		315
Ringstead v. Young,	510	Smelter v. Bridges,	337 400
Rifden v. Crouch,	117	Smith (College),	•
Roberts v. Knowler and Waterman,		Smith (Colley v.);	323
Robinfon v. Foulk,	240	Smith v. Johnson,	514
Rodd v. Bifhopp,	351	Smith (Skinner v.),	161
Rothwell (Bishopp v.),	334	Smith v. Starkey,	547
Rothwell v. Gratwick,	334	Smith (Wiles $\psi$ ),	30
Roule v. Barry,	92		11. 115
Rudgeley v. Winstanley;	133	Show v. Hewitt,	368
Ruffell v. Peirce,	507	Bowden (Elstone v.),	397
	•	Spateman v. Know,	525
<b>a</b> .		Spicer v. Pocock,	390
<b>S.</b>		Squire (Fifield v.);	375
álesa , m		Squire (Wardel v.),	240
Salisbury v. Whitby,	214	Staines v. Wells,	280
Salmon v. Denyer,	302	Stamford v. Luke, -	526
Salmon (Lifter v. Cane and),	293	Stanford (Walmer v.),	<b>29</b> I
Sandford (Heath v.),	427	Stanley v. Casheere,	111
Sandys v. Eastmond,	304	Stanley (Swan v.),	194
Sandys v. Pigott, -	284	Stansfeld v. Howard;	459
Saunders v. Dewe, -	494	Starkey (Smith v.),	547
Bawyer (Gray v.);	146	Startop (Dodderidge v.), -	283
Sayer v. Mumford,	324	Staveley . Uilithorne, -	24
Scudamore w. Pemberton,	191	St. Aubin v. Slade,	102
Seabright (Dean and Chapter of		Staughton v. Hide,	394
Worcester w.);	491	Steele v. Hitchbook,	<b>\$</b> 6
Sell (Gurdon v.),	136	Stephens v. Martin,	357
Semayne (Jacob w.);	125	St. John (Dunbar v.),	539
Sevennock v. Higginson; -	3	Stobell (Yard v.),	311
Sewell v. The Dean and Chapter of		Stocker w. De la Pierre,	342
Carlifle,	139	Stokes (Garth v.),	327
Seymour (Werndley v.),	403	Stone and Patching (Priaule :.),	367
Shalter v. Pennyfather,	506	Stopher v. Humphreys, -	472
Sharpe (Gwynne v.),	171	Streatheld (Leigh v.),	394
Shaw v. Topping,	545	Strode v. Bickham,	268
Shawe (Fox v.),	215	Strode (Wickham v.),	297
Shawe (Wright v.),	215	Stuart (Kempster v.),	53
Sheffield v. Pierce,	38	Stump v. Ayliffe, -	294
Shephard v. Birkett,	290	Styles (Pern v.),	176
Sheris v. Baskerville, -	549	Sunderland (Clark v.), -	1 75
Siddenham v. Barrett, -	267	Sutton v. Oldys, -	280
Silater v. Marshall, -	383	Swaine w. Perne,	341
•			Swan

· .	age	•	
		v.	
	94	**	
	95		Page
Swinnock v. Higginson,	.00	Verdun v. Walter,	300
Symonds (Dean and Chapter of	3.	Vines (Callow v. Powell and),	385
TT. C 1 \	~ =	Voke (Skipp v.),	170
Aleiciola v.), - 4	95	voke (Skipp v.),	- /-
T.		,	
**		U.	
Tybor v. Barker,	86	<b>.</b>	
"The sead of Francisco"	85	I'llithorne (Staveley v.), -	24
	206	Umfreville v. Batchelor.	326
Taylor (Holbech v.),	60	Umfreville v. Campion,	329
· CC	203	Umfreville v. Hodges, -	253
Tennants (Fawcett v.),	78	Umfreville (Hollingworth v.),	332
. 2174	112	Underwood v. Gibson,	534
Theed (The Earl of Bridgwater v.),	167	Usher v. Foster, -	190
		Oinci vi Tokeri	- 4-
Thompson v. Gray,	543 68	•	
FF1	221	w.	
Pri i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		***	
	! 59 ! 54	Walker (Croffman v.),	79
		Walker v. Porter,	316
Thorowgood v. Delabarre,	396	Walker v. Webb,	519
Thorowgood v. Quanter,	9	Wallop (Thorngate w.),	396
Thorowgood v. Orde,	35	Walmer v. Stanford, -	29£
	43	Walter (Verdon v.),	300
Thurle (Dean and Chapter of	143	Wandley v. Seymour,	403
Wells v.),	91	Wanley (Horde v.)	85
	528	Ward (Camel v.),	209
PROF. 1 1 4977	121	Ward (Chappel v.),	113
m · o ·	419	Ward v. Hilder,	305
m i' a m	146	Wardell v. Squire, -	246
Tookey (Hartopp v.),	23	Warner v. Clough,	250
Topham v. Wymondfold, -	172	Warren (Leigh v.)	476
mm · cı · ·	545	Warterman v. Jones, -	463
PT / TD C . 1 . \	45I	Washburne v. Nunnelly,	259
Townes (Hitch v.), 310	notis	Waterman and Knowler (Roberts	
Townson v Hungerford,	247	Waters v. Dickinson, -	105
Towrie v. Pearson,	13	Watkins (Watts v.),	296
Tredwell (Dewes v.), -	225	Wation (Maion v.).	540
Trewin v. Bond,	398	Watts (Ernste v.),	304
Trinder (Godfrey v.), -	433	Watts v. Hoskyns,	. 235
Trinity College v. Wyer,	61	Watts (Leach v. Deacon and),	37-3
Troutbeck v. Lawfon, -	223	Watts v. Melden,	77, 103
Trustram v. Beaumont,	491	Watts v. Watkins, -	```296
Tuberville (Wharton v.),	381		77. 103
Tucker (Simpson v.), -	197	Waugh v. Greenwood,	186
Tufton v. Blincowe,	301	Webb v. Arnold, -	. 162
Tully v. Halfall,	74	Webb (Kent v.),	79
Turner (Atterbury v.),	227	Webb v. Walker,	519
Turnor v. Payne,	239	Weedon (Turnor v.),	150
Turnor v. Weedon,	150	Weete (Du Garde v.),	. 55
Tutton (Davis v.),	185	Weldon (Watts v.)," -	77. 103
Twittie v. Ewin,	416		Wells
•	٠, ٠	•	

	Page	•	Pag
Wells (Dean and Chapter of) v.		Wingfield (Dummer v.), -	273
Durston,	435	Winstanley (Rudgeley v.),	133
Wells (Dean and Chapter of) .		Wise v. The Bishop of Worcester,	96
Thurle,	91	Witherington v. Harris -	445
Wells (Stainer v.),	280	Wood v. Beaumont,	216
Wenham v. Thatcher,	112	Wood (Danvers v.),	441
Wentworth v. Archbishop of York,	441	Woodford v. Herne,	247
Werndley v. Seymour,	403	Woodroffe (Dean and Chapter of	• •
West (Kenyon v.),	313	Litchfield v.),	449
Wharton (Basire v.),	83	Woolston (Holwell v.),	411
Wharton (Blackett v.),	83	Worcester (Dean and Chapter of)	•
Wharton v. Tuberville,	. 381	v. Seabright,	491
Whitby (Salisbury v.),	214	Worcester (Wise w. The Bishop of),	96
Wickham v. Duffield, -	434	Wright v. Elderton,	518
Wickham v. Green,	142	Wright v. Shawe, -	215
Wickham v. Strode, -	297	Wright (Thompson v.), -	<b>22</b> į
Wickham v. Thrower, -	143	Wyn (Trinity College v.), -	6i
Widdrington v. Barker, -	145	Wyvill (Ingleby v.), -	73
Widdrington (Lord Offoulfton v.),		Wyndham v. Hatcher,	130
Wightwick (Eve v.),	226	Wymondfold v. Topham, -	173
Wightwick v. Gifford,	22 I	Wyatt (Hill v.),	443
Wilbraham v. Kingsman,	.328		• •
Wilcock (Birchinshaw v.),	287		
Wildman (Hewlyn v.),	209	<b>Y.</b>	
Wiles v. Smith,	35		
Wilkinson v. Newsom, -	355	Yallop (Hafon v.),	536
Wilkinson v. Foot,	129	Yard w. Stobell, -	311
Williams (Bevan v.),	35Ó	York (Archbishop of) v. The Duke	:
Willingham (Francis v.),	543	of Newcastle, -	446
Wilmot v. The Attorney General,	- 127	York (Wentworth v. The Archbi-	11-
Wilshaw (Hodgson v.),	88	fhop of;	441
Wilson w. Redman,	63	Young (Mallabar v.),	408
Wimberley (Fish v.),	222	Young (Ringstead v.), -	519
Winchester (Coventry v. The Bisho	p		2 17
of),	114	· •	
	•	•	

# ERRATA ET ADDENDA.

Page	10, Line 16, read " Deriford" inflead of " Ariford."
	27, Line 35, read " 1647" instead of " 647."
	66, Line 7, read " enter" instead of " entered."
حنث	86, In the marginal note read " place" instead of " place."
	103, In the marginal note, read " pilchards" instead of " pichards"
	114, In the marginal note, read " 1671 infread of " 1763."
	149, In the marginal note, read " estabed" inflead of " estain."
	161, In the marginal note, read a wied the park."
	172, In the marginal note, tead "In hind of inflead of " in bind."
	208, Laft line but 5, read " reviver" inftead of " reverfal."
	209, Line 10, read " out of a farm" inflead of " out a farm."
<u>.                                    </u>	173, In the marginal note, read "quist" instead of "quis."
	273, Last Line, read " years" instead of " rears."
	336, Line 19, read " Chapceller" instead of " Lord Chapceller."
-	391, In the marginal note, read " Trin. Term, as Will. 3, inftend
of '	* 7 WW. 3."
	403, Read " 433" inflead of " 43."
	448, Line 19, read " two lambs and an balf" instead of " two and a baff
lan	h."
	502, Line 1, read " difebarged" instead of " difagbared."
	g26, Read Mich. Term 10" instead of " 13."
	534, Line 5, read " cofts at law and in equity' instead of " in law and
e <b>g</b> u	ity."
	542, In the marginal note, read "form" instead of "fram."
	548, Line 30, read " in the parifs" inflead of " in parifs."
	A 101 2-1 In la manage of the batiler ' \

## INDEX

(BY COUNTIES AND PARISHES)

T O

## THE DECREES REPORTED

1 N

## THE FOUR VOLUMES

O F

### THE FOLLOWING WORK.

, AN	IGLESEA.		Reign. Parifb. Vol. P.
_ `	Parish. Vo.	l. P.	T. 9 Will. 3. Withybrook i.381
	Aberfraw iv.	332	H. 11 —— { Cheveley Lock-hampstead, &c. 390
REDI	FORDSHIRE.		
_	Leighton Buzzard i		m
E. 35 Çar 2. T. 8 Will. 3.	Overstand .	-	m · • • • • • • •
T, 5 Anne	Westoninge Marston Mortaine i	491	
H. 10 Geo. 1.		•	
H, 11	Walington	237	T. 3 Hagbourne 66
	Harlington	252	M. 9 Sunning 207
E. 7 Geo. 2.	Shambrooke	352	
	Biggleswade in		T. 19 —— Hurley iv. 117
T. 19	Ditto	114	H. 29 —— { Chipping Lambourne bourne 267
H. 37 ——	Goldington	524	
M. 38 ——	Stretly	537	H. 38 — Great Coxwall 593
T. 36 ——	Hockliffe	592	m110777107113707177
			BUCKINGHAMSHIRE.
	RKSHIRE.	_	E. 21 Car. 2. Wooton Longvile i. 99
M. 24 Car. 2.	Lawrence Waltham	i.129	
E. 27	Hagbou <b>rne</b>	147	M. 28 ——— Caversfield 164
T. 5 W. & M.		311	E. 29 — Edlesborough 167
M. 5	Warfield	313	T. 30 — Middleton Keynes 227
Н. 6	Padworth	338	H. r W.&M. Hardwicke cum Weedon 273
H. 7 Will. 3.	Thacham, &c.	356	
T. 8	Beenban	366	T. 4 —— Fulmore 295
Vol. I.			c E. 1 Anne

#### INDEX TO

		-				
Reign.	Parish.	Vol. P.	R	eign.	Parifb.	Vol. P.
E. I Anne	Wyrardibury,	c.i. 403	T. 1	8 Geo. 3.	Lianley	iv.73
Ml. 2 ———	Great Woolfton		H. 3	. ——	St. Peter	37 <sup>2</sup>
H. 12	Edgcott	540	•		•	
T. 4 Geo. 1.	Buckingham	ii. 90		CI	HESHIR <b>E.</b>	
T. 6 ——	Hedfer	151	T. 36	6 Car. 2.	Prestbury	i.475
T. 10 ——	Thornborough		Т.	Geo. i.	Aftbury	ii. 22
T. 12 ——— M. 13 ———	Loughton	269		5 Geo. 2.	Prefibury	320
	Ludgershall	271	T. 1	í ——	Wybonbury,	&c. 379
J	Simplon	288	Н. 1	2 Geo. 2.	Eastham	iii.372
3	Isnampstead, &		M. 16	5	Ditto	, 523
M. 5 ———————————————————————————————————	Newton Longvi Padbury		H. 10	6	Ditto	. 53 <sup>1</sup>
H. 5 Geo. 3.	Maidfmorton	iii. 66	H. 1	9 ———	Ditto	iv. 81
	Marsh Gibbon	93 104	T. 2	8	Ditto	201
T. 7 ———————————————————————————————————	Bletchley	213	н. з	8	Tattenhall	5 <b>4</b> 2
E. 24	Brill	265		. 00	DATTIAT	
T. 34 ——	Daynington, &	4C. 443		•	RNWALL.	_
M. 35 ———	Datchet	450	T. 1	2 Car. 2.	Trewardreth	i. 59
M. 18	Stenley	586	т. ,		St. Michael Mount	ľs
M. 35 ———	Steeple Clayde	n 590		:	Mount	
	. ,	,,	T. 3	3 ——	Paulyn	203
CAMB	RIDGESHIRE	i●		e Anne	Northhill	525
T. 4 W. & M.	Soham	i.289		0	St. Ives	526
E. 7 Will. 3.	Leverington	341		1 Geo. 1.	St. Gorran	ii. 13
H. 7	Lynton	357		2 ———		50
H. 7—— E. 10——	Gamlingay	383		5		126 128
M. I Anne	Wilberton	408		Geo, 2.	Ditto	284
Т. 5 ———	Leverington	504	T.	•	Paulyn Mownen	284 287
T. 5 H. 10	Elme	528	H.	1 ——	Mawnan Pelynt	304
II. 1 Geo. 1.	Bedford Level	ii. 4	H ,	8	Manackan	536
M. 5	Downham	97	H. 2	2 —	St. Melyon	54 <b>5</b>
	Ditto	149	T.	6 Geo. 3.	St. Ewe	iii.130
T. 8 Geo. 2.	Wisbeach	354	T.	8 ——	St. Borian	174
II. 3 Geo. 3.	Waterbeach	iii. 53		1	Blissand	363
H. 12	Chippenham	375.		2	St. Kerian	385
T. 22 ———	Little Port	iv.215	H. 1	4 ——	Gwinear	460
H. 34 ———	St. Michael's i	•	E. 1	5	Madron	499
	Longstanton	410	T. 1	5	St. Keyerne	510
H. 34 ——	Ditto	419	М. 1	6	Landilph	514
CARD	IGANSHIRE.		H: 1	9	St. Keverne	iv. 76
CHAD	ipititolitikis.		T. 2	3	Liskeard	252
M. 1 Jac. 2.	Liandadarne Va	lwr,	H. 1	i Geo. 1.	St. Germain's	576
M as Cas a	, &c. Tlansibasasi e	i.240	E.	7 Geo. 2.	Falmouth	578
1410 29 0000 3.	Transinguidero	C. IV. 354		2		580
M. 36	Llanbadarn Fa	ur 480	E. 2	5	Linkinhorne	581
CARMA	RTHENSHIR	E.		CUM	BERLAND.	
E. 20 Car. 2.	Langhorne	i. 89	Н. 1	Car. 2.	Millom	i. 46
T. 11 Geo. 3.	Llanvynith	iii.330	E. 2	·	Gravstock	121
H. 15 ———	St. Peter's	488	TP -	« :	St. Cuthbert's.	kc.
H: 17	Llanvinio	iv. 10	E, 20		Graystock  St. Cuthbert's,  in Carlifle, &	C. 130
	•	• • •	•		т Н. 3	o Car. 2.

#### DECREES, &c. REPORTED.

D.t.	D:4	17.1 D		D.i.	D	17.1 D
R≥ign. H. 30 Car 2.	Parift.	Vol. P.		Reign.	Pariffe.	Vol. P.
E. 36	Bewcaftle Downes	i.190	M.		Rickley	i.410
M. 5 W. & M.		223	М. Н.	1	Torbryan	411
T. 11 Will. 3.	Braithwaite	314 389	T.	3	Lydford	451 469
E. 8 Anne	Plumbland	309	Ĕ.	\$	Ipplepen, &c. Tiverton	482
<b>9</b> 4 -	Aldstone	513 <b>ii.292</b>	T.	5	Combintinhead	485
T. 8	Crofthwaite		M.	5 Geo. 1.	Tavistock	ii.107
T. 3 Geo. 3.	St Bees	355 iii. 67	н.	5	Braunton	114
3 000. 3.	or bus	<b>311.</b> 07	T.	5	Warkley	119
DEME	IGHSHIRE.		H.	6—	Shobrook	136
			M.		Hemyock	158
T. 7 Geo. 3.	Bodfarry	iii.160		11	Staverton	232
T, 19 ——	Wrexham	iv.587	H.	11	Broadclift	244
			T.	1 Geo. 2.	Clovally	283
DER	BYSHIRE.			22	Salcombe	456
E. 24 Car. 2.	Matlock	:		33	Kenn	550
T. 5 W. & M.	Finderne	<b>i.</b> 113	H.		Burrington	iii. 23
H. 5	Hartington	316	E.		Rochbear	103
T. 7 Will. 3.	Carfington	315		6	Harburton	128
H. 4 Anne	Eckington, &c.	345		10 —	Woodbury	245
	Barton Blount	472		10	Ditto	247
E. 5 Geo. 2.	Barlebrough	ii.219		10 —	Uppottery	280
E. 6	Hathersage	327		15-	Shobrook	485
E. 8 ——	Brailsford	336		16	Bishop Morcha	
T. 17	Heath, &c.	360		20 —	Dittisham	iv.155
M. 27	Mickleover	433		22	Ditto	203
T. 31	Brampton	495		23	Roborough	249
M. 6 Geo. 3.	Gloffop Dale	552 ii <b>i.</b> (12		37 —	Inflow	504
H. 12	Thorpe		H.	38	Tallaton	523
M. 16	Stapenhill	379	T.	19 Geo. 2.	Hartland	579
M. 18	Fenny Bentley	516	H.	31 ——	North Molton	58 î
M. 27	Wirkworth	•	T.	18 Geo. 3.	Rochbere	587
M. 27	Kirkhallam	315 321		•		<i>J</i> • 1
T. 35	Duffield	463				
T. 5 Geo. 2.	Barton Blount			DOR	SETSHIRE.	
, = 33, 2,		577		_	•	
DEA	ONSHIRE.			23 Car. 2.	Bestwall, &c.	i-118
M. to C.			T.	6 W. & M	. Hampreston	323
M. 10 Car. 2.	North Petherw	rin i. 45	Ţ.			
M. 10 T. 11	Forest of Exmo	re 47	E.	4		
H. 12	Brixham	5 <b>3</b>	Ţ.	1 Geo. 1.	East Lulworth	
M. 20	Aishprington		T.	8		_
T. 31	North Petherw			10	Stoke Abbotts	
T. 35	Collyton, &c.	197	E.	8 Geo. z.		36z
H. 1 W M	Upton Pine	230	H.	16 ——	Hammoon	, 421
H. 1 W. & M.	Luden	277	M.	29	Child Okefor	
Т.	Tryatora	287				511
AM D WITH A	Dean Prior	311		31	West Parley	538
7	Cheriton Bifho		E.		Moor Critche	
	Stoake Cannoi Chawley		E.	2	Stratton, &c.	29
H. 13	Woodbury	397	T.		Cattiflock	. ~
T. 13	East Budleigh	398		. 9 ——	Aifhr ore	• • •
4	mair mhriteigh	400	D.	13	Stuke Agreem	
•			_	Ç Z	. ;	

#### INDEX TO

Reign. Parifb. Val. P.	Reign. Parifs. Val. P.
M. J. Goo. 5 Whitechurch Can-	M. 3 Anne Thorps i.445
M. 14 Geo. 3. Whitechurch Can- nonicorum iii.452	M. 9 — Winnington 522
M. 16 — Gillingham 520	H. 11 ——— Ilford 529
T. 16 {Puncknole cum	M. 12 — Kelvedon 539
Dexington FA7	H. 12 Brightlingsea 541
M. 18 — Ibberton iv. 21 H. 18 — Portesham 39	T. 3 Geo. 1. Thorpe ii. 74
H. 22 Fontmell Magna 205.	H. 5 Epping 110
H. 22 Symondibuty 207	11.0
E. 22 - Yatminster 208	M. 9 Shepland, &c. 204
E. 23 — Buckland Newton 233	H. 13 Ingatestone 274
M. 20 — Charminster 204	E. 4 Geo. 2. South Ockenden 305
H. 22 Great Toller 588	T. 7 —— Eaft Ham 345
•	H. 12 Prittlewell 382
DURHAM.	H. 13 Mountnesyng 388
••	H. 14 — Henningham Sible 403
TC +0 M(1)1	T. 14 — Hornchurch 405 T. 16 — Wanstead 417
M. 19 Stanhope 83	
H. 11 Will, 2. Branspeth	
M Bishops Wear-	T 27 - Walthamflow
M. I Anne Bishops Wear-mouth 412	E. 2 Geo. 3. Little Tey iii. 24
- Dillo	T. 3 — Chingford 6r
E. 5 Geo. 1. Hart ii.116	
T. 6 Bondgate in Auck-	1. 8 — Weit Ham 316
77	H. O King's Hatfield,
	, [ \alpha c. 204
T. 4 Geo. 2. Seremerston, &c. 294 T. 2 Geo. 3. Houghton iii. 38	T to No.
H. 3 — Lumley, &c. 44	TO SECOND
T. II St Andrew's Auck-	M' ** Dima
T. 11 St Andrew's Auck-	M. 13 — Bulmer 407
oragencia 358	H. 13 Little Leighs 434
1v1, 12 Ditto 360	f (7c.11 n 1
M. 12 Stranton 370 H. 20 Billingham iv. 145	H. 15 —— Pratheld Broad Oak 479
	H. 10 —— Roydon 536
H. 23 — Cornhill, &c. 231 T. 31 — Conifciffe 380	
Connenine 380	E. 22 Canewdown 211
<b>-</b>	H. 23 — Great Leighs 222
ESSEX.	H. 25 — {Hatfield Broad Oak 276
T. 13 Car. 2. Canewdown i. 66	TJ C-111 .
M. 19 Eafterford, &c. 86	M. 27 West Tilbury 357
T. 25 — Woodham Ferries 136	M. 34 — Thunderstey 407
	ivi. 35 Stillord A40
<b>U</b>	T. 16 ———— Chigwell 585
W. 6 Walthamflow 228	T. 37 — Mountnessing 593
M. 6 — Febbing 328	
T ra Will A [ Horindon on the	flintshire.
T. r <sub>3</sub> Will 3. { Horindon on the Hill 40x	H. 7 Geo. 1. Rangor ii.168
T. 3 Anne Shenfield 441	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	GLA-

#### DECREES, &c. REPORTED.

GLAM	OR GANSHIR	E.	Rei	ON.	Parish:	Vol. P.
Reign.		Vol. P.			. Buriton	i.330
T. 7 Will. 3.		1 250				396
H. 6 Geo. 1.	Penmarke	ii.133	H. 3	Geo. I.	Hursburne, &c. Martyr Worthe	v ii. 61
	Cadoxton ne		M. (		Litchfield	106
T. 33 Geo. 2.	4		T. 5		Romfey	120
H. ri Gen. t.	Neath Neath	547 iv. 178	m c	{	Husborne Tarra	int,
H. 23 ———		228	•	{	Husborne Tarra	152
45			T. 7		Farcham	185
GLOUG	CESTERSHIRE		H. 11	<del></del>	Bouchurch	238 ·
T. 10 Car. 2.			H. 15	Geo. 2.	Stratfieldsea	424
T. 10 Cal. 2.	Washing &co	i. 33	T. 24	<del></del>	Bramshott	46 i
E. 17	Westbury, &c.  Bourton on the Water Bilton	71	T. 26	-	Alton	475
M. 19	Water	0. IE	H. 3	Geo. 3.	Middleton	iii. 50
F 04	Rileon	85	T. 3.		Mottisfont	71
M 26	Weston Subege	121	T. 7	· · ·	Netherwallop	
E. 5 W. & M	. Turke Dean	235	H. 9		Bishop's Sution	
T. 6	WhitcombeMag	308	T. 14.		Kingsclere	466
T. 3 Anne	Longborough		H. 18		Sopley	iv. 41
T. 6 ——	South Cerney	440	7.6. 7.7 -		Fordingbridge	166
н. 6——	Littleton, &c.	494 507	H. 21	-		170
T. 3 Geo. 1.	Yate	507 ii. 70	E. 23	<del></del>	Eling	233
T. 4 ——	Woolaston, &c.	89	M. 26		Odiham	305
T. 7	Tortworth		E. 26 -		Carisbrook	309
E. 9 ——	Newland, &c.	212	M. 32.			393
	Compton Green	).	E. 38 -		Elfted	561
H. 13 ———	Compton Green	273	T. 35		Timibury	459
E. 13		<b>276</b>	M. 30 -		Kingsclere	475
H. 1 Geo. z.	Old Sodbury	288	E. 38		Bentley	561
H. 5	Newtland &c	3 T 7	E. 23 -		Newchurch	588
E. 0	Henbury Westbury	367		LICDE	ODDSHIDE	
k. 9	Westbury	373			FORDSHIRE.	
M. 16	Pucklerchurch,&	C. 420		Car. 2.	Dilwyn	´i. 35
E. 3 Geo. 3.		iii. 58			Ledbury	<b>54</b> ,
H. 6	Leachlade	125		<del></del>	Ditto	107
M. 10	Aure	257	M. 23 -		Ditto	119
H. 12	Minchinhampton	a 373	1. 34 .		Avenbury	212
H. 12	Mation	374	MI. 30 ~	X7 0 D 8	Doore	235
M. 13 ——	Charldown	406		W. & M.		296
T. 14	Erith	476	Н. 4-		Much Marie	304
E. 15	Cronham	. 512			Walford Haraford	479
M. 18		iv. 22	T. 9-		Hereford Ledbury	495
H. 20 ——	Churchham	138	T. 9-	lea i		519 ii.178
E. 20	Mickleton	146	T. 7 (M. 2 (		Lugwardine	iii. 20
T. 23 ——	St. Ofwad	251	T. 12 -		Penicomb	
E. 24	Dowdeswell '	257	H		Clifford	403 iv.326
M. 32 ——	Longney	590	H. 27 -	ſ	Welsh Newton	
LT A 7	MPSHIRE.		H. 34 -	<del></del> {	Welsh Newton	4 <sup>2</sup> 7
		; KA	,			T-1
H. 14 Car. 2.	Netherwallop Milton	i. 69		HERTF	ORDSHIRE.	
H. 14	Kingfelere	70			Much Hadam	i. 16
E. 19 ———————————————————————————————————	Ditto	79 . 16 <b>2</b>	M 10 -	at. 40	Branghinge	
2. 20	_1110		C 3			41 Car. 2.
			- 3			~~·· / //

#### INDEX TO

_ Reign.	Parisb. Vol. P.	Reign.	Parish. Vol. P.
T. 13 Car. 2.	Tring i. 66	T. 11 Geo. 1.	Cowden ii.251
M. 2 Geo. 1.	Litt'e Hadam ii. 29	T. 12	. Warehorne 257
E. 4 Geo. 2.	Tring, &c. 306 Sawbridgeworth 362 Lilly 470	H. 5 Geo. 2.	Ditto 325
T. 9 ——	Sawbridgeworth 362	T. 10	Westerham 373
, H. 24	Lilly 470	M. 11	
M. 26 ——	Barkway 478		St. Paul Canter-
T. 1 Geo. 3.	Ickleford, &c. iii. 14	M. 30 ——	bury 530
H. 4	Bovingdon, &c. 75	T. 2 Geo. 3.	Lyming iii. 63
E. 4 ——	Hemel Hempstead 87	H. 4 ———	St. Paul, &c. 77
H. 10	Bushey 278	H. 4	Ditto 83
H. 15	Elftree 488	M. 6 ——	Biddenden 119
M. 16 ——	Westmill 521	H. 9	
T. 19 ——	Little Munden iv.102	,	Appledore 211
M. 20	Bennington 121	E. 10	St. Nicholas Dept- ford 285
E. 20	Elftree 152		ford 285
M. 29	Hemel Hempstead 355	T. 10	Orpington 292
H. 34 ———		T. 15	Eard 293
E. 38 ——		T. 15	Crayford 508
E. 25 Geo. 2.	Rickmansworth 546	T. 16	Chartham 550
25 Geo. 2.	St. Andrew 580		Little Mongham iv. 42
		E. 24	
HUNTI	NGDONSHIRE.	H. 5 Geo. 2.	Warehome 577
M. 13 Geo. 2.	Great Catworth iii.416	M. 11 Geo. 3.	Sandhurft , 584
<i>y</i> = 511 <i>y</i> .			
	KENT.	, LAN	CASHIRE.
E. 4 Car. 2.	OIL D	M. 7 Car. 2.	Hawkeshead, &c. i. 19
T. 6	Daminal	H. 20 —	Blackborne 93
M. 16 ———		E. 28	3.6.111
T. 21 —	D'	T. 31	TT11 11
	Ditto 103	E. 15 Anne	^ '
M. 21	Reuking 110	T. 7 Geo. 1.	Ou rmore 547 Standish ii.180
H. 22	Ripple III	Н. 9——	
M. 23 ———	Ashford 117	M. 10	
E. 36 — —	Mildeley 226	E. 10 ——	7777
T. 1 Jac. 2.	Sea Salter 238		
M. 1	Ifle of Thinet 239	E. 26 Geo. 2. T. 2 Geo. 3.	Manchester 488
H. 2	St. Alxhage in	T. 2 Geo. 3.	Pilling, &c. iii. 31
	Canterbury 242		Ashton under Line 177
1. 6 W. & M.	Bromlev 321	T. 10	Ditto 288
	St. Nicholas At-	E. 11	Garstang 336
	wade 337	E. 11	Ditto 345
T. 7 M. 10 T. 12	Stowling 352	H. 13	Halton 426
M. 10	Orpington 386	M. 15	A
T. 12	Earon Bridge 394	M. 18 ——	4 1 10
E. 3 Anne	Di to 436	H. 18 ——	Aldingham 48
M. 5	Maidste 488	* 5105	100000000000000000000000000000000000000
H. 9	Leybourne 525	LEICE	STERSHIRE.
E. 11	Reuking 533	M. 7 Car. 2.	Galby i. 23
T. 12	Lidd 537	E. 25	Glenfield 133
7. 1 Geo. 1.	East Wickham ii. 21	E. 6 W. & M.	0.1.1
T. 2	haft Malling 45	H. 6 Will. 3.	Manless Dafmant
H. 4	Chilham 86	H. 12 Anne	Dannahin ash and
T. 6	Maidstone 146	M. 2 Geo. 1.	Skeffington ii. 27
H. 9 ——	Warehorne 210	T. 3 —	fruit
-			H. 11 Geo. 1.
	•		

#### DECREES, &c. REPORTED.

Reign.  H. 11 Geo. 1.  E. 5 Geo. 2.  Galby  T. 6 Geo. 3.  Loughborough  H. 14  Belton  M. 22  Barwell  Lowefby	327	Reign. E. 13 Geo. 3. E. 14 T. 18 E. 19 E. 19 E. 20 E. 23	Parifb. Brigfley Whapload Sutton, &c. North Searle Sedgebrook Long Sutton	Fol P. iii.444 460 iv. 54 88 132 236
M. 27 — Market Bosword  T. 34 — { St. Mary of Lei	437	H. 29 F. 38 T. 38 T. 31 Geo. 2.	Sibley Coningfby Pinchbeck Haxey	357 550 567 583
LINCOLNSHIRE.		L	ONDON.	_
E. 9 Car. 2. Sibfey H. 14 ———————————————————————————————————	120 130 149 141 155 166 172 176 183 207 222 265 265 279 281	E. 31  H. 4 Jac. 2.  E. 5 W.&M.  M. 5  T. 6  M. 6  M. 6  M. 6  E. 4 Anne  M. 9 Geo. 1.  T. 1 Geo. 3.  E. 27	Ditto St. Lawrence Jew St. Botolph, &c. Ditto Ditto St. Giles witho Cripplegate St. Botolph, &c Ditto NETHSHIRE.	253 unt- 305 eft 313 321 vry 324 326 382 455 ut ii. 197 . iii. 12 iv. 330
H. 4 — Bottesford H. 12 — Stickney	478 543	M. 32 Gec. 3.		iv.386
H. 4 Geo. 1. Wyberton M. 5 —— Holbeach	ii. 82 94	M. 24 Čar. 2.	DDLESEX Bromley, &c.	i.125
H. 5 — Careby H. 5 — Waddington H. 5 — Careby T. 7 — Holbeach T. 8 — Bardney T. 10 — North Granthan T. 29 Geo. 2. Spalding M. 9 Geo. 3. ThorntonleMoor M. 9 — Holbeach H. 11 — Wyberton H. 11 — Broughton H. 11 — Broughton H. 11 — Stow M. 13 — Ryfolm	527 riii. 180 196 325 329 330	M. 24 M. 6 W. & M. H. 6 Anne T. 7 T. 8 M. 1 Geo. 1. H. 3 T. 10 T. 4 Geo. 2. M. 5 M. 8 E. 1 Geo. 3. T. 5 T. 0 H. 13 C 4	Hanwell, &c. Bromley, &c. Isleworth Heston Harrow Staines St. Botolph's, &c Hornsey St. Botolph, &c Clerkenwell	506 510 518 ii. 1 56 231 289 314 359 .iii. 9

### INDEX TO

T. 14 — { E. 18 — T. 18 — T. 19 — T. 19 — H. 21 — E. 23 — E. 25 — M. 32 — F.	St. Anne in West- minster ii St. Botolph with- out Aldgate Teddington i Chitwick Fryern Barnet Fulham Kensington St Andrew Hol- born Hampstead Stepney	61. P. 469 v. 52 71 119 159 235 291 388	Rei w. E. 12 Geo. 3. E. 15 M. 18 T. 18 T. 32 E. 33 T. 34 T. 36 M. 37 E. 37 T. 12 Geo. 1. E. 31 Geo. 2. M. 35 Geo. 3.	Parifib. Worstead Burnham St. Man Mendham Tunstall Hillington Sax hingham, &c Wroxham Earsham Burlingham Ellingham Thompson Wiggenhall, &c. Causton	iv. 29 68 399 402 440 496 505 524 576
E. 38 ———	Isleworth	544	H. 30 ——	Earlham,	<b>291</b>
MONM	OUTHSHIRE.		NORTHA	MPTONSHIRE	
H. 7 Geo. 1.	Llantillio, &c. i	ii. 166	M. 6 Car. 2.	Cundle	i. 11
E. 7 ——	Dixton	170	T. 14	Presson Copes	68
T. 27 Geo. 3.	Llanvihangel &c.i	v.332	T. 18 ——	Norton	103
M. 37 ———	Skenforth	<b>499</b>	E. 32	Great Okeley	201
			E. 33	King's Sutton	210
MONTG	OMERYSHIRE.		T. 2 Jac. 2.	Duston Pitchley	247 250
M. 4 W. & M.	Llangirrick	i.298	H. 4 W. & M.	Purston, &c.	259 301
E. 1 Geo. 1.	Trewylan, &c.	ii. ´9	E. 2 Anne	Barton Seagrave	416
		•	E. 13 ———	Bedford Level	545
NC	PRFOLK.		E. 8 Geo. 2.	Bricksworth	36 î
H. 18 Car. 2.	Roughton	i. 78	T. 11	Blakefley	375
H. 26 ——	Terrington	145	T. 15	Bray brooke	407
M. 2 Jac. 2.	Ditto	218	E. 21	Ditto	449
M. 2 ———	Walfingham	250	E. 5 Geo. 3.	Upper Bodding	
T. 4 W. & M.		295	J J.	ton .	111. 95
M. 4	East Dereham	300	M. 11 ——————————————————————————————————	Great Houghton	
H. 8 Will. 3.	Oldton	377	T. 22 ——	Plum; ton East Netton, &c.	334
T. 12	Gr flwick	397	M. 25 ——	Moulton	
T. 1 Anne M. 6 ———	Woollerton Grastwick	4 +	M. 31	Brigstock	366
T. 7 — —	Fouldon	498 512	M. 31 Geo. 2.	Bray brooke	581
T. \ 8	Ellingham '	517	T. 2 Gev. 3.	Warnington	<b>583</b>
T. 12 ———	Yelverton, &c.	536	•		
7. 2 Geo. 1.		ii. 52	NORTH	UMBERLAND	•
E. 6 Geo. 2.	Lakenham	338	II. 3 W. & M.	Felton	i.309
E. 11	Great Fransham	3.0	T. 7 Will. 3.	Chatton	54 <b>9</b>
T. 13	Hetherfett	3×+	1. 4 Anne	Eglingham	468
T. 21	Ringstead Parva	452	M. 2 Geo. 1.		ii. 31
M. 24	Foutfliam	466	T. 3 ——	Scatoo Delaval	79
M. 2	Cantley, &c. Foultham	47δ	H. 1 Geo. 2.	Elfden Pistler	39
E. 7 Geo. 3.	T) 11	481 ii.154	H. 17	Birtley Stamfordham,	432
E. 7 ———	Freethorpe	158	T. 6 Geo. 3.	&c.	iii.138
M. 8	Tharfton	169	M. 12	Ponteland	30 <b>6</b>
H. 9	Freethorge	215	T. 12	Morpeth	. 349
	•	_		Т. 19	Geo. 3.

### DECREES, &c. REPORTED.

Reign.	Parish.	Vd. P.		ROPSHIRE.	•
T. 19 Geo. 3.	Simonburn	iv.105		Paristo.	2/ / D
H. 20 ———————————————————————————————————	Shotley	143	B Con	Little Wenlock	Vd. P.
	Bothall, &c.	187	17	Ellefmere	11.145
T. 23 ———————————————————————————————————	Simonburn	246	E. 1 Geo. 2.		163 iii. ♠
1. 20	Ilderton	345	M. 7 —	Wroxeter	
NOTE		_	M. 9 —	Higley	146
	nghamshir	E.	Н. 9	Ford	194. 225
E. 32 Car. 2.	Southwell	i.202	M. 22	Brayton in Hales	iv. 100
H. 8 Will. 3.	East Drayton	375	E. 23	Lillethall	242
R. 4 Anne	Newark	459		Madeley	57 <b>5</b>
T. 3 Geo. 1.	Sturton	459 ii. 76	T. 10	Bridgenorth	575
H. 11	Warworth	2 26	E. 5 Geo. 2.	Whitchurch	577
T. 10 Geo. 3.	Kinalton	iii 270	T. 10 Geo. 3.	High Ercall	584
T. 10 Geo. 3. H. 19——— H. 20———	Newark	iv. 84			, ,
H. 20	Katciine upo	מכ	SOME	rsetshi <del>r</del> e.	
F -6	Careh Sarah				
E. 26 ——	Attanhananah	310	H. 8 Car. 2.	Bath	i. 27
T. 37	Attenborough,		M. !0		47
T. 1 Geo. 2,- H. 6 Geo. 3.	Li lem	576	E. 16 ——	Backwell	70
M. 0 Geo 3.	Helem	284	E. 18	Aller	75
0.11			H. 1.9 —— T. 20 ——	Crocombe	89
	ORDSHIRE.		T. 20	Wells	9 î
E. 12 Car. 2.	Mixbury	i. 57	E. 23 ———————————————————————————————————	Taunton	114
T. 20	Cuddesdon, &c	. 91	H. 24	Wiham Frarey	130
H. 20	Hook Norton	<b>~</b> 96	H. 27 ——	Bedminster	152
T. 27	Wigginton.	148	E. 29	Ditcheatt	169.
M. 27 ——— E. 36 ———	Soulderne	150	E. 30 ———	Murlinch.	181
K. 36	Swacliffe	225	T. 30 ——— M. 35 ———	Wedmore	185
H. 2 Anne	Holwell	433	H. 4 Jac. 2.	Ledminiter Banwell	224
H. 10 Geo. r.	Lyntham	11.218	T. i W. & M.		254
1. 12	Adderbury, &c		M. 1 ———		267
M. 25 Geo. 2.		473	.,, ,	Phillips Nortan	268
H. 1 Geo. 3.	Bletchington	iii. ī	H. 2 ——— }	Phillips Nerton, &c.	.0.
H. 5	Ditto	98	M. 4	Badgeworth	284
M. 11 ——————————————————————————————————	Baldon Senka Tuna	302	F. 8 Will. 3.	Yeovilton	297 3 <b>64</b> .
11, 12	Stoke Lyne	381.	m o S	Staple Fitz Paine.	) A.A.
BC34D	OWEGATA	•	T. 8 ——— {	Staple Fitz Paine, &c.	368.
	ROKESHIRE,		T. 12	Congresbury	394
H. 3 W. & M.	St. Dogmell's	i 287	T. 2 Anne	Queen Camell	422
H. 9 Geo. 3.	Robeston West	iii.2 18	T. 2	Bedminster, &c.	ii.424
M. 37 ———	Rudbaxton	iv.503	E. 3	Seamford	435
			T. 5	Lamiatt	486
RAD	NORSHIRE.		H. 4 Geo. 1.	Henstridge	ii, 88
H. 6 Will. 2.	LlanbadarneVa	wri.236	M. 5 ———	Bishops Lidiard	103
H. 6 Geo. 1.	Nantmell	ii.129		Weilon	135
T. 11 ———	Blethyaugh	377	T. 7 ———	Cuilcombe	176
	Llanfanfreede	iii.4 5 1	T. 12 ———————————————————————————————————	Fawlett	267
	• •	47.		Bedminster, &c.	340.
RUTL	ANDSHIRE.			Clevedon Holcombe	347
H. 32 Geo. 3.	Martinthorne	iv.205		Mu:linch	3 8
, ja 0000 ji	minutes and series of the con-	-1.525		E. 28 C	404
•	•			16 C	-CU 2.

## INDEX TO

Reign.	Parish. Vol. P.	Reign.	Paristo. Vol. P.
W. 28 Gen. 2.	Leigh upon Men-	T. 12 Anne	Letheringham i.538
E. 20 GC0, 2.	dip, &c ii.509	H. 1 Gco. 1.	Bedford Level ii. 4
E. 29	Stoke under Ham-	T. 1 ———	Staningfield 19
	den 524	T. 1	Aldham 26
M. 2 Geo. 3.	Curry Mallet iii. 16	H, 2	Dunwich 35
H. 2	Bedminiter 18	T. 2	Halkeston 43
H. 4	Children Bishop 85	T. 2 Geo. 2.	Stradishall 47
T. 11	Chilcompton 349	H. 3 ———	Sudbury 58
M. 13 ——— E. 13 ———	West Harptree 422 St. Decuman's 447	H. 3 ——— H. 18 ———	Stradishall 62 Westhall 434
E. 15 ——	<b>.</b>	T. 13 ——	Bury St. Edmand's 385
M. 16	North Curry 490 Breane 519	H. 27 ——	Preston St. Mary 498
M. 18	Oake iv. 34	H. 27 ——	Acton 503
M. 21	North Curry, &c. 174	M. 28	Ash, &c. 505
T. 30 ———	Banwell 365	H. 29	Herringswell 521
M. 32 ———	Somerton 381	E. 30 ———	Whepsted 534
T. 37	Benwell 535	T. 31	Bradwell 539
T. 19	Murlinch 587	H. 8 Geo. 3.	Framden iii, 171
•		T. 14	Erriswell 470
STAF	ORDSHIRE.	H. 16 ———	Tattington 543
_		H. 18	Stratford St. An-
T. 2 Car. 2.	Pankeridge i 1 Uttoxeter 88	•	
M. 19 ——— M. 35 ———		T. 19 ———	Sudbury 113
T 4 Anne	C . 1' A	T. 33 ——	Thorndon 406
T. 3 Anne T. 5 Geo. 1.	Cheekley ii.122	E. 34 ——	Stow Market, &c. 431
H. 11 ———		M. 35	Flixton St. Mary 452
H. 5 Geo. 2.	Weeford 249	M. 36 ——	Higham 481
H. 24 ———	Alston Field 468	M. 36 ——	Ditto 484
M. 9 Geo. 3.	Tamworth iii.181	M. 37 ——	Flixton 592
н. 9——	Sandon 219		
Т. 15 ———	Colton 509	8	SURRY.
E. 16 ——	Gnofall 541	H. 20 Car. 2.	Lambeth i. 98
T. 19	breewood iv. 94	T. 28 ———	Guildford 159
E. 20	Harbourn, &c. 149	T. 29 ——	Worplesden 170
M. 22	Drayton in Hales 190	H. 21	Croydon 199
H. 24	Tettenhall 254	H. 31 ———————————————————————————————————	Ditto 212
M. 26 ——	Bromley Abbotts 294	T. 3 Jac. 2.	Farnham 251
H. 1 Geo. 2.	Drayton Bassett 576	T. 2 W. & M	. Byflecte, &c. 280
E. 17 ——	Madeley 578	H. 4	Frencham 302
E. 16 Geo. 3.	Gnofall 585	H. 7 Will. 3.	
C.	TERMI V	H. 9 ——	
	JFFOLK.	T. 2 Anne	Bermondsey 427
E. 24 Car. 2.	Cowling i.123	M. 4 ——	Cuddington 501
M. 26 ———	Wilby 142	H. 9——	Shalford, &c. 523
M. 26		H. i Geo. i.	Wonerth ii. 5
T. 33 ———	Worlingham 209	E. 1	Snairord, &c. 10
T. 4 Jac. 2.	Lindfay 263	M. 2	Riegate 30
E. 2 W. & M. E. 7 Will. 3.	Worlingworth 277	M. 2 ———————————————————————————————————	Ditto 33
H. 13 ———	Ipswich 339 Elmsett 400	н. 6	Tandridge 131 Shalford 130
M. 4 Anne		Н. 6	Ditto 139
H. 11	Spexhall 471 Sudbury 534	H. 15 Geo. 2:	Kingston 409
			H. 16 Geo. 2.

#### DECREES, &c. REPORTED.

70 . 'am	D • A	v.1 n	<b></b>	D/4 = 1 15
Reign. M. 16 Geo. 2.	Parish. Chipstead	Vol. P.	Reign.	Parifle. Vol. P.
H. 26 ——	Mickleham	ii.418 483	M. 24 Car. 2. T. 4 Jac. 2.	Stoneleigh, &c. i.124 Wooton Wabons 261
T. 2 Geo. 3.	St. Olave	iii. 41		Pryor Hardwick,
	Hafcomb	147	M. 1 W.&M.	&c. 270
T. 9	Cobhan	229	_	Barton upon the
M. 10	Merrow	266	E. 10	Heath 384
M. 14	Ewhurst	455	T. 1 Geo. 1.	Bulkington, &c. ii. 24
E. 21	Farnham	181.vi	H. 12	Shilton, &c. 255
H. 25 ———	Chertley	284	E. 15 Geo. 2.	Estington 413
H. 28.——	Beddington	334	M. 9 Geo. 3.	Tamworth iii.181
E. 38	Frencham, &c.	561	T. 9 ——	Berkswell 231
M. 37 ———	Kew, &c.	592	T. 0	Nuneaton 235
	77700 Tee		E. 19	Napton on the
	SUSSEX.			Hill iv. 87
T. 11 Car. 2.	Cotfield	i. 57	ri. 23 ——	Haseley 223 Counden in Co- ventry 252
E. 23		112	T. 23	Counces in Co-
T. 23 ——		112		
E. 25	Salehurft	134	H. 25 ———	Kernwarton 289 Wootton Wawen 588
T. 26	Beeding	140	1. 24 000.3.	Woodon Walken 300
T. 32 ———	Waldron	206	WEST	MORLAND.
T. 34 ———	Haftings ' Eaft Bourne	214	E. 28 Car. 2.	
		278	T. 4 W.& M.	
T. 2 ———————————————————————————————————	Whatlington St. Pancras	283	T. 13	Crosby Ravenside,
H. 6 Will. 3.	Henfield	288	1. 13	&c. 399
T. 8 ———	Rusper	334 367		•
T. 11 ——	Withiams	388	<b>W</b> 11	LTSHIRE.
T. 13	Lurgashall	401	T. 4 Car. 2.	Rewell i. 4
M. 2 Anne	New Timber	428	H. 8 ———	Savernack 26
H. 2 Geo. 1.	Sompting	ii. 39	T. 29 ———	Wilton 17t
H. 2 ———	Patching	41	T. 30	Norton Bavant 184
T. 2	Wathington	44	H. 32	Wroughton 200
T. 2	Hursterpoint	46	E. 2 Jac. 2.	Brombill 246
M. 3	Middleton	54	T. 4 W. & M.	17 11
T. 3 ———	Horsham	75 ·	M. 6 ——— E. 8 ———	
H. 4	Wadhurft	81		
E. 6 ——	Hursterpoint	124		Somerford Parva 385 Winterbourne Baf-
E. 6	Ditto	143	T. 12	fett 393
M. 9 ——	Tircehurft	201	T. 12	Preshutt 395
H. 11	West Dean, &c.  Ashington cur		H. 1 Anne	
T. 11 Geo. 3.	Alhington cui		m . (	Dunhead St. An-
M. 14	Ewhurst	351	T. 3	drew 462
T. 18	East Grinstead	454 iv. 70	E. 7 ——	Ditto 508
T. 22	Shermanbury	217	H. 4 Geo. 1.	Fifield Babant ii. 83
M. 38 ———		539	M. 7 ——	Melksham 160
H. 1 Anne	Poling	574	H. 7	Broughton Gifford 165
H. 11 Geo. 2.	Chichester	578	E. 7.——	O 44 D
E. 16 Geo. 3.	Walburton	585	E. 8 ———	011
` , •			T. 12	Chirton 261
WAR	WICKSHIRE.		E. 12	Cricklade St.
T. 11 Car. 2.	Barford	i. ee	H. 5 Geo. 2.	Samplon 280
T. 1,2	Filoughley	i. 55	H. 13 ———	Norrige 387
				T. 21 Geo

## INDEX TO

<b>-</b> .	D 14	<b>v</b> .1 p	· <b>D</b> .!	Danisk	Vol. P.
Reign.	Parifo Lyncham	701. F.	T. 21 Car. 2.	Parifb. Catterick	i.101
T. 21 Gco. 2.	Ditto	476	M 21	Ußorne	105
T. 26	Highworth	#/5	M. 21 ———————————————————————————————————	South Cave	175
_ (	St. Paul in		M. 22	Catteriek	115
T. 8 ———	Malmeibury	. 173	M. 30 —	Darrington	186
Н. 11 ———	Blackland	1 222	H. 34 ——	Bradfield	215
H. 12		377	T. 30	Sandall Magna	216
M. 13 —	Ramburry	415	M. 36	Berkeby	234
B. 21	Mere	iv. 180	H. 2 Jac. 2.	Kilburne	244
E. 25	Charlton	292	H. 2	Greenhamerton	246
E. 26	Little Langford		T. 4	Fishlake	255
M. 27-	Dauntley	.324	T. 2 W. & M.	Pickering	279
H. 32	Bradford	<b>39</b> 6	H. 2 ——	Whixley	285
M. 31 Geo. 2.	Sutton Veney	583	H. 4	Topcliffe	30 <b>3</b>
M. 18 Geo. 3.	Swindon-	586	T. 7. Will. 3.	Gillkirke	353
H. 29	Dauntsey	589	H. 7 }	Kirkby, Super Montem	
				~ .	
WORC	estershire.		H. 8——	Great Ayton	376
M. 24 Car. 2.	Parshore	i.127	H. 2 Anne	Sherburne	434
T. 28		161	T. 3 ——	Crawind	441
T. 31	Alderminster	194	M. 3 ——	Kiiburne	446
T. 32	Stockton	205	T' 1 Geo 1.	Coverham	ü, 14
T. 4 W. & M.	Great Comberto	n 291	H. 2	Paul, &c.	36
H. 5 Will 3.	Doddenham	315	T. 6 ———	Scarborough	154
T. 7 Will 3.	Leigh	346	E. 10	Halifax Gilling	220 268
T. 5 Anne	Worcetter	. 491	T. 12 ———————————————————————————————————		
T. 8 Gco. 1.	Do 'derhill	ii.191	T. 4 Geo. 2.	Hovingham Easby	<sup>2</sup> 73
T. 16 Geo. 2.	Ribbesford	415	H. 4——	Arkilgarthdale	29 5 302
T. 4 Geo. 3.	King's Norton		M. 6 — —	Tankersley	331
T. 10	Mathon	253	H. 9 ——	Kirby Malzard	363
M. 17	Kempley	iv. 1	T. 11	Ditto	364
T. 20	Shipton upon		T. 12	Ditto	366
	Stour, &c.	153	T. 14	Thorn. &c.	390
	Eckington		É. 14 ——	Hatfield	398
E. 25	Hanbury	343	E. 16 ——	Skeffling	422
T. 35	Claines Ditto	455	H. 19	Sandall Magna	436
T. 35 ———		456	T. 21	Dicto	440
M. 38 —— T. 38 ——	Nether Berrow Madresfield	530 570	E. 22 ———	Kellington	442
H. 24	Tenbury	588	E. 23	North Burton	460
11. 74	1 Cubary	500	M. 24 ——	Kirkdale	462
3701	n WCTITED		H. 26 ——	Wycliffe	<b>48</b> 6
	RKSHIRE.	_	H. 29	Leeds	514
H. 5 Car 2.	Scarborough	i. 5	H. 20	Ripon	518
M. 6	Kirkby Grinde	:-	H. 29 ———	Caltleford	521
747. 0	Kirkby <b>Grinde</b> litch	13	E. 31 ———	Fyling, &c.	540
T. 8	Ripon	24	T. 34 ———	Rotherham	551
E. 11	Aberwaith, &c.	-	M. 3 Geo. 3.	Middleton, &c.	
H, 11	Marrick	55	M. 4	Great Smeaton	.73
M. 12 ——	Sedbergh	, 61	T. 7	Walkington	161
M. 12	Horton in Rible	1-	M. 10	Colverley	243
			M. 10	Hemfworth Maffam	250
E. 18	Ripon	73	H. 10		275 Geo. 3.
				7.10	~~~ >•

## DECREES, &c. REPORTED.

Reign.	Parish. V	d.P.	Reign.	Parisb.	Vol. P.
T. 10 Geo. 2.	∫ Laughton in the		M. 25 Geo. 3.	Wakefield	iv. 283
**		ii.295	T. 25	Gargrave	293
H. 12		383	H. 26		307
T. 12 ——	Sandail Magna	401	M. 29——	Sandall Magna	350
T. 13 ———	South Kilvington	448	H. 30	Rowley	361
T. 14	Laughton in the		E. 30	Kellington	363
m	Morthing	472	H. 31 ———	Thorne	368
1. 14	Ditto	475	H. 31	Cariton	37 <b>3</b>
T. 15		503	T. 31	South Cave	37 <b>7</b>
M. 16 ———		519	H. 32 ——	Carlton	398
H. 17——		iv. 3	M. 36	Sandall Magna	479
. 17	Helmiley Black-		M. 36 ——	Rothwell	485
	£oor	17	M. 37	Aifgarth	507
17		19	T. 35	Ditto	494
T. 19	Slaidburn	89	H. 37	Ditto	510
E. 19 ——		90	H. 37 ———	Romaldkirk	516
E. 19 ——	Topcliffe	100	E. 38 ——	Seringham	53 <b>3</b>
T. 20 ——		122	T. 21 Geo. 2.	Kirby Malzard	
M. 21 ———		191	M. 10 Geo. 3.	Hemfworth	584
T. 2	Bilbrough	216	T. 15	Tunstall	585
T. 2 E. 24	Rotherham	<b>2</b> 67	M. 35 ———	Bedlake	591

, 

## LIST

O F

## SUBSCRIBERS.

#### Λ.

ALL SOULS COLLEGE, Oxford.
The Right Honourable Sir RICHARD PEPPER ARDEN,
Knt. M. P. Master of the Rolls.
Charles Abbott, Esq. M. P. Pall Mall,
William Ainge, Esq. Gloucester Street, Queen Square.
Henry Alcock, Esq. Lincoln's Inn.
William Alexander, Esq. Ditto.
The Rev. George Allanson, Ripon, Yorksbire.
James Alexander, Esq. New Inn.
William Agar, Esq. Lincoln's Inn.
William Rowland Alder, Esq. Inner Temple.
The Rev. Mr. Atkins, Long stanton, Cambridgeshire.

#### B.

The Honourable Sir Francis Buller, Bart. One of the Judges of the Common Pleas.

Brazen Nose College, Oxford.

Sir Robert Baker, Bart. Upper Dunstable House, Richmond, Surry.

Francis Burton, Esq. M. P. King's Counsel, Lincoln's Inn.

John Bacon, Esq. First Fruits Office.

Samuel Baker, Esq. Lynn Regis, Norfolk.

Edward Baker, Esq. Inner Temple.

Robert Barbor, Esq. Charter House.

Richard Barwell, Esq. M. P. Arlington Street.

The Rev. Charles Bathurst, Restor of Barkham, Sussex, and Chaplain of Rumford, Essex, 2 Copies.

Dr. William Battine, College Square.

John Bayley, Esq. Middle Temple.

Stafford Squire Baxter, Esq. Grey's Inn, 2 Copies.

John Beauclerk, Esq. Inner Temple. John Bell, Esq. Lincoln's Inn. Alexander Bennett, Esq. King's Remembrancer's Office. James Barry Bird, Esq. New Inn. Joseph Blandford, Esq. Inner Temple. James Blackstone, Esq. L. L. D. Lincoln's Inn. Giles Bleasdale, Esq. Threadneedle Street. Mr. William Bowyer, King's Remembrancer's Office. Meffrs. Bullock and Arnold, Bedford Row. Mr. William Burdon, Chatham Place. James Burrough, Efg. Inner Temple. John Barber, Esq. Grey's Inn. Mr. Richard Bellamy, Chetnole, Dorsetshire. Messrs. Brook and Fryer, Proctors, York. James Barrow, Esq. Lancaster. H. Bosanquet, Esq. Lansdown Place, Bath. Mr. Henry Breary, of York. Mr. William Blackburn, South Shields, Durbam. Mr. Henry Breary, Thorne.

#### C.

HIS GRACE THE LORD ARCHBISHOP OF CANTERBURY. The Right Rev. the Lord Bishop of CHESTER. John Campbell, Efq. M. P. Lincoln's Inn. John Cayley, Biq. Augmentation Office. John Charnock, Esq. Bishop Monckton, Yorkshire. Mr. John Fellows Claridge, Seven Oaks, Kent. John Clemenston, Esq. House of Commons. Jonathan Perrie Coffin, Esq. Middle Temple. Messrs. Collett and Wimborne, Chancery Lane. Francis Const, Esq. Middle Temple. Wilham Cooke, Esq. Lincoln's Inn.
The Rev. H. W. Coulthurst, D. D. Vicar of Halifax, Yorkshire. Conrade Coulthurst, Esq. Bedford Row. The Rev. William Coppard, Rector of Hastings, Suffer. Stephen Croft, jun. Eiq. Stillington, Yorksbire. Rev. Robert Crost, Rettor of Rowley, Yorkshire. Samuel Compton Cox, Efq. Lincoln's Inn. Henry Cholmley, Efq. Houfbam, Yorksbire. The Library of the Charter House. Mr. Mordaunt Lawson Clennell, Staples Inn. The Rev. Morgan Cove, of Sithney, Cornwall. Alan Chambre, Esq. Grey's Inn. Mr. James Cooper, Grey's Inn. Mr. James Coates, Attorney at Law, Stokesley, Yorksbire. Phillip Wyatt Crowther, Esq. Guildball.

#### D.

The Hon. and Right Rev. the Lord Bishop of Durham. Philip Dauncey, Efq. Grey's Inn.
Richard Davenport, Efq. Lincoln's Inn.
Messer. Dawes's, Throgmorton Street.
William Dyne, Efq. Serjeants Inn, Fleet Street.
Mr. Thomas Dewsberry, Beverley, Yorkshire.
Mr. Andrew Duncan, Grey's Inn.
Mr. Corbett Derby, Great James Street, Bedford Row.
Benjamin Dickens, Esq. Coventry.

#### E.

The Right Honourable Sir James Eyre, Knt. Lord Chief Justice of the Common Pleas.

The Hon. and Right Rev. the Lord Bishop of Ery.

Sir Henry Etherington, Bart. Hull, Yorkshire.

The Rev. John Eyre, of Babworth, Nottinghamshire.

Richard Edmunds, Esq. Deputy Remembrancer in the Lord Treasurer's Remembrancer's Office.

Edward Eden, Esq. Charlotte Street, Bedford Square.

John Ellis, Esq. Hatton Garden.

#### F.

Sir Martin Brown Folkes, Bart. M. P. Hillingdon Hall, Norfolk.

The Rev. James Filewood, Rector of Hedingham Sible, Essen. Thomas Finch, Esq. Middle Temple.

Evan Foulkes, Esq. Southampton Street, Covent Garden.

David Burton Fowler, Esq. King's Remembrancer's Office.

Alexander Fraser, Esq. Lincoln's Inn New Square.

Messen. Newcastle-upon-Tyne.

William Frogatt, Bsq. Castle Street, Leicester Square.

#### G.

SIR NASH GROSE, Knt. One of the Judges of the King's Bench.
The Right Rev. the Lord Bishop of GLOUCESTER.
The Dean and Chapter of GLOUCESTER.
Lord Grantley, Pimlico.
The Honourable Society of Grey's Inn.
William Grant, Esq. M. P. and Chief Justice for Chefter,
Lincoln's Inn.
Vol. I.

Robert

Robert Graham, Esq. the Prince of Wales's Attorney General,
Lincoln's Inn.

Charles Gapper, Esq. King's Remembrancer's Office.

The Rev. George Gaskin, D. D. Retter of St Benedits
Gracechurch.

Mr. William Gatty, King's Remembrancer's Office.

John Gotobed, Esq. Norfolk Street, Strand.

Richard Gough, Esq. T. A. S. Ensield, Middlesex.

Thomas Graham, Esq. Lincoln's Inn.

Messes. Gray and Thorpe, York.

Jesse Gregson, Esq. Angel Court, Throgmorton Street.

John Mathew Grimwood, Esq. Lincoln's Inn.

Mr. —— Grey, Grey's Inn.

#### H,

Robert Greenhill, Efq. Lincoln's Inn.

SIR BEAUMONT HOTHAM, Knt. One of the Barons of the Exchequer. JOHN HEATH, Esq. One of the Judges of the Common Pleas. Henry Hall, Esq. Lamb's Conduit Street. Henry Halfey, Esq. Henley Park, Surry. William Hamilton, Esq. Lincoln's Inn Fields. John Hardcastle, Esq. Lincoln's Inn. Francis Hargrave, Esq. Boswell Court, Carey Street. Mr. Charles Harrison, Great Surry Street, Black Friars Road. Anthony Hart, Esq. Middle Temple. John Springett Harvey, Efq. Middle Temple. William Harvey, Esq. Lincoln's Inn Fields. James Heseltine, Esq. King's Prostor, Great Knightrider Street. John Hodgson, Esq. Bartlett's Buildings, Holborn. Mr. Joseph Hough, York. Robert Horsley, Esq. Bolam, Northumberland. Joseph Hill, Esq. Saville Row. Samuel Harman, Esq. Jermyn Street, Saint James's. Mr. John Henry Hobson, Staples Inn. Miles Walker Hall, Efq. Inner Temple. Mr. Isaac Hindley, Baldock, Herts. John Lodge Hubbersty, Esq. Lincoln's Inn. George Sowley Holroyd, Esq. Grey's Inn. James Hutchinson, Esq. Little Saint Helen's, Bishopsgate Street. Robert John Harpur, Esq. Secretary to the Duchy Court of Lancaster. Jasper Harrison, Esq. Whickham, Durham. Mr. Edward Hinde, Sunderland, Durham. Mr. William Harrison, North Shields, Northumberland. Mr. Joseph Hough, Attorney at Law, Thorne. Robert Hubbard, Esq. Leicester. John Heaton, Esq. Old Burlington Street. Richard

Richard Hollist, Esq. Middle Temple. Bury Hutchinson, Esq. Brewers Hall. James Hyde, Esq. Bream's Buildings, Chancery Lane.

## I. and J.

JESUS COLLEGE, Oxford.
Mr. John Jefferys, Furnival's Inn.
Abel Jenkins, Eig. Exchequer Office of Pleas,
Isaac Johnson, Eig. Chancery Lane.
John Inge, Eig. Lower Brook Street, Grosvenor Square.
John Jefferys, Eig. Bath.

#### K.

KING'S COLLEGE, Cambridge.
Edward King, Esq. Lincoln's Inn Fields.
Messrs. Kirton and Grey, Grey's Inn.
John Kipling, Esq. Lord Treasurer's Remembrancer's Office.
William Kirkby, Esq. King's Remembrancer's Office.
Messrs. Kinderley and Long, Symond's Inn.
Thomas Kerr, Esq. Alnwick, Northumberland.

#### L,

The RIGHT HONOURABLE LORD LOUGHBORQUOH, Lord High Chancellor of Great Britain. Sir Soulden Lawrence, Knt. One of the Judges of the King's Bench. The Hon. and Right Rev. the Lord Bishop of LONDON. The Hon. and Right Rev. the Lord Bishop of LITCHTIELD and Coventry. The Honourable Society of Lincoln's Inn. William Lygon, Esq. M. P. Madressield, Worcestershire, Simon Le Blanc, Esq. King's Serjeant, Lincoln's Inn. Edward Law, Esq. King's Counsel, Ditto. Hugh Leycester, Esq. King's Counsel, Ditto. James Lambert, Esq. Hatton Garden. William Lane, Esq. King's Remembrancer's Office. Thomas Leach, Esq. Middle Temple. Mr. Joseph Wells Liversedge, Fore Street, Cripplegate. Mr. John Lockwood, Beverley, Yorkshire. Richard Lowndes, Esq. Red Lion Square. Thomas Lowten, Esq. Inner Temple. Mr. Joseph Lowten, Grey's Inn. Francis Luard, Esq. Warwick Court, Holborn. Thomas Lewis, Elq. Grey's Inn. Thomas

Thomas Lane, Esq. Goldsmiths Hall. John Lawson, Esq. Longburst, Northumberland.

#### M.

The Right Honourable Sir Archibald Macdonald, Knt. Lord Chief Baron of the Exchequer, 2 Copies. Francis Maseres, Esq. Cursitor Baron of the Euchequer. Abel Moysey, Esq. Deputy to his Majesty's Remembrancer of the Exchequer. Magdalen College, Oxford. Sir John Mitford, Knt. M. P. the King's Selicitor General. Lincoln's Inn. The Rev. Thomas Mantell, Rettor of Frencham, Surry. Ofborne Markham, Esq. Lincoln's Inn. Mr. John Marshall, Grey's Inn. Henry Mason, Esq. Cursitor Street, Chancery Lane. Thomas Meggison, Esq. Hatton Garden. John Miller, Esq. Exchequer Office of Pleas. John Miller, Esq. Red Lion Square. Thomas Milles, Esq. Lincoln's Inn. Henry Mountfort, Eiq. Barnard's Inn. Mr. James Alexander Myors, King's Remembrancer's Office, The Rev. Robert Markham, Archdeacon of York, Bishopthorpe, near York. Mr. William Mills, Proftor, York. William Manley, Esq. Middle Temple.

#### Ŋ.

The Right Rev. the Lord Bishop of Norwich.

The Hon. Mr. Baron Norton, of the Court of Exchequent Scotland.

George Lewis Newnham, Esq. King's Counsel, Lincoln's Inn.

Mr. Thomas Crowther Newby, Mark Lane.

0.

The Right Rev. the Lord Bishop of Oxford. Craven Ord, Esq. King's Remembrancer's Offices. William Owen, Esq. Lincoln's Inn.

William Moore, Esq. Great Knightrider Street, Francis Maidde, Esq. Lodge, near Wakefield.

Р.

Sir RICHARD PERRYN, Knt. One of the Barans of the Exchequer.

John

John Pitt, Kfg. M. P. Ghueyler. Henry Partridge, Esq. King's Counsel, Lincoln's Inn. Thomas Plumer, Esq. King's Counsel, Dita. Thomas Poole, Esq. Serjeants Inn, Fleat Street. Mr. Thomas Parker, Northumberland Street. John Allan Park, Esq. Carey Street, Lincoln's Inn Fields. Robert Pemberton, Esq. Ditto. George Pearson, Esq. Durban. Mr. John Pearson, Staples Inn. John Perrott, Esq. Lord Treasurer's Romembrancer's Office. William Pope, Eiq. King's Remembrancer's Office. Mr. William Pyke, Ditta Pipe Office, Somerset Place. The Rev. William Peacock, Northallerton, York shire. Mr. Peirson, Attorney at Law, Howden, Yorksbire. Mr. John Parker, Attorney at Law, Sheffield, Yerksbire. Robert Pearson, Esq. Newcastle-upon-Tyne. E. S. Pestell, Esq. Ashby-de-la-Zouch. Mr. Pricket, Attorney at Law, Hull,

#### R.

Sir GILES ROOKE, Knt. One of the Judges of the Common Pleas.
The Dean and Chapter of the Collegiate Church of Ripon, Yorkfairs.
Richard Richards, Esq. M. P. King's Counsel, Lincoln's Inn, 2 Copies.
Mr. Anthony Rich, King's Remembrancer's Office.
Samuel Romilly, Esq. Lincoln's Inn.
Thomas Ryder, Esq. Charter House.
Thomas Ruggles, Esq. Charter House.
The Rev. Mr. Rawbone, Oxford.
Messrs. Rhodes, Cook, and Handley, St. James, Clerkenwell.

S.

The Right Hon. Sir John Skynner, Knt. Milton, Ozon.
Sir William Scott, Knt. L. L. D. M. P. College Square, Dostars
Commons,
Sir John Scott, Knt. M. P. the King's Attorney General, Linceln's Inn.

The Right Hon. Thomas Steele, M. P. the King's Remembrancer.

John Spranger, Esq. Master in Chancery, Linceln's Inn Fields.
Thomas Manners Sutton, Esq. M. P. Linceln's Inn.
The Society of Writers to the Signet in Scotland.
Robert Sanderson, Esq. Palsgrave Place, Temple.
John Santer, Esq. New Inn.

Henry Jenkin Sayer, Esq. Queen's Square, Holborn. William Scafe; Efq. Cursitor Street. John Scott, Esq. Great Russell Street, Bloomsbury Square. Mr. John Scott, of York. Joshua Scrope, Esq. Long Sutton, Lincolnshire. Mr. Thomas Sermon, Grey's Inn. Charles Short, Esq. Lincoln's Inn. Jeremiah Simpson, Esq. Inner Temple. Mr. Arthur Sparke, Grey's Inn. Richard Stanley, Esq. Inner Temple. Robert Steele, Esq. Lincoln's Inn. Mr. Robert Spranger, King's Remembrancer's Office. James Stanley, Eig. Lincoln's Inn. Mr. Francis Smith, King's Remembrancer's Office. Messrs. Smith and Taylor, Red Lion Street, Clerkenwell. Dr. John Sewell, College Square. Mr. William Swainson, Attorney at Law, Halifax, Yorkshire, Mr. Shaw, Clement's Inn. Mr. Thomas Saunderson, Sunderland, Durbam. Francis Paul Stratford, Efq. Lincoln's Inn. Henry Steevens, Esq. Great Knightrider Street.

#### T.

Sir Alexander Thomson, Knt. One of the Barons of the Exchequer.

The Honourable Society of the Middle Temple.
The Honourable Society of the Inner Temple.
Edward Taylor, Esq. King's Remembrancer's Office.
Robert Talbot, Esq. Middle Temple.
George Booth Tyndale, Esq. Lincoln's Inn Fields,
Morgan Thomas, Esq. Norfolk Street, Strand,
Mr. Tuson, Ilchester, Somersetshire.
Mr. Richard Tallmache, Richmond, Surry.
Peter Taylor, Esq. Ripon, Yorkshire.
William Thompson, Esq. King's Remembrancer's Office,
William Townsend, Esq. Staples Inn.
Richard Townsend, Esq. Newberry, Berkshire.
Mr. Simon Tvas, Prostor, York.
Mr. William Tomson, York.

#### v.

John Vivian, Esq. Bedford Square. John Vivian, Esq. Inner Temple. Mr. George Vanderzee, King's Remembrancer's Office.

w.

The Hon, and Right Rev. the Lord Bishop of Winchester, 2 Copies. The Right Rev. the Lord Bishop of WORCESTER. The Right Hon. Sir William Wynne, Knt. L. L. D. Dean of the Arches Court of Canterbury. The Dean and Chapter of Westminster. Edmund Wigley, Efq. M. P. Middle Temple. Messers. Wadeson, Hardy, and Barlow, Austin Friars. William Walker, Esq. Edinburgh. Mr. George Walker, King's Remembrancer's Office. Hawkins Wall, Esq. Paper Buildings, Inner Temple. Benjamin Way, Eiq. Denham Place, Bucks. George Wilson, Eiq. Upper Gower Street, Bedford Square. Mr. John Windus, King's Remembrancer's Office. Messrs. Winter, Kaye, and Maynard, Swithin's Lane. William Withers, Esq. Recorder of York. Richard Wood, Esq. Hollin Hall, Ripon, Yorkshire. The Rev. Ralph Worsley, Rector of Finchley, Middlesex. Mr. Samuel Wyatt, Burton-upon-Trent, Stafferdshire. Edward Wolley, Esq. York.

James White, Esq. Chancery Lane.

Mr. Thomas Wild, Warwick Square. The Rev. Lloyd Williams, Vicar of Whitechurch, Hants. The Rev. Dr. Wiseman, Romford, Essex. Lewis Way, Esq. Inner Temple. Charles Boynton Wood, Esq. Upper John Street, Fitzrey Square. Charles Watkin Williams Wynn, Efq. M. P. Lincoln's Inn. Mr. Alexander Watford, Cambridge. Richard Wilson, Esq, Lincoln's Inn Fields. Robert Walters, Esq. Newcastle-upon-Tyne.
George Wood, Esq. M. P. Middle Temple.
The Rev. Mr. Wilkinson, Vicar of Shessield, Yorkshire.
The Rev. Henry Wastell, M. A. Vicar of Warmfield, Ditte. The Rev. Henry Wray, Rector of Newton Kyme, Ditto.

Y.

His Grace the Lord Archbishop of York. Mr. Hickman Young, Hatten Garden. . -· • .

## LIST

OF

## SUBSCRIBERS.

#### A

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE SIR RICHARD PEPPER ARDEN, Knt. Master of the Rolls.
Charles Abbott, Esq. Pall Mall, M. P.
William Ainge, Esq. Lincoln's Inn.
Henry Alcock, Esq. Ditto.
William Alexander, Esq. Ditto.
The Rev. George Allanion, Ripon, Yorkshire.
Mr. James Alexander, No. 11, New Inn.

#### В.

Brazen Nose College, Oxford.
Sir Robert Baker, Bart. Upper Dunstable House, Richmond, Surry.

Francis Burton, Esq. Lincoln's Inn, M. P. and King's Counsel, John Bacon, Esq. First Fruits Office.

Samuel Baker, Esq. Lynn Regis, Norfolk.

Edward Baker, Esq. Lynn Regis, Norfolk.

Edward Barbor, Esq. Charter House.

Robert Barbor, Esq. Charter House.

Richard Barwell, Esq. Arlington-street, M. P.

The Rev. Charles Bathurst, Restor of Barkham, Sussex, and Chaplain of Rumford, Essex, 2 Copies.

Dr. William Battine, College Square.

John Bayley, Esq. Middle Temple.

Stafford Squire Baxter, Esq. Grey's Inn New Square, 2 Copies.

John Bell, Esq. Lincoln's Inn.

Alexander Bennett, Esq. King's Remembrancer's Office.

James Barry Bird, Esq. New Inn.

Mr. Joseph Blandsord, King's Bench Walk, Inner Temple.

James Blackstone, Esq. L. L. D. Lincoln's Inn.

Mr. Giles Bleasdale, Hatton Court, Threadneedle Street.
Mr. William Bowyer, King's Remembrancer's Office.
Mcssfrs. Bullock and Arnold, Lincoln's Inn New Square.
Mr. William Burdon, Chatham Place, Black Friars Briage.
James Burrough, Esq. Inner Temple.
Mr. John Barber, Grey's Inn.
Mr. Richard Bellamy, Chetnole, Dorsetsbire.

#### C.

The Right Rev. the Lord Bishop of Chester.

John Campbell, Esq. Lincoln's Inn, M. P.

John Cayley, Esq. Augmentation Office.

John Charnock, Esq. Bishop Monckton, Yorkshire.

Mr. John Fellows Claridge, Seven Oaks, Kent.

John Clemenston, Esq. House of Commons.

Jonathan Perrie Cossin, Esq. Middle Temple.

Messrs. Collett and Wimborne, Chancery Lane.

Francis Const, Esq. Middle Temple.

William Cooke, Esq. Lincoln's Inn.

The Rev. Henry William Coulthurst, D. D. Vicar of Halifax.

Yorkshire.

Mr. Conrade Coulthurst, Lincoln's Inn Old Square.

The Rev. William Coppard, Restor of Hastings, Sussex, Stephen Cross, jun. Esq. Stillington, Yorkshire.

Rev. Robert Cross, Restor of Rowley, Yorkshire.

Samuel Compton Cox, Esq. Lincoln's Inn,

#### D.

The Hon. and Right Rev. the Lord Bishop of Durham. Philip Dauncey, Esq. Grey's Inn.
Richard Davenport, Esq. Lincoln's Inn.
Messers, Angel Court, Throgmorton Street,
Robert Deverell, Esq. Lincoln's Inn.
Mr. William Dyne, Serjeants Inn, Fleet Street,
Mr. Thomas Dewsberry, Beverley, York/bire.

#### E.

The Hon. and Right Rev. the Lord Bisnop of Ely.
Richard Edmunds, Esq. Deputy Remembrancer in the Lord
Treasurer's Remembrancer's Office.
Edward Eden, Esq. Charlotte Street, Bedford Square.
Mr. John Ellis, Hatton Garden.

Şir

F.

Sir Martin Brown Folkes, Bart. Hillingdon Hall, Norfolk, M. P.

The Rev. James Filewood, Rector of Hedingham Sible, Essex. Thomas Finch, Esq. Middle Temple.

Mr. Evan Foulkes, Southampton Street, Covent Garden.

David Burton Fowler, Esq. King's Remembrancer's Office.

Mr. Alexander Fraser, Lincoln's Inn New Square.

Mr. Peter Foot, Stepney.

G.

The Right Rev. the Lord Bishop of GLOUCESTER.
William Grant, Esq. M. P. and the Queen's Solicitor General,
Lincoln's Inn.
Robert Graham, Esq. the Prince of Wales's Attorney General,
Lincoln's Inn.
Charles Gapper, Esq. King's Remembrancer's Office.
The Rev. George Gaskin, D. D. Restor of St. Benedist
Gracechnrch.
Mr. William Gatty, King's Remembrancer's Office.
John Gotobed, Esq. Norfolk Street, Strand.
Richard Gough, Esq. Enfield, Middlesex.
Thomas Graham, Esq. Lincoln's Inn New Square.
Messis. Gray and Thorpe, York.
Mr. Jesse Gregson, Angel Court, Throgmorton Street:
John Mathew Grimwood, Esq. Lincoln's Inn.

## ÌΙ.

The Hon. Mr. BARON HOTHAM, Bloomsbury Square.
Henry Hall, Esq. Lamb's Conduit Street.
Henry Halsey, Esq. Henley Park, Surry:
William Hamilton, Esq. Lincoln's Inn Fields.
Mr. John Hardcastle, Lincoln's Inn New Square.
Francis Hargrave, Esq. Boswell Court, Carey Street.
Mr. Charles Hartison, Great Surry Street, Black Friars Road.
Anthony Hart, Esq. Middle Temple.
John Springett Harvey, Esq. Middle Temple.
Mr. William Harvey, Lincoln's Inn Fields.

John

John Heaton, Esq. Old Burlington Street. Richard Hollist, Esq. Middle Temple. Mr. Bury Hutchinson, Brewers Hall. Mr. James Hyde, Bream's Buildings, Chancery Lane.

## I. and J.

Mr. John Jefferys, Furnival's Inn.
Abel Jenkins, Esq. Exchequer Office of Pleas.
Isaac Johnson, Esq. Chancery Lane.
Mr. John Inge, Lower Brook Street, Grosvenor Square.

### KJ

KING'S COLLEGE, Cambridge.
Edward King, Esq. Lincoln's Inn Fields.
Messrs. Kirton and Grey, Holborn Court, Grey's Inn.
John Kipling, Esq. Lord Treasurer's Remembrancer's Office.
Mr. William Kirkby, King's Remembrancer's Office.
Messrs. Kinderley and Long, Symond's Inn.

#### L

The Hon. and Right Rev. the Lord Bishop of London. The Hon. and Right Rev. the Lord Bishop of LITCHFIELD AND COVENTRY. The Hon. Mr. Justice I AWRENCE, Bedford Square. William Lygon, Lig. Madresfield, Worcestershire, M.P. Simon Le Blanc, Efq. Lincoln's Inn, King's Serjeant. Edward Law, Esq. Ditto, King's Counsel. Hugh Leycester, Esq. Ditto, King's Counsel. Mr. James Lambert, Hatton Garden. William Lane, Esq. King's Remembrancer's Office. Thomas Leach, Eig. Barnard's Inn. Mr. Joseph Wells Liversedge, Fore Street, Cripplezate. Mr. John Lockwood, Beverley, Yorkshire. Richard Lowndes, Esq. Red Lion Square. Thomas Lowten, Esq. Peck's Buildings, Inner Temples Mr. Joseph Lowten, Holborn Court, Gray's Inn. Mr. Francis Luard, Warwick Court, Holborn. Thomas Lewis, Efq. Holborn Court, Grey's Inn. Thomas Lane, I fq Goldsmith's Hall.

#### M.

The Right Honourable Sir Archibald Macdonald, Knt. Lord Chief Baron, 2 Copies. Prancis Maseres, Esq. Cursitor Baron of the Court of Exchequer. Abel Moysey, Esq. Deputy to his Majesty's Remembrancer of the Court of Exchequer. Magdalen College, Oxford. Sir John Mitford, Knt. His Majesty's Solicitor General, Lincoln's Inn, M.P. The Rev. Thomas Mantell, Rettor of Frencham, Surry. Ofborne Markham, Efq. Lincoln's Inn. Mr. Samuel Haynes Marriott, Aldermanbury. Mr. John Marshall, Grey's Inn Square. Mr. Henry Mason, Cursitor Street, Chancery Lane. Thomas Meggison, Esq. Hatton Garden. John Miller, Esq. Exchequer Office of Pleas. John Miller, Esq. Red Lion Square. Thomas Milles, Esq. Lincoln's Inn. Mr. Henry Mountfort, Gough Square, Fleet Street. Mr. James Alexander Myers, King's Remembrancer's Office. The Rev. Robert Markham, Archdeacon of York, Bishopthorpe, near York.

#### N.

The Right Rev. the Lord Bishop of Norwich.

The Hon. Mr. Baron Norton, of the Court of Exchequer,
Scotland.

George Lewis Newnham, Esq. Lincoln's Inn, King's Counsel.

### 0.

The Right Rev. the Lord Bishop of Oxford, Craven Ord, Esq. King's Remembrancer's Office. William Owen, Esq. Lincoln's Inn.

Mr. William Mills, York.

#### P.

The Hon. Mr. BARON PERRYN, George Street, Westminster. John Pitt, Esq. Gloucester, M. P. Henry Partridge, Esq. Lincoln's Inn, King's Counsel. Thomas Plumer, Esq. Lincoln's Inn, King's Counsel.

John-

John Allan Park, Esq. Carey Street, Lincoln's Inn Fields. George Pearson, Esq. Durbam. Mr. John Pearson, Staple Inn. Robert Pemberton, Esq. Carey Street, Lincoln's Inn Fields. John Perrott, Efq. Lord Treasurer's Remembrancer's Office. William Pope, Esq. King's Remembrancer's Office. Mr. William Pyke, Ditto. Pipe Office, Somer set Place. The Rev. William Peacock, Rector of Northallerton, York/hires

#### R.

The Dean and Chapter of the Collegiate Church of RIPON, Yorkshire. Richard Richards, Esq. Lincoln's Inn., M. P. 2 Copies. Mr. Anthony Rich, King's Remembrancer's Office. Samuel Romilly, Esq. Lincoln's Inn. Thomas Ryder, Esq. Charter House.

The Right Hon. Sir John Skynner, Knt. Milton, Octor. Sir William Scott, Knt. L. L. D. College Square, Doctors Commons, M. P. Sir John Scott, Knt. His Majesty's Attorney General, Lincoln's Inn. M.P. John Spranger, Esq. a Master in Chancery, Lincoln's Inn Fields; Thomas Manners Sutton, Esq. Lincoln's Inn, M. P. The Society of Writers to the Signet in Scotland. Mr. Robert Sanderson, Hare Court, Temple. Mr. John Santer, New Inn. Henry Jenkin Sayer, Esq. Queen's Square, Holborn. William Scafe, Efq. Cursitor Street. Mr. John Scott, Great Ruffell Street, Bloomsbury Square. . Mr. John Scott, of York. Joshua Scrope, Esq. Long Sutton, Lincolnshire. Mr. Thomas Sermon, Grey's Inn New Square. Charles Short, Esq. Lincoln's Inn. Mr. Jeremiah Simpson, King's Bench Walk, Inner Temple. Mr. Arthur Sparke, Holborn Court, Gray's Inn. Richard Stanley, Efq. Inner Temple. Robert Steele, Esq. Lincoln's Inn. Mr. Robert Spranger, King's Remembraneer's Office. James Stanley, Efq. Lincoln's Inn. Paul

Francis Paul Stratford, Esq. Lincoln's Inn. Henry Steevens, Esq. Great Knightrider Street.

T.

The Hon. Mr. Baron Thomson, Bedford Square, Robert Talbot, Esq. Middle Temple.
Edward Taylor, Esq. King's Remembrancer's Office.
Mr. Richard Tallmache, Richmond, Surry,
Mr. Peter Taylor, Rippon, Yorkshire.
William Thompson, Esq. King's Remembrancer's Office.
Mr. William Townsend, Staple Inn.
Mr. Richard Townsend, Newberry, Berkshire.
Mr. Simon Tyas, York.
Mr. William Tomson, York.

v.

Mr. George Vanderzee, King's Remembrancer's Office, John Vivian, Esq. Bedford Square. John Vivian, Esq. Tanfield Court, Inner Temple.

w.

The Hon. and Right Rev. the Lord Bishop of Winchester, 2 Copies.

Edmund Wigley, Esq. Middle Temple, M. P.
Messer. Wadeson, Hardy, and Barlow, Austin Friars.

William Walker, Esq. Edinburgh.

Mr. George Walker, King's Remembrancer's Office.

Hawkins Wall, Esq. Paper Buildings, Inner Temple.

Benjamin Way, Esq. Denham, Bucks.

George Wilson, Esq. Upper Gower Street, Bedford Square.

Mr. John Windus, King's Remembrancer's Office.

Messer. Winter, Kaye, and Maynard, Swithin's Lane.

William Withers, Esq. Recorder of York.

Richard Wood, Esq. Hollin Hall, Rippon, Yorkshire.

The Rev. Ralph Worsley, Restor of Finchley, Middlesex.

Mr. Samuel Wyatt, Burton-upon-Trent, Staffordshire.

Mr. Edward Wolley, York.

Y.

His Grace the Lord Archbishop of York, Mr. Hickman Young, Hatton Garden.

N. B. The Subscribers are respectfully informed, that the Subscription will continue open until the End of each Term subsequent to the Publication of the respective Volumes; and a complete List will be printed with the last Volume, to bind up with the Work.—The present List may perhaps be, in some particulars, erroneous; and it is therefore requested, that those Gentlemen whose Names, Titles, or Places of Residence, are not properly inserted, will give Information thereof to the Author, at the Exchequer Office, in King's Bench Walks, Inner Temple, or at No. 15, Hart Street, Bloomsoury, in order that it may be corrected.

## COLLECTION

## DECREES

THE COURT OF EXCHEQUER

# TITHE - CAUSES,

FROM

THE USURPATION,

THE PRESENT TIME.

HINDE, Clerk, against HICKES, Baronet. Stafford/bire, 1/1 July 1650.

THE bill recited, that Sir Edward Littleton, Bart. was If a rectory be late owner of the rectory and impropriation of Panke- sequenced for ridge, of great yearly value; that, he being a delin-non-payment of quent, the faid rectory or impropriation, together with the rest of falary to the cuhis estates, were sequestered; that the plaintiff being curate or rate minister of the said church, and having but small means or allow- church, an exance for officiating the cure thereof, not exceeding twenty-four pounds a year, made the fame known to the honourable comcreditor, shall not mittee for plundered ministers; that the said committee, on the prevent the paytwenty-fixth day of September 1646, ordered the yearly fum of ment of the adfifty pounds to be allowed and paid out of the faid impropriate ditional falary, fifty pounds to be allowed and paid out or the raid impropriate unless the write rectory for the increase of the maintenance of the said plaintiff, was executed as minister of the faid church, which he ought to enjoy accord- before the feingly; and that the defendant had proper notice of the faid questration, or, order; but that the defendant had proper house of the faid Sir if afterwards, Edward Littleton, Bart. had extended the fame, whereas, if any unless the srediction function of the fame is for the fecuring of fome small sum of an order to remoney which is paid or discharged, or might be sufficiently ceive the rents Vol. L

fatisfied and profits.

Hindu against Hicks.

fatisfied out of the lands of the faid Sir Edward Littleton, Bart. § and hath entered into the faid impropriation, and received the whole profits thereof, and doth deny to let the plaintiff have the faid fifty pounds a-year. The bill then prays that the defendant may discover his title, set out what monies are owing to him, and answer to the premises.

The defendant, by his answer, admitted that Sir Edward Littleton, Bart. was owner of the rectory and impropriation; that he was a delinquent; and that, in regard thereof, the faid rectory with his other estates were sequestered; but denied any knowledge that the plaintiff was minister, or officiated as minister of the faid church, or what allowance he had for the fame, or what orders, if any, had been made by the committee for plundered ministers. The answer also stated, that Sir Edward Littleton, Bart. in the year 1639, became bound to the defendant in the fum of two thousand pounds for the payment of one thousand pounds; that, on failure of payment, he obtained a judgment against the said Sir Edward Littleton, Bart. but, by reason of the late troublesome times, having received no benefit therefrom, he, in the twenty-first year of Charles the First, sued out an elegit upon the faid judgment, by virtue whereof it was found, by inquisition, that the said Sir Edward Littleton, Bart. was seised of the faid restory or impropriation; and that the faid restory, and the other lands mentioned in the inquifition, were of the yearly value of two hundred pounds; the moiety of which rectory and lands were delivered to the defendant according to the faid writ, to be enjoyed by him and his affigns until he should be fatisfied in the fum of one thousand eight hundred and eightyone pounds; that fince that time he hath enjoyed the faid moiety, and received fix hundred pounds, which is not above half the principal and interest of the one thousand pounds lent as aforesaid.

The plaintiff replied; witnesses were examined; and counfel heard on each side.

And upon reading the depositions of Rishard Stephenson, the receiver of the lands for the defendant, touching the sums he had received, and the payments he had made; and because it did not appear that the other lands were extended by the defendant before the sequestration of the rectory, or that, if they were extended after the sequestration, the defendant had received an order from the committee of sequestrations to permit him to receive the profits thereof upon his judgment, which it was necessary for him to do before he did receive any of the profits thereof.

THE COURT ordered and decreed, that the defendant shall pay the arrears of the said augmentation of sifty pounds a year from the twenty-sixth of September 1646, at which time the order of the committee, committee was made, until this prefent time, unto the plaintiff, and also shall continue the said yearly payment so long as the plaintiff shall continue minister of the said church, and the defendant shall receive the profits of the said impropriate rectory; unless the defendant shall make it appear to this court that his judgment was executed before the fequestration, or that he had the licence of the faid committee of sequestrations to receive the profits of the rectory, which if he shall do then the Court will take further confideration thereof. And it was further ordered by the Court, that the defendant shall pay to the plaintiff twenty pounds upon the fight of this order, and thirty pounds more at Michaelmas, in part of the arrear of the faid augmentation.

- Hewas against HICKS.

Steele, Chief Baron. RIGBY, Baron.

Swinnock, Clerk, against Higginson. Kent, 24th May 1652.

EASTER TERM 4. Car. 2.

THE bill fet forth, that about thirteen years fince the plaintiff A custom to pay fourteen pence was lawfully inducted of and unto the rectory and parsonage an acre for all of Old Romney; that there is in the faid parish, and hath been meadow time out of mind, an ancient custom and usage, that the several pasture land, in inhabitants of the parish, and the owners and occupiers of lands, lieu of all manhave always paid to the parson or rector of the said parish for the said lands, is the time being, a composition rate of fourteen pence the acre good, yearly for the several quantities or number of acres of meadow and pasture land which they respectively hold and occupy within the faid parish, for and in lieu of all manner of tithes of the faid meadow and pasture land; that the said rate of fourteen pence the acre hath been duly paid to the plaintiff and his predecessors until of late; that the defendant for ten years past hath had and occupied feveral acres of meadow, pasture, and marsh land within the said parish, for which he ought to pay fourteen pence the acre yearly, according to the composition rate and custom aforesaid; but that he doth deny, and refuse to pay the same to the plaintiff.

The defendant by his answer denied the custom.

The plaintiff thereupon replied; and witnesses were examined on the part of the plaintiff; and the cause came on to be heard this day.

And foralmuch as the plaintiff had fully proved the custom, and that the defendant is in arrear according to the charge of the bill; and the defendant being served with a fubpana to hear judgment, and being now present in court, but having retained no counsel; and upon hearing counsel for the plaintiff, and reading the answer, and the depositions taken in the cause,

THE COURT ordered, that the defendant shall shew cause why he should not pay to the plaintiff the tithes due for the

#### **DECREES IN TITHE CAUSES**

SWINHOCK azainst Higginson. faid one hundred and fifty acres for ten years, after the rate of fourteen pence an acre; and why a decree should not be drawn up accordingly.

21ft June 1652.

And in Trinity Term, in the fourth year of Charles the Second, no counsel attending for the defendant, and upon hearing counfel for the plaintiff,

THE COURT ordered, that the defendant shall pay to the faid plaintiff eighty-seven pounds ten shillings for the arrears of the faid composition rate for the faid tithes due for the said one hundred and fifty acres for ten years, ending at Michaelmas 1649, after the rate of fourteen pence an acre, forthwith upon fight of this decree, or a true copy thereof.

> STEELE, Chief Baron. THORPE, Baron.

TRIN. TERM, 4. CAR. 2.

BAYNTON, Knight, against BENNETT. Wiltsbire, 28th June 1652.

dral church of Winchester, by indenture under their

A custom to pay THE bill stated, that the late dean and chapter of the cathetwelve bushels I dral church of Winchester, by indenture under chain other parish is

of wheat out of common feal, dated the twenty-fixth day of June, in the eleventh one parish as a year of Charles the First, did "demise and grant unto T. Lamcomposition to " bert all that rectory and parsonage of Rewill, with all houses, the rector of an- " and tithing of corn and grass, and all commodities to the same " belonging, which he then had, or that H. Blackborrow or "7. Blagden, or their assigns, before had, or ought to have, " belonging to the faid rectory, to have and to hold to the faid "T. Lambert, his executors and affigns, for twenty-one years;" that afterwards the same, by several conveyances, came to William Dunch, who for some time enjoyed the same; that in February 1646 he conveyed the same to the plaintiff, who, by virtue thereof, became possessed of the said rectory and premises, and received the profits thereof; and that amongst other things belonging to the faid rectory there is due to the rector and impropriator, about the first day of November yearly, twelve bushels of wheat out of certain lands in the parish of Steeple Ashton, as a portion of tithes or composition for tithes arising out of the faid lands, which hath been paid for the space of fixty years and upwards by the tenants to the rector and impropriator of Rewill, and which the defendant himself, until of late, hath constantly paid; but that for five years past he had refused to pay the said twelve bushels of wheat; and also hath refused to let plaintiff know from what lands the said tithes arise; and thereupon he prayed the aid of the court to be relieved in the premises.

The

The defendant answered, and confessed, that he had paid twelve bushels of wheat to the rector of Rewill every year for twenty-one years, but at no certain times; but denied that he paid it as a portion of tithes, or as a composition for tithes.

BAYNTON against BENNETT.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined.

The eause came on to be heard this day before Mr. Baron THORPE; and upon debate of the matter, and reading the depositions of divers witnesses in the cause,

THE COURT ordered, that the plaintiff shall bring an An issue directsection, wherein he shall declare, that the defendant, in consistent custom. deration of five shillings in hand paid by the plaintiff, hath affirmed and promised, that if the plaintiff shall prove that the owners and farmers of the farm of Steeple Asbtan have accustomed, and for the time being ought to pay twelve bushels of wheat yearly, as a portion of tithes or composition for tithes, to the rector of Rewill for the time being, that then he the faid defendant will pay to the plaintiff forty shillings; the defendant at the trial to admit the plaintiff's title to the rectory of Rewill, and infift only upon the right of payment of the faid twelve bushels of wheat yearly,

The faid trial was had accordingly, and a verdict given for the plaintiff. And upon hearing counsel for the said parties,

THE COURT ordered, that the defendant thall pay to The payment the plaintiff twenty pounds for the arrears of the tithes in quel-decreed. tion for five years, and that for the future he shall continue the payment of the faid tithes,

STEELE, Chief Baron. THORPE, Baron.

## AUDLEY against FIDDY and Others. Yorkshire, 20th November 1654.

MICH. TERM, 6. CAR. 2.

THE bill fet forth, that William Wood, late prior of the monaf- A custom that tery of Bridlington, was heretofore seised, in right of his said the fishermen of house and priory, of and in the rectory of Scarborough, and of Scarborough shall all houses, buildings, and glebe lands, tithes of corn, grain, hay, the twentieth and yearly profits or customary payments for fish taken at sea by part of all fish the inhabitants of the town of Scarborough; that the faid they hall catch priory and rectory came to the crown in the reign of Henry, the in the fea, or Eighth by the attainder of the faid William Wood, the faid late the twentieth prior, for high treason; by reason whereof King Henry the Eighth thereof, in lieu became lawfully feifed of the faid rectory and premifes in his demegne of all tithes for as of fee in right of his crown; that the inhabitants of the town keeping fifting of Scorbergiah, which is fittated mon the thore of the main fea. thips, hoats, and of Scarborough, which is fituated upon the shore of the main sea, cobbles, &c. is good.

See flat. 3. Edw. 6. c. 13. f. 11. Noy, 108. 1. Roll. Rep. 419. 1. Sid. 278. 1. Lev. 179. 2. Keb. 273. 1. Roll. Abr. 636. Cro. Car. 339. 2. Vent. 5. have

against FIDDY.

The custom flated.

Grant of

plaintiff for life.

of Scarborough, refused to pay tithe.

have used, time whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary, to keep ships, cobbles, and boats, wherein they use to go to sea and catch fish, and whereby they have used a constant trade of fishing for herrings, cods, ling, haddocks, whitings, and other forts of sea fish, and have gained their living and livelihood thereby; and they using the said trade, by the like custom, time whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary, have used to be answerable and accountable for, and to pay from time to time to the faid rector for the fame, for the time being, the twentieth part of all fuch fish as they took at sea, or the twentieth part of the value of the faid fish, wheresoever the same could be sold, by way of recompence or facisfaction for the employment of the faid ships, cobbles, and boats, and of the labour of the faid inhabitants for exercifing themselves in the said trade of fishing; that accordingly, after the faid rectory came to the crown by the diffolution of the faid priory of Bridlington, the faid profit for employment of ships and boats as aforesaid, among other the tithes and profits belonging to the faid rectory, was particularly answered to the crown, and stood in charge under a distinct value among other the particulars belonging to the faid rectory, and so continued until the said rectory was granted out by lease to others, and then the faid profit was made parcel of the particulars of the faid rectory, and the rent of the fame increased thereby; that after the death of the late King Henry the Eighth the faid rectory and premises descended and came as of right to King the Edward the Sixth, Queen Mary, and Queen Elizabeth; that the rectory to the faid Queen Elizabeth, being fo seised, by her letters patents, dated the twenty-second day of December, in the thirty-fifth year of her reign, and enrolled in the high court of chancery, did give, grant, and to farm let, unto John Audley, the plaintiff's father, and Susan his then wife, and to the plaintiff, the said rectory and church of Scarborough, and all the tithes and yearly profits and customary payments for fish aforesaid, to have and to hold the fame for their lives, at the yearly rent of one hundred and fourteen pounds fix shillings and eight-pence, payable half-yearly; that the faid plaintiff's father and mother have long fince been dead, and plaintiff hath been seised of all the said rectory, &c. as aforesaid, and hath quietly held, received, and enjoyed the same, and the profits thereof, for twenty-five years and upwards, The defendants, until five years last past; that the said defendants, being fisherbeing affermen men of Scarborough, who for five years last past had used the said trade of fishing with ships, boats, and cobbles, and had yearly taken great store of fish at sea, and sold the same at several places, and thereby had made and raifed to themselves good profit to each of them, had denied and refused to satisfy and pay the faid plaintiff the faid profit or customary payment, or to give him any fatisfaction for the same, pretending some release and discharge from the plaintiff. Therefore he, by his faid bill, prayed relief of the court. The

The defendants Fiddy and Dinke by their answers denied that the faid W. Wood was seised in right of the priory of Bridlington of the rectory of Scarborough; and said, that they knew not that the faid rectory and priory came to the crown by attainder, nor could a prior forfeit his priory for a personal crime without an act of parliament. They confessed that the inhabitants of Scarborough, time out of mind, had kept ships and boats, wherein they used a trade of fishing for herrings, cod, whitings, and other sea-fish, and gained their livelihood thereby; but they denied that time out of mind and deny the the fishermen of Scarborough have used to pay the twentieth part plaintiff's right of fifth by them taken, or the twentieth part of the value thereof, to the tithe in as charged in bill, and that they know not that by the diffelution, question, as charged in bill; and that they knew not that by the diffolution of the faid monastery the faid profits came to the crown, and stood charged under a distinct value, and so continued until the same was made parcel of the faid rectory, and the rent of the fame increased thereby; nor that the said rectory did descend to Queen Elizabeth, or was granted by her to the faid plaintiff and the joint patentees, nor whether the same be come to the plaintiff; that they knew not what rent is referved, nor ought they to pay any rent for the faid profits of fishing, for they are informed that some ancient men were lately living who affirmed, that nothing was exacted as of right, but that the payments were what the owner voluntarily pleased. They also averred, that no profit for lobsters was ever paid or demanded by the rectors of the faid rectory; but alledging, that confessed, that the said rectors have had of the defendants and the former payothers, fometimes more or lefs, compositions for fish fold in that luntary or port, and that they have exacted fish in kind, or composition for acted. fish, though not vended there, but that the same was done by force, and the payments made for fear of fuits; that they have used the trade of fishing with boats and cobbles for five years, and have fold the same at several places, but not to the amount of fourscore pounds each of them a year.

Audley against PIEDY.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both sides.

The cause had come on to be heard on the fixth of February A 1653; and upon opening the bill, and reading the defendant's decree in favour answers, and the grant made by the late Queen Elizabeth to of the plaintiff, the plaintiff and others of the rectory of Scarborough; and also upon reading some ancient ministers accounts, shewing what hath been answered for the twentieth part since the said rectory came to the crown; and also on reading the depositions taken on the plaintiff's part in the faid cause,

IT WAS ORDERED by the Court, that a decree should be drawn By BARON up to establish the possession of the payment of the said twen-THORFE. tieth part of the fish taken by the inhabitants of Scarborough now in question with the plaintiff and his affigns until he or

AUDLET against Findy.

they be evicted by law, unless cause shall be shewn to the contrary; at which time the court will consider what allowance shall be given to the plaintiff for the faid twentieth part for the five years arrears mentioned in the bill, according to the proofs in the cause.

The defendant not shewing any cause last Term, pursuant to the faid order, the Court was moved on behalf of the plaintiff on the fifth of May 1653.

The decree drawn up.

Whereupon it was ordered, that a decree should be drawn up according to the purport of the faid recited order, and tendered to THE BARONS of this Court to be figned, fo as it might be entered, unless cause was shewn to the contrary.

But upon the motion of counsel for the defendants, it being alledged that the faid defendants were not ready at the time of the faid hearing, nor made any defence therein, by reason they fent up their commission and depositions thereupon taken by 2. messenger who could not depose his receipt thereof from the commissioners,

A rehearing granted.

IT WAS THEREFORE ORDERED by the Court, on the tenth of May, that, the defendant paying the plaintiff five pounds costs, the faid cause should be reheard; and upon full and deliberate hearing of the faid cause, and the same being strongly debated by counsel on both fides,

An issue directcustom.

IT WAS ORDERED by the Court, by and with the consent of ed to try the both parties, that the custom set forth in the said bill shall be referred to a trial at law, in an action to be brought by the plaintiff against the defendants after the usual manner; in which action both parties shall stand only upon the custom: which action shall be tried at the bar of this court by a jury of Middlesex, and the equity of the bill be reserved until after the said trial.

A verdict found

The trial being had according to the faid order, and for the plaintiff, the plaintiff, having fully proved the custom, obtained a verdict, and on the feventeenth of November 1654, the Court was moved by the plaintiff's counsel, that inasmuch as the plaintiff had obtained a verdict upon the trial, and judgment entered thereupon, the possession of the payment of the said twentieth part of the fish in question might be established with the plaintiff, and that a decree might be drawn up thereupon, according to the order of the fixth of February last; and that the Court would be pleafed to confider what allowance should be given to the plaintiff for the twentieth part for the five years mentioned in the faid bill.

> IT WAS THEREUPON ORDERED by the Court, that the faid decree formerly pronounced by the Court as aforefaid should be drawn

drawn up and made absolute, and the possession of the payment of the twentieth part of the fish in question established with the faid plaintiff, wherein confideration should be taken of the faid arrears, unless cause was shewn to the contrary on this day.

Austra againfl FIDDY. .

And no cause being shewed to the contrary,

It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Payment of the Court, that the possession of the twentieth part of the fish taken tithes decreed, at fea by the defendants or either of them, being inhabitants of pursuant to the the town of Scarborough aforefaid, and using the trade of fishing there, or the value thereof, shall be established with the plaintiff or his affigns; and that the faid defendants shall for the future pay to the faid plaintiff, or to his affignee or affignees, during his or their estate in the premises, the twentieth part of the fish hereafter to be by them taken at sea, or the wentieth part of the value of the faid fish wheresoever the same shall be taken up or fold, according to the cuftom before fet forth in the bill.

And as touching the arrears thereof for the five years next before the exhibiting of the faid bill; forasmuch as it is proved by the plaintiff that the faid twentieth part of the fish in question taken by each of the faid defendants in each of the faid five years respectively was worth four pounds, which will amount in all to forty pounds,

It is further ordered, adjudged, and decreed by this Court, that the faid defendants shall respectively pay to the said plaintiff, or to his assignee or assignees, the sum of twenty pounds a-piece, in lieu of the twentieth part of the said fish taken and fold by the faid defendants during the faid five years before the exhibiting of the faid bill,

THORPE, Baron. NICHOLAS, Buron.

THOROWGOOD, Knight, and Others against DELABARRE. Taim. Trans. Kent, ift June 1654.

THE plaintiff's bill fet forth, that by an act of parliament made If a rector dethe eighth of June 1649, it was enacted, "that all tithes mile the rectory # appropriate of archbishops and bishops which, at any time covenant to pay within ten years before the beginning of that parliament, they all tithes, taxes, " held and enjoyed in right of their archbishoprics and bishop- and subsidies as-" rics, with all deeds and evidences concerning the same, sessed by parlia-" should, from the fixth of January 1649, be vested and settled ment or convein the real and actual possession of and seifin of Sir Henry manor, the af-" Holcroft Knight, and F. West, fince deceased, and of the said fignee of the replaintiffs and their heirs, and the furvivor and furvivors of vertien is bound

to allow to the

effec of his affigue a proportionate part of a parliamentary tax for the use of the army affesfed on a landlood mediate and immediate. " them,

DELABARRE.

THOROWGOOD " them, without any entry or other act, in trust, for the intent "mentioned in the faid act;" that by virtue of that act of parliament, they, the faid plaintiffs, became feifed of the premifes. and, amongst others, of the tithes of the impropriate rectory of The plaintiffs Dartford, belonging to the late Bishop of Rochester; that by intitle them- another act made in the same parliament, the first of April 1650, rectory, as trus. the faid Sir H. H. leroft, F. West, and the faid plaintiffs were also sees under an act entitled to receive all arrears of the faid tithes, not particularly of parliament. disposed of by the parliament, due from the first of December 1641 to the ninth of October 1646; that the said defendant hath been tenant, possessor, or occupier of the said tithes of the impropriate rectory of Dartford from the faid fixth of January 1649, and had received the profits thereof during that time, and refused or neglected to account with the plaintiff for the same, or fatisfy and pay the same to the said plaintiff, under pretence of a lease from the said Bishop of Rochester for years yet to come, for a certain rent; and that he refused to produce the same to the plaintiff, or to pay any rent referved upon the same, or to give copies of fuch leafe, whereby the faid plaintiffs are difabled to

> discharge the trust in them reposed, or to give a satisfactory account to the state when they shall be called upon. To be relieved in all which premises they exhibited their bill, and prayed that the faid defendant might answer the premises,

The defendant ducting the taxes.

The defendant answered, and confessed that the late Bishop of states himself to Rochester was seised of the impropriate rectory and the manor of be leffee of the artford; and that he, on the fourteenth of May 1640, demised rectory, with a last form to F. Derson for twenty one years, under the years. covenant on the the same to E. Darcey for twenty-one years, under the yearly part of the rec- rent of twenty-five pounds, payable half-yearly; and that the tor to pay all faid Bishop of Rochester, for him and his successors, "did parliamentary covenant and agree with the faid E. Darcey, his executors and taxes; and that he offered to " affigns, that the faid Bishop of Rochester and his successors should, pay the rent, de- " at his and their proper costs and charges, pay, bear, and sup-" port all and every the charge and charges whatfoever for the " faid manor and parsonage, coming growing, or due, and also " all and every the tenths, dismes, fifteenths, and subsidies, by " authority of parliament or convocation, or otherwise granted " or to be granted during the faid term;" that the faid E Darcey, on the thirty-first of January 1646, did assign over the premises to 7. Vasse in trust for the said defendant; that he had received the rents and profits of the premises ever since, and hath paid the faid rent to the plaintiffs until the twentieth of August 1651, and that he was and is ready, and hath offered to pay the said plaintiffs the rent due, so as the said plaintiffs will allow the taxes and payments affeffed upon the same by order of parliament, according to the agreement and covenant in the said lease made by the said Bishof of Rochester to E. Darcey,

> The plaintiff replied; and witnesses were examined on both fides; and upon hearing counsel, and after much debate in the çaufe,

Forasmuch

Forasmuch as the defendant, by his answer, hath not set forth THOROWGOOD what particular kinds of taxes he would have abated, nor when againg those taxes were granted, nor hath made any proof what taxes he hath paid, but did now alledge the same to be taxes for the

THE COURT doth adjudge and declare, that the covenant in The tax to be the leafe, mentioned in the answer, made by the late Bishop of paid by the lef-Rochester to E. Darcey, doth not extend to discharge the de-fee, fendant from the payment of the faid taxes.

But because the ordinances and acts of parliament for the pay- and deducted ing of the faid taxes for the army do lay the same by the way of a from the rent, land rate upon landlords, both mediate and immediate, according to their respective interests, therefore the plaintiff's, by virtue thereof, are to bear a proportionable part of the taxes, according to the rent referved upon the said lease, which appeareth to be twenty-five pounds per annum, and the faid impropriate tithes to be worth one hundred and twenty-five pounds a-year.

THE COURT therefore ordered, that the defendant shall according to the forthwith satisfy and pay to the plaintiff, or their assigns, proportion twenty-five pounds for the arrears of the rent for one year, which the rent ended 1652, having allowance of the taxes paid out of the lue of the tithes. premises during the same time, after the rate and proportion of the yearly rent of twenty-five pounds only; and that the defendant, his executors, administrators, and assigns, shall for the future, during the remainder of the term in the leafe specified, continue the payment of the yearly rent of twentyfive pounds to the plaintiffs or their affigns, deducting only fuch taxes out of the same according to the rate and proportion of twenty-five pounds a-year as shall be thereupon lawfully charged.

And IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the faid plaintiffs shall have a true copy of the said lease, if they shall desire the same.

> THORPE, Baron. NICHOLAS, Baron,

PAGE against LAWE. Northamptonshire, 26th October 1654.

MICH. TERM. 6. CAR. 2.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff had been for twelve years past Tithe is due for the true owner and proprietor of the impropriate rectory or furze cut. The parsonage of Cundle, and that he ought to have had all manner inhabitants of a of tithes of corn, grain, have furzes, wood lamber milk culture hall pay of tithes of corn, grain, hay, furzes, wool, lambs, milk, calves, tithes to the recand all other great and small tithes arising within the manor or torof the parish.

A cuitom stated,

that the inhabitants of a hamlet shall pay 13s. 4d. yearly, in lieu of all small tithes.

lordship

PAGE against LAWE. lordship of Cundle, and all the hamlets, and places, and territories whatfoever, part of the faid manor, as belonging to the faid rectory; that the hamlet of Albton then was, and always hath been, within the faid rectory of Cundle; that the inhabitants of the faid hamlet have always paid, and ought of right to pay, their tithes arising and renewing within the said hamlet to the rector

small tubes kind

plaintiff of the faid rectory in kind; that the defendant was an inhabitant elaims the tithe in Ashton aforesaid for several years past, and ought to have paid of furze and his tithes to the plaintiff, but that for seven years past he had not in fet out for the plaintiff any tithes of furzes growing therein, although he had cut several; that he also denied to pay to the faid plaintiff any tithes of wool, lamb, milk, calves, pigs, pigeons, turkeys, apples, and other fruit, or any other of his small tithes, although he had sheared many sheep, and had many lambs, calves, pigs, turkeys, pigeons, apples, and other fruit, and much milk within the faid rectory, for which he ought to have paid tithes in kind to plaintiff; and he prayed that the defendant might fet forth what quantity of furze he had cut down and carried away for seven years past, and what small tithes he had in the said hamlet of Ashton, and give the plaintiff a satisfaction for the same.

The defendant tithes.

The defendant answered, and alledged, that although he had pleads a cuf- been an inhabitant in Ashton aforesaid, for the time mentioned, tomary payment yet he never paid any tithes for furzes or pigeons to the plaintiff, in lieu of small or any other impropriator of Cundle, nor doth he conceive any ought to be paid for the fame, or any thing in lieu thereof: and for the other tithes in the bill mentioned, called small tithes, he denied the same, or any part of them, to be due and of right belonging and payable in kind to the plaintiff, or any other impropriator of the faid rectory; for that the custom and usage, time out of mind or otherwise, hath been in the said hamlet of Afoton, "that the inhabitants of the said hamlet shall pay a rate or fum of money in gross, or as a rent, to the impropriator of " Cundle, of thirteen shillings and fourpence yearly, in lieu and fatisfaction of all tithes commonly called small tithes, or white " tithes, yearly arising in the said hamlet;" that the defendant had made a tender of the fame to the plaintiff, in satisfaction of the faid small tithes, which he had refused to accept. The defendant by his further answer set forth the number of sheep, lambs, and calves he had, which were depastured in the common fields of the village or hamlet of Ashton.

> The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined on the part of the plaintiff only.

> Upon opening the pleadings, and reading of feveral depositions, and of several records produced by the defendant,

It is ordered and decreed by the Court, that the poffef- By BARON. fion of the faid tithe furzes shall be settled with the faid plain- THORPE and BARON NICHOLDER.

It is further ordered, that the possession of the said small tithes shall be established with the said plaintiff until he be evicted by law.

And to the end a trial may be had touching the manner of An iffue directithing mentioned in the answer, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ed to try the that the defendant shall bring an action against plaintiff, and custom. that the custom of tithing shall be the only thing to be tried. And the equity of the said cause reserved.

In pursuance of the said order, a trial was had, and a verdict TRIM. TERM, given for the plaintiff.
7. CAR. 2.

Upon hearing Counsel on both sides, and reading the said order, and the record of the said trial,

IT IS ORDERED by the Court, that the faid defendant shall Tithes in kind pay to the said plaintiff eight pounds for the tithes in question, and shall continue the payment of the tithes for the suture to the plaintiff. And IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the said defendant shall pay to the said plaintiff four marks for his costs.

WM. STEELE, Chief Baron.

TOWRIE against PEARSON. Yorksbire, 13th November 1654. MICH. TREM, 6. CAR. 2.

THE bill fet forth, that the late King James was heretofore A minifer's acseised, in his demessee as of fee, in right of his late crown of counts, a pa-England, of and in the rectory of Kirkby Grindelitch, with the pal ball, and an rights, members, and appurtenances thereof; and being fo ancient feifed, by his letters patents sealed, dated the twenty-fixth of in the archives February, in the fourth year of his reign over England, did of a college may give and grant the faid rectory and premises to Richard Foster be read in eviand his heirs for ever, yearly paying eight pounds; by virtue dence. whereof the faid Richard Foster became seised of the said rectory and premifes in fee; which estate was, by good and sufficient conveyance and affurance, conveyed to the faid plaintiff, who hath for many years last past enjoyed the same; that a certain mesfuage and grounds called Mowthorpe Grainge is, and time out of mind hath been, and was ever reputed and taken to be within the faid rectory and the titheable places of the same; that the owners or occupiers of the faid Mowthorpe Grainge, with the lands and grounds to the same belonging, have continually, and time out of mind, paid, and been accustomed to pay, all manner of

agoinst PEARSON.

tithes arising upon the faid Grainge, lands, and grounds; and that the faid tithes were so paid by the owner and occupier of the faid grange and grounds from time to time without any manner of question or denial until of late that the said defendant, plaintiff being occupier of the same, began to deny to pay to the plainclaims tithe of tiff the tithes of wool, and lamb, and calve yearly arifing there-Mowiborge from ; that for five years past, the faid defendant hath occupied the fame, and had yearly kept, fed, and depastured divers beafts, and cattle upon the faid ground, viz. ewes, weathers, and milch cows, the tithes of which were worth yearly twenty pounds, which he had denied to pay to the faid plaintiff; and he prayed, that the defendant may discover and declare the reasons for so doing, and may set forth the number of sheep and cows, and the value of the tithes he had in the faid years; and that he may pay a fatisfaction for the same.

The defendant answered, and confessed, that he is owner and

monastery,

occupier of the faid grange and grounds, and that he doth deny to pay the tithes of wool, lamb, and calve, yearly arising and renewing thereof, for that he conceives no tithes ought to be paid of, for, or in respect of the same, or any part thereof, for The defendant that the faid grange, lands, and grounds were parcel of the land pleads that the and possession of and belonging to the late dissolved monastery sanos were par-cel of a diffolved of Old Malton, and in the hands and possession of the prior of the faid monastery at the time of the dissolution of the same in the reign of King Henry the Eighth, or in the hands and possession of some of his predecessors, priors of the said monaftery, in right of the said house and convent, before the said disfolution, and that during all the time that the faid grange, lands, and grounds, do continue in the possession and occupation of the owner thereof, no tithes ought to be paid out of the same, for that during the time they remained in the hands and possession of the faid prior and his predecessors, they were discharged of payment of tithes, and the same were so discharged at or before the diffolution of the faid monastery; that the said rectory of Kirkby Grindelitch was then also parcel of the Abbey of Kirkam, and being parcel of the faid abbey came to the crown in the reign of HENRY THE EIGHTH by the diffolution of the faid abbey; that the faid grange, lands, and grounds, being parcel of the faid late and discharged dissolved monastery of Old Malton, and being so discharged of from the pay- tithes as aforefaid, came to the crown in the thirty-first year of the ment of tithes; reign of the said king, by the dissolution of the said monastery; that the faid HENRY THE EIGHTH being seised of the said grange and lands, and of the faid rectory, the fame, by and after his death, came to the late King Edward the Sixth, who, by

> his letters patents, did give and grant unto John, then Earl of Warwick, all the faid grange, farm, tenements, and hereditaments of *Mowthorpe*, with all the appurtenances whatfoever, to have and to hold to him the faid Earl of Warwick, his heirs and

affigus, for ever, under the yearly rent of twenty shillings thereby reserved; and that the said Earl of Warwick, his heirs and affigns, should and might have, hold, use, and enjoy, within the faid grange, lands, and grounds, fo many and fuch rights, privileges, jurisdictions, franchises, liberties, commodities, profits, emoluments, and hereditaments whatfoever, with all and fingular the premises, as the last abbot of the said late monastery of Malten, in right of the faid late monastery, or any of his predecessors, or any other, at any time heretofore, having and posfeffing the premises, or any part thereof, had used or enjoyed, or ought, might, or could have held, used, or enjoyed, in the faid grange, lands, and grounds, by reason or pretence of any and conveyed, letters patents of any of his progenitors, or by reason or pretence so discharged, to of any lawful prescription, use, or custom, or otherwise in any the defendant. lawful manner whatfoever; that the faid grange, lands, and premises are now, by mesne conveyances and assurances well and fufficiently conveyed to the defendant and his heirs, and that he is lawfully seised thereof, and to have and enjoy the same, with all the rights, privileges, &c. as the same were granted to the said late Earl of Warwick, or as the last abbot of the said late monastery of Old Malton heretofore had held and enjoyed the same, 'The answer also states, that the defendant hath been owner and seised of the one moiety of the said grange, lands, and premises since the twenty-sixth of March 1650, and not before and of the other moiety thereof fince the third of November 1652; and confessed, that during the said times only that he was owner as aforesaid, he hath refused to pay any tithes to the plaintiff, as he hoped he might lawfully do; but denied the depasturing of any cattle, as stated in the bill, during the said time, and only a few ewes, weathers, and milch cows; and also that the tithes of the same so kept, fed, and depastured in said years were worth yearly twenty pounds.

Townie against PEARSON.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides.

The cause came on to be heard on the fixth instant, The hearing of when the plaintiff attended the hearing by his counsel; the cause adbut the defendant's counsel alledging that they were not prepared ment of costs. for the faid hearing, by reason of the want of some records, &c. concerning the discharge of the tithes in question, ir was THEREUPON ORDERED, that the faid defendant should pay to the faid plaintiff five pounds costs, and the said cause to be heard this present day.

Now upon opening the pleadings, and reading the letter; Certain patent of the said late King James, dated the twenty-fixth of and Pebruary, in the fourth year of his reign, made to the faid read in evidence. Richard Foster, whereby the said rectory of Kirkby Grindelitch and the faid tithes in Mowthorpe and Mowthorpe Grainge, as late parcel of the said possessions of the said late dissolved monastery of Kirkham,

TOWRIE against Pearson:

Kirkham, were conveyed to the faid Richard Foster, under whom the plaintiff claims the tithes in question. And upon reading several ministers accounts to prove how the said lands came to the crown, and of several depositions taken on the part of the plaintiff in the faid cause; and also upon reading the copy of part of an ancient writing or bull of POPE INNOCENT THE THIRD out of the Register of Alvingham, remaining in - College, in the university of Oxford, produced by the defendant, whereby it was endeavoured to be made out, that the faid grange and lands were exempted and discharged from payment of tithes: and upon full and deliberate hearing and debating of the faid cause,

An iffue direct-€d.

IT WAS ORDERED by the Court, that the right of the tithes in question shall be tried by an action to be brought at law, by the defendant against the plaintiff, in which action the defendant is to prove, that the land out of which the plaintiff now demands tithes of wool and lamb ought to be discharged thereof, as in his answer is set forth; the action to be tried at the bar of this court; the plaintiff to have a copy of the faid bull now produced in court by the defendant; and the equity of the cause to be reserved.

TRIN. TERM, 7. CAR. 2.

HARDWICKE, Clerk, against Newce.

Hertfordsbire, 21st June 1655.

A chaptain ap. THE plaintiff being minister of the parish-church of Muchpointed to offihadam, in Hortfordshire, preferred his bill concerning the badam, in Hertfordsbire, preferred his bill concerning the ciate by an order tithes of two hundred acres of arable land and eighteen acres of the house of tithes of two hundred acres of arable land and eighteen acres of commons inti- meadow within the faid parish, held by the defendant in 1653, thed to tithes. and for the tithe of wool and lambs sheared and fallen thereon, S. C. Hard. 4. and for the tithe of ten acres of upland meadow within the faid parish, cut by the said defendant, at sourpence by the acre, according to the custom there used.

> The defendant answered, and denied the plaintiff's title to the faid tithes.

> The plaintiff replied; and witnesses were examined on both fides.

> Upon opening the bill, and reading the answer of the defendant, who did not appear, although duly subpænaed, to hear judgment, as appeared by affidavit; and upon reading an order of the honourable house of commons, assembled in parliament, made the tweatieth of December 1643, whereby, for the causes in the said order expressed, it was ordered by the said commons, that the faid benefice of Much-Hadam, and the profits thereof should be forthwith sequestered for J. Paske, doctor in divinity the then rector there; and that the faid now plaintiff, then chaplain to the lord general, should officiate the faid

> > cure

ture of Much-badam, and preach diligently there; and that he, HARDWICKE paying all duties due to his majesty out of the said rectory, should have, for his pains therein, the parsonage-house, glebe lands, and all the profits, tithes, and revenues of or belonging to the faid church of Much-hadam until further order should be taken by the faid house of commons; and also upon reading the depolitions of divers witnesses proving the value of the tithes detained from the plaintiff by the defendant,

IT IS ORDERED by the Court; that the defendant shall pay to the plaintiff fifty-two pounds four shillings and fourpence for the value of the faid tithes by him detained from the plaintiff, unless cause be shewn to the contrary.

Now, upon hearing of counsel on both sides, and upon de- 28th year 1655. bate had concerning the validity of the plaintiff's title to the tithes by the order of the house of commons, and also concerning the value of the tithes (a), forafmuch as the witnesses examined in this cause do differ in the valuation of the tithes,

IT IS ORDERED by the Court, that the defendant do pay to the Present, W. plaintiff forty pounds for the value of his tithes for the faid year, Chief Baron. and that he shall from time to time pay to the plaintiff all such tithes and other dues as shall of right belong to the plaintiff for the time to come, so long as the plaintiff shall continue minifter of the parish.

(a) This case is reported by Hardres, 4, who fays, that the plaintiff exhibited his bill as parson of the parish for predial and other tithes, and upon proof of the quantity and values had a decree for the

whole; which, as THE CLERES faid, was the constant practice where a bill is exhibited for predial tithes, and the fingle value only demanded.

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL against BEARCROFT. TRIN. TERM, 7. CAR. 2. London, 25th June 1655.

THE bill fet forth, that King James being seised in fee, in The grantee of right of his crown of England, of and in the rectory and the rectory of parsonage impropriate of All Saints Steyning, otherwise called All London, ordered Hallows Steyning, in the city of London, with the rights, mem- to pay a feebers, and appurtenances thereof, and of and in all that meffuage farm rent, and called the parsonage-bouse, with the appurtenances, adjoining to to provide a the parsonage-bouse, with the appurtenances, adjoining to competent mithe parsonage of the distorage of the distora the Tower of London, and of all tithes, profits, and commodities to the said rectory belonging, by his letters patents, dated the seventh of October, in the fourth year of his reign, did grant the faid rectory, &c. to George Bingley and William Blake, and their heirs for ever, to hold as of the manor of Eust Greenwich in Vol. I.

GENERAL againfl BEARCROFT.

ATTORNEY free and common focage, referving to the faid king, his heirs and fuccessors the yearly fee farm rent of eight pounds, the said G. Bingley and W. Blake finding, at their costs and charges, a proper person to serve the cure there, and to administer the sacrament in the said parish-church, &c.; and being so seised, they, the said George Bingley and Wilhiam Blake, by indenture of bargain and fale dated the twen-ty-third of January in the faid year, enrolled in the high court of chancery, did give and grant the same to Humphrey Bearcroft, clerk, his heirs and affigns for ever; by which faid indenture he, the faid Humphrey Bearcroft, did covenant with them to fave and keep them, their heirs, and affigns, harmless against the faid king, his heirs, and fucceffors, of, for, or concerning all , the rents, fervices, and charges before-mentioned from and after the date of the faid indenture; which faid premises were defcended and come to the faid defendant as fon and heir; and that by virtue of the faid letters patent and indenture, he, being the affignee in law, ought as well to have paid the faid fee farm rent and found the chaplain as aforesaid; but that the said defendant had not for several years past paid the same, nor provided a competent minister there, and yet did exact the tithes of the parishioners beyond the proportion that is by the statute to be paid, and leaves them to provide a minister at their own expence, contrary to the very institution of impropriations, and to the said covenant; and thereupon he prayed that the faid defendant might be enjoined to perform the same covenant.

The defendant

The defendant answered, and confessed the seisin of the king; but the letters patent, and the covenant therein contained; and that says the inhabi- the same was sold to his father and came to him at his death; and tants had made that fince his death he had performed the faid covenant. a subsequent a answer also stated, that the parishioners of the said parish had, provide a mini. from time to time, by several indentures of lease, taken to farm fter themselves, of him the faid rectory, parsonage impropriate, parsonage house, and tithes until 1644, when they came to a further agreement with him to make choice of their minister during the term of their faid leafes; and for that cause he had not made any provision for a minister for twenty years past; and that he had paid the faid fee-farm rent.

> The attorney-general replied; witnesses were examined on both fides; and the cause came on to be heard.

> Upon opening the pleadings, and reading the depositions taken, and the covenant in the faid letters patent being agreed upon on both fides,

IT WAS ORDERED by the Court, that the faid defendant, his ordered the de-heirs, and affigns, shall yearly, and from time to time for ever, fendant to per- at his and their own proper costs and expences, find and provide form the cove- one convenient presbyter or chaplain to serve the cure and to administer the word and facrament within the said parish church

of All Saints Steyning, otherwise called Allhallows Steyning, and shall pay and bear all other charges, as well ordinary as extraordinary, out of the faid rectory of Allballows, arising or payable, or BEALCROFT. thereupon charged or to be charged from time to time for ever, according to the covenant in the faid letters patents contained. W. STEELE, Chief Baron.

GENERAL against

#### KIRKBY against REDHEAD and Others.

MICH. TERM, 7. CAR. 2.

Lancashire, 12th November 1655.

HE bill fet forth, that the rectory of Hawkesbead is, and, time The tithes f. out of mind, hath been, A RECTORY IMPROPRIATE; that the rectory of all tithes, oblations, and obventions, within the faid rectory, and Lancafire, eita. within the towns of Hawkesbead, Nibthwaite, Graithwaite, Claith, blished. Cotton, and Saturwaite, are belonging to the faid rectory, and ought to be paid to the proprietor and farmer thereof; that the plaintiff is, and for seven years past hath been, owner and impropriator of the faid rectory, and ought to have and receive the tithes thereof, great and small, and all oblations, obventions, Easter duties, rates, and all other duties and profits whatsoever -yearly happening and renewing within the limits and titheable places thereof, as the other owners and proprietors have timeout of mind received, in kind; but that nevertheless the defendants Redbead and Rawlinson had for several years denied and refused to pay their tithes and other duties, or to compound for the same; and that all the defendants in the faid years had been occupiers of feveral parcels of lands in the faid limits, and had feveral great and fmall tithes thereon, as in the faid bill fet forth, which they refused to pay in kind, under several pretences of customary payments, &c. The bill therefore prayed a full discovery of the fame; of the quantities and values of thetithes; an account and fatisfaction for them; and a quiet enjoyment thereof.

The defendants answered, and confessed the said rectory to have been time out of mind impropriate; that the towns, bailiwicks, and precincts aforefaid, did all lie within the faid rectory; and that the tithes, as well great as small, arising, &c. therein, were fometime heretofore reputed as belonging to the faid rectory: and the defendants Redhead and Rawlinson said, that all the lands, meffuages, tenements, and hereditaments, fituated within the faid towns, &c. had been and were anciently, before the reign of King John, or before the council of Lateran, and during the reign of the faid king, parcel of the lands and possessions of the late dissolved monastery of Saint Mary of Furnies, within the said county of Lancaster, and belonging to the same, and so continued till the thirty-first year of Henry the Eighth, and were then given to the crown, and to the faid king, his

KIRERY

against

REDHEAD

AND OTHERS.

heirs and fucceffors, and were fince, by other acts of parliament, annexed to and made parcel of the lands belonging to the crown in right of THE DUCHY OF LANCASTER; and that the aforesaid monastery was freed and discharged from payment of any manner of tithes whatsoever; which said privileges, &c. were also allowed, ratified, and confirmed by the laws and statutes of this nation, as fully stated in the said answer. All the said defendants fully set forth the letters patents in their said answers, by which the said lands and grounds descended to them; and claimed the lands, &c. they held, to be exempt from payment of tithes; and, as to other lands, they set up several customs in lieu of tithes, and set forth the values thereof.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both sides.

Now upon opening the pleadings, and upon long hearing and debate as well of the demands in the faid bill as of the matters respectively insisted upon by the said defendants in their answer for their respective discharges from the payment of tithes, or of a modus or certain sum or composition in lieu of tithes,

IT WAS ORDERED AND DECREED by the Court, that, as The Court direct a trial at touching the discharge insisted upon by Redhead and Rawlaw, whether linfon of being freed from the payment of any tithes whatthe lands are foever, for or in respect of the messuages, lands, and tenedischarged ments used by them, or either of them, within the faid rectory, or arising or yearly growing of or upon the same, it be referred to a trial at law, Whether the said mesfuages, lands, and tenements, by them held and used within the aforesaid rectory and the tithable places thereof, are and ought to be freed and discharged of and from the payment of all manner of tithes to the plaintiff; by which action, against which soever of the said defendants, Redbead and Rawlinson, the fame shall be brought, the other defendants shall be concluded. The equity of the cause to be reserved till after the said trial be had.

And IT WAS FURTHER ORDERED by the Court, that the defendants Braithwaite, and Wilson, shall account for and pay their tithes, modus, and ancient customary payments to the said plaintiff, as well for the time past as for the suture; and for the better ascertaining the same, the said plaintiff may take out a commission for that purpose.

The several moduses stated.

The answer, in setting out the moduses, stated, that the defendants and their ancestors, or those whose estates they then had, whereof tithes are demanded, having all customary lands, and, held by a customary estate of inheritance, from ancestors to hire, according to the custom of the manor of Furnies, had, by a prescription

Ωľ

KIRKBY or custom, time out of mind, enjoyed the faid messuages, lands, and tenements, and so ought to enjoy the same, free from the payment REDREAD of any tithes of corn, grain, and sheaves in kind, by and upon the AND OTHERS. payment of feveral small sums of money for the several messuages, &c. in their possessions, as well for their ancient arable land, as for their lands lately improved and converted from pasture into arable, THAT IS TO SAY, the defendant J. Wilson by the payment of elevenpence halfpenny; the defendant W. Braithwaite one shilling and threepence; and J. Braithwaite two shillings and six- Corn and grain. pence yearly for all their tithes of corn, grain, and sheaves whatsoever, growing upon their respective lands for seven years in the faid bill charged; and that they, for all other tithes whatfoever, as well greater as leffer, had, by prescription or custom, time out of mind, used to pay the several sums following, THAT IS TO SAY, for every tenth lamb twenty-pence; and if but four lambs, then Lambs. twopence; if five, tenpence; if fix, and under ten, one shilling and fixpence: for milk; for every geld milch cow or hand Cows. milch cow, one penny, and for every muckett cow, twopence; for every calf, if but four calves and under, one penny; for every Calves. calf, if five calves, two shillings and sixpence; if ten, then five shillings: for bees; for every swarm under five, one penny; for Bees. five, three shillings and fourpence; if ten, then fix shillings and and eightpence: for pigs; for every pig under five, one half-Pigs. penny; if five, then tenpence; if fix, then one shilling and fixpence; if ten, one shilling and eightpence: for geese, whether Geese. many or few, twopence: for foals; for every foal, one penny: Foals. for ploughs; for every plough, one penny: for oblations; for Ploughs. every man and his wife, one penny yearly; for unmarried persons, for the first year, one halfpenny, for every year after until they be married, twopence: and for all other manner of tithes whatfoever (excepting wool), for every house seven pence half-penny yearly; and that for wool they always used to pay the tenth fleece.

In pursuance of the said decree, a commission issued, and was 5th May 1656. executed, and returned. Now upon reading the said decree, and the depositions returned,

IT WAS ORDERED by the Court, that it be referred to THE The value of AUDITOR of the county of Lancaffer to peruse and cast up how the tithes to be much hath been paid to the said plaintiff by the said defendants for calculated their tithes, moduses, and customary payments aforesaid, and what is still due to him according to the values thereof, and to return his certificate to this court, so as the said cause may be further heard upon the said certificate.

Mr. Sadler, auditor of the said county, returned his certifi- 12th May 1656, cate; and now upon reading the same and the said decrees, and upon hearing counsel on all parties,

₹ 3

KIRKBY against REDREAD AND OTHERS.

IT WAS ORDERED by the Court, that the faid defendants shall forthwith pay to the faid plaintiffs the several sums certified due to him for tithe wool, and for the tithes, medufes, and customary payments for the year and time in the faid bill specified; andshall from time to time respectively pay the aforesaid moduses decimandi, and ancient customary payments and rate, and at the respective times mentioned, and shall respectively pay their respective tithes of wool in kind to the plaintiff for the time to come.

MICH. TERM, In pursuance of the said decree, an action was brought, and a 8. CAR. 2 17th Nov. 1656. verdict given for the faid plaintiff.

> Upon reading now the faid poster, and hearing of counsel on both fides, and the defendant Redhead's counsel alledging the faid trial was had upon the plaintiff's evidence only, his witnesses not being present, and upon reading affidavits and hearing counsel,

New trial granted.

IT WAS ORDERED by the Court, that a new trial be had accordingly, upon the faid defendant paying to the plaintiff ten pounds costs towards the last trial.

BASTER TERM 9. CAR. 2. 2016 April 1657.

A trial was had pursuant to the last order, and a verdict again passed for the said plaintiss, that the messuage, lands, and premifes of the faid defendant Redhead were not discharged from payment of tithes as aforesaid, as by the poster returned and produced in court appeared.

The plaintiff's counsel then desiring that the of the defendant's meffuages, lands, and premises aforesaid, and other the payments and duties aforesaid, might be ordered and decreed to be paid to the plaintiff in kind, or due fatisfaction for the same, as by his bill prayed, and for a commission to enquire into the same, and no counsel appearing for the said defendant,

Present, LAS and BARON PARKER.

IT IS THUS DECLARED AND ORDERED, &c. by the Court, that BARON NICHO- the faid tithes and duties of the lands, messuages, tenements, and hereditaments in the faid defendant's possession or occupation for the seven years in the faid bill mentioned, and in the said answer set forth, are payable, and to be paid, in kind; and that the fame shall be forthwith paid and fatisfied to the faid plaintiff as well for the time past as for the future; and that a commission under the feal of this court shall be awarded for ascertaining the fame.

TRIN. TERM, In pursuance to the said decree a commission issued, and was 9. CAR. 2. executed, and returned into this court. Upon hearing counsel for 37th June 1657 the said plaintiff and defendant, and reading the decree and return of the commission and proofs, whereupon the value of the tithes of his corn is proved but of small or no value, yet it evidently appearing that the faid plaintiff hath right to all the faid tithes demanded by the bill,

Įτ

IT IS ORDERED by the Court, AND DECLARED, that the faid plaintiff hath right to the tithes and duties of the messuages, lands, tenements, and hereditaments, and other the premises in AND OTHERS. the faid defendants possession or occupation, and by him enjoyed for seven years; and that all and singular the said tithes and duties are yearly payable and to be paid in kind from time to time; and that the faid defendant shall duly fet forth and pay to the faid plaintiff all his tithes that have arisen and happened, or that shall hereafter arise and happen within the said rectory and places aforefaid, in kind, from time to time, in the years in the bill specified, and for the future.

KIRERY agains

NICHOLAS, Baron. PARKER, Baron. HILL, Baron.

HARTOPP, Knt. against Tookey, Clerk, and Another. Mich. Term, Leicestersbire, 15th November 1655.

THE bill fet forth, that whereas Matthew, then late Bishop of The pension of Ely, was, in right of his faid bishoprick of Ely, lawfully 31. 60 8d iffufeifed to him and his successors of a yearly pension of three ing out of the pounds fix shillings and eightpence issuing out of the rectory of in Leicester Bire, Galby, in the county of Leicester; and that he, by indenture dated established the 14th of December, in the fourteenth year of the late KING See Wrags CHARLES, did demise to the plaintiff the aforesaid pension for Whalley, Baster three lives; by virtue whereof the plaintiff was seised, and for Term, 5. Geo. 2. divers years received the same of the then rector T. Tookey, the defendant's father; that afterwards, the lands and possessions of the late bishop being by ordinances of parliament vested and settled in certain trustees to be sold, the inheritance of the said annual pension was purchased by some friends of the said plaintiff for him; that nevertheless the said pension, for thirteen years past, had been in arrear and unpaid by the said defendant Tookey's father, and himself; the said plaintiff not knowing of whom to demand the fame, the aforesaid T. Tookey having the perpetual donation of the faid rectory, and having made feveral conveyances thereof to the defendant Whalley's late husband, who claimed a title thereto. The bill therefore prayed a discovery and payment of the faid pension, and the arrears thereof.

The defendant Tookey answered, and confessed that he was then rector there, but that in case the said plaintiff had a right to receive the faid pension, he was not liable to pay the same, or any arrears thereof, for any longer time than he was rector there.

The plaintiff replied; and the cause descended to issue; and witnesses were examined.

The cause came on to be heard this day.

And

#### DECREES IN TITHE CAUSES

Hartopd againfi Tookry AndAnother.

And the Court DECLARED, ORDERED, AND DECREED, that the faid rectory, in whose hands soever the same is and remaineth, is liable to the payment of the said pension, and of the arrears thereof; and that the said defendant Tookey, now rector of the said rectory, shall pay and satisfy to the plaintiff, for the arrears of the aforesaid pension due and incurred at the time of exhibiting this bill, the sum of twenty pounds, with sive pounds for his costs; and that the said defendant shall likewise pay and satisfy to the said plaintiff for the suture, as the same shall grow due and payable during the time as he shall continue rector of the said rectory.

STEELE, Chief Baron. NICHOLAS, Baron.

TRIM. TERM, 8. CAR. 2. STAVELEY against Ullithorne and Others, Yorksbire, 24th June 1656.

Lands in Stemmingforth, in Yorkfore, discharged of Studley, belonging to the collegiate church of Rippon, and of all the tithes of wool and lamb arising, &c. within the townthe Cifertians.

S.C. Stiles, 411. the faid prebend, by his letters patent, dated the twenty-eighth of

April, in the fixth year of his reign, did give and grant unto

S.C. Hard. 101.

F. Phillion and R. Moore and their heirs, in fee farm, the prebend

See other causes, of Studley, and all and singular the tithes of wool and lamb arisMich. 6 Car. 2. ing, &c. within the townfields of Stenning forth, at the yearly
and Hil. 16. Car.
2. and Easter, virtue whereof they became lawfully seised of the said prebend and
Hil. 20. Geo. 2. of the tithes of wool and lamb; that they, by deed inrolled in the
and Hil. 20. court of common pleas within fix months after the date thereof,
according to the statue, did grant, bargain, and sell unto Sir

and Hil 20 court of common pleas within fix months after the date thereof, according to the statute, did grant, bargain, and fell unto Sir William Ingilby, Knight, and T. Ingilby, and their heirs (among other things), all and fingular the faid prebend of Studley, and the tithes of wool and lamb as aforefaid, who became lawfully seised thereof to them and their heirs for ever; which said estate afterwards came to and was vested in William Ingilby, nephew of Sir William Ingilby, and his heirs, who entered thereon, and became lawfully seised thereof in his demessee as of fee; and being so seised, he, by indenture of demise, dated the tenth of . October, in the eighth year of the reign of the late KING CHARLES, for the confiderations therein mentioned, demifed to Baffett Stavely, deceased, his executors, &c. all the said tithes of wool and lamb, and all other tithes whatfoever, coming, &c. within the townfields and territories of Stenning forth as aforesaid (the tithes of mines and quarries only excepted), to hold the fame for twentyone years; by virtue of which he entered into the faid tithes and premifes, and did receive and take a good part of the faid tithes; that

that in May 1646 the faid Baffett Staveley died intestate, possessed Staveley of the fame; after whose death the plaintiff had letters of administration granted to him, and as administrator became possessed of the faid leafe for the remainder of the faid term; that the defendants for the last seven years, living within Stenningforth, had fubtracted their respective tithes, of which part was due to the plaintiff as administrator. The bill therefore prayed an account Prayed an acof their tithes of wool and lamb, and a recompence for the same. count of wool

The defendants answered, and said, that they are and ought to be cleared and discharged by law for their respective lands and tenements of the payment of any tithes; for that the lands they respectively stand seised of to them and their heirs, were parcel of the possessions of, and were belonging to, the Abbot of Fountaines, and were vested in that abbey before the second council of Lateran; which abbey was of the Ciftertian order; and that all the lands and poffessions of the faid abbey, coming to the crown by a statute made in the thirty-first year of Henry the Eighth, were and ought, by the faid order and statute, to be discharged of and from the payment of all manner of tithes in the owner's hands, in as large and ample manner as the abbot held the same discharged; and that, the said defendants claiming and deriving their title from the crown, the inheritance of the faid lands, by force of the said order, ought to be discharged from payment of all tithes for those lands during such time as they hold the said lands in their own hands and possession; that although the defendants, the Ullithornes, did pay their tithes to the faid Baffett Staveley for some two years, yet if they ought to be discharged, those payments have not prejudiced them, as they conceive; and that the defendant Atkinson did never pay any tithes.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witneffes were examined on both fides.

Upon opening the pleadings, and on debate of the matter,

IT IS ORDERED by this Court, that, as concerning the discharge infifted on by the defendants, it shall be referred to a trial at law upon the usual terms; the issue to be, whether the messuages, lands, and grounds the defendants hold in Stenningforth, parcel of and belonging to the Abbey of Fountaines, be discharged from payment of tithes by the Ciftertian order, in such manner as the fame is fet forth in the answer, or not?

Which trial was accordingly had, and a verdict thereupon TRIN. TERM, passed for the defendants. 9. CAR. 2. 151b June 1657.

In pursuance of an order dated the tenth of February, a new 10th Feb. 1657. trial was granted, to be had at the bar of this court, by a jury of

STATELET of the county of York; which trial was also had, and a verdict against given for the defendants (a).

The cause coming on for further directions, upon reading the said orders and verdicts, the counsel for the defendants desiring that the bill might be dismissed,

IT IS ORDERED by the Court, that the faid bill, and the faid defendants, be absolutely dismissed this court.

(a) The action appears to have been debt on the statute 2. Edw. 6. c. 13. S. C. Stiles, 411. 422.; and the Court was of opinion, that the order of the council of Lateran, which freed the Cifterian order from the payment of tithes, was a general law received in England; and, if these lands were discharged of tithes from the time of that council, that no after covenant or contract made by the abbot to pay tithes gould dispense with this privilege, or

make them liable to tithes; for once dicharged by this council, and always discharged; for this council is as foreible as an act of parliament, which concludes all parties: and the Court were also of opinion, that if there were any such agreement for payment of tithes before the council, yet this council, as a general law which includes all men's consent, had dissolved it, and the lands were discharged. S. C. Hard. 201.

STEELE, Knt. and Others, against HITCHCOCK and Others.

8. CAR. 2.

#### Wiltsbire, 29th January 1656.

The tithes of lying THE bill set forth, that the plaintiffs, by two several acts of parameters of liament and an ordinance, became seised, amongst other escapearack, in things, of all the tithes within the forest of Savernack, lately Wilfire, established.

belonging to the late Dean and chapter of Sarum; and that the defendants, being possessed of divers arable lands, meadows, and pastures within the said forest, have resused to pay their great and small tithes to the plaintiss; the defendant Hitch-cock claiming the same. The bill therefore prayed a discovery of the values and tenths; an account and satisfaction for the same; and to quiet the plaintiss in the receipt of the said tithes.

The defendants Gamon and Sawyer answered, that they were for two years occupiers of land lying in Savernack Park, which is next adjoining to the said Forest of Savernack, and do believe it hath been anciently accounted parcel, and within the liberty of the said forest; and that for the tithes of all the said lands, other than such lands as are not chargeable because of improvement, having been barren lands, for seven years not yet expired, they have compounded with the defendant Hitchcock, the owner of the parsonage of Presbutt, within which parish the said lands are reputed to lie, and had paid him the same. The defendant Hitchcock confessed the receipt of the several sums of the said defendants, and averred that he hath good title thereunto, as farmer, for the term of his life, of the rectory of Presbutt, within which parish the said lands lie, by lease, dated the twenty-seventh of

July, in the eighth year of King James, and made by the Dean and chapter of Sarum to his father and affigns for three lives, Hircacoes and that as eldest son he became intitled thereunto.

The plaintiffs replied; the defendants rejoined; and witneffes were examined on both fides.

Upon opening the pleadings, and hearing of counsel, and reading of feveral ancient grants and records, and depositions, the Court conceived the cause fit to receive a trial at law, " whether the defendant Hitchcock hath a right to the tithes arif-" ing and growing due out of the lands in the occupation of the " faid defendants Gamon and Sawyer, lying in Savernack Purk."

A trial was accordingly had at the bar; and the cause coming 616 May 1657. on to be heard on the equity referved, it appeared by the verdict given for the faid plaintiffs, that they have right to the tithes in question.

IT WAS THEREUPON ORDERED by the Court, that the EASTER TERM defendants Gamon and Sawyer shall severally pay to the plaintiffs the feveral values of tithes mentioned in their answer due for the faid years, and shall continue the payment thereof so long as they shall continue to occupy the said lands; and that the defendant Hitchcock shall suffer the said plaintists to enjoy the same without any further molestation or interruption, unless he can shew cause to the contrary.

But no cause being shewn, the decree was made absolute on 2016 May 1657. the twentieth of May.

## Lough, Clerk, against Chapman.

HILARY TERM

### Somersetsbire, 5th February 1656.

THE bill stated, that J. Boden, clerk, was, by an order of the The tithes becommittee for plundered ministers, settled rector of longing to the Peter and Paul, and other churches, in the city of Bath, with rectory of &the chapel of Whitcombe annexed to officiate the cure in the Peter and St. the chapel of Whitcombe annexed, to officiate the cure in the Paul, in the city stead of J. Masters, clerk, the late incumbent; which said rec- of Bath, etter tory did fland sequestered from the said J. Masters for his billhod. delinquency: that the faid J. Boden having deserted his charge in June 647, the plaintiff was appointed to officiate there, and for his maintenance to have all the houses, glebe lands, tithes, sents, revenues, and profits of and belonging thereto; and that he entered thereupon, and hath fince duly officiated there; by reason whereof he became intitled unto the same, and enjoyed all the tithes, as well great as small, as well as his predecessors, had from time beyond memory; that all farmers of land, meadow and pasture, and all other the inhabitants of the said parish and chapel had yearly paid to the plaintiff's predecessors their

Loven against CEAPMAN. feveral tithes in kind, and so ought to continue them; that the defendents being all of them inhabitants of Whitcombe, or occupiers of lands there, minding to defraud the plaintiff of his tithes, detained, and refused to pay him any composition for the same. He therefore prayed that they might set forth their quantities and values, and account for the fame; and that he might be quieted in the same for the future.

The defendants alledged, that Whitcombe is a distinct parish of itfelf, generally known by the name of the parish of Lincombe and Whitcombe; that the faid places have of themselves churchwardens, overseers of the poor, and other officers, as other parishes use to have; that within the said parish there is a particular rectory or parsonage impropriate; and they believe, that the parson or parsons thereof for the time being ought to have the chauntry house and barn, the tithes of sheave corn, hay, wool, lamb, and other tithes, within the faid parish of Whitcombe, and are liable to pay their tithes to the parson, and not to the vicar; and traverse the general payment of tithes, great and small, to the vicar.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and divers witnesses were examined on both sides.

copy from Doomfday Book, and composition,

Upon opening the pleadings, and upon reading a copy taken out of the Doomesday Book remaining in the exchequer, it appeared, that the church of Bath held Lincombe; and also on reading a read in evidence. copy of a composition made in February 1322, by John, then Bishop of Bath and Wells, between the prior and convent of the church of Bath and the vicar of the church of Saint Mary Stalls in Bath, and the chapel of Whitcombe depending on the same church, whereby the faid bishop did settle that the then vicar and his fucceffors which should be then after vicars in the faid church of Stalls, should, among other things, have the tithe of the whole wool of the parishes of Whitcombe, Lincombe, and Berwick, and the tithe of the whole hay of the faid parishioners, and also the tithe, of milk, geese, pigs, eggs, pigeons, pullets, flax, hogs, orchards, calves, and the tithe of kine. and all manner of oblations and obventions coming of the faid chapel of Copy of a re- Whitcombe and of the parish of the same whatsoever; and also cord in the First on reading a copy of a record remaining with the remembrancer of the first fruits and tenths touching the annual value of benefices and spiritual promotions in the said county of Somerset in the time of Edward the Sixth, whereby it appeared, that the vicarage of Stalls, with the chapel of Whitcombe annexed, and the rectories of Saint James and Saint Mary and Saint Michael are united, and called the rectory of Saint Peter and Saint Paul, in Bath; and likewise on reading the copy of certain letters patents made by Letters patents QUEEN ELIZABETH, on the twenty-first of November, in the fifteenth year of her reign, whereby she granted to the mayor and citizens of the city of Bath, and their successors for ever

(among

Fruits Office read.

read.

(among other things), the advowsons and right of patronage of the parochial vicarage church of Stalls, in the faid city of Bath, with the chapel of Whitcombe, in the faid county, to the same vicarage church of Stalls annexed; also upon reading the depositions Depositions of of feveral ancient witnesses, who did declare in their depositions ancient that the rectors or impropriators and the vicars did belong to the neffes read. faid chapel of Whitcombe, that is to fay, that the parson or impropriator hath all the tithe of corn and lambs (except out of fuch grounds as are tithe free) as, namely, the Upper Hayes, Sydenham Meades, and Beechincliffe, and the parks and lawns above that; and the parson or rector some tithe wool of sheep fed upon cer- The tain lands belonging to the faid hospital of Saint Mary Magdalen manner of tithand Martynbole; and that at sheer-time the occupiers of Mar-ing in certain symbole did put all the sheep into a fold kept in Whitcombe, and places stated. let run about fixty sheep, of which the parson or rector had tithe wool in lieu of all the rest; but in later times the occupiers of Martyn's land have paid a composition for the tithe wool; that the parson hath all the tithe hay of Lincombe Farm, except of one parcel of mead in Dolemeade, containing three acres, called the Mill Mouth, whereof the vicar hath usually taken tithe; and the parson hath the tithe hay of a parcel of ground in a close called Ofield, which parcel containeth five acres, bounded by a meare; and of about two acres, lying in the bottom of the moor, belonging to Mr. Bigg's inn; and of one parcel of ground in a close lying in the moor belonging to Colthurst tenement; and the tithe hay of a close about five acres called Broad Close or Fook s Ground, and of a ground called Hay Corner, and of one close called Bigg's Mead, containing two acres; and also of one close, containing two acres; and of one close, containing about one acre, in Colthurst West Mend; and of a close adjoining to Coltburfes house, and one half of the tithe hay of the Widow Gibbs' croft, and the vicar hath the other half; and the tithe of three or four swaths of hay in Dolemead under the upper hedge, and about four swaths by the lower ditch next to Bathwicke; and that the vicar hath all the other tithes in the said parish of W bitcombe.

Loven against

And after long debate concerning the matters in question; The plaintiff's forasmuch as it evidently appeared to the court here that the right proved. plaintiff hath just right and title to the faid tithes complained of by his faid bill which are mentioned as due to the vicar in the composition aforesaid, and in the schedule to the bill an-

It is ordered by the Court, that the faid defendants shall The decree. forthwith pay to the plaintiff all their several tithes by them detained and withheld from him, and complained of by his faid bill, and in the faid composition and schedule annexed.

 $\mathbf{And}$ 

Loven egainf Craphan. And it is referred to the auditor of the said county to cast up the same from the proofs, and to have a commission if he thinks sit.

NICHOLAS, Baron.

PARKER, Baron.

by the Court; and payment ordered accordingly.

Easter Term 7. Car. 2. WILES against SMITH.
Lincolnsbire, 20th April 1657.

The tithes of THE bill stated, that the plaintiff, by descent from his father certain hamlets deceased, was lawfully proprietor and owner of all manner deceased, was lawfully proprietor and owner of all manner in the parish of Sible established of tithes, both small and great, arising, &c. within the villages, hamlets, and places called the Frith, otherwise called the Firth, See post. the and of Earls Stock, otherwise called Earls Crofte. in the parish of case of Ellis v. Sibsey, and the grounds, fields, precincts, and titheable places there-Term, 30. Geo. of; that by an act of parliament made 2. & 3. Edw. 6. c. 13. 3.; and S. C. IT WAS ENACTED, of that all and every the persons which had or reported by An- " should have any beast or other cattle titheable, going, feeding, or depasturing in any waste or common ground whereof 46 the parish was not certainly known, should pay the tithes fer "the increase of the said cattle so going in the said waste or common to the parson, vicar, proprietor, portionary, owner, or other their farmers or deputies of the faid parish, hamlet, town, or other places, where the owner of the faid cattle inha-" bited or dwelleth;" also that the plaintiff, as proprietor and owner of the faid tithes, ought to have and receive the tithes of all hay and corn, and the small tithes, of all the inhabitants within the villages, &c. aforefaid, arifing therein, whereof the parish is not certainly known; and that, by ancient usage time out of mind, the aforefaid tithes had been and ought to be always paid to, and received and taken by, the proprietors and owners for the time being of the faid tithes, part in kind, and other part after a customary rate, NAMELY, for hay, in kind; a composition rate of fourpence a piece for the milk of every cow; one penny a piece for every calf and for every foal; for every sheep sold before clipping threepence; and for every sheep bought in by any inhabitant after Candlemas one penny; which tithe, rates, and payments had been duly paid to the faid plaintiff and his ancestors, or those under whom he and they claimed, by the inhabitants of the villages, &c aforefaid; that the defendant had been for feveral years past an inhabitant within the said hamlets, &c. and had growing therein divers acres of meadow, and had in his keeping divers sheep, cows, mares, cattle, and poultry, of all which he had received an yearly increase, and converted the same to his own use, for which he ought to have paid the plaintiff his faid tithes, and the composition rates due for the same; but which

he refused to do; and also had kept, sed, and depastured, within the common fens called Witmore and Earls Fens, being out of all parishes, several ewes and lambs, the tithes whereof, and of the wool, amounted to four pounds yearly, and several other sheep within the titheable places aforefaid, all which were clipped or sheared during the time of their depasturing there, the tithe amounting to five pounds; and also had cows, calves, mares, and foals, fallen yearly within the titheable places aforesaid; and the faid defendant had cut and mowed several acres of meadow in the titheable places aforesaid, and carried away and converted the hay to his own use, besides several other small tithes, the tithes whereof amounted to a large sum. The bill therefore prayed a discovery and satisfaction for the said tithes.

WIL 24 againfl

The defendant denied the plaintiff's title, and also that the The defendant aforesaid places were in the parish of Sibsey, or that any of the lands, denies that the &c. which he occupied, &c. are within the faid parish: he also within the padenied the ancient custom, as stated in the bill, of paying part in rish, and states kind, and part after a composition; and alledged another custom, a modes. " that time out of mind, within the faid place called Furthbank, se furpence an acre was to be paid for tithe hay, and that the same " ought not to be paid in kind;" and that he held land therein; and fet forth his titheable matters within the faid parish and out of it, and their values.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides.

When after long debate, and hearing counsel on the twentyfixth of January last,

For that the faid defendant by his answer had denied, that the place where he inhabited lies within the parish of Sibsey, or any the titheable places thereof, and had fet forth a special custom for payment of tithe hay as aforefaid,

IT WAS ORDERED, that it should be referred to a trial at law A trial directed on both the faid iffues, and the equity be referved.

And the Court being afterwards informed by the plaintiff's on three iffues. counsel, that the said defendant had by his answer also confessed the depasturing cows, calves, sheep, foals, and other things in West Fenn, denying only the said sen to lie within the parish of Sibley,

It was on the thirtieth day of January last further ordered BY THE COURT, that in the aforesaid action should also be tried, Whether West Fen or Earls Fen, and Wildmore Fen, did lie within any parish or not?

An action was brought, and a verdict passed for the plaintiff verdict for the upon the two first issues: First, That the place called the Frith, plaintiff.

Witts againft SMITE. or Firth, or Furthbank, where the faid defendant doth inhabits doth lie within the faid parish of Sibsey; secondly, that the defendant did not prove the special custom that sixpence by the acre is only due and payable and ought to be paid by the owners and occupiers of the meadow ground and pasture within the Frith, Firth, or Furthbank aforesaid, for the tithe of the hay cut down and carried away from time to time of or from the faid meadow-ground or pasture-ground to the owner or proprietor of the tithes of the ground aforesaid, as by his answer he had alledged.

Third iffue.

Upon THE THIRD ISSUE it was found generally, that the faid fens called Earle's Fenn, or West Fenn, and Wildmore Fenn, do lie in some parish, but in what parish or parishes the jury are uncertain.

And upon reading an exemplification of a decree of this court, made in Trinity Term, in the twenty-fixth year of Queen Elizabeth, Hutchinson v. Robinson, concerning the tithes of Frith aforefaid, thereby declared and decreed to be paid to the portionary or owner of the aforesaid portion of tithes, and also for their cattle depasturing and feeding in Wildmore Fenn and Earl's Fenn aforesaid.

And upon hearing of counsel,

Opinion of the evidence.

Forasimuch as the Court, upon the trial of the two first issues Court on the and verdict thereupon, is fully satisfied of the plaintiff's right to the tithes from time to time arising and renewing within the place called the Frith, or Firth, or Furthbank, and the titheable places thereof, as owner or portionary of the faid tithes; and that there is no fuch custom there of the payment of sixpence by the acre for the hay cut and carried away from meadow-ground or pasture-ground within the said place; and for that the said plaintiff's counfel did declare in court, that they were willing to waive, and did on the plaintiff's behalf waive, the praying any decree concerning the tithes of the defendant's sheep depaftured in West Fenn, upon which the third issue tried as aforesaid was doubtful whether it passed for the plaintiff or against him, by reason of the generality thereof;

The Decree.

IT WAS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the plaintiff hath right to the tithe of the hay of the meadow grounds cut by the defendant in the faid years, and to the other customary tithes for cows, calves, and foals, confessed by the said answer to have been kept at Furthbank aforesaid, and to all other tithes payable by the defendant, and renewing during the faid years, of any other goods or cattle kept or depastured by him upon the lands or grounds lying at Furthbank aforefaid, or within any the titheable places thereof. And for that the values of the tithes to be paid doth not at prefent appear certain, a commission is ordered for proving the same, if the plaintiff require it.

Upon

Upon reading the faid commission returned, and hearing of WILLS counsel, again**f** SMYTH.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid defendant do pay 2416 Nov. 1657. to the faid plaintiff two pounds, four shillings, and threepence for his tithe hay, and for milk, and for sheep fed and depastured on the Furthbank.

> NICHOLAS, Baron. PARKER, Baron.

BRITTAINE, Clerk, against Lord Coventry. 9. CAR. 2. Gloucestersbire, 17th June 1657.

THE plaintiff, as vicar of the church of Bifbley, in Gloucester- The Endowfbire, exhibited his bill, shewing, that the parsonage of the ment of the vifaid church is a rectory impropriate, and held and enjoyed by the carage of Bibley, defendant I and County impropriate, and held and enjoyed by the in Glowefter bire, defendant Lord Coventry; that within the faid parish there is an and the tithes ancient vicarage by an ancient ordination or composition endowed thereto belongwith an ancient house, and with all the oblations and obventions ing, established. within the said parish; the small tithes called minuta decima of the faid parish; the tithes of corn, wool, and lamb of all the lands called the manor of Worlands; the herbage of the church-yard; the tithes of hay, lamb, and wool, besides that of Worlands, throughout the whole parish; and the tithe of the tithe of corn belonging to the faid Lord Coventry, which are to be retithed; that the plaintiff and his fuccessors should have and receive all the said tithes and retithing of the tithes aforefaid, for which they are to officiate in the cure, and to bear all burthens and charges, faving fynodals and wine to be communicated to the people at the facrament, which the faid Lord Coventry at his costs is to pay for and provide; that the parish of Bifbley is a great parish, and hath within it divers chapels of cale, and confifteth of a great number of communicants; that the gift of the faid vicarage hath always been and still is in the crown; and that he, the plaintiff, about twenty years fince, was lawfully prefented, &c. thereto, and doth continue vicar thereof, and is accountable for the first fruits and tenths; that the defendant Lord Coventry doth endeavour to infringe on the faid plaintiff's right to the faid tithes, by letting leafes thereof to the other defendants, by reason whereof the plaintiff cannot have the retithing of the tithes of corn, the same not being tithed; that the parishioners and inhabitants of the parish having compounded with the faid defendant or his leffees or farmers, fet not forth any of their faid tithes; but that he receives the whole benefit thereof, and the plaintiff is disabled to pay his tenths and first fruits: and thereupon he prayed relief of the court.

BRITTAINB against Lord COVENTRY.

The defendant, Lord Coventry, answered, and said, that he is, and for twenty years past hath been, owner of the manor and rectory of Bifbley, and of all the tithes thereunto belonging; The defendant, that he knows not whether there be any ancient vicarage therein; Lord Coventry, and denies that, in right of the faid rectory, he hath ever claimed owner of the any lands, tithes, and other duties but such as of right belong manor and rec- to him (besides such part and proportions as belonged to the plaintiff); but he confesses, that for several years past the plaintiff hath held the curacy or vicarage aforefaid, but by what right he knew not; and fays, that he doth not hold any part of the faid rectory or lands in his hands, but leafes the fame, and whether the tenants had or had not permitted the plaintiff to receive the faid tithes he knew not. He also denied that he let the said rectory for three hundred pounds per annum, being charged with feveral yearly payments, and a fee-farm rent of thirty-three pounds per annum; and all knowledge whether the faid rectory and curacy were anciently within the diocese of Worcester, but believed it did heretofore belong to the college of Stoke, near Clare, in the county of Suffolk, before the diffolution of monasteries. He further fays, that the plaintiff's four predecessors did never receive or claim more than the fifteenth part of the tenth of the tenth or tithe for corn, hay, wool, and lambs, and the whole tithe corn of the glebe land. or land belonging to the faid rectory, and two parts yearly of the Easter book, or else all the Easter book, and the then rector received the whole of the Easter book the third year. He also confessed, that the said parish was very large, but knew not of divers chapels of ease in the said parish; but believed that there is one church or chapel of ease in the town of Stroud, and that some allowance is yearly given by the said defendant to the minister there; that he knew not whether the gift of the said vicarage had always been in the crown, or whether the plaintiff was lawfully inducted, &c. therein; and denied that he infringes on the plaintiff's right. He also confessed that he had made feveral leafes to the other defendants, but knew not whether he or his tenants have or ought to find the communion wine, but believed all is provided on his part which he ought to provide.

The other de-The other defendants put in their answers as tenants to the fendants were defendant Lord Coventry, and, admitting the plaintiff to be vicar; tenants to Lord alledged, that they have not neglected at any time to fet forth Covering. the tithes which belong to the faid plaintiff.

> The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witneffes were examined on both fides.

> The cause came on to be heard in last Easter Term, when it was referred to the defendant Lord Coventry to settle the differences between the parties without prejudice. But as the referdid not take place.

The earse nowcame on again; and upon reading the order of BRITTAINS the ninth instant, and of the composition, ordination, or endowment of the faid vicarage, extracted out of the registry of the COVERTER. bishop of Worcester, and also the depositions taken in the cause,

LORD

THE COURT declared, that they were fatisfied of the validity The Court of of the faid composition, ordination, or endowment; and there-opinion, that all fore ordered, Adjudged, and decreed, that the plaintiff shall, re-tithings befor ever hereafter, have all the tithes of wool and lamb, the Easter long to book, and all and fingular the minute tithes of and within the faid plaintiff. parish of Bisbley, and the titheable places thereof, and all and singular other the tithes, retithings, and profits whatfoever mentioned and expressed in the said composition, ordination, or endowment, according to the purport, true intent, and meaning of the same; and to the end the plaintiff may hereafter, for the time to come, have, hold, and enjoy all fuch tithes, as are or shall be due to him, of the corn and hay coming, growing, and renewing within the faid parish and the titheable places thereof in kind, the defendant and parishioners are hereby ordered to set forth their tithes; and the faid Lord Coventry, his tenants and farmers, are to retithe, and fet apart the tenths of the tithes so fet out, to the intent the plaintiff may duly take and carry away the fame; and lastly, it is referred to the auditor of the faid county (who is to have a commission) to examine and cast up the value of the tithes, retithings, and all other dues which the faid plaintiff ought to have received fince his induction into the faid vicarage of Bifbley, and to return his certificate to the Court.

The auditor returned his certificate; and upon reading the MICH. TRRM, same, and the said decree, 101b Nov. 1657.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendant Lord The defendant, Coventry shall forthwith satisfy and pay to the plaintiff the Lord Coventry, arrears of the faid tithe of wool and lamb arising within the faid decreed to pay parish and parsonage of Bishley, and detained from the plaintiff 60cl. for arrease fince his institution, being tifteen years in arrear, amounting to of tithes. fix hundred pounds, at the yearly fum of forty pounds, as the faid tithes appear to be worth by the faid proofs and the auditors certificate.

NICHOLAS, Baron. PARKER, Baron. HILL, Baron.

THOROWGOOD, Knt. and Others, against QUANTER MICH. Trans. and Others.

Herefordsbire, 30th November 1657.

'HE plaintiffs were trustees, among others, for ministers main- The tithes of tenance and other pious uses; and being, by virtue of the act the rectory of and ordinance, seised of the tithes of the impropriate rectory of fardfire, esta-Dilwyn, blifted.  $\mathbf{D}_{2}$ 

AND ÚTHERS ag ainst QUANTER

THOROWGOOD Dilwin, formerly belonging to the late Bishop of Hereford, they, by indenture dated the first of February 1654, granted all the said tithes to the plaintiff E. Stephens, to hold for fix years, at one AND OTHERS. hundred and fifty pounds a year; by virtue thereof the faid E. Stephens became intitled to receive the faid tithes, which the defendants refused to pay, under pretence of a lease from the said Bishop of Hereford. The bill stated, that if the defendants had any fuch leafe, it was either furrendered or become void in law long fince; that the faid defendants, for divers years past, have gathered, and still do gather and receive all the faid tithes, whereby the faid trustees are disabled to perform their trusts, and the plaintiff E. Stephens is deprived of the benefit of the faid leafe, and disabled to pay his rent; and prayed, that the said desendants might answer the premises, and set forth whether they have any deeds or leafes and writings which belong to the plaintiff, and that they may deliver the same, and set forth quantities, kinds, and values, and other particulars of the faid tithes by them received fince the leafe made to the plaintiff E. Stephens, and fince the fame were vested in the plaintiffs, the trustees, and give E. Stephens the plaintiff a fatisfaction for the fame; and that the respective interests may be established in the said plaintists.

The defendants made to Baxier,

The defendants appeared and answered; and the defendant rely on a lease Quanter set forth, that FRANCIS, Lord Bishop of Hereford, being for three lives feised of the faid rectory, by deed, dated the second of October, in the seventeenth year of King James, did grant to Richard Baxter, his executors, &c. the rectory of I ilwyn, together with the tithes, barn, and all tithes of corn, grain, and hay, and all tithes what soever in Dilwyn, Newton, Luntley, Over Chadnor, Neither Chadner, and many others, to hold during three lives, at the yearly rent of thirty-two pounds; that the faid R. Baxter entered; and being thereof possessed, did, by deed of assignment and affigned by dated the twenty-ninth of May, in the eighteenth year of the botham, it the faid king, affign the faid rectory and tithes to R. Robotham, to persons hold for ninety-nine years, if the lives mentioned in the lease should so long should so long live; that he being, by virtue of the said affignment, possessed of the premises, died about six years ago, and the interest became vested in Frances his wife and Charles his son; who being possessed thereof, they, with J. Heaven (who claimed some interest therein), by dead dated the fifteenth of June 1650. did also assign to F. Quanter (the defendant's trustee), and

whole heir af- to whom the faid last assignment was made in trust, did enter figred the fanto the detendant Ruanter,

ments.

live,

The other defendants answered, and confessed the said lastmentioned affigument to the faid F. Quanter in trust for the detendant I. Quanter, and that they helped, as defendant's scrvants and agents, to gather several quantities of tithe, corn,

upon the premifes; and he confessed that he had in his cus-

tody the faid original leafe, and all other the faid meine affign-

grain,

grain, and hay, for feveral years, but claim no tithe thereunto Tropowcoob themselves. aqaimft

The plaintiffs replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides; and the cause came to a hearing AND OTHERS. on the thirtieth of April last, when IT WAS ORDERED, that the An iffue directon the thirtieth of April 1211, which is was only as action to be ed to try, where aid cause should be referred to a trial at law in ah action to be ther the lease to brought by the faid plaintiffs against the defendant Quanter, to the desendant prove that the faid leafe, mentioned in the answer, dated and made was surrenderas above, was furrendered to the faid late bishop by the said Bax-ed; ter and R. Robotham and his affigns, before the tenth of November, in the fifth year of the late King Charles. A trial at bar was accordingly had: But the Court being of opinion, that the faid Robotham and the other affignees could not by law furrender the faid leafe, they deriving an interest under the said leafe made to Baxter, the said plaintiffs became nonsuited; and a new trial and a verdict was granted upon the tenth day of June, wherein the iffue was ound thereon directed to be, whether the faid leafe was furrendered by any in tavour of the actual furrender or by any furrender in law; on which trial a verdict passed for the said plaintists upon full evidence on both fides.

QUANTER

The cause now came on for further directions upon the equity reserved; and upon much debate thereof had,

IT WAS ORDERED AND DECREED by the Court, that the posses- The payment of fion of the said tithes of the said rectory of Dilwyn shall be esta- the uthes deblished with the said plaintiff E. Stephens, his executors, &c. for plaintiff and during the refidue of the faid term granted to him by the faid other plaintiffs; and after the expiration of the faid term. that neither the said defendant Quanter nor his agents, nor any claiming for, by, from, or under him, shall interrupt or molest the faid plaintiffs or their affigns in the receiving and gathering of the faid tithes; and that the faid Quanter shall deliver up to the faid plaintiffs the faid leafe dated the second of October as aforesaid to be cancelled, the same being found by the said verdict to be furrendered; that the faid defendant Quanter shall fatisfy and pay to the faid plaintiff E Stephens the profits by him or by his fervants, agents, or tenants. made and received, which did arise, happen, or grow due, between Saint Michael 1654, from the commencement of the said plaintiff's lease; and alfo, that the faid defendant shall pay to the plaintiffs, the trustees, the profits received by him, &c. which did arise or grow due out of the said tithes from and after the death of F. Robotham, late wife of Dr. Robotham, until Michaelmas 1654.

PARKER, Baron. HILL, Baron.

 $\mathbf{D}_{3}$ 

SHEFFIELD

MICH. TERM, SHEFFIELD, Clerk, against Pierce and Others.

9. CAR. 2.

London, 12th November 1657.

THE bill stated, that by the judgment of the late parliament The statute of England, affembled at Westminster, on the third of 37. Hen. 8. c. 12. f. 19. respecting November 1640, the rectory of Saint Swithin's, in London, Rood tithes in the city sequestered from Richard Owen to the use of A. Menlius, an of Landon, requested from Randa Guerre to the dre of 21. Member, are pleaded to a orthodox divine; and that, he relinquishing the fame in the year bill in equity 1647, it was, by the fame parliament, in the fame year, afterwards for the tithes of ordered, that the same rectory should from thenceforth stand the parish of sequestered to the use of him the plaintiff, and that he should St. Swittin's; and the plea officiate the fame, and have to his use the parsonage-house, glebe over ruled. lands, and all the tithes, rents, duties, and profits whatsoever of Cro. Eliz. 276. the faid rectory to his own use till further order should be taken Cro. Car. 596. in the premises; that by virtue of the said order of parliament he entered, and officiated in the cure, and performed his duty ς86. therein in all things, and ought to have had the tithes, offerings, 22. & 23. Car. 2. profits, and commodities, of what kind foever, belonging to the c. 15. fame as rector and parson there, as the former ones had heretofore had and received and enjoyed the fame. The bill then fet forth the statute and the decree, confirmed by act of parliament in the thirty-seventh year of Henry the Eighth, touching the payments of tithes by the citizens of London after the rates of every ten shillings a year rent one shilling and fourpence halfpenny, and for every twenty shillings the sum of two shillings and ninepence, and so on; and that the greatest part of his parishioners have and still do continue payment of all kind of tithes, offerings, duties, and profits to the plaintiff, or some recompence for the fame; but the defendants have for five years refused the payment of the same. The plaintiff therefore prays, that the dofendants may make a full discovery of the messuages, &c. they held for the same years, and the yearly rent, and that the tithes and duties due for the same may be decreed to him accordingly.

Plea and demurrer.

The defendants put in a plea and demurrer, setting forth, that the plaintiff intitles himself to the tithes in question by an order of the late parliament begun and held at Westminster in 1640, but makes his title by act of parliament made in the thirty-seventh year of Henry the Eighth, and of a decree made thereupon; and that it was doubtful whether the plaintiff, coming in by sequestration, be relievable before the mayor of the said city of London; and therefore the said desendants for Plea saith, that the said act of parliament and decree do only provide for the recovery of tithes in London; and that in and by the said decree it is decreed, "that if any variance, controversy, or strife, "did or should arise in the said city for any payment of tithes, then, "upon the complaint by the party grieved to the mayor of the said "city, he shall, by advice of counsel, call the parties before him,

er and make an end of the fame; and if he should not within two See the case of months after the complaint, THE LORD CHANCELLOR OF Ex parte Crox-EEGLAND, within three months after complaint made to him, all, 3. Atk. 639-" should make an end of it;" that the said plaintiff ought to have purfued the way directed by the faid decree; and that if the plaintiff be not relievable within the faid decree by the faid lord mayor of London and lords commissioners of the great seal of England, as he faid by his faid bill he is, much less could this court take cognizance or jurisdiction of a case of this nature whereby to relieve the faid plaintiff.

Upon reading the plea, and hearing counsel on both sides, on the twenty-fourth of November 1655,

IT WAS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid plea and demurrer should be over-ruled, and that the said defendants should answer the faid bill.

The defendants answered, and denied that they knew that the The rate orderplaintiff was ordered to officiate the cure of the faid parish as ed by the statute rector there, and have the profits, or that he had officiated there; if 2. to be paid and that if he had any title thereto, they did not conceive him to on the rest of be entitled to two shillings and ninepence in the pound for his houses in London tithes according to the rents of their houses, it being not the in lieu of tithes, intent of the faid statute and decree to pay tithes according to the the improved rents improved rents, but according to the old rents as they were before offuchhouses(s) the faid statute; they confessed that they were inhabitants, and 3. Atk. 639. fet forth the houses, &c. and the rents they paid for the same; and that when the faid plaintiff first came to officiate there, he agreed to accept of one hundred and twenty pounds per annum with some of the parishioners in lieu of tithes and duties there, which had been constantly paid to him.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides.

Now upon full hearing and full debate, and reading the proofs in the cause, and the said order of parliament begun and held at Westminster aforesaid, on the third of November 1640, bearing date the thirtieth of December 1647, IT APPHARETH TO THE COURT, that it was ordered that the faid rectory of Saint Swithin should from thenceforth stand sequestered to the use of the faid plaintiff, and he to officiate the fame, and to have all the tithes, duties, and profits whatfoever of the faid rectory to his own use; and also it appeareth, that the said plaintiff, by virtue of the faid order of parliament, did ever fince officiate the faid cure, and perform his duty therein in all things, and therefore ought to have had the tithes, offerings, profits, and commodities, of what kind soever, belonging to the said rectory, as

(a) But see now the statute 22. & 23. and the others mentioned in the act, are Car. 2. c. 15. £ 2. by which this parish, to be affeffed in gross sums. rector

Sheffield against PIERCE

rector and parson there, as the former ones there heretosore had had and received the same; and that the said defendants had not AND OTHERS. paid the tithes due to the plaintiff for the several years complained of in the bill to be behind and unpaid.

> And as for the composition, pretended to be made between the faid parishioners and the said plaintiff, to accept of one hundred and twenty pounds per annum in lieu and fatisfaction of all tithes and duties due and payable to him within the faid parish, IT APPEARETH TO THIS COURT, that the faid composition was to continue for the space of three years next after the said plaintiff's coming to officiate there, and no longer; and there not being fufficient proof made on the faid defendant's behalf that the faid fum of one hundred and twenty pounds was paid to the faid plaintiff any longer than the faid three first years.

> THE COURT is therefore fully satisfied, for the reasons before alledged, that the defendants ought to have satisfied and paid their several and respective tithes, due and payable by them, to the faid plaintiff for the years aforefaid complained of by the bill, according to the rate of two shillings and ninepence in the pound for their feveral houses, shops, &c. which they held within the faid parish, according to the several rents; and the said plaintiff being prefent in court, and willing to accept the feveral fums heretofore paid by the faid defendants for their feveral houses, &c. for tithes, as mentioned in the faid decree, in full fatiffaction of all tithes due and payable to the plaintiff by the faid defendants; and that the faid defendants shall continue the payment of the same as long as he continues rector thereof, and they continue inhabitants within the faid parish; and if any differences arise between the said parties touching the payment of the tithes according to the feveral rates and proportions in the decree mentioned, it is referred to the auditor of his highness's revenue within the city of London to cast up the same upon view and perusal of the said pleadings, and certify to this court his doings and proceedings therein with all convenient speed; and that upon return of the said certificate the said defendants shall thenceforth satisfy and pay to the said plaintiff all such sums of money as shall be certified by him to be due to the plaintiff. AND IT IS ORDERED, that the said defendants shall satisfy and pay to the faid plaintiff ten pounds for his costs and charges by him fustained in the faid cause.

> > NICHOLAS, Baron. PARKER. Baron. HILL, Baron.

> > > COE,

## Coe, Clerk, against Mason. Hertfordsbire, 26th November 1657.

9. CAR. 1.

THE plaintiff, as vicar of the parish-church of Branghinge, An endowment exhibited a bill, setting forth, that he, on the first of June that the vicar 1648, by an order of the committee for plundered ministers of Brangbings, in Hortfordfive, appointed by authority of parliament, was nominated and ap-marray pointed to officiate the cure of Branghinge (being at that time " receive and under sequestration for the delinquency of William Archer " fully possess incumbent), and to hold and enjoy the vicarage-house and the "allobventions of the alar, glebe lands, and also to take, receive, and enjoy, all and fingular with the the tithes, benefits, and profits thereof, as had been before tithes, and received by his predecessors; and that he had fully performed " the vicaragethe cure, whereby he was entitled to have and receive all manner "house, and of small tithes; that from time whereof the memory of man is " the said not to the contrary, or otherwise, by some ancient endowment, " church, exthe vicar of the faid parish-church, for the time being, hath " cept Paldberreceived and taken, and is entitled to take, receive, and enjoy, "7 and the all and fingular the tithes of hay, hops, lamb, wool, and woods, "the possession and all and fingular the minute and privy tithes yearly from time " of the canons to time coming and growing, &c. within the faid parish and " of the Holy titheable places thereof, and which had always been paid in kind; "Trinity, in that the defendant, for divers years past, had been an inhapitant titles him to all therein, and for two years was occupier or possessor of divers finall titles arislands, meadow and pasture, parcel of and belonging to the ing in the parish. manor of Branghingbury and the titheable places thereof, and planted hops, and cut down wood, and did keep and depasture upon the faid grounds sheep, from which he had lami, and sheared the same, and had wool, and also cut down grass, and made the same into hay; the tithes of all which amounted to a large fum, and all which faid tithes are due to the faid plaintiff. and ought to have been paid in kind, or some composition made to him for the same; which the said defendant did detain from him, and refused the said tithes. He therefore prayed a discovery of his faid tithes, and the values thereof, and an account and fatisfaction for the same.

The defendant by his answer said, that it may be true, but that he knew not that the plaintiff was appointed to officiate the cure of the faid parish; that he believed the plaintiff for eight years past might have officiated the cure there, and have a right to all tithes formerly of right paid to the vicar; but he denied that time out of mind, or by ancient endowment, the vicar ought to have all tithes of hay, hops, and wood, and all minute tithe. The answer also stated, that for three years past he had inhabited in the faid parish, and been farmer of lands there, parcel of the faid manor; and he fet forth his titheable matters, and the values thereof, and that he had paid no tithe at all to the plaintiff, conceiving

Cor against MASON. conceiving there was none due to him, for that all the lands he occupied were and are demesne lands of the said manor, which were parcel of the possessions of the priory and canons of the Holy Trinity, in London; that the church of Branghinge was long fince appropriate to the priory and canons, and confirmed to them by the bishop of London, being bishop of that diocese; and that by an endowment made in the year 1218 it appears, that the vicar of Branghinge, in the name of the vicarage, "should wholly receive and fully possess all obventions of the altar, with the tithes and the vicarage-house, and all the land to the see faid church then belonging (except the croft called Valdebers, es and except the tenants and their tenements which in the por-" tion or dividend of the canons should remain;" that, by virtue of the faid endowment, the vicar there never could claim to have any fmall tithes of the tenants and occupiers of the faid lands which the defendant holdeth within the faid parish, the same being excepted from payment of any minute tithes to the vicar by the same endowment, the said lands, and also the minute tithes, being the portion or dividend of the faid canons; that he never heard that any minute tithes, or any tithes at all, were ever paid or given to any of the vicars of Branghinge for any of the lands in his occupation; neither deth he conceive, that the faid vicar hath any right or title to the same, either by prescription, endowment, or otherwise; that about thirty years since the owness or occupiers of Branghingberry, whereof the lands in the defendant's occupation are parcel, did, for some years, give to the vicars thereof for the time being five marks a year by way of gratuity, though the vicars pretended it an ancient payment in lieu of small tithes; and therefore insisted on his right to refuse to pay tithes.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides.

Copy of an enright of the vicarage tithes.

The cause came on this day se'nnight; and upon opening the dowment read, pleadings, and reading a copy of an endowment extracted out of explaining the the principal registry of the late bishop of London, dated at Fulbam, in the year 1618, and proved to be a true copy, which feemed to explain the right of the vicarage tithes in question. The Court took time to confider of the same, whereupon the barons being attended with copies, the cause came on to be further heard this day; and upon full and deliberate hearing,

> And upon long debate of the matters in question, and touching the meaning of the faid endowment; and upon reading the feveral depositions for the plaintiff touching the payment of the tithes in question to the vicar of Branghinge for the time being; Forafmuch as it appeareth to the Court, by the depositions of feveral witnesses, that the small tithes of hay, hops, wool, and other small tithes, have been paid in kind, or by composition, to the

The Court's epinion.

the plaintiff, as vicar of Branghings, and to his prodecessors vicars there; and for that it is also proved by the plaintiff that the faid defendant for the faid years had the aforefaid tithes of hops, wood, hay, wool, and lambs, the tithes of all which amounted to feven pounds four shillings and eight pence; and the Court being of opinion, that by the faid endowment the faid plaintiff is entitled to all the small tithes arising within the said parish; IT IS THEREUPON FINALLY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED by this Court, that the said defendant shall forthwith pay unto the faid plaintiff, or to his affigns, the faid fum of seven pounds four shillings and eight pence for the value of the faid tithes by him detained from the faid plaintiff.

Cas againf Maron.

PARKER, Baron. HILL, Baron.

THOROWGOOD, Knt. and Others, against ORDE. 9. CAR. 2. Durham, 30th November 1657.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiffs, by two several acts of par- The tithe of all liament, and by an ordinance of his highness THE LORD fife caught on PROTECTOR and his council, became seiled of all the tithes of fish the south side of and fishing on the south side of the Tweed, by custom, time out established in of mind used, payable and belonging to the parish-church of the dean Norham, being parcel of the possessions of the late dean and chapter of chapter of Durham; that they, being so seised, by their inden-Durham. ture, dated the eighteenth of April 1655, did demise the faid tithes, with the appurtenances, to the plaintiff Edward Salkeld, to hold for fix years, under such covenants as are in the said lease mentioned; that the defendant, pretending title to the faid tithes, would not fuffer the plaintiff to enjoy the same, by pretence of some lease or otherwise. He therefore prayed a discovery of his faid title, and an account, and a fatisfaction for the faid tithes; and that the plaintiff's right to them might be established.

The defendant stated, that his grandfather and father were seised of the tithes in their demessee as of fee; and that his father dying so seised, they descended to him as son and heir, he paying yearly twelve pounds to the dean and chapter of Durham, and now to the preaching minister of Norham.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both sides.

The cause came on to be heard the eleventh day of June last;

When upon reading several leases heretofore made of the pre- Issue directed. mises to the desendant's father, and to the desendant himself by the faid dean and chapter, this Court directed an iffue, "whether all " the tithes of the fish and sishing in the south side of the Tweed,

THOROWGOOD "by custom, time out of mind used, payable and belonging to the AND OTHERS "parish-church of Norham, being parcel of the possessions of the late dean and chapter of Durham, were payable to and be"longing to the said late dean and chapter;" on the trial of which issue a verdict passed for the plaintiffs.

The cause now came on upon the equity reserved; and upon reading the order and the poster, and hearing of counsel,

Decree.

It is ordered and decreed by the Court, that the plaintiff Salkeld shall quietly have, receive, and gather the said tithes of the fish and fishing on the south side of the Twee!, payable and belonging to the parith-church of Norbam, for and during the residue of the term granted to him by the said other plaintiffs, without the let, disturbance, or interruption of the said defendant, his heirs, affigns, servants, or agents, or any claiming from, by, or under him; and that the faid other plaintiffs, and the rest of the trustees in the act or ordinance named, their heirs and affigns, from and after the en and expiration of the faid lease by them made to the plaintiff Salkeld of the premises, shall peaceably and quietly have, receive and take the faid tithes of the faid fifth and fifting without the let. hindrance, or disturbance of the defendant, his heirs, agents, or fervants, or any claiming for, by, or under him; AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the defendants shall fatisfy and pay to the faid plaintiffs the profits by him received out of the faid tithe of fifth or fifthing from the fixth of January 1649 until the twenty-ninth of September 1652, the commencement of plaintiff Salkeld's leafe, and from thence \*they do pay to the plaintiff Salkeld the tithes due to him; and to the end that the faid profits may be afcertained and made appear to this Court, I'r is further ordered, that a commission shall be awarded to commissioners within the said county of Durham to enquire of the value of the profits received by the faid defendant or his agents during the time aforefaid.

19th Fib. 1658. In pursuance of the said decree, the auditor returned his certificate; and upon reading the order and certificate,

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid defendant shall pay the money certified due for the value of the tithes in question, unless cause shewn to the contrary.

516 May 1659. It is this day ordered by the Court, that the faid order be made absolute.

PARKER, Baron. HILL, Baron.

HELE,

# HELE and Others against PRONTE.

Mien. Tann, 9. CAR. 2.

Devonsbire, 16th November 1657.

THE bill stated that the plaintiffs, ever fince the twenty-fifth A bill in equity of March, in the year 1653, have been lawful owners of the lies to be relieved rectory impropriate of North Petherwin, in Devonshire, with all traction of pretithes and profits thereunto belonging; that, time out of mind, dal tithes, notall the tithes of corn and grain growing therein, and the titheable withflunding the places thereof, have been always paid to the rectors and owners statute 2. & 3. thereof in kind, or a composition for the same; and that the Ecw. 6. c. 13. defendant hath been yearly owner of twenty acres of arable land at law. within the faid rectory, and did yearly mow wheat, barley, oats, and other grain, and carried the same away without setting out the tithe thereof regularly. The bill therefore prayed a discovery of the quantity and the value, and that the defendant might be decreed to pay the fame.

The defendant appeared, and put in a demurrer and answer.

And for demurrer he let forth, that between the twenty-fifth Demurrer at to of March 1653 and the twenty-fifth of December in the faid bill the plaintiff's mentioned, he was owner of twenty acres of arable land within title, &c. the faid rectory, fown with wheat, barley, oats, and other grain, and did yearly mow the fame, and converted the fame to his own use, and that the tithes thereof yearly were worth five pounds; but that he is advised that the subtraction of predial tithes by the not setting out of the tithe from the nine parts, and the unequal division thereof, are matters which may be relieved at law upon the statute 2. & 3. Edw. 6. c. 13; and therefore the plaintiffs ought not to profecute any fuit in equity for the fame; the said plaintiffs not having set forth any certain title to the tithes, or shewed how long since their estate therein might commence fince the subtraction of the said tithes. The defendant 2. Inft. 649. also set forth the titheable matters, and denied any fraud in fetting out their tithes.

The plaintiffs replied to the answer; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both sides.

And upon opening the pleadings, and reading the evidence, and upon full debate,

IT IS ORDER ED BY THE COURT, that the defendant shall pay to the plaintiff feven shillings and sixpence proved to be due and detained for tithes complained of by the faid bill, and shall at all times hereafter duly tithe and fet forth the tithe of corn and grain arising, &c. in the said parish and titheable places thereof by itself, so that the said plaintists or their servants may for the future take and carry away the same without any trouble or denial from the faid defendant, or any claiming by or under him.

By ALL THE BARONS.

AYLOFFE

HILARY TERM 9. CAR. 2.

AYLOFFE against PENNINGTON and Another.

Cumberland, 15th February 1657.

A mode of for THE bill fet forth, that the late King Charles, being seised, in founds in lieu right of his crown or of the duchy of Lancaster, of the rectory of small tithes of Millom, parcel of the possessions of the late dissolved monasparies of Mallow, tery of Furnesse, by indenture dated the third of June, in the Cumberland, nineteenth year of his reign, in confideration of thirty-one pounds, thirteen shillings, and fourpence rent, reserved, did demise the same, and all the tithes, as well predial as otherwise, to the faid plaintiff for thirty-one years, who did enter and poffess himself thereof; that the defendants were for several years past possessed of divers pasture grounds or herdwick therein, and had great flocks of sheep and lambs yearly, the tithe whereof belonged to the plaintiff. He therefore prayed a discovery, and relief in the premises.

> The defendant Allan Pennington (administrator of William Pennington) answered, and set forth an estate in lands or right of common within the faid rectory; but what estate, lands, sheep, lambs, and wool, the faid William Pennington had he knew not; but confessed that the said William Pennington is dead, and that he had left fufficient affets, but knew not whether any tithes were due.

> The defendant John Pennington set forth, that he believed the faid plaintiff was feised of the rectory, and that he the faid defendant is seised of divers lands therein, to which he had common belonging in divers great wastes; and that he had sheep on them; and he prescribes to pay a modus decimandi of fix pounds in discharge of small tithes, payable by reason of his depasturing in the said wastes; and that he was always ready and willing to pay the same to the plaintiff, if he would accept it.

try the modus.

The plaintiff replied; and the cause being at issue, witnesses were examined. Upon the hearing whereof it appeared to the Issue d'reclecte Court, by the answer of John Pennington, that he prescribed to pay a modus of fix pounds as aforefaid; and therefore it was thought fit to refer the same to a trial at law upon the said modus.

A trial was had, and a verdict passed for the defendant.

IT WAS THEREUPON ORDERED by the Court, that the faid bill 2818 Off. 1658. shall be dismissed, unless cause shewn to the contrary, the faid plaintiff first paying five pounds costs for this day's attendance 7 11th Nov. 1658. before he be heard; and no cause being shewn, IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid order be made absolute.

Mills

#### MILLS against EDBROOKE.

9. CAR- 2.

Somersetsbire and Devensbire, 16th November 1657.

THE bill stated, that the late King Charles was seised of the Tithes shall be forest of Emmore, lying in the counties of Somerset and paid to the king Devon, with the precincts, members, and appurtenances thereof, for the cattle ain his demessee as of see, in the right of THE CROWN OF ENGLAND; pastured on the
which said forest lieth out of all parishes and out of all titheable extra parochial
places belonging to any church or chapel whatsoever; that by landslying withreason the said forest lieth not within any parish or titheable in the forest of place, the faid late King Charles and all his progenitors, by the Exmere. laws of this nation and by their prerogative royal, in and by all the time whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary, have, and used to have and enjoy all manner of tithes whatsoever happening, &c. within all and évery the part of the faid forest, and within the metes and boundaries thereof; that the faid king, being so seised, by letters patents dated the first of July, in the minth year of his reign, in confideration of two hundred marks paid by George Cottington, did give and grant to him, his heirs and affigns, in fee-farm, all those his tithes happening, &c. within the said forest, in places without any parish, to hold them for ever, yearly yielding a fee-farm rent of thirteen pounds, five shillings, and eightpence, by force whereof he was lawfully entitled to the tithes of all the said forest, and was seised thereof in see simple, and had and enjoyed the same accordingly; and being fo feifed, and his right and title to the faid tithes being confirmed by the decree of this court against divers persons that opposed the fame, he, by indenture made between him and John Mills, (the plaintiff's late father), dated the first of May, in the eleventh year of the late king, did grant the same to him and his heirs for ever, which faid indenture is inrolled in chancery; by virtue whereof the faid John Mills, in his life-time, was feifed, and received the tithes, and foon after died, and the same descended to the plaintiff as his heir, who became seised therein; and he averred and maintained, that the tithes of the said forest of Exmore of right did belong to the late King Charles, and now doth belong to him, and not to any church or chapel, or parson, vicar, or proprietor whatsoever, or their farmers; yet nevertheless the defendants, being inhabitants near the said forest, having for divers years past agisted and depastured several hundred of bullocks, horses, &c. within the forest, absolutely refused to pay to him his agistment tithe for the same, though the faid George Cottington recovered tithes by the decree of this court. He therefore prayed a full discovery and relief in the premises.

MILLS agairft EDBROOK E.

The defendants answered, and set forth a prescription to be exempted from payment of tithes; and the number of cattle they depastured on the forest; but denied that any tithes in kind were ever paid for wool and lamb.

Special replication,

The plaintiff replied; and faid, that he had good title in law to the faid tithes, and that the faid letters patent were good and valid; and traversed, that the inhabitants of the hundred adjacent, which had agitted their sheep and cattle in the said forest, had, time out of mind, or at any time, at a certain rate payable to the king or his farmer, in confideration thereof, or for other confideration, been discharged from the payment of tithes, or had paid any thing in lieu thereof; and that what was paid in satisfaction of agistment could not also be paid in satisfaction for tithes, which are of common right payable, for discharge whereof no person, not ecclesiastical, can prescribe to a non-payment, as the said defendants pretend.

and fpecial revei i prefeription stated.

To which replication the defendants rejoined, and faid, the joinder; and a plaintiff had made no good title to the tithes, nor did any thing pass by the letters patents; that their ancestors and predecessors, which had agisted cattle in the said forest, had been discharged from payment of tithes, or any thing in lieu thereof; and that the payments of the rates fet forth by their answers was and might be a good and reasonable custom, and discharge for tithes within the faid forest.

> Issue being joined, and witnesses examined on both sides, the cause came on to be heard the fixth day of June last, when it was objected by the defendants' counsel, that the plaintiff had not, by his faid bill, fet forth a title to himfelf.

Bill amended.

The bill was accordingly ordered to be amended; and thereupon the Court further proceeded to an hearing; and upon debate of the prescription set forth by the answers, by counsel on both fides, the Court declared, that the prescription, as the same was fet forth, was a void prescription in law to discharge the defendants from the payment of tithes for their sheep and cattle depasturing within the said forest of Exmore.

Bills and anby confent.

And for that this Court could not then further proceed in the swers critered cause, by reason of the defects in the bills and answers upon the to be amended, prescription set forth by them, the defendants counsel prayed they might amend; and to the end the cause might receive a speedy determination, IT WAS ORDERED, on the eighth of June, that the plaintiff should amend his bill throughout in relation to the plaintiff's title and the conveyance set forth to be made by the plaintiff's father; and by confent on both sides, the answers were to be amended as to the prescription therein set forth A trial at bar without oath; and the custom of the said prescription was referred to a trial at law to be had at the bar of this court by a jury of the county of Dorfet, and one action to determine the whole:

ord.red.

whole; and that a commission should issue to examine withesses on both fides.

against EDELOCKE.

The bill and answer were amended.

The answer was amended in the following manner, that is to prescription fay, "That the forest of Exmore is an ancient forest, wherein properly stated, " sheep and other cattle have used to be depastured; that within " the said forest there is an ancient custom andusage, by all the " time whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary used, " that no tithes hath or ought to be paid for sheep and cattle " agifted and depastured in the faid forest for such time as the said " theep or cattle have been there agisted and depastured; and that " they and all other the inhabitants, within the counties of Dewn " and Somerset, which dwell and inhabit within the hundreds ad-" jacent and near to the faid forest of Exmore, and their respect-" ive ancestors, and predecessors, who have from time to time " agifted and depastured their sheep and other cattle in and upon " the faid forest of Exmore, by all the time whereof the memory " of man is not to the contrary, have used to pay a certain rate " or price in money, payable and to be paid to the king's majesty " for the time being, his farmer, tenant, or leffee of the faid forest " for the time being, at or upon the feast-day of Saint James the " Apostle, in every year, at a certain place known and used, viz. at " the village of Withypoole, viz. two shillings for every score of to pay two shil-" sheep, and after that rate for any greater or lesser number; lings for every twopence the summer and twopence the winter for a horse, see " mare, or gelding; and twopence for a bullock; and after these " " rates for a greater or leffer number; and that, in confideration " of the premises, they and every of them have respectively, from " time to time, during all the time aforefaid, whereof the memory " of man is not to the contrary, been discharged, exonerated, and " acquitted of the payment of any other fum or fums of money " for the agistment of their respective sheep and cattle; and also " of and from the payment of any fum or fums of money for tithe, " or other thing in lieu of, or satisfaction for tithes, of any other " sheep, cattle, or beast, agisted or depastured in and upon the " faid forest of Exmore, and of wool of the same sheep."

The plaintiff thereupon declared upon the custom, &c.; and the action at law coming on to be tried, the jury, without going from the bar, gave a verdict for the plaintiff.

The cause now came on for further directions on the equity referved; and, upon reading the faid orders and the verdict, and hearing counsel on both fides, the plaintiff's counsel desired the Court would be pleased to decree the said tithes for the plaintiff, and refer it to the auditor to cast up the values accordingly.

The defendant's counsel thereupon objected, that the plaintiff The king may had not by his bill made any good title to himself of the have tithe of tithes demanded by his bill, whereupon this Court could lands in his own Vol. I. ground Vol. L

MILLS
against

LEBROOKE.

ground a decree, for that no tithes passed to the said George Cotetington by the letters patent; for that it is set forth in the bill, that the king was seised of the forest of Exmore, and he could not have tithes out of his own lands: and further, that the demand of tithes for agistment of cattle agisted or depastured in the said forest was not good, for that tithes are to be paid of things in possession; and the king, being in possession of the forest, could not have tithes of the cattle agisted in the said forest.

To which objections, and what else was offered by the defendant's counsel, the plaintiff's counsel did give their answers, wherewith the Court was fully satisfied; and after a long and serious debate,

The opinion of the Court.

THE COURT declared, that the letters patent were good and fufficient in law; and that the tithes were grantable by the late king, and had well passed to the said George Cottington, and from him well come to the plaintiff; that he had well entitled himself to sue for the said tithes in this court; and that there is sufficient matter in the said bill for this Court to ground a decree upon.

It is thereupon ordered by the Court, that the plaintiff shall have the tithes of all such sheep and cattle as have been, agisted and depastured by the desendants within the forest of Exmore during the source years mentioned in the said bill, for the time of their agistment in the said forest; and that it be referred to the auditors of the said counties to cast up the values and return their certificate.

NICHOLAS, Baron. PARKER, Baron. HILL, Baron.

- 3d May 1658. The auditors, having been attended therein, made and delivered in their certificate; which, together with the decree, being read, the plaintiff's counfel prayed, that the auditor's certificate might be decreed and confirmed, unless cause shewn to the contrary.
- 3d yuly 1658. It is thereupon ordered by the Court accordingly; and no counfel appearing for the defendants, the above order was made absolute

BY THE COURT.

Baster Term 10. Car. 2. MARKINFIELD against Burton.

Yorksbire, 10th May 1658.

The great and THE bill set forth, that King James, being seised of and in the small tithes of great and small tithes arising in Aberwaite, Ingarthorpe, and Aberwaite, Infarthorpe, and Markinton, by his letters patent, dated the eighth of May, in the Markinton, in Torksbire, claimed by grant from James the First.

eleventh

eleventh year of his reign, granted the faid tithes to Morrice and MARKINFIELD Phillips in fee farm, under the yearly rent of eight pounds; that they, on the twenty-ninth of the faid May, granted the fame to George Dawson in fee, who became seised, and took the profits; that George Dawson, on the ninth of May, in the twelfth year of the king, let the fame to Thomas Harrison and his heirs, who, on the tenth of September, in the fourth year of Charles the First, for four hundred and thirty-five pounds, demifed the premifes to the plaintiff, who received the tithes for feveral years together; that the parishioners had paid them without denial for twenty-seven years past; that the tithes have always been paid in kind, or other fates and payments made in lieu thereof; that the defendant, The tithe of for fourteen years past, had occupied land in Ingarthorpe, and had corn and hay, plowed, fowed, reaped, and mowed, and carried away the pro-demanded, duce thereof in corn and hay; that he had kept cows, sheep, and fows, which bred feveral calves, lambs, and pigs, and had other small tithes; but that he had got the conveyance of the premises to the plaintiff in his own possession, and had therefore refused to pay the said tithes. The bill therefore prayed a discovery, and a fatisfaction for the tithes-

against BURTON.

The defendant answered, and said, that he knew not that The defendant KING JAMES was seised of or had granted the said tithes and consesses, that payment for tithes, or that the same had descended to the he is occupier plaintiff, as mentioned in the bill; but he confessed, that for garthorpe, fourteen years past he had held divers arable, meadow, and patture lands in In arthorpe and in Rippon, some of which he had from which he fowed with corn, and laid fome down into meadow, of which he has cut both had cut down the grafs, and made the fame into hay, and carried corn and hay, it away; that he had also kept thereon sheep, cows, and bullocks, he has sed cows and had wool and lambs therefrom; that in every of the faid and theep, years he and his ancestors had enjoyed feveral lands in Ingartherpe, but could give no account of the premises and tithes, nor of any composition made or paid for the same, nor did he keep any account -hereof; that during the faid years he duly paid and and has paid his. fet out his rithes to the plaintiff of corn, grain, hay, wool, and great tithes; lambs; but that he had refused to pay the tithe of cows, calves, butter, geefe, hemp, flax, and fuch like fmall tithes, or to compound for the same, because King James by letters patent, but pleads, that dated the eighth of June, in the fifth year of his reign (two the small tithes years before the said grant to Morrice and Phillips), granted to were granted to the dean and chapter of Rippon, and their fucceffors, the faid finall the dean and fither, under the names of "comittees," or "common devident for; "money;" that their successors had ever since enjoyed the same, and that their estate was vested in trustees for the maintenance of ministers, as to the said small tithes, who have demised the same who had demisto Profesor Rowsby for a term then in being; and that the faid ed the same for Rowsby was entitled to receive the faid small tithes; and he Rowsby. denied that the said small tithes belonged to the plaintiff, or that

ytars to *Professor* 

MARKINFIELD the plaintiff ever received the same, or was in possession thereof, fave only for two or three years, and that then he received them BURTON. by virtue of a power from the faid dean and chapter of Rippon. He also denied that he was in arrear for tithes of corn, grain, wool, and lambs, or for any composition for the same; and said, that he had not any lands in Aberwaite or in Markinton.

> The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witneffes were examined on both fides.

The defendant tiff's title to the great tithes,

And now upon full deliberate hearing, and the defendant's admits the plain- counsel admitting the plaintiff's title to the tithes of corn, wool, and lamb, but denying his title to the small tithe; and upon reading the letters patent of the grant of the faid tithes to Morrice and Phillips made by KING JAMES, dated the eighth of May, in the eleventh year of his reign; by which it appeared, that only the tithes of corn, bay, wool, and lamb, were granted to them; and upon reading feveral depositions on the defendant's part, and also an exemplification of a verdict and judgment obtained by the defendant against the plaintiff in the court of comand proves a mon pleas; by both of which it appeared, that a customary paycustomary pay ment of one shilling and sixpence at Michaelmas yearly, or afterment in lieu of wards, upon request, hath been paid by the tenants and farmers of the demesne lands of the said manor of Ingarthorpe in full fatisfaction of tithe hay growing and renewing in and upon the faid demesne lands, to the proprietor or farmer of the tithes: and also because it appeared, by the proofs taken on the defendant's part, that the defendant hath, for the time mentioned in the bill, duly fet out and paid his tithes of corn, wool, and lamb;

and that the plaintiff had not made out any title to the small

tithe hay.

tithes.

The plaintiff's THE COURT ordered, that as touching the tithe of corn, wool, bill dismissed and lamb; and also as touching the small tithes, the plaintiff is to com, dismissed this Court without prejudice, unless cause be shewn to wool, &c. and an iffue directed the contrary; and as touching the tithe bay, that a trial at law to try the cus- be had on the customary payment of one shilling and sixpence in lieu of the faid tithe hay. tom.

PARKER, Baron. HILL, Baron.

The defendant's counsel now moved, that the said bill might 34th Nov. 1658. be dismissed, for that the plaintiss had not tried the said issue; and upon reading the order, IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT as prayed, unless cause be shewn, first paying five pounds costs before he be heard.

KEMPSTER

### Kempster, Clerk, against Stuart (a). Devensbire, 21st June 1658.

TRIN. TERM. 10. CAR. 2.

THE plaintiff, as vicar of the parish-church of Brixbam, in A custom to Devonsbire, exhibited his bill, stating that within the said pay the rwelfib parish, and the titheable places thereof, there is a custom, that sib, or the value every owner of any boats or seans used or employed in the sib, of all sish trade of taking fish at sea, and all fishermen belonging to the taken by fisherfame, and all persons living within the parish of Brixham and men within a the titheable places of the same, and all others who have or shall ertain port, in lieu of the subse fet forth or put to sea from any port, key, harbour, cove, or place of all fish taken, within the faid parish, and use the trade of fishing and taking is good. fifth at fea, and who have taken or shall take fish at fea with boats, seans, nets, hooks, or otherwise, and all other persons whatsoever inhabiting and living elsewhere out of the said parish, and using the trade of fishing and taking of fish at sea, and who have brought on shore and landed the same after the taking thereof at any quay, harbour, cove, place, or port of the said parish or titheable places thereof, have respectively used and been accustomed to pay to the vicar, owner, or occupier of the vicarage of the parish of Brixham, or their farmers, &c. every twelfth fish of all forts and kinds whatsoever taken at sea, or some satisfaction for the same; that the plaintiff was vicar there

The defendants denied the custom; and an issue was directed 1/4 Dec. 1669. to try it; and on the trial, a verdict was given for the plaintiff; on which

IT is ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court, that the defendants shall forthwith pay to the plaintiff the several respective sums of money due for the twelfth filb of the fish by them taken for the four years charged by the faid bill, according to the faid custom (b).

for four years past; and that the defendants being owners of boats, &c. there, and fishermen, had refused to pay the plaintiff

> MATTHEW HALE, Chief Baron. Ed. ATKINS, Baren. CH. TURNOR, Baron.

(a) On the first of December 1660, 12. Car. 2. the same question came before the Court between the same parties, and the existence of the custom, as flated in the present case, was then referred to the confideration of a jury, when a verdict was again found for the plaintiff; and it was decreed, by ALL THE BARONS, that the defendants

his tithe fish.

fhould pay the respective sums of money due for the twelfth fish of the fish by them taken for the four years charged in the bill, according to the faid cuftom.

(b) See the case of Shepherd v. Penrose, 1. Sid. 278. 1. Lev. 169. 2 Keb. 273. ; Holland v. Heal, z. Roll Abr. 636.; and Audley v. Fitty and Othera, ante, 5 to 9.

TRIN. TERM, 10. CAR. 2.

### PAGE, Clerk, against ELTON and Others.

Herefordsbire, 24th June 1658.

ed are decreed.

The vicar of THE plaintiff, as vicar of the parish of Ledburg, in Hereford-Ledburg claims him filed his hill and set fourth that the tiphes of corn and foire, filed his bill, and fet forth, that the tithes of corn and part of his tithes by the andow. hay in the faid parish, except the tithe of the corn and hay of the ment, and by Home, leads, in the foraigne of Ledbury, were, at the first endowcomposition ment of the vicarage, given to the portioner there; and that the with the portithes of corn and hay arising from the Homesteads were given to the Vicar of Ledburn as also the tithes of wood, wood, lamb, calf. onthe agreement the Vicar of Ledbury, as also the tithes of wood, wool, lamb, calf, being proved in and other small tithes; and that time out of mind a composition trial at law, was made between the vicars and the portionaries there, that each the tithes claim- of the portionaries, in lieu of the tithe of the hay and corn in the Homesteads due to the vicar, should pay sixteen bushels of wheat and fixteen bushels of oats; and that the portionaries, among them, should take the tithes of corn and hay within the Homefleads; which corn tithes were accordingly paid until the year 1644; at which time the defendants Elton and Skipp being joint farmers of the portionary called the Upperhall, under R. Gowland, clerk, portionary there, did not only refuse to pay the moiety of the wheat and oats there, being worth ten pounds annually, but they, with the defendant Wint, had, for all the time aforefaid, detained their small tithes; for which the defendant Etton, for his part, was, by agreement made, in the year 1647, between him and the plaintiff, to pay three pounds per annum, part whereof, as also the tithes of certain water-mills, had been hitherto detained from him; and therefore he prayed to be relieved in the premises.

> The defendant Skipp confessed, that he had sent to the vicar some quantities of corn, sometimes more sometimes less. defendant Elton confessed the delivering of some bushels of corn to the vicar of Ledbury; and both of them denled tithes to be due to the vicar out of the demesnes of the said manor.

> The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides.

And a trial at law was directed to be had, whether fixteen bushels of wheat and sixteen bushels of oats are due to the vicar of Ledbury yearly out of the portion of tithes called the Upperhall; as also whether the small tithes arising within the demesine, lands of the manor of Ledbury are due to the vicar of Ledbury.

8tb Nov. 1658. A trial being had, and a verdict given for the plaintiff on both iffues.

> IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendants Elton and Skipp shall pay to the plaintiff all such arrears as shall be found to be due to him for the portion of wheat and oats issuing out of the faid portionary called the Upperhall; that all the defendants shall

· shall pay the arrears respectively of all tithes of wool, lamb, wood, and other small tithes out of the demesne lands of the said manor, as they shall appear to be due; and that the case be re- AND OTHERS. ferred to the auditor of the said county to cast up the same.

PAGE agains ELTON

WIDDRINGTON, Chief Baron. NICHOLAS, Baron. PARKER, Baron. HILL, Baron.

DUGARDE, Clerk, against WEETE.

TRIM. TERM, 10, CAR. 2.

Warwicksbire, 28th June 1658.

THE plaintiff stated in his bill, that for ten years past he was A composition incumbent of the parish-church of Barford, in Warwick- of 13s. 4d. in fire, and entitled to all tithes arising therein; that the rectors lieu of the tithe there have anciently had tithe of the toll of the corn and grain of ground at a wathree water corn mills lying and being within the faid parish; for ter corn mill dewhich, by ancient composition, there hath constantly been paid creed. to the faid rectors there, by the occupiers of the faid mills, the yearly fum of thirteen shillings and fourpence, the which they call the tithe-hopper, or hopper-money, which ought to be paid by the defendant to the faid plaintiff, the faid defendant having been, for seven years last past, miller and occupier of the said mills; but that he had refused to pay.

The defendant denied the custom; and on a trial at law a verdict was given for the plaintiff; on which

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid defendant do 22d Nov. 1658. pay to the plaintiff four pounds thirteen shillings and sourpence for the tithes in question, at the rate of thirteen shillings and fourpence a-year,

WIDDRINGTON, Chief Baron. NICHOLAS, Baron, PARKER, Baron. HILL, Baron.

BLACKBORNE and Others against MILES.

HILARYTERM 10. CAR. 2.

Yorksbire, 10th Feb. 1658.

THE plaintiffs were owners and proprietors of the rectory of The plaintiffs Marrick, in Yorksbire, and of the rights, tithes, and appur demand tithes tenances thereunto belonging. The defendant was farmer of for the rectory certain of the demesne lands lying therein. The bill was brought of Marrick, to be relieved against the non-payment of tithes. The plaintiffs on a modus of flated, that by a certain custom within the said rectory used, 11. 40. 6d. for time out of mind, there hath been and yet is a certain rate or tithe hay on the composition paid for the tithe of hay yearly arising therein; demesse lands,

MILES. fores,

BLACKBONNE after which rate and composition there is due to the plaintiff from the defendant for his meadow ground mowed and inned in 1655 the fum of one pound, four shillings, and sixpence; and and 11. 6s. 4d. likewise by a certain custom within the said rectory, used by all for tithe hay in the time aforesaid, there hath been another certain rate and certain inclocomposition paid for the tithe of the hay of certain inclosures or parcels of ground lying within the faid rectory, commonly called or known by the names of the Park, the Crofthow, the Lyng, and the Lamb Closes, being in the occupation of the defendant for the faid year, after which rate there is due one pound, fix shillings, and fourpence; and also the like rates due to the plaintiffs for and also the u- 1656; and that, notwithstanding the said rates paid for the tithes of of hay, the plaintiffs have had and received, and ought to receive, wool, calves, their part and proportions of all manner of tithes of corn, grain, wool, lamb, and calf, and all other tithes in kind, yearly renewing, &c. and have had the same of former owners and occupiers of the faid lands and grounds called the Park, the Crofthow, the Lyng, and the Lamb Closes, in the defendant's answer mentioned, and that they were never detained except by the defendant.

The defendant zl. 6s. 4d in lieu

lambs,

&C.

The defendant denied that any tithes of wool, lamb, or calf avers, that there were due in kind from him for 1656; averring likewise that is a modus of there hath been, and ought to be paid yearly to the owners, of all manner of proprietors, or farmers of the faid rectory, the fum of one pound, fix shillings, and fourpence in money for and in lieu and discharge of all manner of tithes arifing within certain grounds parcel of the demesne lands of the said lordship of Marrick, called the Park, the Crofthow, the Lyng, the East Ridings, and the Lamb Closes; and that no tithes coming, arising, or renewing within any of the said grounds have been or ought to be payable in kind,

An iffue direct-The Court referred the cause to a trial at law; the issue to ed, and the be, whether, time out of mind, there hath been paid to the modus establish owners, proprietors, and farmers of the rectory of Marrick the ed. fum of one pound, fix shillings, and fourpence in money, for and in lieu and discharge of all manner of tithes renewing and arising within certain grounds, part of the demesne lands of the lordship of Marrick, called the Park, the Crofthow, the Lyng, the East Rid-

A trial was had, and a verdict passed for the plaintists; and 3th Nov. 1660. upon reading the faid order and the postea,

ings, and the Lamb Closes, or not?

Payment decrocd

IT is ordered by the Court, that the fums of one pound, four shillings, and sixpence, and one pound, six shillings, and fourpence for the faid two years shall be paid to the plaintiffs; and it is referred to the auditor to cast up the values of the rest of the tithes according to the proofs, and to certify the fame; which was done, and the decree accordingly confirmed.

HARTE

### HART, Clerk, against GRANTHAM and Others. Oxfordsbire, 5th May 1659.

IL CAR. 2.

THE bill fet forth, that the plaintiff, in the year 1656, became The the lawful incumbent of the rectory of Minhing, in Onford-claims tithes of fire, and hath ever fince been seised thereof; and duly offi- the village of ciated the cure there, and ought to have had fet out to him, Willefton, within the parish of by all the tenants of land within the faid parish and the tithe- Minday. able places, all their tithes of corn, grain, and hay; that the defendants have held lands in a certain manor or village called

Willaston, within the said parish, out of which several sorts of tithes did arise, which the desendants refused to pay or to set

The defendants admitted the plaintiff to be rector of the faid The defendants parish, and that Willaston is in the said parish; but as to the plead a moder tithes issuing thereout, and demanded by the bill, they denied of 41. payable that any of them ever paid any tithes in kind to the plaintiff or lieu of all tithes any of his predecessors, or that any were ever demanded before; arising from the and faid that, for time whereof the memory of man is not to the lands in the vilcontrary, there hath been used and accustomed to be paid, and lage. was paid to the rector of Mixbury for the time being, or to his farmers of the faid rectory, the sum of four pounds yearly at the

fealts of the Annunciation and Saint Michael, by equal portions, in lieu and discharge of all tithes issuing or arising out of the

lands in the faid manor or village.

half yearly

The Court directed an iffue to try the modus, as stated in the The modus estaanswer; and a verdict was given for the defendant, which was blished in a trial now shewed forth in court; and upon the motion of his counsel, at law.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendant shall be 10th Nov. 1659. dismissed this Court of and from the said bill, and the matters therein contained, with costs.

WIDDRINGTON, Chief Baron. NICHOLAS, Baron. PARKER, Baron. HILL, Baron.

Hodgkin, Clerk, against James.

TRIN. TRRM, 11. CAR. 2.

Sussex, 13th June 1659.

THE plaintiff was rector of the parish-church of Cotfield, in A bill against the county of Suffex. The defendant was the executor of the executor of the last incumthe last will and testament of John James, late rector of the said bent of the church, deceased. The bill was filed to procure satisfaction for church of Cocdilapidations committed during the incumbency of the faid John field, in Suffer, for dilapidations, ]ames.

The

The defendant The defendant was ferved with a subpoena to hear judgment, erdered to pay but neglected to attend; and the Court, after reading the sol, for dilapi answer, and the depositions of several witnesses, ordered the detailed, unless answer, and the depositions of several witnesses, ordered the detailed. cause be shewn fendant to pay thirty pounds for the said dilapidations, unless he so the contrary. shewed cause to the contrary.

The cause re-On the twentieth of June 1659, the defendant appeared by heard on the his counsel; but he was ordered to pay the usual five pounds costs, defendant's pay- for not attending the first hearing, before he should be heard.

On the twenty-fourth of June 1659, the cause was reheard.

Ordered to pay bles for costs.

THE COURT ordered the defendant forthwith to pay twenty twenty nobles nobles for the faid dilapidations, and twenty nobles for costs: in all fordilapidations, the fum of twenty marks.

> (a) But see the statute 13. Eliz. c. 10. f. 2. by which the cognizance of dilapidations is given to the ecclefiastical court; and the case of Salisbury .

Whitby, 29th June 1682, 34. Car. 2. where the above statute was pleaded to the jurifdiction of the court of excheques and allowed.

### COLLECTION

O B

### DECREES

BY

### THE COURT OF EXCHEQUER

1 18

# TITHE-CAUSES,

FROM

### THE RESTORATION.

RASHLEY against DAVIS.

Trin. Trrms 12. Car. 2.

Cornwall, 5th July 1660.

HE plaintiff, as owner and proprietor of the tithes arising Tithes are due or renewing within the parish of Trewardreth, in Cornior pilebards and book fish at the wall, exhibited his bill touching the tithes of pilebards place where and book-fish taken by the defendant, and landed within the said they are landed. parish.

A trial at law was directed upon two feveral iffues.

FIRST, Whether the tithes of pilchards landed at the Parre within the said parish of Trewardreth, ought to be paid in kind to the plaintiff?

SECONDLY, Whether the tithes of book-fifb landed at the Parre enght to be paid in kind to the plaintiff?

The equity to be referved until after the trial.

A trial was had, and a verdict given against the desend-18th Nov. 1663.

ant. Whereupon, in Easter Term last, the desendant moved for a new trial, alledging that the verdict was obtained by desault, and therefore not sufficient to satisfy the Court to make any decree; and, by consent of both parties, a new trial was had upon

RASHLEY against DAVIS.

upon the former iffues, and the plaintiff obtained a verdict upon full evidence on both fides.

The plaintiff's counsel therefore prayed a decree for the payment of the tithes in question.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendant shall pay to the plaintiff the arrears of tithes of pilchards landed by him or his servants or agents at the place called the Parre or elsewhere, within the faid parish of Trewardreth, and likewise the arrears of the tithes of book-fish landed there or elsewhere, within the same parish.

HALE, Chief Baron. SANDS, Baron. ATKINS, Baron.

TRIN. TERM, 12. CAR. 2.

Holbech against TAYLOR. Warwicksbire, 18th July 1660.

The owner of THE bill set forth, that William Pawlett, Esq. in the month of the tithes of May 1658, was seised, in his demesse as of see, of and in the parish.

the parish of rectory, impropriation, and parsonage impropriate of Filongbley, Filongbles, in in Warwicksbire, and of all manner of tithes of corn, grain, hay, intitled to 25, and coppice woods, and of all manner of herbage and herbages for every 20s. whatfoever to the faid rectory or impropriation belonging or rent, in lieu of appertaining, that is to fay, two shillings for every pound rent tithe for the in land by the year for the herbage thereof, then held with under 61.35. Iod. other lands of the late KING CHARLES, his heirs and successors, a-year, held by by knight service in capite, under the yearly see-farm rent of six knight service in pounds, three shillings, and ten pence; that the said William copie within the Pawlett did demise the said rectory, and tithes, and herbages, from year to year, until 1650; that the farmers or tenants thereof did quietly hold and enjoy, as well the tithes of corn and hay, as also the said yearly sum of two shillings, payable as aforefaid for herbage belonging to the faid rectory; that the faid William Pawlett did afterwards, by indenture dated the eighth of May 1650, demife and grant the same to the plaintiffs, to hold for three years, at the rent of ninety pounds a year; that being fo possessed, the said plaintiffs did, for the most part, quietly hold, receive, and enjoy, all and fingular the faid tithes, herbage, and fums of money due and payable for the same; that the said defendant enjoyed and occupied in possession pasture ground at eleven pounds per annum during the faid term of three years, for which the faid defendant ought to have paid to the faid plaintiffs the yearly fum of twenty-two shillings, after the rate of two shillings for every pound rent of the land due and payable as aforefaid for herbage; but that he refused to pay the fame as the former occupiers of the faid ground had done. The bill therefore prayed, that the plaintiffs might be relieved concerning the faid payment of two shillings in the pound for herbage.

The defendant appeared and answered; the plaintiffs replied; and witneffes were examined on both fides.

HOLBECK against TAYLOR.

And, upon long debate had thereof, for that it appeared to the Ancient court, as well by the depositions of witnesses, as also by several counts in the very ancient accounts of the tenth and eleventh years of King the Fourth read Edward the Fourth, that the faid rate or payment of two shillings in evidence. in the pound for herbage of fuch pasture ground which the said defendant occupied and enjoyed during the faid three years, was due, and ought to be paid to the faid plaintiffs,

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendant shall satisfy and pay to the plaintiffs the feveral fums of fixteen shillings, twenty shillings, and twenty-two shillings, according to the rate of two shillings for every pound rent so due to the plaintiffs for herbage of the pasture ground which the defendant held and enjoyed during the said term, and according to the proofs in the caulc.

BRIDGMAN, Chief Baron. TURNER, Baron.

TRINITY COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE, and Others, against Mich. TERM, WYN.

#### Yorksbire, 1st December 1660.

THE bill set forth, that King Henry the Eighth being The plaintiffe feised, in right of his crown, of the rectory of Sedbergh, in demand tithes the county of York, with the rights, members, glebe lands, tithes, the parish of &c. coming, &c. within the said rectory, or within the liberty, Sadbergh, in the territories, or precincts thereof, parcel of the possessions of the county of York. late priory of Coversbam, did, by letters patent dated the twentyfourth of December, in the thirty-eighth year of his reign, grant the faid rectory and tithes, &c. to Trinity College, in Cambridge; that the master, &c. being so seised, did, by indenture dated the first of May 1651, demise the same to the other plaintiffs, Trott and Muryal, for twenty years, under a certain rent; who, being so possessed, made, in March 1652, a lease of the same to the plaintiff Burton and others for seven years, who thereby became possessed, and have since received most part of the tithes, and ought still to receive the same for the remainder of the said term; that the defendants, for several years past, had been seised of land, arable, meadow, and pasture, within the said parish, and the precincts thereof, and had wheat, barley, and other grain, and also divers calves, colts, and pigs, and much wool, milk, butter, fruit, and other titheable property, by which they raised great profits, and ought to have paid tithes for the same to the said plaintiff Burton and others in kind, or otherwise to have satisfied the same; that the defendants, having got the said last-mentioned leases to defeat the plaintiffs of their said tithes, do

TRINITY
COLLEGE,
CAMBRIDGE,
CAMBRIDGE,
AND OTHERS,
and fet forth the quantities, numbers, and value of their tithes, and
against be decreed to pay the arrears of the same, and for the future.

WYE.

The defendant answered, and said that the plaintiffs had The defendants The defendant answered, and laid that the plainting flad plead, that the before filed their bill in this court against the said defendant lands they cocu- and others, and thereby fet forth their title to the faid tithes and py lie in the rectory; that they had denied that the lands or the tithes parish of Dent, demanded of them were within the parish of Sedlergh; and that, parish of Sed upon full hearing, the said defendants were dismissed with costs. They further said, that they knew not that the rectory of Sed**b**ozb. bergh was parcel of the priory of Coverham, or of the grant thereof, nor of the demises to Trott and Muryal, or to Burton and others; and denied having the faid leafes: and all the de endants faid, they lived and occupied lands in the parish of Dent, which was a distinct parish of itself, and had a minister and tithes thereunto belonging; and that all their lands did lie in Dent, and not in Sedbergh, nor had they any lands belonging to the late priory of Coverbam; and therefore they were not

The plaintiffs replied; and witnesses were examined on both fides.

chargeable to pay tithes in respect of the rectory of Sedbergh.

The Court inUpon reading the aforefaid letters patent, and the depositions thined to direct of several witnesses taken in the cause, the Court, before any final decree was made, inclined to have had a trial at law, whether Dent was parcel of the rectory of Sedbergh? and after trial had, to resort back again to the Court.

But on reading an accient deeds and writings being produced by the plaintiffs, and, among the erased, and Dem rest, an ancient deed indented of composition, with a schedule inserted instead thercunto annexed, made the twenty-seventh of March 1505, and read in court; and by comparing the same with the rest of the said deeds, the court was satisfied that the tithes of Deni do belong to the rectory of Sedbergh, notwithstanding the several erasures in the third line of the said deed; for it appeared to the Court, upon the view and contents of the said deeds, that the words "Deni" and "Gandall" were inserted instead of Sedwingh" and "Dent."

the defendants THE COURT, therefore, declared, that they think it fit that the are ordered to faid defendants should, from the time complained of in the said to the plaintiffs. bill, and for the future, pay their tithes of corn and hay arising within Dent aforesaid to the said plaintiffs or their farmers, in such manner as by the said ancient composition is agreed upon; which deed is annexed to the decree. And it is further ordered, that the said defendants, and every of them, do and shall pay to the said plaintiffs their former tithes from the time complained.

complained of in the bill, and of wool, and lamb, and kine, for the future, and all arrears for the tithes of wool and lamb the future, and all arrears for the titnes of wool and lamb CAMBRIDGE, according to the proofs; and it is referred to the auditor to cast AND OTHERS. up the fame.

Thinitt COLLEGE, against Wrn.

MATTHEW HALE, Chief Baron. EDWARD ATKYNS, Baron. CH. TURNOR, Baron.

# WILSON against REDMAN and Others.

Mich. Trans IS. CAR. S.

Yorksbire, 26th November 1660.

THE bill stated, that Henry Wilson, deceased, was, on the The plaintiff, twenty-ninth of August 1639, seised in see, of some estate as impropriator for one or more life or lives in being, of the impropriate rectory of the rectory of Horton, in Riblefdale, and of all the glebe lands, and tithes of Horton, states thereto belonging; and also of certain lands, tenements, and impropriation, tithes, with the appurtenances in Becroft, Horton, and Riblesdale; and being fo feifed, by will, dated the twenty-ninth of August 1630, devised all his interest therein to the plaintiff and his heirs for ever, with a payment out of it of one hundred pounds to H. Power; that the faid testator foon after died, scised of the premises; that, the plaintiff being then an infant, the said H. Power entered at the end of three years after the testator's death into the premifes, for want of payment of the faid one hundred pounds, and enjoyed the same until the second of February 1656; that, the plaintiff being so entitled to the faid rectory and tithes, he and the faid H. Power yearly received the profits and tithes of most of the inhabitants there; and that the plaintiff ought fill to receive the same. The bill then set forth the custom of and sets out a tithing there to be, "every tenth stack of corn; for the offering particular cus " of every inhabitant, three halfpence; every tenth calf; for tom of tithings " the milk of every cow renewed, twopence; for every fowl, " one penny; fwarm of bees, one penny; for the milk of every " tenth ewe, one penny; every lamb, and for every fix lambs, " half-a-lamb, or the value thereof, and a whole lamb, as four, " feven, and more, the rector paying back fo many halfpence as " the number falls short of ten, and the inhabitants to pay one " halfpenny for every lamb under fix." It also stated the like tithing for fleeces of wool, and after the same rate for greater or leffer numbers of the titheable things there renewed. It also flated, that "hemp and flax paid the tenth part in kind; for " every feventh, eighth, and ninth chicken, one penny; and " after the same rate for eggs, geese, ducks, and pigs; for every " garden, one penny; for every plough, one penny, called plough " penny;" and certain fums in lieu of tithe hay which he could not particularly fet forth. It then alledged, that the defendants had been for twelve years past inhabitants thereof; that they had great store of corn, lamb, wool, calves, and other tithe-

ļ

WILSON against REDMAN. able things yearly renewed there; but that they refused to pay tithes for the same, or to make any satisfaction for the same. The bill therefore prayed, that the defendants might account for their tithes for the faid years, and pay the plaintiff the values and arrears thereof.

The defendants to the vicar;

The defendants denied the plaintiff's title, as also the custom deny the plain as stated; but alledged, that if any thing be payable for hay, tiff's title, and milk, plough, garth, fowls, kine, penny offerings, eggs, or fay, if any chickens the first industrial and the firest industrial and the first industrial and the first industrial tithe is due from chickens, the faid is due and payable to the vicar of Horton, and them, it belongs not to the impropriator. They also denied having in their hands any books, rentals, or other writings belonging to the rectory; and stood upon their own right to be discharged against the plaintiff for payment of tithes renewed upon their lands; and they set forth the time they severally held their particular meffuages and tenements; all which feveral premifes fo held by them they alledged, had, time out of mind, been part of the demesse of the manor of Horton (except the defendant Horton's but they dif-tenement); that the manor, rectory, and water-corn-mill of

charged their Horton were all heretofore parcel of the possessions of the late the Ciftertian erder.

lands from dissolved monastery of Jervaux, in the county of York, which tithes, as having was of the Cistertian order; that the several abbots of Jervaux the monastery were, time out of mind, seised in see of the said manor, rectory, of Jerosux, of and premises, and held the same in their own hands together; and that, the late abbot being fo feifed, the fame monastery came to King Henry the Eighth by the diffolution of monasteries; who, being so seised, by letters patent, dated about the thirtyfixth year of his reign, granted the fame to the Earl of Lenox and his wife, and their heirs, at eighty pounds, nine shillings, and eightpence yearly rent referved to the crown; and from the faid Earl of Lenox the defendants derive their tenements; and they fet forth the same, and the quantities of their titheable matters.

> The plaintiff replied; and witnesses were examined on both fides; and upon full hearing,

Forasimuch as the defendants do, by their answer, confess the

An iffue directthe Cifteriian arder;

ed to try, whe fubtracting of the tithes demanded by bill, and infift upon a difdid belong to a charge; for that the lands they held are pretended to be parcel of of the demesnes of the late abbot of the late monastery of Jervaux, and that the faid monastery was of the Ciffertian order, this Court doth not think fit to decree the said tithes to the plaintiff until a trial at law be had thereupon; but in the mean and the defend- time, this Court doth think fit that the defendants shall give secuants to give fe-curity in double rity for the values of the arrears of their tithes for twelve years the value of past, in case a verdict shall go against them, according to the seve-

ral particulars in their answers mentioned and proved in the books. their tithes. according to the custom of tithing set forth in the bill.

> It is ordered by the Court, that the plaintiff shall bring his action against Lawrence Burton, one of the defendants, by consent,

tipon the statute 2. & 3. Edw. 6. c. 13. for not setting forth his predial tithes for the years 1659 and 1660; at which trial the faid defendant is by consent, to admit the plaintiff to be proprictor of the tithes; and that he, the faid defendant, is occupier of the land in question, and that he hath refused to fet forth the tithes in the said years, and to insist only upon the said discharge; and as the trial shall go for or against the said defendant for the faid great tithes, all the defendants (except Roger Procter) are thereby to be concluded for all the tithes in question. The equity of the cause to be reserved; and the defendants to give fecurity for double the value of their tithes, except the defendant Profler.

WILSON against REDMAN.

A trial at law was accordingly had, and a verdict given for the 18th Nov. 1661. A trial at law was accordingly nau, and a vertice given and the verdict for defendants; and, upon the prayer of the defendants counsel, the defendant. and upon reading the faid order, postea, and depositions taken on the plaintiff's part, and some of the defendants answers,

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that all the defendants (except The bill dis-Profler) shall be dismissed this court of and from the said bill, missed. and their recognizances discharged; and that the desendant Procler pay to the plaintiff fixteen shillings for his tithes confeffed in his answer to be due for the same.

### Burgis, Clerk, against DIAMOND.

HILARYTERM 12. CAR. 2.

Devonsbire, 7th February 1660.

THIS was a bill touching tithes for the depasturing of barren Tithes are due and unprofitable cattle upon the lands in the defendant's of common occupation within the parish of Aisborington.

depasturing of in hufbandry, or

The cause came on to be heard this day; and upon reading barren and un-The cause came on to be neard this day; and upon reading profitable cattle, the bill, and the depositions of divers witnesses, and upon much unless employed debate,

the fervice of the THE COURT was of opinion, that tithes, of common right, are family. due to the plaintiff for the pasturage of such barren and unprositable cattle as yield no tithes, unless they are reared and employed for the plough, the pail, the faddle, or spent in the family, in the same parish.

But because the plaintiff, by his bill, demanded the tenth part But the plaintiff of the profit made upon the fale of fuch barren cattle so depas- having set up a tured, upon pretence of a custom which he hath not at all pretended cusproved,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid the bill is difdefendant be, and he is hereby dismissed out of this court of missed with 20L and from the faid bill, and the matters therein contained, with collatwenty pounds cofts.

Vol. L

PYCTT

TRIM. TERM, 23. CAR. 3.

PYOTT against GRARY.

Hertfordsbire, 17th June 1661.

The plaintiff THIS was a bill, by the farmer of the impropriate rectory, claims the tithes Touching tithes, within the parish of Tring, in Hertfor assure; in k nd of Tring, which, by an order of the twenty-eighth of January last, was in Harsfordfire. referred to a trial at law, upon certain customs or modus of manner tithing fet forth in the answer.

> The plaintiff, in obedience to the faid order, filed his declaration; but the defendant did not entered his appearance; and, on the twenty-fecond day of May last, the cause was set down for further hearing; and now upon further hearing this day by the plaintiff's counsel, and no counsel appearing for the defendant, it was ordered, that a commission shall be awarded to prove the value of the tithes, unless cause were shewn to the contrary, the said defendant first paying twenty shillings costs for this day's attendance.

18 72/y 1661. kind.

The defendant now shewing cause, prayed, that there might The defendant be a trial had upon the customs; which is ordered, by consent, lieu of tithes in accordingly; and also, to avoid the expence and trouble of a commission to prove the values, that the defendant shall pay to the plaintiff three shillings for his tithes of calves; one shilling and fixpence for his tithe of lambs; fix shillings for his tithe of milk; and two shillings for his tithe of wool, in case he shall not prove the customs set forth in his answer. The equity of the cause to be reserved.

A trial at law, and verdict for A trial being had, and a verdict given for the plaintiff,

the plaintiff. IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendant shall forthwith pay to the plaintiff the aforesaid sums for his 21st April 1662. tithes of calves, lambs, wool, and milk, according to the said. order.

> HALE, Chief Baron. ATKINS, Baron. TURNOR, Baron.

TRIN. TERM, 13. CAR. 2.

Devereaux, Clerk, against RADLEY. Essex, 1st July 1661.

The vicar of Ca. THE bill fet forth, that for two years past the plaintiff hath been vicar of the vicarage of Canewdown, in the county of Essex, claims, by Deen vicar of the vicarage of Lanewdown, in the county of custom, 38 6d in Essex, and entitled to have and receive tithe of herbage, and all the pound on other small tithes, of what kind soever, growing &c. within the the rent of cer- faid parish, or the bounds and precincts thereof, or to have other tain marsh lands customary duties in lieu of tithes, by prescription, custom, or enin lieu of the downent; that the defendant, for five years past, had been herbage of the farmer of a marsh and pasture grounds there, containing feven faid lands.

hundred

hundred acres, and had fed thereon great stores of cattle; that DEVERBAUX he withholds from the plaintiff the tithes and customary dues for the faid cattle, and all other tithes; that every farmer of lands, when depastured with cattle within the faid parish, have used to pay to the vicar there three shillings and sixpence in the pound for every pound the lands are rated at, in lieu of tithe herbage, or some satisfaction for the same; that the desendant, being occupier of the said marsh for two years past, at the rent of two hundred and ten pounds a-year, did depasture thereon divers cattle, for which there is due yearly to the plaintiff three shillings and fixpence in the pound; which the defendant refused to pay: and therefore he prayed a discovery and satisfaction for the fame.

against RADLET.

The defendant confessed, that he holds lands which lie, The defendant part in Canewdown, and part in the parishes of Althorne and fays, that the Crivey, at the yearly rent of two hundred and twenty pounds; to pay only is. usage has been and that the land lying in Canewdown, is yearly worth two hun- in the pound, dred pounds a-year; that he hath rented the fame for twenty- and il. over. four years past, during all which time he hath usually paid to the vicar thereof twelvepence in the pound for every yearly pound rent; and that he usually paid the vicar yearly eleven pounds, and no more, being twenty shillings more than the twelvepence in the pound which was always received by the former vicars; and that the usual payment for marsh land, which was grazed, was no more, and which he was willing to pay: and he fet forth number of cattle he fed thereon.

The plaintiff replied; and witnesses were examined on both fides.

Upon opening the pleadings, and reading the depositions of feveral witnesses in the faid cause;

For that it appeared that the pastures whereof the tithe her-But as it apbage are demanded, during one year and a half, were wholly peared, that the depaftured with barren cattle, and fuch as yielded no titheable when depafturprofit; and consequently, of common right, tithe herbage is due ed with barren for the fame; and forasmuch as it appeared, that the said cattle. was, in pastures are and have been usually let for two hundred pounds the said parish, per annum, and consequently, in an ordinary estimate, the tithe pounded at 22. herbage of the pastures aforesaid, being so employed as aforesaid, in the pound, amounts yearly to twenty pounds, viz. two shillings for every twenty shillings; and according to such rate the tithe herbage hath been usually rated and paid in the said parish when the pastures or marshes have been so fed and depastured;

It is ordered by the Court, that the defendant shall pay the defendant is to the plaintiff thirty pounds, in licu of tithe herbage for the ordered to pay faid marsh or pasture grounds held by him within the faid pa- 25. in the pound rish, for one year and a half, which is according to the rate of accordingly.

F 2

DEVERZAUX two shillings in the pound, by the year, for every pound retit the faid lands are rented at, the same being rented at two hundred pounds per annum.

Trin. Term, 14. Car. 2.

THOMPSON, Clerk, against GRAY.

Northamptonsbire, 16th June 1662.

The customary THE bill stated, that the plaintiff then was, and for fifteen manner of takyears last past had been, vicar of the parish-church of Presson years last past had been, vicar of the parish-church of Preston years 121t pait nad been, vicar of the parish-church of Presson and oblations in Copes, in the county of Northampton; that there was due to him, the parish of by ancient custom within the said parish, for every man and his wife Profion Copes, in receiving the facrament, and being an inhabitant therein, and for Northemptonshire their offerings at Easter, twopence; and for every single person one penny; that the tithes of pigs, bees, honey, onions, carrots, parsnips, turnips, and eggs. did belong to him, and ought to be paid, that is to say, out of every ten pigs, one; out of every seven pigs, giving three halfpence to the owner, one; for every pig under feven, an halfpenny; out of honey, every tenth pint; and the full tenth part of all onions, carrots, parsnips, and turnips, growing within the faid parish; for every cock, three eggs; and for every hen, two eggs, kept therein; that the defendant was an inhabitant in the parish; that he, his wife, and servants, had received the facrament for three years past; and that he ought to pay in every of the faid years for himself and wife twopence, and for his fervants threepence, for their offerings at Easter.

The defendant denied the custom for oblations, and stated, that he lived in the parish of Little Presson; for the tithes whereof he set forth an agreement, and also some particular things whereof tithes were due.

THE COURT ordered the defendant to pay to the plaintiff three shillings for the small tithes, and forty shillings for costs.

Hilary Term 14. Car. 2. PAGE, Clerk, against Brown.

Lincolnsbire, 9th February 1662.

The tithes of THE plaintiff, as rector of the parish-church of Folkingham, in wool and lamb Langton, in the county of Lincoln, exhibited his bill in the are due to the exchequer touching the tithes of wool and lamb due to the rector of Folk. plaintiff, as rector thereof.

THE COURT directed an issue, to try, whether the tithes of 30th May 1663. wool and lamb are due to the plaintiff, as rector of the said parish, or not; and on the trial, a verdict was given for the plaintiff; and on reading the posses,

It is ordered by the Court, that the tithes in question shall be decreed to the plaintiff, and that the defendant shall pay to the plaintiff seven pounds, ten shillings for the value of his tithes due.

BROWN. 3d June 1663.

# HAYES, Clerk, against Cox.

14. CAR. 2.

Hampsbire, 9th February 1662.

THE bill prayed to be relieved for the tithe of lambs fallen The whole tithe within the parish of Nether Wallopp, and due to the plaintiff, in kind of lambe so vicar of the faid parish, for two years past,

The defendant denied, that the vicarage is endowed with Wallop is due to more than half the tithes of the faid lambs, which, he faid, he had the vicar. offered, viz. two shillings and sixpence for every twentieth see the case of Hill o. Maton. lamb.

fallen in the parish of Naber See the case of

Trinity Term,

THE COURT directed a trial at law, in which the plaintiff 7. Geo 3 on a shall declare, that the whole tithe of and for lambs fallen within vicar of the same the faid parish of Nether Wallopp is due to the vicar of the parish. faid parish; to which the defendant shall plead, that one half of the tithe of and for lambs fallen within the faid parish, is due to the said vicar, and the other half of the said tithes to the impropriator, without that, that the whole tithes of and for lambs fallen within the faid parish are due to the faid vicar; the equity of the cause to be reserved to this court.

Upon which issue a trial was had, and the plaintiff was non- 3016 May 1663. fuited, because the plaintiff had laid in his declaration, that the whole tithe of and for lambs, was due to the vicar; and all the plaintiff's evidence testified, that a composition in lieu of tithe lambs was always paid to the vicar, and not any part thereof to the impropriator.

It was therefore prayed, that, on the plaintiff's paying the costs, the iffue might be amended in that particular, and a new trial

THE COURT, by the consent of both parties, directed a new trial, and that the plaintiff shall declare, that the whole tithe of lambs, or a composition for the whole tithe of lambs, doth belong to the vicar of the faid parish; to which the defendant shall plead, that the one-half of the faid tithe lambs, and the one-half of the composition for tithe lambs, is due to the impropriator, WITHOUT THAT, that the whole tithe of lambs, or a composition for the whole tithe of lamb, doth belong to the vicar of the faid parish: and the defendant to have his costs of the former trial.

'On the second trial the jury found, that the whole tithe of 12th May 1664. lambs within the parish of Nether Wellopp, and within the bounds, limits, and titheable places of the fame parish, yearly coming.

HATES

against

Cox.

coming, &cc. or a composition for the same, are, and time beyond the memory of man have been, due and payable to the vicar of the said parish, as by the poster appeared.

THE COURT, after hearing counsel on both sides, ordered the defendant to pay sive pounds to the plaintiss, being the value of the whole tithe of his lambs confessed in his answer to have fallen within the parish during the year.

Hilary Term · 14. Car. 2. HASTINGS, Widow, against Goldwyer.

Hampsbire, 18th February 1662.

Small tithes due THIS was a bill touching the tithes of calves, milk, wool, to the rector of lamb, fruit, and other fmall tithes, belonging to the plaintiff, the parish of being owner of the rectory and impropriate parsonage church and chapelry of Milton, parcel of the late dissolved priory of Christ Church Twineham, in Hampshire.

The defendants fet up a composition for hay, wool, and lamb, and other small tithes.

THE COURT, after hearing counsel on both sides, not being satisfied of the plaintiff's title, ordered a seigned action on the case, in which the desendant shall wholly insist on the plaintiff's title to the small tithes; the vicar of Milton to make desence, if he thinks sit; and the equity to be reserved till after the trial.

\*71b April 1665. In pursuance whereof a trial was had, and a verdict given for the plaintiff.

Now the cause came on this day; and no counsel appearing for the defendant, and upon examination of G. Hastings, being present in court, as to the value of the tithes detained by the desendants.

It is ordered by the Court, that the faid defendants shall pay to the plaintiff the value of their small and privy tithes due to him, as valued by the said G. Hastings, in the whole to three pounds five shillings, with his costs in equity and at law, unless cause be shewn to the contrary.

HALE, Chief Baron.
ATKYNS, Baron.

EASTER TERM CROSSMAN, Clerk, against WALKER and Others.

Somersetsbire, 12th May 1664.

The plaintiff, as THE plaintiff, as rector and parson of the parish-church and rector of Back. parsonage of Backwell, in the county of Somerset, filed his well, in Son-erset-bill touching tithes due to him within the said parish.

THE

THE COURT ordered a trial at law upon the statute 2. Edw. 6. Crossman e. 13. for not setting forth the tithe bay in Land Mead and Moor Mead, and a special action on the case for the garden tithes; AND OTHERS. the actions to be brought against the defendant Lovell, and all the defendants are to make what defence they can, and to be liable ed to try, wheto the payment of costs; and they are to be, by consent of ther there is a counsel on both sides, bound by those trials; the defendant, at modus of 2d and the trial for tithe bay, to confess, that the plaintiff is parson, and acre for Lind that the defendant is occupant of Land Mead and Moor Mead; and idead, and idead, and also to confess the not setting forth of the tithes; and to Mead, in her of insist only upon the custom of two pence an acre for Land Mead, in the hay, and one penny an acre for Moor Mead. The equity of the cause to be reserved till after the trial.

Upon which order an action was brought on the statute 29th June 1667.

2. Edw. 6. for not setting forth tithe hay in Land Mead and A verdest for Moor Mead; and, upon full evidence, a verdest passed for the the plaintiff. plaintiff against the said custom of two pence an acre.

The cause came on to be further heard on the seventeenth of February 1665; and, upon reading the verdict, and hearing counsel,

THE COURT decreed the arrears of tithe hay to be paid to the plaintiff.

But the value being uncertain, a commission issued to scertain by an order the same; which being returned, the cause came on for surther made 7th July directions: whereupon

It is ordered by the Court, that the defendants shall pay the tithes of hay to the plaintiff the several sums certified due to him for tithe hay decreed, at the after the rate of one shilling and sixpence per acre for the said rate of 11. 6d. ten years, amounting to one pound, two shillings, and sixpence.

HALE, Chief Baron. TURNOR, Baron. RAYNSFORD, Baron.

FANE, Knt, against MARTIN.

Gloucestersbire, 27th April 1665.

EASTER TERM 17. CAR 20

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff was owner or proprietor of The rector of the rectory or rectories of Westbury upon Trim and Fenbury Westbury and Saltmars, and of all tithes, duties, payments, and profits to the county of Glougame belonging; that all the tithes of corn, grain, hay, wool, coffer, laims lamb, cows, calves, and other tithes, as well great as small, tithe of lang, within the said rectories and titheable places thereof, have been corn. Sec. in paid, from time to time, to the proprietors and owners thereof, and therefore ought to have been paid to the plaintiff for three years past, as in the bill is mentioned.

The

The defendants

The defendants plead, as to the tithe hay demanded in kind, that within the faid parish of Westbury there is a custom, whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary, that the possessor admit his title lands within the faid parish have accustomed to pay to the rector to tithes, but set or owner, yearly, or at any time afterwards, upon request, after up a modus of the rate of two pence an acre, in lieu of tithe hay; and that the ad. an acre in said rectors and owners have received and accepted the same Beyof tithe hay, accordingly, They state also, that the said plaintiff was, they believed, for seven years past, owner of the said rectory of Westbury, and intitled to all the duties and payments; and that all the faid tithes, duties, and payments (except tithe hay), have always been paid in kind, or fatisfaction made for the fame; and that they are ready and willing to pay the faid customary payment for the tithe hay.

> To which plea and answer the plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both sides; and upon hearing the faid cause,

An iffue direct-THE COURT, as to the faid modus, ordered a trial at law & ed to try the and as to the tithes confessed to be due to the plaintiff, that the fame shall be referred to the auditor of the county to compute and cast up the same, and return his certificate to the court.

An action was accordingly brought, and tried at the bar of this 94th Yan. 1666. A verdict for the court; and, upon full evidence, a verdict given for the plaintiff, plaintiff. that there was no fuch custom within the said parish of Westbury, whereof the memory of man was not to the contrary, that the possessors of lands within the said parish were accustomed to pay to the rector or owner, yearly, or at any time afterwards, upon request, after the rate of two pence in the acre in lieu of tithe hay, or that the faid rectors or owners did receive or accept the same accordingly in lieu of tithe hay.

> It was referred to the auditor of the county to compute the value of the tithes; and upon the return of his certificate,

33th June 1667. IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid certificate shall The tithes de- be confirmed; and that the defendants shall pay the several sums cied in kind. of money certified due, being in the whole ten pounds, ten shillings, and fixpence,

> HALE, Chief Baron. TURNOR, Baron. RAYNSFORD, Baron.

> > Ingleby

### Ingleby, Knt. against Wyvell.

BASTER TERM 18. CAR. 2.

#### Yorksbire, 22d May 1666.

THIS cause came on to be further heard this day (a). The The prebend of scope of the bill was, to be relieved for the tithes of Sten-Studley, in the singforth, as proprietor of the prebend of Studies, late parcel of county of York, dicharged from the late diffolved collegiate church of Rippon.

the payment of tithes, as having

The defendants alledged, that they were discharged from the formerlybelong. payment of tithes, for that the lands which they hold in Stenning ed to the abbey forth belonged to the abbey of Fountaines before the second of Fountaines. council of Lateran; which abbey was of the Cistertian order.

See S. C. Hard,

And because it appeared to the Court, upon several hearings of the faid cause, that there had been several trials at law, and verdicts on both fides; and upon the plaintiff producing in court an ancient composition between the abbot of Fountaines and the church of Rippon, and moving to have another trial at the bar of the court, by which he would be concluded, IT WAS ORDERED, on the fixth of February, that the defendants should fet forth the tenth lamb and a tenth sheaf; and the plaintiff bring his action of trover and conversion against the defendants for the same; the venne to be laid in the country of Middlesex; and both tides, by confent, to admit the faid fetting forth, and also the troyer and conversion, and to insist only upon the right.

The defendants counsel now informing the Court, that a trial had been accordingly had, and a special verdict found (b), and final judgment given thereon; and praying that the defendants might be dismissed;

IT IS THEREUPON ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendants be and stand dismissed this court of and from the said bill, and the matters and things therein contained, without prejudice to the plaintiff's modus.

(a) See ante, page 24. (b) See the special verdict given in this case, and stated at length, with the arguments of counfel, and the judgment of the Court, Hardres, 381 to 387. see also the case of the Dean and Chapter of Rippon, post. 23d February 1756, in Hilary Term, 29. Geo. 2. and the -Dean and Chapter of Rippon v. Parker, post. 13th February 1780, in Hilary Term, 20. Geo. 3.

TULLY,

EASTER TERM 18. CAR. 2. Tully, Clerk, against Halsall and Others.

Durham, 17th May 1666.

The rector of THE plaintiff, as rector and parson of the parish-church and Middleton claims Tectory of Middleton, in Tealdale, in the country of Durks rectory of Middleton, in Teafdale, in the county of Durham, the tithe of lead exhibited his bill in this court, the scope of which was, to have the are dug in Tesf. exhibited his bill in this court, the teope of which was, to have the dale Foreft, ac. tithe or tenth part of lead ore digged and gotten in a certain large cording to the waste, moor, or common, lying within the faid rectory, and the bustom of tith- precincts and titheable places thereof, called T afdale Forest, and in other grounds within the rectory, according to a certain 2. Chan. Cases, ancient custom and usage therein, and in t e titheable places 272. 282. thereof, time out of mind used and approved of, and which tenth 2. Vern. 46. part had, from time to time, been severed and divided from the See the case of residue thereof, and paid and delivered at the groves or pits Baffrew. Whar- reflucte the fame was wrought or gotten, by the owners of the Term, 19. Car. 2. faid lead-mines and their farmers, fervants, or agents, to the parfon of the faid rectory for the time being, or his farmers or agents, for the tithe thereof. The bill further stated, that the defendants are owners or farmers of lead-mines within the faid rectory, and do pretend that forty shillings a-year is due to the rector there, by ancient composition, in lieu of the tithes of the faid lead ore. The bill therefore prayed a discovery, whether any tithes be due, and in what quantities.

The defendants The defendant Halfill answered, and made a title to himself admit they dig of the liberty of digging pits within the said forest; and that he ore in Grass with others, about four years since, let the mines called Grass loom, within the Groves and Pickloom Groves to three of the defendants, for a yearly said forest, but rent; and, therefore, the plaintist cannot demand any tithe of they the curlom him, if any be due.

The defendant Rae disclaimed that he had any part or portion in the said lead mines or lead ore, and said, that he only asted as a workman.

because those The other defendants, Briggs, Gray, and Bacon, said, that the places were the said forest is the king's ancient demesse, and that they had been saucient farmers of the said mines for three years past; they denied the custom, or that they pretended any composition of forty shillings a-year; and said that it was a voluntary gift to the rector, and not in lieu of any tithes.

The plaintiffs replied; and witnesses were examined on both sides.

Three iffuet diUpon reading the depositions of several witnesses, and other reflect to try the evidences on the behalf of the plaintiff, and on long debate there on, for that the said defendants, by their answers, had denied the said custom, it is ordered by the Court, that it be referred to a trial at law upon these issues, against the desendants Briggs and others.

FIRST.

Frest, Whether there be a custom within the parish of Middeton, in Teasdale aforesaid, to pay to the parson there, for the time being, tithes in kind of lead ore gotten within or out of the AND OTHERS. said Grass Groves and Pickloom Groves, or either of them? and that if there be any fuch custom there, then,

SECONDLY, Whether the faid custom be to pay the faid tithes, without deduction of all manner of charges for digging, winning, and getting the faid ore? or,

THIRDLY, With deduction of all manner of charges for digging, winning, or getting the faid ore?

And as to the king's interest, the king's counsel may interpose if they please: and the equity to be reserved till after such trial had.

Upon which three issues a trial was had, and the custom found 26th Nov. 1666. for the plaintiff, upon the said several issues, as to Grass Groves, Atrial had, and but not as to Pickl om Groves; for that no lead ore, at any time for the custom within the memory of man, had been gotten out of the faid as to Grafe Pickless Groves, to the knowledge of the jurors then impannelled Grove. to try the faid iffues.

The plaintiff's counsel therefore prayed a decree as to the tithes of lead ore within the several pits called the Grass Graves, for which the plaintiff had a verdict.

Upon reading the faid order and poffea,

It is ordered by the Court, that the faid defendants, Tithes of one Briggs, Gray, and Bacon, shall forthwith pay to the plaintiff, as dug in Grafe rector of the parish-church of Middleton aforesaid, one hundred Groves decreed. and twenty pounds clear, in full of the tithes of lead ore complained for in his bill, and also in full for his costs of suit.

THE COURT FULL.

Foster, Clerk, against Chinor. Somersetsbire, 14th May 1666.

BASTER TERM

THE bill stated, that in the year 1645 the rectory of Aller was A custom alsequestered from the plaintiff, then in the actual possession ledged, thereof; and that one John Moore, clerk, by colour of some pre- proved, that outtendedorder of the then parliament, officiated there, and enjoyed dwellers tendedorder of the then parliament, omciated there, and enjoyed rent lands in the profits thereof, until he was removed, and the plaintiff Aller Moer, in restored again by act of parliament made in the twelfth year of the county of his present majesty's reign; and that he has ever fince officiated Somerses, there; that there had been and was an ancient custom and usage pay to the rector. in the parish of Aller, that every person being an out-dweller, and their rent, at a tenth part of not an inhabitant there, who rented any meadows or pasture Easter, in lieu grounds in the faid parish, lying in a level called Aller. Moor of tithes.

(except

FOSTER against CHINOR. (except Court Farm and Beere Farm), had alway paid, and ought to pay, yearly, to the rector for the time being, the tenth part, or full value of the tenth part, of all fuch rent and money as fuch persons did pay or contract for to such as let the same, in lieu of tithes; the same to be paid yearly at Easter after the end of every years in which the fame meadows or pasture were so rented or enjoyed, and not before; that the defendant being then an out-dweller, and not an inhabitant of the faid parish, did, in 1660, rent and enjoy thirty-two acres of meadow and pasture ground in the said level, called Aller Moor (and no part of the two excepted farms), at twenty-eight pounds per annum; for which year, by the custom aforesaid, he ought to have paid the plaintiff at Easter after the year ended in 1661, fifty-fix thillings in lieu of tithes; but that he refused to pay the same; and therefore the plaintiff prayed relief in the premises.

The defendant but denies the custom.

The defendant answered, and confessed that in the year 1660 admits the facts, he was an out-dweller from the parith of Aller, and rented of feveral persons thirty-one acres of meadow and pasture, at twenty-feven pounds per annum; that the time for which he rented the same commenced at Christmas 1659, and expired at Martinmas 1660; and that the same lands did lie in Aller Moor, and were no part of the faid two excepted farms; that he believed the tithes, or composition in lieuthereof, amounted to two pounds fixteen shillings, which he paid to the said John Moore, pursuant to a writing subscribed by the plaintiff, by which hedefired all the parishioners, and others from whom tithes were due for the year 1660, to pay the same to the said John Moore, and he averred the faid John Moore had accounted with the plaintiff for the moiety of the defendant's tithes, and that the plaintiff had accepted thereof; that he knew not of any usage or custom of paying for agistment tithes, or of the tenth of the rent, by out-dwellers at Easter, and not before; but had heard that tithes were payable fourteen days after Michaelmas in the year the lands are rented; which was a further reason for his paying the said tithes to the faid John Moore.

The Court of custom was fuily proved.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses opinion, that the were examined on both fides; and upon reading the depositions, and long debate, for that the custom laid in the bill, that the out-dwellers who rent any lands in Aller Moor have used and ought to pay to the minister there, for the tithe thereof yearly, fo much money as the tenth part of the yearly rent of the land they so hold amounts to, the same to be paid every year at Easter only, and not at any other time, for the tithes accrued for the year then past, is fully proved.

The tenth part erecd.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendant shall pay of the rent de- to the plaintiff two pounds fixteen shillings charged in the bill for the tithes of the lands he held in Aller Moor aforesaid in 1660, which he ought to have paid him at the feast of Easter 1661.

THE COURT FULL

## WATTS, Clerk, against MELDEN.

Mien. Tanne 18. CAR. 2.

Kent, 25th October 1666.

THIS was a bill to be relieved concerning tithes, of underwood The rector of felled and made up into faggots and bavins, within the parish Swantomie, in of Swanfcombe, in the county of Kent; and also for the tithes to take of unof the herbage of fatted cattle, of cows, of calves, of wool, and derwood, herbof lamb, and other small tithes arising therein, and detained by age, ewes, lambs, the defendant from the plaintiff.

and wool, in kind

The defendant alledged, that there was and is a custom of A midut, and a tithing wood felled and cut down within the said parish at five composition in faillings an acre; and that time out of mind there hath been a lieu composition of paying, in lieu of that custom, for the defendant's stated. wood by the load, viz. for faggots, threepence; for great bavins, one penny; and for finall bavins, one halfpenny: and likewife a custom for the tithe of dry cattle yearly, twopence a head; for a cow and a calf, tenpence; and for every ewe and lamb, fixpence.

The plaintiff replied; and divers witnesses were examined on both fides; and upon hearing counsel, and reading the depositions taken in this and in a former cause (a); and upon

THE COURT declared, that as to the tithe wood in question The Court dethe same ought to have been paid in kind; and thereupon clare tithe wood ordered, that it be referred to the auditor of the said county to in kind. compute and cast up the value of the titheable wood for the year 1664, according to the proofs in the books.

And as to the modus of tenpence for the tithe of every milch cow and calf,

THE COURT, by and with the confent of both parties, ordered, that the same be paid accordingly.

(a) This cause was between the same parties. The plaintiff claimed tithes of underwood felled by the defendant, and the tithes of fatting cattle, and other cattle depastured by him in the parish. The defendant alledged a composition for the tithe of all underwood felled, viz. for every load of Afbiry faggots, threepence, and for every hundred of great bavins, twopence, and of other bavins, one penny; and for every load of broom flands, threepence, and hoops, fixpence; and for every dry cattle, two pence; and for every cow and calf, tenpence; every theep, twopence; and every lamb, fourpence. The plaintiff replied; and the defendant rejoined, stating a custom of five shillings an acre for every acre of

long debate,

underwood felled. THE Court ordered the rejoinder to be fet aside, as being a departure from the answer; and that the defendant should pay eight pounds for his tithes for the year 1662, according to the value fet forth in his answer; and also for tithe wood felled and carried away, the like fum for 1663, by confent of both parties; and for the tithes of dry and wet cattle according to the rates in the faid answer, amounting to two pounds twelve shillings, without prejudice to any custom. This decree was made on the tenth of November 1664, in Mich. Term, 16. Car. 2. MS .- See alto another cause between the same parties respecting the tithes of the wood in this parish, post. 21st June 1669, in 21. Car. 2

And .

WATTE againft MEABEN.

And as to the modus of tithes of lamb, wool, and herbage eaten by cattle for fale,

THE COURT ordered, that the same be referred to a trial at law upon a feigned issue; and the equity to be reserved.

The auditor having returned his certificate, whereby the 25th May 1668. plaintiff did acknowledge he had received tithes of his cows and calves, and for the tithe of wood made into faggots, he did certify there was due to the plaintiff twelve pounds ten shillings for it; and also in pursuance of the said order,

An iffte directberbage.

A trial was accordingly had touching the tithe of wool ed to try the lamb, and herbage eaten by cattle for fale, upon the three wool, lamb, and following iffues:

First, Whether there hath been and is a custom within the faid parish to pay to the rector every year twopence for the herbage of every beaft fed for fale?

SECONDLY, Whether there hath been and is a custom to pay fixpence the year for every ewe and lamb?

THIRDLY, Whether there hath been and is a custom to pay twopence for the tithe wool of dry sheep?

And upon full evidence on both fides, a verdict was found for A verdict for the plaintiff. the plaintiff, against all the said pretended customs.

> The plaintiff's counsel therefore prayed, that tithe of the ewes, lamb, wool, and herbage, might be decreed for him.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the tithes in kind of and Tithes in kind decreed to the for the herbage of cattle fatted for fale, and of wool and lamb, be plaintiff. paid to the plaintiff; that the defendant pay one pound, four shillings for tithes of wool and lamb; and three pounds for tithe herbage for 1664; and that the auditor's certificate be confirmed, and payment made of the twelve pounds ten shillings decreed for the tithe wood made into faggots for 1664.

EDW. ATKYNS. CHR. TURNER.

HILARYTERM 18. CAR. 2.

FAWCETT, Clerk, against TENNANTS. Norfolk, 31st January 1666.

THE scope of the bill was, to be relieved for small tithes in the The fpecial parish of Boughton, in the county of Norfolk, for two years manner in which jmall past. And now upon opening the bill and answer, the defendants the parish of in their answers set forth divers customary payments due and Boughton, in the payable in discharge of tithes, that is to say, at Easter in every county of Nor year twopence for the Easter offering for every communicant, and twopence in discharge of all tithes for fruit, herbs, and other things. things, growing in the orchards, gardens, and pasture grounds; and, at Lammas, being the first day of August in every year, one peany for every milch cow; and one halfpenny for every calf, in satisfaction for all tithe for the herbage of all cows, bulls, and other neat cattle; and one penny for every foal, in fatisfaction for all the tithe for the herbage of all horses, mares, and colts; and one penny for every lamb under the number of feven lambs; but if there be seven lambs, or above, then a tithe lamb; but then the vicar is to pay to such parishioner for every lamb above the number of seven, and under ten, one penny; for the churching of every woman, fixpence; and the defendants stating that they are willing to pay the faid customary payments, and that they have tendered the same to the plaintiff accordingly.

against TENNANTS.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendants be difmissed out of this court as to the said bill, and the matters therein contained.

MATTHEW HALE, . CHR. TURNOR, RI. RAYNSFORD.

### KENT against WEBB and Others. Hampsbire, 6th May 1667.

EASTER TERM 19. CAR. 1.

THE plaintiff, as farmer of the appropriate tithes of Itchenswell The impropriaand Sydmontagne hamlets, within the parish of King scleere, in tor of the hamthe county of Hants, exhibited his bill against the defendant lets of Inches pouls Webb, vicar of Kingscleere, and the defendant Robert Lush, as and Sydmoniagne farmer of Sydmontayne Farm. The bill stated, that the Marquis gainst the view of Winchester, being seised in see of the said appropriate tithes, of with the appurtenances, and all rights, customs, profits, dues, and the occupier and duties, thereunto belonging, did, by indenture dated the of Sydmontayns twentieth of November 1655, demise the same to D. Wicherley tithes (a). for ninety-nine years, for three lives, at eleven pounds and fixpence a-year; that the faid D. Wicherley became lawfully pofsessed, and did afterwards, by his deed dated the fourth of November 1657, demise the same to the plaintiff for eleven years, who thereby became possessed thereof, and is still intitled to the fame, and ought to have received the tithes; that the defendant Robert Lust being possessed of several lands, meadows, and pasture grounds in the said hamlets, denied the payment of the tithes of grass, of herbage, for depasturing of barren cattle, and of bay, for one year, upon meadows called Moor Croft, Broad Mead, Great Oddy Crofts, and Little Oddy Crofts, and the tithe of wheat upon a field called Combeys, and a close called Ox Marsh Close: and also of the tithes of barley, oats, peale, wool, and lamb, of and from other lands, for five years patt, and detained the faid tithes

(a) See the case of Webb v. Arnold, Toll v. Pierce, 22d Feb. 1781, Hilary, 8th June 1676, 28 Car. 2. post. Pow-#1. Gco. 3. kt v. Bates, 9th June 1774, 14. Geo. 3.

from

KENT apainst

from him, and divers other tithes, customs, and rates; and therefore he prayed a discovery and payment of the same.

AND OTHERS. ing,

The defendant Lufb answered, and said, that he knew not o The occupier of the plaintiff's title to the faid tithes; and conceived the faid the farm pleads meadows and pastures as aforesaid were not liable to the payment ancient demejne, of tithes in kind; that the lands whereon the sheep were depasmanner of tith- tured and the lambs fallen and bred, are part of a farm in Sydmontayne, called Sydmontague Farm, otherwise the Demesne Lands of Sydmontayne; and that, time out of mind, there had been a custom or manner of tithing, that the owner and occupiers of the appropriate tithes of Itchenswell and Sydmontagne, and all those under whom the plaintiff claims, every year fuccessively have had, cut, and taken to their proper use, at their free election, one acre of wheat and one of barley, standing upon the ground and ready for harvest, the acres to be measured by the court measure, viz. each acre to contain one hundred and thirty two poles, and no more, of the corn and grain of the owners and farmers of the farm, called Sydmontayne Farm; and all those under whose estate the defendant claimed, out, of, and from the aforesaid parcels of land called Combe's Field, Home Field, and Pounds Down Field; and in one other parcel of land in Sydmontagne, also parcel of the faid farm called Parke Field, or in some or one of them, the said acre of wheat to be taken altogether, and standing in one place entirely by itself, and in like manner the acre of barley; in full discharge of all manner of tithes increasing, &c. upon the said farm, and the parcels of land, meadow and pasture, before mentioned; but he denied, that in the faid years he did detain any tithes, other than the tithes of the said farm and lands which he holds by leafe.

The vicar denies

The defendant Webb answered, and said, that he knew not of the custom, and the plaintiff's title to the lands in question; and denied that claims the tithes those tithes and customs in the said hamlets ought to be paid to wood under the the plaintiff, for that they are tithings in the parish of Kingscleere, whereof he is and hath been vicar twelve years, and ought to have the tithes belonging to the vicar of King scleere, and which hath been paid to him, except the manor tithes.

> The plaintiff replied; and witnesses were examined on both fides.

> Upon hearing counsel on both sides, and reading the depositions of several witnesses; and also an ancient endowment of the faid vicarage;

But the usage THE COURT declared, that although the faid vicarage was being to the endowed, yet the faid endowment doth not warrant the claim contrary, the and pretence of the faid vicar; and that he cannot ground his the tithe of lamb claim to all the tithes of lamb and wool upon the faid endowment; and wool to the and that the usage had gone contrary to his claim, and had preplaintiff. vailed against it. AND THEREFORE ORDERED, that the tithe of

evel and lamb shall be paid to the plaintiff, saving the right of the vicar, if he hath any, for the future, but without costs.

And for that some lands had been taken away from the AND OTHERS. demesne lands, and some added by way of exchange,

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that a commission shall be And order a awarded to ascertain what were the ancient demessee lands alledged commission to awarded to afcertain what were the ancient aemejne minus affectain, wheto be within the modus; what hath been taken out; and what ther the lands added to them by way of exchange; and, whether the lands so were excient deexchanged are of equal value? And if they shall be found to be mejor. of equal value (if the parties will consent), the same are to be decreed upon the return of the faid commission to be within the medus pretended by the defendants by their answers; and also to enquire of and set out such lands as were formerly part of other lands which were not demesne lands within the said modus, and are now taken into the demefne lands, and where they lie, and are bounded, and what lands are not exchanged (if any fuch there are).

And as to those,

THE COURT is of epinion that tithes are due in kind.

The commission was accordingly awarded, executed, and 28th Jan 1668. returned; and now upon reading the decree and commission, A trial at law and hearing of counsel, and reading the depositions taken by directed. virtue of the commission, and long debate, forasmuch as the same is proper for a trial at law,

THE COURT ordered, that an action be brought, and the questions to be stood upon at the said trial to be,

FIRST, Whether there be a modus for Sydmontagne Farm, and what that modus is ? and, whether that modus hath been anfwered to the plaintiff for the time he hath been farmer? and if not, how much the plaintiff hath been damnified thereby?

SECONDLY, Whether Broad Mead, More Croft, New Mead, and Cow Field, otherwise called Cow Marsh, now occupied with or as part of Sydmontayne Farm, are to pay tithes in kind?

THIRDLY, Whether there was any agreement that tithes in kind of the seventeen acres formerly parcel of Sydmontagne Farm, and fince exchanged from the farm, were, by that agreement, to go in fatisfaction of the tithes only of the like quantity of feventeen acres exchanged, or in lieu and discharge of all the tithes in kind of the exchanged lands, being thirty-nine acres?

FOURTHLY, What other lands the defendant Robert Lusb holds within the faid farm called Sydmontagne Farm that are to pay tithe in kind, as not being within the modus or within the agreement (if any agreement), upon the exchange? and, whether the agreement was made to be perpetual, or only for some limited time?

Vol. I.

G

FIFTHLY,

KENT AND OTHERS.

FIFTHLY, The defendant at the faid trial is to confess the plowing of one acre of New Down, in order to bring it in trial, whether New Down ought to pay tithes in kind, or not?

22d June 1674. The points found by the jury.

A trial was accordingly had; and the jury have found, as to

THE FIRST ISSUE, That there is a modus for the tenants of the farm of Sydmontayne to pay to the proprietor of the tithes of the farm one acre of wheat and one acre of barley, in full fatisfaction of all tithes of corn and hay; and that the proprietors of the tithes have used and ought to accept the same, in full satisfaction of the tithes of the faid farm.

THE SECOND ISSUE, That the tenants and occupiers of Broad Mead, More Croft, New Mead, and Cow Field, otherwise called Cow Mar/b, have used to pay, and ought to pay, to the proprietor of the tithes aforefaid for the time being, the tithes of all corn and hay thereon yearly growing, and other tithes in their proper

THE THIRD ISSUE, That there was not any agreement made between the plaintiff and Sir William King [mell, deceased, that the tithes of the seventeen acres of land formerly parcel of Sydmentayne Farm, and lately exchanged with the tenants of lands lying in the common fields of Sydmontayne for thirty-nine acres of land lying in the same common fields, neither were they paid to the plaintiff in lieu and fatisfaction of the tithes of the thirtynine acres.

THE FOURTH ISSUE, That the plaintiff is damnified fifteen pounds by the defendants not paying the modus in lieu of the tithes of Sydmontagne Farm for three years.

THE FIFTH ISSUE, That the tithes of grain and hay arising out of one hundred acres of land and fixty acres of meadow in New Down, held by Robert Lush, with Sydmontagne Farm, have time out of mind been used to be paid, and ought to be paid yearly to the proprietor of the tithes in their proper kinds.

The cause now came on to be further heard; and upon reading the order of the twenty-eighth of January, and the verdict,

The tithes deto the verdict.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendant shall pay creed according to the plaintiff his tithes according to the verdict; and that the auditor of the county of Hants shall compute the monies due to the plaintiff for the modus payable in lieu of tithe of the lands of Sydmontayne Farm, lying within the modus, according to the verdict, for the time therein mentioned, and according to that rate for all the time fince to this time. And as to the tithes issuing out of the lands out of which, by the faid verdict, it is found, that the plaintiff ought to have tithes in kind, viz. Broad Mead, More Croft, New Mead, and Cow Field, otherwise called Cow Marsb,

Marfs, and the thirty-nine acres lying in Coombefield, Claythorn,
Little South Field, Broad Leaver, the Six Acre Piece, and all the
tithes of New Down, the auditor shall compute the value of
the tithes of the said several grounds for the several years mentioned in the bill to this time, according to the proofs to be
produced before him, and report the same to the court.

In pursuance of the said order, the auditor returned his certificate.

The cause now coming on to be heard on the said certificate, 716 Dec. 1674exceptions were taken thereto; and upon hearing counsel on both sides,

THE COURT ordered, that the defendant Robert Lust shall forthwith pay to the plaintiff seventy-sive pounds, seven shillings, and sixpence for the value of the tithes due from him to the plaintiff, according to the certificate of the auditor.

EDW. TURNOR.
Tim. LITTLETON.
EDW. THURLAND.

Basire, D. D. and Blackett against Wharton and Mich. Term, Others.

Durham, 24th October 1667.

THE plaintiff Bafire, as rector of the parish-church of Stanbope, A bill by a recin Weardale, in the county of Durbam, and the plaintiff tor and his lesses Blackett, as his lesses, exhibited a bill to be relieved for tithe of lead ore. lead ore (a) in the said parish.

The cause came on to be heard in Trinity Term last; and upon The defendant long debate, for a such as the defendants had denied the custom confesses that laid in the bill, but the defendant Wharton had alledged that six six of the mines of the lead mines paid tithes to the rector or his farmer, without pay tithe. deducting the charges for digging, winning, and getting the same, either when the same was ready for the mill, or at the quarterly meetings.

THE COURT then ordered, that the defendants and the other The respective persons who respectively held the said six groves under the owners decreed desendant Wharton should pay to the plaintiff according to their to pay tithes for respective interests the tithes of the lead ore digged and gotten in the six mines; the same.

But as to all the rest of the mines named in the answers, and as to the oor which were in the said rectory, or the precincts or titheable thermines in the places thereof, IT WAS THEN ORDERED, that it should be referred to a trial at law upon the sollowing issues, that is to say, the custom.

(4) Sea the case of Tullie v. Halfall and Others, ante.

FIRST,

BLACKETT ag ainft WHARTON

FIRST, Whether there be a custom within the parish of Stanbope, in Weardale, in the said county, to pay to the parson or rector there for the time being tithes in kind of lead ore gotten AND OTHERS, within or out of the lead mines (other than the fix mines) within the faid rectory, or any of them, and which of them, and which not: and that if there was fuch a custom there, then,

> SECONDLY, Whether the faid custom was to pay the said tithes of lead ore clean and washed, without deduction of all manner of charges (except the labour of those who were owners of any lead mine or mines), for digging, winning, and getting the faid ore, or without deduction of all manner of charges for digging, winning, or getting the faid ore (the owner's labour of any lead mine or mines excepted).

A verdict for both iffues.

According to which order the plaintiff's declared; to which the rector on the defendant Wharton (all the other defendants being workmen for days wages and servants to him) pleaded; and, upon the trial, and full evidence, a verdict passed for the plaintist Basire upon both the iffues.

> The cause now came on upon the equity reserved; and upon reading the faid order and verdict, the plaintiff's counsel prayed a decree.

> And forasmuch as the defendant Wharton (being present in court) and his counsel being satisfied with the plaintiff's right to the tithes in question,

The tithe of the

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the several and respective ore, when clean owners and occupiers of the feveral lead mines mentioned in the ed and washed, answers, except of the mines called Grove Rake, Thorngrove, and without any deduction for Peakside, Ewtree, Nicholson's Grove, and Harnasbaw, otherwise digging, Harnishawe, formerly decreed to the plaintiff, shall pay to the and plaintiff, according to their respective interests, the arrears of the getting it, de tithe of lead ore digged, winned, and gotten in the faid lead mines, or any of them, for the first of the three years mentioned in the bill, clean and washed, without deduction of any manner of charges for digging, winning, and getting the faid ore. The plaintiffs are to take out a commission to set out the quantities and values of the faid tithes in question, and to have their costs both at law and in equity.

HALE, Chief Baron. TURNOR, Baron. LITTLETON, Baron. THURLAND, Baron.

HORDE

### Horde and Others against Wanley.

19. CAR. 3.

Gloucestersbire, 18th November 1667.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiffs, for one year, were seised in The plaintiffs fee of the manor of Bourton on the Water, and of and in a demand third parts of the certain portion of tithes, of all forts, yearly arising, &c. of, in, upon, tithe of hayof the and out of all the lands and grounds fituate and being within the Tubing Mead, in parish of Bourton on the Water, and the limits, bounds, and ter- the parish of ritories thereof; that the former owners and occupiers of the Bourton in Gloufaid manor and portion of tithes, whose estates the plaintiffs now have, for time beyond memory, have had two third parts of the tithes of hay yearly coming, &c. of, in, upon, and out of all the plot or parcel of meadow ground called the Tithing Mead, containing about eight acres, and being in a meadow called Staplefon Mead; which faid Tithing Mead hath been sometime belong- The place where ing to or used with a certain farm or lands of the defendant's in described Eyford, but lieth within the parish of Bourton on the Water, and is rated for taxes, repairs of the church, and relief of the poor, in Bourton, and is marked and stoned out with ancient meere-stones, to distinguish and make manifest how much and what part thereof is not titheable; and that part which is titheable is called *Tithing Mead*; and hath been held and enjoyed with the faid farm of *Eyford*. The plaintiffs therefore prayed, that the defendant might fet forth the quantity and value of, the hay which they had made upon Tithing Mead for the faid year, and account for the same.

The defendant denied that he had in his possession or occu- The defendant pation any meadow known by the name of the Tithing Mead; denied but said, that he had found in his ancient writings mention made place of two meadows which he holds, and which he believes are the Tithing Mead; meadows which the plaintiffs mean by Stapleton Mead and Tith- but describes aing Mead ; but that the same are called Standell, otherwise Staple nother mead as Meadow, and Steward's Meadow, both together containing eleven tended; acres; that the faid meadow which the plaintiffs call Tithing Mead, and which defendant believes is called Steward's Mead, is but the third part of the faid eleven acres; that he knows nothing of any meere-stone being in either of those meadows, to distinguish what is titheable and what is not; that he is owner and possession of the meadows called Standell, otherwise Staple Meadows, and Steward's Meadow, and of several other parcels of meadow ground situate in the said parish; but that he knows not that any tithes were ever paid to the owners of the manor of Bourton, or to the parson or vicar of the said parish for any of those meadows;

that the faid meadows, with others, were heretofore parcel of AND OTHERS the demesses of the dissolved monastery of Evesbam, in the city of against Worcester, and, at the time of the dissolution of that monastery, WANLEY. were in the actual possession of the abbot or prior; that and pleads, that the faid abbot and prior, at the time of the faid diffolution, held the place where was parcel of and enjoyed the same, discharged of the payment of any tithes; the monastery of that by virtue of the act of the thirty-first year of Henry Evestiam, and the Eighth, the same meadows came to the said king, who granted of the same to Sir Philip Hobby and his heirs, discharged of tithes, tithes by being from whom the same came afterwards to the Earl of Worcester, of the prior at of whom the defendant purchased the same; and that he knew the time the not how much hay was cut or made upon the mead in question monastery was in the said year. diffolved.

But on it being. The plaintiffs replied; the defendant rejoined; and witproved, by read-neffes were examined on both fides; and upon hearing
ing the last and counsel, and reading the depositions, and a lease made by the
other records late abbot of Evestam to J. Lane and others, dated the sevenmentation of teenth day of April, in the thirtieth year of King Henry the
size, that the Eighth, whereby it appeared, that the said portion of tithes in
said portion of Bourton was in lease at the time of the dissolution of the said
abbey; and upon reading the copies of several other records
remaining in the augmentation office; and upon much debate;

the Court de- IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid defendant do creed the tithes forthwith pay to the plaintiffs thirteen shillings and sourpence, of Tithing Mead proved by the depositions to be the value of the tithes arising to the plaintiffs. within the said Tithing Mead in the said year.

ATKYNS, Baron.
TURNOR, Baron.
RAYNSFORD, Baron,

MICH. TERM, 19. CAR. 2. TABOR, Clerk, against BARKER.

Essex, 18th November 1667.

The vicar of Easterford, in beden, stated, by his bill, that to the said vicarage all small Essex, claims 3s. tithes, oblations, and other profits, do belong; that he hath a year for every been vicar there ever since August 1660, and performed the cure saddle-horse depastured in the there; and that in regard thereof he ought to receive all small parish; 10d. a tithes, oblations, and other profits arising therein; that by an year for Easter ancient custom and agreement the annual sum of three shillings offerings; and hath yearly been paid to the plaintist's predecessors for the fmall tithe herbage of every pack-borse and saddle-borse sed within the parish, coriander seed, and ought to have been paid to the plaintist; that the defendant had depastured within the parish two pack and saddle horses for three years, and ought to have paid the plaintist eighteen shillings; that he also ought to have paid, for himself and samily, tenpence for Easter offerings by the year; that he also sold quantities

tities of cherries growing in the orchards; and fold coriander feed; but that he refused to pay the faid eighteen shillings for the pack and faddle horses, and the said Easter offerings, or to discover the quantity of the cherries and coriander seed, pretending that there is no fuch custom, nor any such tithes due. He therefore prayed a discovery and satisfaction for the same.

TABOR egains BARKER.

The defendant answered, and faid that the plaintiff was The defendant vicar, and had officiated there; and that the small tithes and admits the sach but denies the other duties were due to him; that he is an inhabitant in the custom. faid parish, and had lived there three years, and used the trade of a chandler, and had kept one horse, sometimes for the saddle and sometimes for the pack, which he had used for carrying his wares belonging to the trade, which had been kept during some time at pasture in other parishes, except for two months, one month thereof the faid horse was kept and depastured in the grounds of the plaintiff, for which he did give him fatisfaction; that no tithe is due for the herbage of any horse which the owner doth use about his trade; and he denied, that, by any custom or agreement whatsoever, there hath or ought to have been paid yearly to the plaintiff, or his predecessors, the sum of three shillings yearly, or any sum whatsoever, for the tithes of the herbage of any faddle or pack-horfe. He also denied that he ought to pay for himself and family, for Easter offering, tenpence, or any other fum, except twopence for every person in his family being above the age of fixteen; and that, for the three years last past, he had paid for himself and wife to the faid plaintiff fixpence, having no more in family. He also denied the rest of the bill.

The plaintiff replied; and witneffes were examined on both The Court defides; and upon reading the depositions of several witnesses; crees the tithe FOR THAT the plaintiff hath remedy in the ecclefiaftical court for fot departuring the faddle-horfe, the non-payment of his tithes for the cherries and coriander feed, and leaves the if the fame be made appear to be due to him.

Aicar to LecoAci.

THE COURT did not think fit to give the plaintiff any relief in the spiritual therein; but being willing to relieve the plaintiff for the tithe of court. the herbage for faddle and pack horses, IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendant shall pay to the plaintiff six shillings for the herbage of his horse for two years, with forty shillings costs of fuit.

> EDW. ATKINS. CHR. TURNOR. RIC. RAINSFORD.

G 4

HodGson.

Mich. Term, 19. Cab. 2.

# HODGSON against WILSHAW. Staffordsbire, 18th November 1667.

The leffee of the royal chapet at Windfer, and of dean and canons of the king's free chapet in Windfer, was and yet is farmer of the feite of the rectory and parsonage of the rectory claims Uttoweter, in the county of Stafford, and thereby intitled to tithes of the peof all corn, grain, hay, wool, lambs, herbage, and other tithes and rish of University. Profits arising, &c. within the said parish and the titheable places thereof; that the defendant, for three years, was occupier of divers acres of land sown with wheat, oats, and other grain, and had several acres of meadow, which he mowed and made into hay; and had also kept sheep, bullocks, dry cattle, and lambs, from which he had wool; the tithes of all which were yearly of great value; and that the defendant resused to pay the same, or make any satisfaction.

The defendant The defendant answered, and said, that he knew not of the place, that the plaintiff's title; that he had lands lying in the said parish; and was parcel of that the abbot of Croxton, near Cheadle, was heretofore seised the monastery of thereof in right of his monastery of Croxton, being of the Groxton, and of Cistertian order, and acquitted from the payment of tithes; the Cistertian order, and so discovered granted to John Pope and his heirs, who held the same discharged of tithes; and that the said estate came from the said John Pope to the defendant.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both sides; and upon hearing counsel, and much debate,

An action on THE COURT ordered a trial at law upon an action on the stather. & 3. Edw. titte of 2. Edw. 6. c. 13. for not setting forth of tithes; and that ed, to try the the equity be reserved until after the trial.

Subsequent to the above hearing and decree, the plaintiff had But by records found out several records in the augmentation office which manifound in the fested that the abbey of Croxton was one of the lesser abbeys; so augmentation that the desendant's lands lying within the same were not disoffice, it ap-charged of tithes.

peared, that Grozion was one Whereupon the faid cause was re-heard, pursuant to an order of the lesser ab dated the twentieth of January last, and the records produced in court.

and therefore was one of the leffer abbeys, ordered, that the faid tithes shall be decreed.

And the Court being fatisfied that the faid abbey of Croxton was one of the leffer abbeys, ordered, that the faid tithes shall be decreed for the plaintiff, unless the defendant shall shew good cause to the contrary; and the cause to stand over.

JAMES,

# JAMES, Clerk, against MYLES and ALFORD.

HILARY TERM 19. CAR. 2.

Somerfet/bire, 10th February 1667.

THE point in issue between the parties was agreed to be An issue directa modus decimandi within the parish of Crocombe, where ed to try a meof the plaintiff is parson or rector; and in particular whe-das, and a verther, by ancient custom within the said parish, the occupiers the defendant. of all ancient meadow grounds within the fame parish which live out of the faid parish, are and ought to pay one penny in a shilling of the rent of all fuch meadow ground when let at any improved yearly rent, or fourpence a-year for every one of the faid ancient meadow grounds, to the parson or rector of the said parish for the time being, for and in lieu of the tithes thereof.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the fame be referred to a trial at law, the plaintiff in equity to be plaintiff at law against the defendant Alford; and the issue to be, whether, by all the time whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary, there hath been a custom within the said parish, that the occupiers of all ancient meadow ground within the same parish are and ought to pay yearly, in lieu and fatisfaction of all tithes issuing or payable to the rector or parson of the said parish of Crocombe aforesaid for the time being, out of, for, or in respect of the said meadow ground, sourpence only for every acre thereof for one year, and according to the proportion for a greater or leffer quantity of fuch meadow ground; and at the faid trial, both parties are to admit all circumstances, and to insist only upon the faid iffue. And it is further ordered, by consent, that the faid other defendants shall be bound by the success of the faid trial.

An action was accordingly brought; and a trial thereupon had; and a verdict given for the defendant.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid defendants shall if June 1668. be, and they are hereby dismissed this court of and from the faid bill, and the matters therein contained, without prejudice, &c,

# GAWDEN against GILBERT. Caermarthenshire, 28th April 1668.

EASTER TERM 20. CAR. 2.

THE plaintiff, by his bill, prayed to be relieved, as farmer and The lands called possession of the impropriate rectory of Langborne, in the Westmarsh in the county of Caermarthen, for tithes of several acres of land in West-county of Caermar/b, within the faid parish, for four years.

The defendant answered, and alledged, that he knew not that horne, and were all the lands called Westmars did lie within the said parish; that overslowed by Westmarsh the sea.

marthen, within the pa-

GAWDEN against GILBERT. Westmars hath, for all the time whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary, until the years aforefaid, been subject to be drowned by the overflowing of the sea, and for all that time hath been unprofitable ground; and that by the statute of 3. Edw. 6. c. 13. it ought to be free from paying of tithes for feven years.

Upon hearing counsel for both parties, and on full debate, a trial at law was directed upon two iffues.

FIRST, Whether the lands in the possession of the desendant in Westmars during the said years do lie within the said parish of Langborne? or, how much thereof lieth within the said parish?

SECONDLY, Whether the faid lands, during the years aforefaid, were naturally barren grounds, and are comprehended within the faid flatute, or not?

Upon the first issue, the jury found one hundred and fifty acres, parcel of Westmarsh, to lie within the said parish of Langhorne.

But not being lands.

And upon the second issue, the jury found that the said originallybarren one hundred and fifty acres were not naturally barren within the faid statute of Edward the Sinth; and so gave a verdict in both the issues for the plaintiff.

> Upon reading the faid order, and the faid verdict, and an affidavit; and upon debate; and for that the defendant Anne Gilbert had confessed in her answer that she had in her hands affets fufficient of the faid W. Gilbert to pay the plaintiff;

26th Off. 1668. It is ordered by the Court, that the defendant shall pay They shall pay to the plaintiff the value of the tithes in question, unless tithe to the rector of Langberne, cause be shewn to the contrary.

> And in the mean time, the auditor is to compute the values of the faid tithes, according to the lowest values proved by the plaintiff's witnesses, or at a medium between the proofs of the plaintiff and the defendant.

The auditor returned his certificate; and upon reading the 26th Nov. 1668. fame,

> IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the same be confirmed, and that the defendant do pay to the plaintiff forty-five pounds. being the value of the tithes in question, accordingly,

> > Bishop

# Bishop of Oxon against Overbury, Knt.

TRIN. TERMS 20. CAR. 2.

Oxfordsbire, 4th June 1668.

THE bill was, to be relieved touching tithes in Chibney, within The vicar of the vicarage of Cuddesden, in Oxfordsbire, and to have the Cuddesden is onfame decreed to the plaintiff in kind, according to the true value ly intitled to a thereof, and to have the former proceedings and proofs revived 133. 4d. in lieu in a cause the Bishop of Oxford v. Doyley.

of all tithes due in the parish of

The question was, Whether the plaintiff should have tithes Chibney. in kind in Chibury, or only a rate tithe of fix pounds, thirteen shillings, and fourpence, in lieu of all tithes due to the plaintiff, as vicar and commendatory of Cuddesden.

A trial at law at the bar of this Court was ordered; the defendant Wickam to be the defendant in the action, and to take upon him the tenury of the whole in Chibney aforesaid; to be tried by a jury of the faid county of Oxford; and the equity to be referved till after the trial.

On the tenth day of the said June it was ordered, that all the defendants to the faid bill should be made defendants in the faid action at law; and the plaintiff, as to payment of costs, did waive his privilege by consent; and all other things ordered by the faid order of the fourth of June.

The action was tried at the bar of the court, and a verdict given for the defendants; and therefore

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid defendants shall be absolutely dismissed this court of and from the said bill, and the matters and things therein contained, with moderate costs from the time of reviving the faid cause, discounting out of the same the arrears of the said rate of six pounds, thirteen shillings, and fourpence, due to the faid plaintiff.

MATTHEW HALE.

DEAN AND CHAPTER OF WELLS against THURLE, TRIM. TERM,

Somersetsbire, 16th June 1668.

THE bill stated, that there hath been anciently due and payable Anendowment, to the plaintiff's predecessors, and still is due to them, one or grant, the coor more pension or pensions, rent, or annual sum of thirty-four plification of a pounds and one penny, issuing out of the vicarage of Saint Cuth-judgment, and bert's, in Wells, which was constantly heretofore, till the late an ancient acwars, paid to the plaintiff's predecessors, out of which twenty count, read in pounds thereof is yearly paid to the use of the choristers of the bill against the faid eathedral church. But that the defendant, the vicar thereof, vicar of Saint now refuses to pay the thirty-four pounds and one penny.

Cutbbert's for the The payment of a

penfior,

DEAN AND CHAPTER OF WELLS The defendant answered, and confessed, that he was inducted into the said vicarage in September 1662.

against

The plaintiffs replied; and witnesses were examined; and upon hearing counsel, and reading several depositions, and an endowment made to the plaintiff's predecessors in 1257 of thirteen pounds, fix shillings, and eightpence, in consideration of the tithes due from the vicar of Saint Cuthbert's; and of a grant made by Richard Hurewell, vicar of Saint Cuthbert's, in the twelfth year of Henry the Sixth, to the then dean and chapter, of twenty pounds per annum; and reading a copy of an exemplification of a judgment, of the fourteenth year of Henry the Sixth, obtained in the common pleas against J. Screen, vicar of Saint Cuthbert's, for ten pounds, parcel of the faid yearly rent or pension of twenty pounds, in which faid judgment the faid deed or grant is recited; and upon reading several ancient accounts, wherein the said several pensions or annual rents of thirty-four pounds and one penny appears to be received by the plaintiff's predecessors from the vicar of Saint Cuthbert's, until the beginning of the late wars, during which wars the plaintiffs had lost their deeds and evidences whereby the same were granted,

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid defendant do pay the arrears of the faid pension, rent, or usual sum of thirty-four pounds and one penny, amounting to one hundred and eighty-seven pounds and sivepence halfpenny, in sull satisfaction, due at Lady Day last.

MICH. TERM, 20. CAR. 2. Rouse, Clerk, against BARRY.

Devonsbire, 26th November 1668.

The vicar of THE plaintiff, as vicar of the parish-church of North Petherwya, North Petherwya in the county of Devon, exhibited a bill in this court to be for tithe relieved for the tithes of hay, milk of cows, ewes, barren cows, hay and small colts, gardens, poultry, and offerings.

The defendants The defendant denied that the tithe hay belonged to the deny his right to vicar of the faid parish; and set forth a modus decimandi of the tithe hay, and other titheable matters above-mentioned, viz. for every commutate a modus as to the small nicant, twopence, but the youngest child only one halfpenny; tithes.

for every milch cow, twopence; for every weere or barren cow, one penny; every colt foal, one penny; every garden, twopence; every milch ewe, a farthing; and eggs at or about Easter; in lieu of all poultry (a).

Iffue directed to A trial at law was ordered upon two iffues.

try his right to the tithe hay, First, Whether tithe hay belongs to the vicar of the faid and the validity parish-church of North Petherwyn?

(a) See the case of Hele v. Proate, ante, page 45.
SECONDLY,

SECONDLY, Whether the tithes of other the titheable matters above-mentioned be payable to the vicar of the said parish in kind, or according?

**a**gainst

A frial was had; and a verdict given for the defendant.

Verdict for the defendant.

But the plaintiff's counsel prayed a new trial, alledging he had A new wish lately found out new evidence of an ancient record of endowment prayed, on the in the bishop's court of Exeter, whereby it appeared, that the ground of new faid vicarage of North Petherwyn was endowed with all tithes discovered. whatfoever (only corn excepted); and also defiring, that the tithing book in the defendant's custody might be shewn to the plaintiff.

The defendant's counsel informed the Court, that a verdict on both iffues had passed for the defendants; and the same being produced, he prayed that the faid bill might be dismissed.

THE COURT ordered, that the plaintiff producing a true copy A new trial of the endowment upon oath may be further heard; and that in granted, onconthe mean time the plaintiff or his agent may have liberty to peruse dision of pro-the tithing book; and in case he doth not shew good cause for the decing a copy of the decid.

a new trial, that then the said bill and the said desendants shall be absolutely dismissed this Court. And no cause being shewn, the said order was made absolute.

MATTHEW HALE. CH. TURNOR.

#### FLEETWOOD against LIVESAY.

HILARY TERM 20. CAR. 2.

Lancasbire, 11th February 1668.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff then was, and for thirty The improprise years past had been, impropriator of the rectory of Black- torof the rectoborne, in Lancasbire, and became entitled to all tithes of corn, ry of Elachborne orain, and have and to all small and privy tithes, and all rates for great grain, and hay, and to all small and privy tithes, and all rates, and small tithes compositions, or sums of money, in lieu of tithes, happening, &c. in the township within the faid rectory and the titheable places thereof; that of Balderfies. the defendants had severally occupied and possessed, for several years, arable lands, meadow, and pasture, and had growing thereon corn, grain, and hay, in the faid years, of great value; and also had milch cows, sheep, dry cattle, calves, lambs, pigs, poultry, eggs, wool, milk, and feveral other small tithes, of considerable value; and that the said tithes, for all the same time, had been and still was due; but that they refused to pay their tithes in kind, pretending they were not due, but some rate in money. He therefore prayed a discovery, and to be relieved in the premifes.

The

FLEETWOOD against LIVESAY.

Bafter roll ;

The defendants answered, and said, they knew not that the plaintiff was impropriator; but believed, that she was farmer of the faid rectory for the time aforefaid; and that by reason of The defendants her being farmer, she had for divers years received all manner plead a modus of of tithes and rates of tithes of all things that have been usually 31.135.4d.year tithed or accustomed to be tithed; but they denied that the tithes of corn plaintiff either had or ought to have received any tithe of corn, and hay, and grain, wood, and hay, or any other tithes whatfoever in kind, for all other arising in the township of Balderston, in the said parish; for titheable articles articles articles in the township of Balaerjion, in the 121d parish; For a composition, THAT tithes in kind were never paid within the said township, as stated in a but that there had been, time out of mind used, a certain custom book called the Or modus decimandi, VIZ. that all the inhabitants owners and occupiers of lands within the faid township of Balderston have, time out of mind, paid and used to pay to the rectors and impropriators of the faid rectory, or to their farmer, an ancient cuftomable or prescriptive yearly rent of three pounds, thirteen shillings, and fourpence, in lieu of all manner of tithes of corn and hay in the faid township of Balderston; and that for all other tithes whatfoever happening in the faid township, the several rates and compositions or sums of money which are mentioned and contained in a certain book or roll called the Easter roll, were payable in lieu of their feveral and respective tithes therein mentioned.

and confess their township;

And all the defendants confessed, that they had for several refidence and years occupied and enjoyed lands therein within the faid townlands within the ship, and had therefrom several quantities of grain and hay, but could not fet forth their quantities, they not being to pay their tithes in kind, but the faid rate of three pounds, thirteen shillings, and fourpence; and faid, they had kept feveral milch cows and dry cattle, and had feveral other small tithes, but took no notice of them, in regard they are comprehended in customable rates mentioned in the Easter book.

and aver the payment modus and the Eafter rell.

And all the defendants, except Burly, said, that they had duly, ac upon demand, paid, to the filing of the bill, all the payments and cording to the rates for tithes aforesaid, and the accustomed rent of three pounds, thirteen shillings, and fourpence, until a few years past, when the fame was refused; fince which time the defendant and the rest of the inhabitants of Balderston have yearly, on the eighth of September, being the accustomed day for payment of the said rents, lawfully tendered the faid prescriptive and ancient rent in the church-porch of Blackborne, and had been ready to pay the same, the receipt of which was refused.

> And the defendant Burly confessed, that for five years he had neglected to pay the rates in the Easter roll, but was ready to pay them, if the plaintiff would accept the same.

Ifface directed.

The plaintiff replied; and iffue was joined; and witnesses were examined on both fides; and upon opening the bill and answer, and upon some debate, it is ordered by THE Court, that a trial at Lew shall be had upon these iffues:

FIRST.

FIRST, Whether or no the inhabitants possessors and occupiers FIRETWOOD of lands within the faid township of Balderstone, in the parish of Blackborne aforesaid, time out of mind, have paid and used to pay First issue, to to the rectors and impropriators of the faid parish of Blackborne, try the modus or to the farmer or farmers of the faid rectory for the time for corn and hay. being, a certain ancient customable prescriptive yearly rent of three pounds, thirteen shillings, and fourpence, for and in lieu of all tithes of corn and hay growing, arising, and renewing within the faid township of Balderston, in the said parish?

SECONDLY, Whether or no, within the faid township of Bal-Second issue, to derston, there is, and time out of mind hath been, a certain custom try the compoor modus decimandi, namely, that all the inhabitants owners and flated in occupiers of lands within the faid township of Balderston, time Easter roll. out of mind have paid and used to pay to the rectors and impropriators of the faid rectory, or to the farmer or farmers of the faid rectory for the time being, the several rates, compositions, or fums of money mentioned or contained in a certain book or roll called the Eafter roll, in lieu of and for all manner of fmall and minute tithes whatfoever, arifing, happening, increasing, and renewing within the said township of Balderston, in the faid parish of Blackborne?

fition rates, as

Unto which action the faid defendant Livefay is to confess having detained tithes to the value of five pounds in his own hands. The equity of the cause to be reserved until after the said trial; and both parties to give copies of their exhibits.

The defendants not trying the faid iffues, the cause came on 11th New. 1669. again this day; and upon hearing counsel on both sides, and The defendants reading some part of the Easter rolls, and of the depositions taken iffue, in the cause, and on full debate; inasmuch as the defendants, having liberty to peruse the Easter rolls proved in the cause concerning the issue directed as to the small tithes, had refused to plead to the faid action, so that a trial might have been had upon the faid iffues.

It is ordered by the Court, that the attorneys on each Theattorneyson fide shall see the Easter book or rolls, and extract the rates of each side orderfuch things as are therein mentioned; and what appears to be a ed to inspect the roll, and the modus to be answered according to the faid Easter rolls; and that tithes to be paid all fuch small and privy tithes which are not contained in the accordingly. Easter rolls or books shall be paid in kind; and the plaintiff to have good costs.

And IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that as to the tithes of corn The issue resand hay a trial shall be had upon the following issue, viz. whether pecting the meor no all the inhabitants possessors and occupiers of lands within hay directed to the faid township of Balderston, in the parish of Blackborne, have, be used. timeoutof mind, paid to rectors and impropriators of the faid rcctory, or to the farmer or farmers of the faid rectory for the time being, a certain ancient accustomable prescriptive yearly rent of three pounds, thirteen shillings, and fourpence, for and in lieu of all

LIVESAY.

tithes of corn and hay growing, arifing, and renewing within the faid township of Balderston, in the said parish; and all the defendants are to be concluded thereby; and the equity of the cause, as to the tithes of corn and hay, to be reserved until after the faid trial.

And inasmuch as the defendants have not set out the quantities and values of the tithes detained by them from the plaintiff,

It is ordered, by confent, that they shall set forth, upon their oaths in writing before Mr. BARON TURNOR, the respective quantities and values of all their tithes, of what kind foever, till this present time.

> MATTHEW HALE. CHR. TURNOR.

# 20. CAB. 2. Wise against The Bishop of Worcester and Others. Oxfordfbire, 8th February 1668.

ver the confider-

A bill to disco- THE bill stated, that R. King, abbot of Thame, and the convent of the same place, by deed dated the fixth of April, in the ation given for a leafe of the twenty-ninth year of Henry the Eighth, did demise and grant to rectory of Hook John Crocker all that the farm of Hook Norton and the parsonage Norton, in Ox- and rectory of Hook Norton, and all lands and tithes thereunto belonging (except as therein is excepted), to hold to him, his executors and affigns, for eighty years, at the yearly rent of nine pounds for the manor and farm, and twenty-two pounds ten shillings for the parsonage, five pounds for the sheep common, hay, and custom works of Brown Mead and Small Mead, eighteen pounds for the wool, fix pounds, thirteen shillings, and fourpence for Prefifield, fix pounds, thirteen shillings, and one penny for the vicarage, payable at Michaelmas and Lady Day equally; that the said abbot, being afterwards Bishop of Oxford, and seised of the reversion of the said manor and premises, did, by indenture dated the eighth of October, in the first year of Edward the Sixth, demise to the said J. Crocker the said manor (except as is therein excepted) for nine years, at the yearly rent of eleven pounds, four shillings, and ninepence; that the bishop being seised of the reversion of the premises in right of his church, by indenture dated the fourteenth of October, in the first year of Queen Mary, did demise the premises to the said J. Crocker, his executors, administrators, and affigns, for ninety years after the expiration of the term granted, and therein specified; that J. Crocker became possessed as aforesaid, and about the eleventh year of Queen Elizabeth made his will, and made his fon executor, who proved the fame, and became possessed of the said manor and premises; and that from him the interest and term, by virtue of several wills, &c. came to the plaintiff; and that he is lawfully entitled unto the same; that

that the defendant Huntington, by buying certain incumbrances of Gerrard Crocker the fon, got into all or most part of the premises, and, for divers years, hath taken the rents, issues, and proWordster fits, they being above fixty pounds per annum. He therefore AND OTHERS. prays, that the defendant may discover whether any and what consideration was given for the said lease, and to be relieved in the premises.

The defendants Huntington and Reynell appeared; and the Plea. defendant Huntington put in his plea; AND FOR PLEA SAID, that he believed the manor, rectory, and parsonage, and all other the premises, were granted by R. King, abbot of Thame, to J. Grocker, to hold as in the bill is mentioned; that the faid J. Crocker made his will, and appointed his fon Gerrard, afterwards Sir Gerrard, his executor, who became possessed thereof; that the same afterwards, by virtue of several wills, &c. came to W. Crocker; that the same manor and premises are, by good conveyances in law, for and upon good and valuable confiderations in money really paid by him, conveyed and affured to him for the remainder of the term of years in the faid leafe; and that he, and those under whom he claims, have been in possession above fifty years. But as the plaintiff had fet forth no sufficient title in his bill, or given the defendant any notice of his pretended title before the purchasing of the premises for valuable considerations, he demanded the judgment of the Court, whether he should put in any further answer.

The faid plea was faved to the defendant at the hearing by the Saved to the Court; but the plaintiff infifting that notice had been given before hearing. the defendant's purchase, and of his taking advantage of the forteiture of the old leafe, by re-entry, for non-payment of rent,

The defendant put in a further answer, and alledged, that it Further answer. might be true that an avoidance or forfeiture was made of the old leafe by re-entry, though he knew it not of his own knowledge; and denied any combination with the other defendants by non-payment of rent, &c.

The other defendants appeared, and answered the bill.

The plaintiff replied; issue was joined; and witnesses were examined on both fides.

The cause came on the eleventh day of December last; and on hearing counsel on both fides, and after long debate, it was ordered to stand over for the Court to consider.

The cause came on this day; and on hearing counsel on both fides; and the Court having maturely and feriously advised upon the premises;

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that as to the manor, farm, and demessee of Hook Norton mentioned in the bill; and also as Vol. I. н

against Тик Вібнорог

to the defendants tithes purchased of the Lord of Downe, and to all and fingular other the premises in the bill specified, the defendants Huntington and Reynell be and stand clearly and ab-AND QTHERS folutely difmissed of and from the said bill, and the matters and things therein contained, without prejudice to the plaintiff's title at law upon the old leafe, in cafe he hath any.

> AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the plaintiff, and all others that shall hereafter claim the premises from, by, or under him, shall be and are hereby relieved against the defendant, the Lord Bishop of Oxford, and his fuccessors, for the time being, and all claiming under them, for the term expectant after the faid lease for divers years is expired; and the said defendants Huntington, Reynell, and the Bishop of Oxford, are to have their costs of fuit; and the Court will confider of the bill of costs when the same is made.

MILARY TERM 20. CAR. 2.

# COMPORT against OLIVER.

Surrey, 1st February 1668.

The occupiers THE plaintiffs, as lessees or farmers, to R. Porey, doctor in divinity of the tithes and profits of the rectory of Lambeth, divinity, of the tithes and profits of the rectory of Lambeth, ground in the divinity, of the tithes and profits of the rectory of Lambeth, parish of Lam in the county of Surrey, exhibited their bill to be relieved for beib are to pay the tithes in kind of ofiers, trees, plants, and herbs, and other 4s. an acre in materials of gardening, growing in the gardens of the defendants, Leu-of tithes. within the faid parish, and by them fold for four years past.

> The defendants answered; and denied, that tithes in kind are due for the titheable matters aforesaid; FOR THAT, time out of mind, there hath been a custom within the said parish for all occupiers of garden grounds to pay yearly to the rector of the faid parish, or farmer of the tithes thereof, four shillings for every acre of ground planted with the titheable matters aforesaid.

> Upon hearing counsel on both sides, and reading the depositions of several witnesses proving the said custom; and upon much debate of the matter:

> IT IS THIS DAY ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid defendants shall be, and hereby are, absolutely dismissed out of this court of and from the faid bill, and the matters and things therein contained.

> > BALL

# BALL against KEYBURNE and Others (a). Buckinghamsbire, 10th May 1669.

21. CAR. 2.

THE bill stated, that the wardens and scholars of New College, The plaintiff, as in the university of Oxford, are seised, in right of the said lessee of New college, of and in a portion of tithes in the parish of Wooton, called ford, claims a Longvile; that, on the tenth of November, in the fixteenth year of portion of the this king, they demised the said tithes for ten years to the plain-tithes of the patiff, and that by virtue thereof he is intitled to all the tithes within rish of Wocton in the faid portion, as well predial as personal, in kind; and also to kind. tithe for the herbage for barren cattle, according to the rate of two shillings in the pound yearly; that the defendants, for three years past, were farmers and occupiers of certain grounds within the faid portion and tithing, called Bidwell Hill, Bidwell Meadow, and Little Beryfield, with the portion or tithing aforesaid, and had several sorts of tithes due there, in the said years, to the plaintiff.

The defendants answered, and set forth, that there are certain The defendants customary rates and payments within the faid portionary to be plead, that the observed, according to the custom of the said parish of Wooton, able according THAT IS TO SAY, for sheep bought after Candlemas no tithe wool to certain cusin kind is due, but fourpence for every hundred of sheep for the tomary rates. space of every month, and an halfpenny for every sheep bought before Candlemas, and not shorn. An halfpenny yearly by every owner or occupier of land within the faid portion for every calf and every lamb under the number of seven; and if there are seven calves, or feven lambs, tithe is due is kind; the owner or occupier of the faid portionary tithes for the time being paying three halfpence for the same; if eight calves, the owner of the tithes is to pay one penny; if nine, then one halfpenny; if ten, then one in kind. That tithe milk ought to be paid on Saint Mark's Eve and the next morning, and not before, and from thence every tenth night and morning until Lammas Day, and no longer. That if any ewe or lamb, which were wintered, be fold, by any of the owners or occupiers of any lands within the faid portion, before Saint Mark's Day, then only one halfpenny is due for the tithe thereof; that if any such owner or occupier of lambs have but six lambs in all, or fix odd lambs, then the owner of the said tithes is to have an halfpenny a lamb; if seven, then one lamb in kind, paying to the owner thereof three halfpence for the same. That the owner or occupier of lands within the faid portion is to pay only for calves, theep, fleeces of wool, and pigs, under the number of feven, for every one of them an halfpenny, and no tithes in kind; that if any sheep be sold before shearing-time, being wintered there,

<sup>(</sup>a) But see the case of Aldworth v. no right to the small tithes of Longwile New College, 25. Car. 2. in which it is Pertien. decreed that the present plaintiff Bull has

BALL
against
KRYBURNE
AND OTHERS.

the owner of the tithe is to have only one halfpenny, unless other sheep be bought in their places, and then the tithe wool is due in kind; that if any sheep be bought in the beginning of the year, and sold again before All Saints Day, that then the owner of the tithes shall have a rate tithe, viz. fourpeace for a month for every hundred of sheep. That if the owner or occupier of lands within the said portion do sell their kine and calves, whether having but six, then only an halfpenny for every cast; and so if the calves are weaned; if seven, then the owner of the tithes is to have one cast; if under seven, and sold to the butcher, then the tenth penny is due for the tithes.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both sides.

An iffue directNow, upon hearing, the Court directed a trial at law upon this
ed to try the iffue, whether the tithe of milk, calf, wool, and lamb, be due in
tithes of milk, kind from the owners and occupiers of lands within the faid
calves, wool, and portion of Longvile, to the owners of the faid portion of tithes
lambs.

for the time being, or the faid rate tithe customary aforesaid in
lieu of all tithes of milk, calves, wool, and lamb.

The tithes of AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the debarren cattle ce-fendant W. Rice do pay to the plaintiff tithe for eight steers or barren cattle for fix weeks, after the rate of two shillings in the pound for a year's rent of the said lands enjoyed by him.

A verdict is A trial was accordingly had, and a verdict passed for the found for the plaintiff.

3d Dec. 1669. THE COURT, therefore, ordered, that the defendants do pay and the other the values of their tithes in kind which they had upon their in kind. feveral lands in Bidwell Hill, Bidwell Meadow, and Little Beryfield, within the portionary aforefaid, in the time aforefaid, according to the values fet forth in their answers, the plaintiff being willing to accept the same.

And it being alledged by the defendant's counsel, that the plaintiff had not proceeded against the defendant T. Keyburne since his answer,

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that he be dismissed with his costs, but the plaintiff to have his costs against the rest of the defendants.

MATTHEW HALE. CHR. TURNOR.

· ANTHONY,

# Anthony, Clerk, against Smithson.

EASTER TERM

Yorksbire, 14th June 1669.

THE plaintiff as vicar of Catterick, in the county of York, The plaintiff by his bill prayed to be relieved for all tithes, oblations, claims tithe of wood and lamb obventions, rates, and compositions for tithes, yearly arising within the lordwithin the faid parish, for one year; and in particular setting this of Kpling. forth, amongst other things, that the defendant in that year kept several ewes which had lambs, the tithe of which was worth five pounds; that he kept also other sheep, which he clipped, the tithe wool whereof, and of the ewes, was worth five pounds: all which he ought to have paid to the plaintiff.

The defendant answered, and said, that nineteen shillings and The defendant fourpence only are due to the plaintiff for and as a customary pleads a cuspayment in lieu of the tithe wool and lambs yearly renewing upon tomary rate in all the grounds within the lordship of Kipling, in the possession lieu thereof. of the defendant, within the said parish; and that all the grounds which were that year in the defendant's possession are, and time out of mind hath been, within the said lordship of Kipling; which faid payment, time out of mind, hath been payable and paid to the vicar upon the twenty-fifth day of June

The plaintiff replied; and witnesses were examined on both fides.

Upon hearing, the Court directed a trial at law; the iffue An iffue directto be, whether there is not a customary payment of nineteen ed. shillings and fourpence, time out of mind, payable to the vicar of Catterick upon the twenty-fifth day of June yearly, in lieu and fatisfaction of all tithes of wool and lamb yearly renewing upon all the grounds within the lordship of Kipling, within the said parish of Catterick, or not? The equity to be reserved till after fuch trial.

The faid cause came on to be further heard this day; and A verdict for the Court being informed that a verdict had passed for the the plaintiff. plaintiff upon the faid iffue,

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendant do pay to ad Nov. 1669. the plaintiff the value of his tithes of wool and lamb, as they are Tithes decreed. set forth in the answer for the year 1664, amounting to one pound and four shillings (a).

(a) See post. 13th November 1671. 23. Car. 2. another cause between the same parties.

STAWBYN

H 3

TRIN. TERM. 21. CAR. 2.

STAWBYN against SLADE. Cornwall, 21st June 1669,

falt and pilchards in Cornwall.

The plaintiff THE bill stated, that the plaintiff now is, and for divers years claims tithes of last past hath been, seised, in his demesse as of fee, of the landed at Saint manor and lordship of Saint Michael's Mount, in the county of M.chael's Mount, Cornwall, with the rights, members, and appurtenances thereof, and of and in a certain harbour, haven, quay, or pier, within the faid manor; that, time out of mind, all and every person and persons who bring any falt into the said harbour or pier in any ship or vessel, and sell and land the same at the said quay, have accustomed, and of right ought to pay to the lord of the faid harbour, quay, and pier, two bushels of falt, according to the measure there used, for the bushelage, anchorage, and other duties of fuch ship and vessel, and the goods landed and fold out of the same; that he is, and for divers years last past hath been, seised, in his demessee as of fee, of and in the tithes of all manner of fish landed, fold, or served at Saint Michael's Mount; that, time out of mind, all persons that did land, sell, or fave any fish at Saint Michael's Mount ought, of right and custom, to pay the tithes thereof in kind, at the landing or faving thereof to the proprietor of the said tithes; that the defendants, in the year 1667, did bring divers veffels and barks loaden with falt into the faid harbour, and did land or fell the fame at Saint Michael's Mount, and did refuse to pay the said duty, or any thing in lieu thereof; that in the faid year they did land, buy, fave, and fell, great quantities of pilchards at Saint Michael's Mount aforesaid, and did refuse to pay to the plaintiff the tithes or tenths thereof, or to make him any compensation for the same,

The defendants manner of paychardt.

The defendants, by their answer, confessed the plaintist's title plead a special to the manor and lordship of Saint Michael's Mount, to the ing tithe of fil- harbour, quay, or pier there, and to the tithes and tenths of fish landed, fold, or faved there, except pilchards; alledging, that in regard the owners of nets and lines are at a great expence, and employ many servants, called seyners, for the taking of pilchards, all persons so taking pilchards, or that did land, save, or sell the same at Saint Michael's Mount aforesaid, have, time out of mind, paid to the proprietor of the faid tithes fo much money as the tenth part of the shares or money which was paid to the seamen and other persons employed by the owners of the nets and boats amounted unto, viz. the owners of the nets and boats have, towards their charges in providing all things necessary for taking of pilchards, one half of the pilchards for their extraordinary charges, and the feyners and fervants have the other half; and t'ic owners do commonly buy their faid fervants half part; and that after the felling thereof the owners of the nets and boats do, and have, time out of mind, used to pay the proprietor of the said tithes so much money, in lieu of the tithe, proportionably as the tenth

tenth part of the money so paid their servants amounted to; which hath been, time out of mind, received by the proprietor in full fatisfaction of the tithe of all pilchards: and they fet forth the quantities of pilchards they faved and landed.

STAWBYN against SLADE.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and wit-But itappearing neffes were examined; and upon opening the pleadings, and that the tithe of reading several depositions, it appearing to the Court that the there had been tithes of pilchards and other fish landed or faved at Saint paid for more Michael's Mount aforesaid, have been, for sixty years past, and than sixty year, upwards, paid to the proprietor of the faid tithes in kind.

IT IS THEREUPON ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the de. the tithe of pl. fendants shall pay to the plaintiff the values of the faid pilebards chards were deso by them landed, saved, or sold at Saint Michael's Mount aforefaid, according to the values proved in the faid depositions.

And as to the bushellage of salt demanded by the said plaintiff, The defendants for that there is no proof of the landing of any within the time the fall, in the faid bill mentioned,

It is ordered by the Court, that the faid defendants, as to the faid bushellage, shall be and hereby are dismissed.

> MATTHEW HALE. CHR. TURNOR.

# WATTS, Clerk, against WELDON.

TRIN. TERM, 21. CAR. 2.

Kent, 21th June 1669.

THE plaintiff, as rector of the parish-church of Swanfcombe, The rector of in the county of Kent, stated by his bill, that he is, and hath Swanfcombe, in been for twelve years past, rector and incumbent of the parish-his eithes in church aforefaid, and by reason thereof was intitled to all the kind. tithes arising, &c. therein; that the inhabitants and occupiers of exante, p. 77. lands in the faid parish ought to pay to him their tithes according a cause between to common right, as by law they are payable, that is to fay, as for the same parties. all manner of wood cut out for affize for fuel to fell, as also for allunderwood employed for faggots, bavins, hoops, kids, hop-poles, and broom staves, tithes in kind after the same are made up fit for fale; fuch oaks, ashes, and elms, as are yearly fold and employed for timber, their tops kept by themselves, excepted; as also tithes in kind of all corn, wood, and hay, and of all other predial tithes: that for cattle fatted for sale and agisted cattle, as oxen, bullocks, cows, horses, sheep, and all unprofitable cattle, tithes ought to be paid according to the yearly value of the ground whereon they were fed, except only for every milch cow with her calf (which cows are used for the supportation of the families of the Everal parishioners in the said parish); that for every milch cow and calf there is, by custom within the faid parish, paid tenpence,

H 4

WATTS against WELDON.

and no more; as also twopence for every bullock kept for flock, or any cow being used to milk, and by accident becoming dry, and yet still kept for milk for the future: that the defendant, for two years last past, had been owner and occupier of several marsh and wood grounds therein, wherein, during the same time, had been fatted and agisted a number of oxen, bullocks, heifers, dry cows, horses, sheep, and other unprofitable cattle; that he had cut down and disposed of, by fale or otherwise, about three thousand loads of wood growing within the said rectory, which was made into bavins, faggots, hop-poles, broom-staves, and for other use to be fold, for which the said defendant ought to have paid tithes in kind; yet for the faid years he hath not paid to the plaintiff tithes in kind for the faid wood, nor for the faid cattle so fatted and agisted, or any recompence for the same; and therefore he prayed a discovery and relief in the premises.

The defendant bill ;

The defendant answered, and confessed, that for several years admits the facts last past he had been owner and occupier of several pastures and marsh grounds in the said parish, within which there had been fatted oxen, dry cows, bullocks, sheep, and other cattle, for the herbage of which he had fatisfied the plaintiff for feveral years last past, except for the sheep that were fed by him for the said two years; that in the years 1666, 1667, and 1668, he had cut down several quantities of wood made up for sale, viz. into bavins, small bavins, great bavins, faggots, and broom-staves, and had fold them respectively for the several rates mentioned in his answer; that he had not paid tithes in kind to the plaintiff of the faid faggots, bavins, fmall bavins, great bavins, top bavins, arbour poles, and broom-staves, for the said years, or any recompence for the same, nor for the cattle so fatted, till of late he had fatisfied him for the herbage of fatted cattle for divers years past, sheep only excepted, for which he had not paid tithes for and suggests a the said years: that the reason was, because he was informed of medus decimandi; certain customs used in satisfaction for the tithes of wood and cattle, whereon he did rely; but that the plaintiff, in the year 1667, at two trials between them, obtained two verdicts against which he ad-him against the said customs; and also in Trinity Term 1668 mits was de- obtained a decree for payment of tithes in kind of wood, and a former decree, also for fatted cattle tithes according to the value of the herbage, and for tithe wool and lamb in kind; whereby the faid customs are disallowed; and therefore submits to the said decree.

#### And upon opening the faid bill and answer,

The Court kind to the rector.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid defendant shall therefore decree pay to the plaintiff the tithes of the faggots, bavins, hoops, in kidds, hop-poles, and broom-staves, confessed by his answer to be detained from the plaintiff, according to the values thereof mentioned therein; and for the sheep by him fatted and confessed to be unpaid for, according to the value of the herbage or feeding whereon they were fed.

Iт

azainfl WELDOM.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the faid defendant, his heirs and affigns, shall for ever hereafter pay to the said plaintiff and his fuccessors, rectors of the said rectory of Swanscombe for the time being, tithes in kind of all wood cut out and affized for fuel, after the same is made up ready for sale; and also of all underwood employed for faggots, bavins, kidds, broom staves, arbour poles, hoops, and hop-poles, after the same are made up ready for fale (except fuch oaks, ashes, and elms, as are yearly fold and employed for timber, and their lops kept by themselves, though cut out for fale); and also tithe in kind of all corn, hay, wool, and lamb, and all other predial mixed and fmall tithes happening or renewing within the lands of the defendant, his heirs or affigns, within the faid rectory; and also tithes for all oxen, bullocks, heifers, dry cows, horses, sheep, and all other unprofitable cattle which yield no yearly titheable increase, and which shall be fatted for sale or agisted in any of the grounds of the faid defendant, his heirs or assigns, within the faid rectory, according to the value of the herbage wherein they shall be fatted or agisted, except draught cattle necessary for the management of a farm, whilst wrought, and necessary saddle-horses, which are tithe-free, and except milch cows and their calves, and each bullock kept for stock, and every cow used bemilch, and by accident becoming dry, and yet kept for milk; for every fuch cow and calf and every fuch bullock the faid defendant, his heirs and affigns, are hereby ordered and decreed to pay the respective fums of money, that is to fay, for fuch cow and calf, tenpence, and for every fuch bullock, twopence.

> EDWARD ATKYNS. CHR. TURNOR.

#### Waters against Dickenson.

MICH. TERM, 21. CAR. 2.

Yorksbire, 8th November 1669.

THE bill stated, that G. Stanbope, doctor in divinity, chan- The rector of cellor of the metropolitan church of Saint Peter's, in York, Ufborne, in the and prebend of Driffield, within the faid church, being, as others claims the tithes his predecessors therein, seised, as of fee, of the parsonage of the rectory or rectory of *U/borne*, and of the parsonage-house with the appur- in kind, lying in tenances, and also of a tenement or farm held in Usborne, and also Thorpe Underof all manner of tithes, farms, rents, profits, and appurtenances to faid parish of the said rectory belonging, with power of letting leases, did, by in- Ufborne. denturedated the tenth of November, in the fixteenth year of Charles the First, grant to the plaintiff the said rectory, mansion-house, and other the premises, his heirs and assigns, for three lives; by virtue of which demise the plaintiff became intitled to, and ought to have received, the rents and profits of the said parsonage, and the tithes arising therein; that the defendant and several others

og ainst DICKENSON.

do hold divers parcels of land within the precincts and titheable places of the faid rectory, and have fown feveral acres with wheat, rye, meslin, pease, beans, and made their grass into hay, and taken the fame to their own uses, without paying any tithes to the plaintiff, or any composition for the same; that they also have kept great flocks of theep and lambs, and had yearly raifed great quantities of wool; the tithes of all which are due and payable to the plaintiff; but that the defendants have refused to pay the same or make any composition, since the year 1654, pretending, that the faid lands lying in Thorpe Underwood are not chargeable with tithes in kind, but only a yearly composition of three pounds, six shillings, and eightpence, which, if true, the same hath not been paid to the plaintiff; and fometimes that Kirkby Hall, and the lands thereunto belonging, are not chargeable with the payment of tithes except when the same are in the occupation of the tenants; and then a customary tithe, or somewhat by way of composition for the tithes of the premises, hath been usually paid; the non-payments thereof tends to the apparent wrong of the plaintiff: and thereof he prayed relief in the premises.

It appears that Libes.

The defendant answered; the plaintiff replied; and witby our antient neffes were examined; and upon opening the pleadings, complian that so and hearing counsel on both sides, and on debate of the matter, long as the lands and on reading an ancient composition indented between the should be in the presentor of the church of York, and the abbot and convent of hands of the temants, and of Fountains, being of the Ciftertian order, upon the tithes of Thorpe the abley of Underwood, dated the seventeenth of December 1366, whereby it Fountains, they was agreed between the abbot and the presentor, that probonopacis, should pay five and in recompense of the tithes of hay and corn, the faid abbot starts in lieu of and in recompense of language these fort of lands, meadow and pasture. and convent, fo long as those fort of lands, meadow and pasture, should be in the hands of the tenants, and should be let to farm, should pay to the said presentor, and his successors, presentors of the faid church of York, one yearly pension or yearly rate of five marks sterling of the lawful money of England at the feasts of Pentecost and Saint Martin the Bishop, in winter, by even portions for ever, and a nomine poene for default of payment; and the presentor agreed with the abbot and convent, that, in consideration of the same, the said abbot and convent, and their successors, their tenants, and farmers, as well present as future, should hold their faid lands in Thorpe Underwood let to farm, and in tenants hands free from payment of the tithes of hay and corn.

> And it appearing to THE COURT, upon reading feveral depositions taken in the cause, that during all the said time since 1654 to the filing of the bill, that part of the lands in Therpe Underwood had been let to farm; and that three hundred acres of land within and part of Thorp Wood were in the defendant's possession;

It is ordered by the Court, that the defendant shall pay to the plaintiff all the arrears of the said annual pension or annual rate of five marks fince 1654, amounting to forty-one pounds, fix shillings, and eightpence; the defendant to be left at liberty to interplead with the other owners of Thorpe Underwood touching their proportions of the faid annual pension,

against DICKENSON.

MATTHEW HALE. CHR. TURNOR.

#### SKIPP against VOKE.

MICH. TERMS 21. CAR. 2.

Herefordsbire, 15th November 1669.

THIS was a bill seeking relief for the tithe of hay, fruit, hemp, The flax, and other tithes within the parish of Ledbury, in Here-claims the tithe fordsbire, and within a portion of tithes in Ledbury aforesaid, called of bay and frait, Netherhall Portion.

The defendants fet forth a modus decimandi for hay and fruit; Natherball Porand faid, that the tithes of hemp and flax are not due to the rift of Ledburg, plaintiff, but to the vicar of Ledbury.

and hemp and in Herefredfbire.

The plaintiff replied; and witnesses were examined on both Issues directed fides; and upon hearing counsel, and reading the depositions of to try a modus several witnesses, a trial at law was ordered to try, whether there respecting hay be a modus decimandi for the tithe bay and fruit? and, whether and fruit, and the plaintiff's the tithe of hemp and flax ought to be paid in kind by the defen-right to dants to the plaintiff? hemp and flax.

A trial was accordingly had, and a verdict was found for the plaintiff.

And the jury found, that within the parish of Ledbury there The jury find is not, nor hath been from the time whereof the memory of man that there is no is not to the contrary, a custom and manner of tithing, that is modus respecting to fay, that the defendant Voke, and all those whose estate he question, as to now hath of and in a farm called Afblands, in the occupation of the tithe of hay him in the aforesaid parish of Ledbury, and all those under whom and fruit he claimeth, from the time whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary, have paid, andought to pay, to the proprietor or his farmer, for the time being, of the portion of tithes called Overball Pertion, and to the proprietor or farmer for the time being of the portion called Netherhall Portion, yearly, the sum of eighteen-pence of lawful money, that is to fay, for lands called Brass's Land fixpence, Skynner's Land fourpence, Grubb's Lands twopence, and Hall Lands fixpence, that is to fay, one moiety thereof to the proprietor or his farmer of Overhall Portion, the other moiety to the proprietor, or his farmer of Netlerhall Portion, in the name, lieu, and fatisfaction of all tithes of hay and fruit yearly growing, &c. upon the farm and lands in the occupation of the faid Voke.

SEIPE againft Vor L.

It was further found, there is not, nor hath been, from time whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary, a custom and manner of tithing, that is to fay, that the defendant Rogers, and all those whose estate he now hath, and under whom he claimeth, of and in ten acres of meadow, have paid, and ought to pay, by all the time aforesaid, yearly, to the proprietor or his farmer for the time being of the faid portion of tithes called Netherball Portion, the fum of one shilling and sixpence of lawful money of England, that is to fay, one moiety thereof for Overhall Portion, and the other moiety for Netherhall Portion, in lieu and fatiffaction for all the tithes of hay and fruit yearly growing, &c. upon the faid ten acres.

The jury further found a customary manner of tithing in a farm or lands in the occupation of the defendant Bennet to the proprietor or his farmer of the faid portions; the feveral fums, ro WIT, for lands called Flight's Lands eightpence, Poole's Land fourpence, Sar/bes' Land one shilling and twopence, Jenkin's Land fourpence, Hopkins's Lands twopence, in all two shillings and eightpence, in moieties, to the faid proprietors or farmers of the faid portions, in lieu and fatisfaction of all tithes of hay and fruit, yearly, &c. upon the aforesaid lands, meadow and pasture.

The cause came on to be heard on the twenty-fifth day of A new trial applied for and re- April last; and upon hearing counsel, and producing the poster, fuled. the defendant's counsel defired a new trial. But THE COURT did not think fit to grant it.

BUT IT WAS THEN ORDERED, that the defendants should creed in kind. respectively satisfy and pay to the plaintiss the value of the said tithe of hay and fruit for the faid years in the bill mentioned (a).

> MAT. HALE, CH. TURNON, TIM. LYTTLETON, HUGH WYNDHAM,

(a) But see the case of Skinner and Others v. Dickeson and Others, 24th November 1671, 23. Car. 2. respecting tithes in the faid parish of Ledbury, in which a modus of 20d. a year was found due to the proprietor of the above portionary, in lieu of tithes of hay and fruit. See also Townsend v. Skip, 6th June 1678, the 30. Car. 2. and Walker v. Walker, 10th June 1710, Trinity Term, 9. Anne.

Mich. TERM, 21. CAR. 2.

Boles, Clerk, against Berkley.

Northamptonshire, 26th November 1669.

The vicar of THE plaintiff, as vicar of the parish of Norton, in the county of Norton claims Northamoton, filed a bill to be relieved for small lithes of a from the defendant, for a certain pasture or ground called Thorp, piece of ground otherwise Thorp's Ground, and elsewhere within the said parish and titheable places thereof, for one year, to the value of thirty-

three pounds, which the defendant detained from him under feveral pretences.

The defendant stated, that the plaintiff is vicar of Norton, and The defendant ought to have the minute tithes, or a composition for the same; admits the that he was, and still is, tenant of the lands in the bill mentioned; plaintiff's right that the place where, by ancient deeds and records, is mentioned to the tithes of to be in Daventry, and not in Norton; that fince the former pro- fays, that, fince ceedings (a), the defendant, on taking a leafe of the college the last proof Christ Church, in Oxford, of the said lands, and the tithes ceedings, thereof, had discovered that until of late years no tithes have hath discovered that There is a been paid for the same to the vicar of Norton, and had sound parish of itself; writings that the faid vill of Thorp is an ancient parish of itself, and states within the faid parish of Norton, and that, the same coming title to the tithes lately to his knowledge, he could not infift upon the fame on the thereof in himformer hearing; that the faid lands and advowson of the church of Thorn were conveyed to the priory and convent of Daventry, and fo continued until the diffolution of the faid priory, which was about the fixteenth year of Henry the Eighth, and not difsolved by the statute 27. or 31. Hen. 8. whereupon the former decree was grounded; that upon the diffolution of the faid priory KING HENRY THE EIGHTH, by his letters patent, granted the premises to Cardinal Wolsey and his heirs, which was confirmed by a private or particular act of parliament; that afterwards the faid Cordinal was attainted of a premunire, and the faid estate thereby forfeited to the king; that after the faid Cardinal Wolfer had began the erecting of the college whereupon stood the priory of Saint Fridefwide in Oxford, the said king, by his letters patent, dated the eleventh of November, in the thirtyeighth of his reign, granted the premises to the dean and chapter of Christ Church, and the tithes of lands lying in the county of Northampton; by virtue of which the dean and chapter became feised, who, upon the eighteenth of November, in the nineteenth year of his now majesty's reign, did demise to the defendant several pasture grounds and meadows in Thorp, with all the tithes thereof, to hold the faid premises and tithes to the defendant, under the rents therein mentioned; that he entered, and hath enjoyed the fame without paying of tithes, and hoped to continue fo. He denied that he hath unjustly withholden the tithes from the plaintiff; and that the faid grounds lie within the parish of Norton; and he set forth the value of the tithes; and denied the plaintiff's right thereunto. He confessed, that before discovery made of such evidence to prove Thorp to be a parish of itself, he had paid to the plaintiff some minute tithes, but he hoped that he should not be concluded by his mistake.

The plaintiff replied; and iffue being joined, witnesses were examined on both fides.

(a) 28th June 1666, 18. Car. 2.

BOLES against BERKLEY.

BREKLEY.

But on reading divers ancient seconds it appeared that fides, and upon reading divers ancient seconds it appeared that fides, and reading the indenture and accounts, concerning Thorp is a vill the ninth sheaf, ninth sleece, and ninth lamb, in the fourteenth within the parish of Norten; and on the counsel for the defendant consenting, on behalf of the defendant, and of the dean and students of Christ Church in Oxford, that the said matter shall be decreed for the faid plaintiff.

and thereupon the small tithes consent of all parties, that the defendant shall pay to the said consent decreed plaintiff twenty-one pounds for the arrears of tithes, demanded to the plaintiff. by the said bill, with moderate costs, and that the defendant shall continue the payment of the small tithes for the said ground to the plaintiff, for so long as the defendant shall hold the same, and the plaintiff shall continue vicar of the said parish of Norton (a).

MATTHEW HALE. CHR. TURNOR.

(a) There are other proceedings in the book of decrees and orders, respecting this parish, in the fasteenth year of James

HILARTTERM 21. CAR. 2.

# Marsh, Clerk, against Holmsby,

Kent 25th November 1669,

The rector of Ruckinge in Kent, THE plaintiff, as rector of the rectory and parish church of Ruckinge in Kent, set forth, by his bill, that and small tithes, he ought, as rector of the said parish church of Ruckinge, to have all predial and personal tithes, great and small, within the said parish.

The defendant The defendant answered, and set forth, that the ancient pleads a midus custom and manner of tithing, time out of mind, for marsh of 8d. the acre, in lieu of all lands, used for the seeding and depasturing of heisers, bullocks, these. sheep, and other dry cattle, and for making of hay, hath been to pay eight pence the acre, in lieu of all tithes, and other profits, and dues, for and in respect of the said lands.

The plaintiff replied; and witnesses were examined.

Upon hearing counfel,

An iffue was directed to try, "whether within the faid pe-"rish of Ruckinge, there be a custom, to pay the rector, for the "time

"time being, for marsh lands there, at the rate of eightpence "the acre, in lieu of all tithes?" and a verdict passed for the plaintiff; and upon reading the postea, it was referred to the auditor of the county, to afcertain the values, unless, on pay. Averdict against ment of costs, cause were shewn; which commission to ascertain the modus. the values accordingly issued, and was executed, and returned; and The values reon the eleventh instant, it was referred to the deputy remembran- ferred to the sacer to afcertain the values, and to make his report, which he did; membrancer. and now upon reading the faid order, certificate, and report,

againft HOLMSBY.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid defendants shall The tithes depay to the plaintiff, the respective sums reported due for their creed in kind. tithes, unless cause, they paying five shillings costs before they be heard; and if no cause be shewn to the contrary, this decree to be absolute, without further motion by the plaintiff.

Edw. Turnor. Chr. Turnor. TIM. LITTLETON.

STANLEY, Clerk, against Casheere.

HILARY TERM 22 CAR. 4.

Kent, 6th February 1670.

THE bill stated, that for twenty years past, the plaintiff had The rector of been, and still was rector of the rectory and parsonage of Ripple in the Ripple, in the county of Kent, and ought to have all, and all claims tithes. manner of tithes, great, small, mixed, and other tithes of what kind foever, arifing in the faid parish; that the defendant for fourteen years last past, was owner and occupier of one mesfuage, and one hundred acres of arable and pasture lands, in the parish aforesaid, and did sow the same with corn and grain, and did carry away the fame, without fetting out the tithes thereof.

The defendant denied that any fort of tithes were due to the The defendants plaintiff, for that the faid lands were parcel of the demesnes of pleads that the the manor of Ripple, and also of the possessions of the last displace belonged to the abboy folved monastery of St. Augustine; and that John, the last abbot of St. Augustine; was feised thereof in fee, in right of his convent, and being so seise gustine, and was ed, the faid abbot and convent, by their deed, dated the thirty-furrendered, diffirst of July, in the thirteenth year of Henry the Eighth, did then the Eighth, did Henry the Eighth, furrender to the said king, his heirs, and successors, the said from whom the abbey, and all the manor, lands, and tenements thereunto be-defendant delonging; and that by virtue thereof, and of the statute 31. rives his tide. Hen. 8. he became seised therof, to him, his heirs, and successfors, in right of his crown; that the faid abbot, at the time of his furrender, and all his predecessors, time whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary, did hold the faid lands, distriarged of the payment of tithes, and that the same came so discharged to the said king, under whom the defendant claims.

And upon reading a copy of an inquisition taken in the spains thirty-first year of Henry the Eighth, whereby it appeared, that the abbot of Saint Augustine was seised of the said manor of Ripple, the land belong.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendants shall be dismissed the defendants the defendants therein contained.

EASTER TERM 83. CAR. 2.

of tithes.

WENHAM against THETCHER.

Suffex, 30th May 1671.

The tenants of THE bill was to be relieved for certain tithes in kind, in the the manor of bill mentioned, from fixty acres of land, lying within the pay 31. a year parish of Haylesbam in the county of Sussex, and in the desendant's to the vicar of occupation.

Haylesbam in Reu

The defendant denied the plaintiff's right to tithes in kind, for that the lord of the manor of Otham hath for forty years paid a composition of three pounds per annum, to the vicar of Haylesham, in lieu, and discharge of all tithes, iffuing out of the said manor, and that the said composition is paid for the said lands in the defendant's occupation.

and payable to the vicar of Haylesbam, for the lands in the defendant's occupation? and a verdict was given for the defendant.

THE COURT accordingly ordered the faid bill to be difmiffed.

TRIN. TERM, 23. CAR. 2. HALSEY, Clerk, against OGLANDER.

Sussex, 26th June 1671.

The plaintiff, as THE bill stated, that for ten years past, the plaintiff had been restor of East Deane, in the Deane, claims county of Sussex, and had duly officiated the cure there, and, tithes of coppie by reason thereof, ought to have had all tithes, duties, paywood, cut in ments, and profits, to the same belonging; that the plaintiff's predecessors have, time out of mind, had the tenths and tithes of all titheable wood, coppice, and wood-ground, within the said rectory, or some composition; or satisfaction for the same; that the defendants, within seven years past, have felled, cut, and carried away, from Red Copse and Little Copse, two hundred and forty acres of coppice wood, the tithe of which is worth one hundred and swelve pounds, without setting out the tithe of the same.

The defendants answered, that no such tithes were due from them to the plaintiff.

On

On a trial et law, in which the issue was, whether the tithes of the two copies, called Red Copie, and Little Copie, aforesaid, OGLANDER. or either of them, are due to the plaintiff? a verdict was found, An iffue directat the summer assizes, for the defendants, that no tithes were ed to try the payable.

HALSEY

Afterwards the plaintiff obtained a new trial, and thereupon Two verdicts another verdict was given for the defendants; and in Easter found against his Term, the twenty-fourth Charles the Second, the plaintiff obtained an order for a further trial, upon payment of costs; after which he did not proceed. Therefore, the cause now coming on, and on hearing counsel on both fides,

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, upon reading the posteas, The defendant that, in case the plaintiff do pay the costs within a week, he shall dismissed. proceed to another trial, and injunction be awarded; but in case he do not pay the said costs, and stay the action, the bill shall stand dismissed.

> WM. MONTAGU. TIM. LITTLETON. EDW. THURLAND. VERE BERTIE.

CHAPPEL, Clerk, against WARD. Derbysbire, 10th May 1671.

MICH. TERM, 23. CAR. 2.

THE plaintiff was rector of Matlock, in Derbybire. The cause The plaintiff, came on to be heard in July last, and the Court ordered a as rector of Mat. trial at law;

lock, claims, with Ocrtiin excep-

The iffue was, whether the customary duty of the tithe tenth dish, tions, the tithe or the tenth part of all the lead ore, except, Lott Ore, Smitham dug within the Offal, and Forestead, of which, it was agreed, no tithe is to be parish paid by the miners to the faid rector, gotten within the parish An issue directof Matleck, except in Willersley and Old Meadow, cleansed, ed. washed, and dressed from the rubbish, at the charge of the miner, time out of mind, hath been or of right ought to be paid, by the miner or getter thereof, to the rector of the parish church of Matlock, for the time being; the rector allowing to such miner a penny for every tenth dish, in consideration of the washing, dressing, and cleansing thereof.

Upon entering into the faid trial, it appeared that, over and The iffue a. above the faid Lott Ore, Smitham Offal, and Forestead, which pay mended, and a no tithes, and the ore gotten in Willersley and Old Meadow, ed. there ought also to have been excepted in the said custom the Meere dish, and Meere dishes, payable to the bar-masters for freeing the ground, and also such ore whereof ten dishes are not gotten, in any one mine, upon one and the fame title, within the faid parish, which also, as was agreed by the plaintiff, do Yol. I.

CHAPPEL against
WALD.

pay no tithe to the rector; but the defendant taking advantage at the trial, of the said Meere dish and Meere dishes, for freeing the ground not being excepted, and refusing to try the merits of the cause, the plaintiff was forced to be non-suited. It was therefore prayed that the issue might be amended, and that the Meere dish and Meere dishes, and also the ore, whereof ten dishes are not gotten in one mine, upon one and the same title, within the said parish, might be excepted in the issue, and a new trial granted to the plaintiff. And upon hearing Mr. BARON WYNDHAM, before whom the trial was had, and on hearing counsel on both sides, the issue was ordered to be amended, as is desired, and a new trial thereon had; the plaintiff paying to the desendant moderate costs for the former trial; and the cause to be tried by a special jury.

If Feb. 1763.

A verdict for the defendants.

According to which order a new trial was had; and, upon full evidence on both fides, a verdict passed for the defendants.

IT WAS THEREFORE THIS DAY FINALLY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the faid defendants shall be, and and are hereby absolutely dismissed, of and from the said bill, and the matters and things therein contained, with moderate costs.

EDW. TURNOR. CHR. TURNOR. T. LITTLETON. EDW. THURLAND.

Mich. Term, 23. Car. 2. Coventry, Knight, against the Bishop of Winchester.

#### Somersetsbire, 30th May 1671.

The plaintiff THE scope of the bill was to be relieved for a certain yearly claims 161. a fum of sixteen pounds, in lieu of all tithes, for certain year, in lieu of mills in Taunton, in the county of Somerset, for thirteen years the tithes of the past.

Town Mills of

Taunton. The defendants, by their answers, denied any such sum to be due.

Now, upon opening the bill and answers, and reading the several depositions, and a book of account of the estate of Bilenketyll, and of an order of dismission made in Michaelmas Term, 16. Car. 2. in a former cause.

Two iffues di-

FIRST. Whether there be such a modus, of paying fixteen pounds per annum, in lieu of tithes for the said two mills, called the Town Mills, or not.

SECONDLY,

#### FROM THE RESTORATION.

COVENTRY agains

SECONDLY. Whether such modus be payable to the plaintiff for the faid two mills, called the Town Mills, and for ten other THE BISHOF OF mills, within the manor of Taunton aforefaid, together with the faid two mills.

In pursuance of which order, a trial was had upon the last A verdict for iffue, and a verdict was given for the plaintiff, viz. that the the full on the fum of fixteen pounds per annum, was payable, and ought to be paid in lieu of tithes, for the said two mills in Taunton, called the Town Mills, and for ten other mills within the faid manor of Taunton.

18tb Nov. 1672.

Now, upon hearing counsel for the plaintiff, and no one appearing for the defendants,

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendants shall ment decreed, pay to the plaintiff, all arrears, and for the future the faid modus, first deducting arrive of fixteen pounds to a second to live of there out a fee or fum of fixteen pounds per annum, as well for and in lieu of faim rent, due the tithes of the toll of corn and grain ground in the faid two to the king. mills, called the Town Mills, as the ten other mills, within the manor of Taunton, first deducting and abating thereout the yearly fee farm rent of forty shillings a year, for the time paid, and to come to his majesty, for and in respect of the said medus or annual rent of fixteen pounds per annum.

\* The annual pay-

# Anthony, Clerk, against Smithson.

MICH. TERMS 23. CAR. 2.

#### Yorksbire, 13th Nov, 1671.

THE plaintiff as vicar of the parish church of Lattericke other- The vicar of the wise Cattericke, in the county of York, stated, that for ten lordship of Kipyears past, he had been vicar of the parish church of Cattericke, ling in Cattericke, in Yorksbire, and had duly officiated the cure there, and ought to have had all claims tithes, manner of tithes, oblations, obventions, offerings, rates, and compositions for the same yearly arising, &c. within the said parish, and titheable places thereof; and claimed tithes as follows, and sets out the viz. of colts, calves, lambs, rabbits, pigs, geefe, goslings, manner of tithpoultry, bees, pidgeons, wool, and sheep sold with their ingwool before they were clipped, for which monthly tithe is due, water corn mills, fruit, mint, milk, honey, wax, eggs, cheefe, hemp, flax, and other small tithes.

The defendant admitted the plaintiff to be vicar of the The defendant parish, but denied that he ought to have any tithes out of admitted the vithe lordship of Kipling, in the said parish, for that QUEEN tithes in Cat-ELIZABETH, was heretofore seised in fee, in right of her crown, tericke, but pleads of all the tithes of corn, grain, and hay yearly, growing in that Kisling be-Kipling, formerly belonging, and parcel of the possessions of the longed to the naftery of St. Agatha, and derives a title thereto from Queen Elizabeth.

ANTHONY , against ėmitrion,

late dissolved monastery of St. Agatha, within the arch-deaconry of Richmond, in the said county, and of all other tithes in Kipling, formerly belonging to, and parcels of the possessions of the the late diffolved monastery of St. Mary, near the walls of York; that her majesty, being so seised, by letters patent, dated. the twenty-ninth of April, in the nineteenth year of her reign, did grant the faid tithes of corn, grain, and hay, in Kipling, to T. and R. Warropp, their beirs and affigns for ever, under the rent of twelve shillings per annum, reserved to her majesty and successors; that her majesty, by other letters patent, dated the eleventh of April, in the twenty-first year of her reign, did grant and convey all manner of other the tithes whatsoever in Kipling, to Sir Charles Hatton, Knight, his heirs and affigns for ever, under the yearly rent of forty shillings, referved to her majesty and successors for ever; that the several estates and interests of the said T. and R. Warrop, and the said Sir C. Hatton, in the faid several tithes of corn, grain, and hay, and other tithes in Kipling, did before Michaelmas, 1664, by means of grants and conveyances, come to Cecil Lord Baltimore, and that before and fince he has continued lawfully seifed of and in all the tithes in Kipling; that from Michaelmas 1664, till May 1666, they did detain the tithes of the faid titheable matters in Kipling, by authority or estate from the said Lord Baltimore, under several yearly rents, as they conceived they might lawfully do.

But on reading fwer,

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses the grants stat- were examined on both sides: and upon hearing counsel on both ed in the an-fides, and reading feveral depositions taken in the cause, and in a former cause of Anthony v. Smithson, and several grants and records in the time of QUEEN ELIZABETH and KING JAMES, produced on behalf of the defendants, being the several grants of the tithes mentioned in the answers, and on debate of the matter,

she tithes of Kip.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendants shall ling are decreed pay to the plaintiff the values of their faid tithes, which they to the vicar of had in the feveral years aforefaid.

> EDW. TURNOR. CHR. TURNOR. TY. LITTLETON. HUGH WYNDHAM.

# RISDEN, Clerk, against CROUCH.

MICH. TERM, 23. CAR. 2.

Kent, 26th Oct. 1671.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff, for four years past, is and Hops are in hath been vicar of the parish of Asbford, in the county of their nature a Kent, and ought to have had and received all manner of tithes and of course belong church duties, yearly arising, &c. within the said parish, due to to the vicar; that the defendants for the same time have enjoyed and therefore a several messuages, lands, and tenements, and several hop grounds 69. 8d. an acre planted with hops, within the said parish, and have picked and to the restor, in carried away the same, without setting out orrendering the tithe lieu of the tithe thereof, or any thing in lieu, and had several other titheable of hops, is bade matters and things, the tithe whereof ought to have been answered to the plaintist, but that they resuled, saying, that the plaintist had no right to the tithe of hops.

The defendants admitted their inhabitancy, and fet forth the quantities and values of their hops, and then stated, that there is and hath been an ancient custom, time out of mind(a), in the said parish, that every planter of hops shall pay to the parson of the said parish, six shillings and eightpence, for every acre in lieu of the tithe thereof, and that the same of right belongs to the parson of the said parish, who hath constantly, according to such custom, received the same.

THE COURT declared, that there can be no fuch custom for the payment of a modus, in lieu of the tithe of hops, to the parson, for that hops, being in their nature small tithes, do belong to the vicar (b).

THE COURT therefore ordered, that the defendants shall pay to the plaintiff the values of the tithes of their hops which they had in the years aforesaid, according to values set forth in their answers, viz. the defendant Crouch seven pounds for the two years mentioned in the bill, and the defendant Lounds, twenty shillings, for 1669; the plaintiff being willing to accept thereof accordingly.

(a) Hops were first introduced into England, about the year 1524, and therefore being an article of modern growth, there can be no ancient custom for tithing them, 1. Sid. 443. 1. Vent. 62. 2. Keble, 612. Bunb. 20. 79. Wharton v. Lilfe, 4. Mod. 183. 3. Lev, 365. 12. Mod. 41. Skin. 341,

356, Walton v. Tyers, 5. Bro. C. P.

Ca. 99.
(b) In the library at Lambeth, there is a manufeript of the late Rev. Yohn Lewis, minister of Margete, p. 20. marked No. 1125, referring to the endowment of this vicarage.

Mich. Term, The Attorney General against Phillips, Clerk.
23. Car. 2.

Dorsetsbire, 24th November 1671.

The king claims THIS was a bill to be relieved for a portion of tithes in Besta portion of the wall, near Wareham, in Dorsetsbire, late parcel of the postithes of Best wall fessions of the dissolved monastery of Sheeve, in the county of Surry, whereof his majesty, as was alledged, is seised in sec.

The defendants plead that Best and that the tithes thereof belong to the defendants alledged, that Best within the parish will is in the paof East Stoake, and that the tithes thereof belong to the defenrish of East and that the tithes belong to the defenstrate, and that
the tithes belong

Upon hearing counsel on both sides, and reading a decree

the titles belong Upon hearing countel on both fides, and reacto the rector of this court, made in Michaelmas Term, 1665.

with liberty to AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, by confent of THE ATTORNEY read the depositions taken in a former cause in this tions taken in a court, between J. Wilson, rector of East Stoake, plaintist, and former cause, of H. Harbyn, and others, then occupiers of lands in Bestwall, defendants; and also that the depositions of witnesses, taken in this or any former cause touching this matter, may be used on both sides at the said trial, and both parties left to their just exceptions, as to the reading of the depositions of any persons interested in the matter in record, or to any other incompetency of such witnesses, but no exception to be taken to the reading of the said depositions, that the parties are alive, or able to come and attend the trial, or are not subposened.

28th O8. 1672. The issue was accordingly tried, and a verdict given for the A verdict for plaintiff; and now the cause came on for surther directions; the plaintiff's counsel praying a decree, and the defendant's a new trial.

A new trial on IT is ordered that the cause do stand over, and the defencerain tons.

It is ordered that the cause do stand over, and the defendant is to to give his answer, whether, in case a new trial shall be directed, he will pay the tithes from the seast of St. Michael, in 1668, till this time, and in case this court shall direct a new trial, then the plaintiff is to name a responsible relator, and the defendant is to pay such relator all the costs of the some trial.

was ordered to be had at the bar of this court, by a Middle fex jury.

But

But THE ATTORNEY GENERAL informing the Court, that he had procured the costs to be taxed, which the defendant had refused to pay, and to have a new trial, prayed, that all the tithes of corn and hay in Bestwall (except the tithes of Long Acre therein), might be decreed to his majesty, at twenty-five pounds 13th May 1673. per annum, as proved by defendants, with taxed costs; which was ordered accordingly.

TEE ATTORNEY egainft not performed. The tithes decreed.

EDW. TURNOR. CHR. TURNOR. TIM. LITTLETON. EDW. THURLAND.

Skinner and Others, against Dukeson, Clerk, and Mich. Trem, Others.

#### Herefordsbire, 24th November 1671.

THE object of this bill was, to preserve a custom or modus Birten's Farmin decimandi of hay and fruit, within the parish of Ledbury, the parish of decimandi of hay and fruit, within the parish of Ledbury, in Hora for divers farms, lands, and tenements, belonging to the plain-fordfare, shall

THE COURT ordered a trial law, upon the modus fet forth in lieu of all bey the bill, of twentypence per annum, for the farm called Birton's and free arting Farm, in the occupation of the plaintiffs, E. Skinner, clerk, or in the faid larm. E. Coucher, his tenant.

A trial was accordingly had, and a verdict was given for the Skip, . Vokc. plaintiff E. Skinner, that twenty pence of lawful money of Eng. 9th May 1672. land, and no more, ought to be paid yearly, by the owners or occupiers of the faid meffuage, lands, and tenements called Birton's Farm, for the time being, to the proprietors of the said portions of tithes, called Overball and Netherball, or to their farmers for the time being, for the tithes of bay and fruit, out of the faid meffuage, lands, and tenements called Birton's Farm, yearly coming, growing, renewing, and happening.

Now upon reading the faid order and postea,

It is ordered by the Court accordingly, and the custom aforesaid, as to the said Birton's Farm, is by this Court ordered, adjudged, and decreed.

> EDW. TURNOR. CHR. TURNOR.

zod. z year, in 107. the cause of HILARY TERM 23. CAR. 2.

# HILL, Clerk, against Primate, Clerk.

Lincolnsbire, 15th February 1671.

The prebendary of North Grant

July, in the year 1664, had been and still was prebendary

bam is entitled of the prebend of North Grantham, in Lincolnshire, founded in
to a pension of in the cathedral church of Salishury; that an annual pension of
the sector of two pounds, thirteen shillings, and sourpence, out of the rectory of
Denton, and a Denton, and also twenty shillings out of the rectory of Barkeston,
from the rector
of Barkeston, in
the county of Michaelmas, yearly; that the defendant Primate then was,
the county of the said prebend,
and for thirty years last past had been rector of Denton; that
the desendant Trott, for seven years past, had been rector of
Barkeston; and that they resulted to pay the said pensions respectively due from them.

The defendants answered, and confessed the plaintist to be prebendary of the prebend of North Grantham, and that they were, and had been for the times mentioned in the bill, respectively rectors of the several rectories of Denton and Barkeston. The defendant Primate confessed, that about the year 1640, he then paid to the prebendary two pounds, thirteen shillings, and sourpence, once or twice, as a pension claimed to be due out of the said rectory of Denton, to the said prebendary. The defendant Trott denied that he ever paid any pension, or knew that any was due.

The plaintiff replied; and iffue being joined, witnesses were examined, and upon opening the pleadings, and upon debate of of the matter;

Forasmuch as this court is not fully satisfied, whether the said pensions were due to the plaintist or not, it is ordered by the Court, that two actions be brought, upon the two sollowing issues:

FIRST, Whether an annual pension of two pounds, thirteen shillings, and fourpence, is issuing, and payable to the plaintiff, as prebendary of the prebend of North Grantham, out of the rectory of Denton or not?

SECONDLY, Whether an annual pension of twenty shillings is issuing and payable to the plaintist out of the rectory of Barkeson?

And in both actions, verdicts were given for the plaintiff.

29th June 1672. THE COURT therefore ordered, that the respective defendants do pay the arrears to the plaintiff of the said annual pensions of two pounds, thirteen shillings, and sourpence, and twenty shillings, and that they do pay the same for the time

time to come, so long as he shall continue prebendary of the said prebend of North Grantham, and they rectors of Denton and Barkstone.

HILL against PRIMATE.

EDWARD TURNOR. CHR. TURNOR. TIM. LITTLETON.

EVANS against TYNDALL. Gloucestersbire, 21st April 1672.

24. CAR. 2.

THE bill stated, that H. Hofkyn, clerk. for two years past, had The plaintiff, as been lawful vicar of the vicarage of Bilton, in the county of leffee of the vicar Gloucester, and had constantly done the duty there, and therefore Gloucestersbire, he, and his farmers, or affigns, ought to have and enjoy all the claims the tithes tithes, duties, and profits thereunto belonging; that, from time of bops grown in whereof the memory of man was not to the contrary, the tithe certain lands in of all hops yearly increasing therein, and the titheable places the defendant, thereof, as well as all other personal or lesser tithes, had been accustomably paid to the vicar there, his tenants, farmers, or affigns, by the respective owners and tenants; all which should have been paid; that, by indenture dated the eighth of Julylast, he had demifed the same to the plaintiff to hold for three years, if he continued fo long vicar there, by which the plaintiff became entitled to receive the tithe of bops; that the defendant, during the faid time. was tenant of feveral acres of land, and had growed hops thereon worth five hundred pounds, the tithe at least worth fifty pounds, which the plaintiff ought to have received, but which the defendant had refused to pay.

The defendant confessed, that H. Hoskyn had been duly pre- The defendant sented, &c. to the faid vicarage, and performed the cure there; pleads, that the but denied that all personal or small tithes therein, or that, from faid tithes were time whereof the memory of man was not to the contrary, the tothe prebendatithe of hops growing within the faid vicarage have been accus- ry of Bilton, and tomably paid to the vicar, his tenant, &c. or that the faid tithes not to the vicar, were ever paid to them during the faid time; for that the pre- and deduces a bendary of the prebend of Bilton, founded in the cathedral title to himself from the prechurch of Sarum, was seised of the said parsonage of Bilton, bendary. with the appurtenances, in his demesne as of fee, in right of his said prebend; that for fixty years past all tithes, as well of hops as of all manner of corn, grain, and hay, yearly growing within the faid parish, have been accustomably paid to the said prebendary, his tenants, &c. by the respective owners of lands therein; that A. Hawle, doctor in divinity, the late prebendary there, by leafe, dated the twenty-third of September, in the fifteenth year of his present majesty, demised to Sir John Seymour, knight, and his heirs, for three lives, at twenty-fix pounds and fixpence a-year, all the prebend and parsonage of Bilton, together with the parsonage-house, and all glebe land, tithes, tenths, and appurte. nances thereto belonging, the presentation of the church of Bilton,

EVANS

against

TYNDALL.

Bilton, and of the vicar-choral of the cathedral of Sarum, one tenement called Beach Farm, a wood called Tibbott's Wood, and all tithes arising out of the faid farm; that the faid Sir John Seymour became seised thereof, and afterwards died; that after his death his fon and heir entered into the faid premises, and in the year 1667, by sufficient conveyances, sold the premises to the defendant, who became seised thereof, and ought to have all tithes of hops, corn, grain, and hay, growing yearly within the faid parish of Bilton; and that for two years last past he hath received the fame; that he knew not of any lease granted by H. Hoskyn to the plaintiff of the tithes of hops; and that if any such was made, the plaintiff could not be entitled to receive the faid tithes, they being lawfully due to him the defendant. He also denied that he was occupier of fifty acres of land within the faid parish; but that twelve acres of glebe land belonging to the faid parish had, for several years last past, been used as hop-grounds, out of which he had had several parcels of hops, the tithe of which were worth five pounds; and he confessed, that he disposed of the said bops to his own use, without setting forth the same, for that he was feifed of the faid parsonage in his own right, and of all the tithes thereunto belonging.

The plaintiffreplies, that the and renewing within the faid vicarage of Bilton, and all other
faid tithes are
due to the vicar, and perfonal tithes and profits thereunto belonging, were due and
and traveries the payable to H. Holkyn, vicar of the faid vicarage, and have been
payment of them accustomably payable to the vicar of the faid vicarage for the
to the protected time being, his tenants, farmers, or affigns; and that, by virtue
ary.

of the faid lease from him of the faid tithes to the faid defendant
made, he was lawfully interested to receive the faid tithes;
without that, that the faid tithes of hops have been accustomarily, and for fixty years last past, paid to the parson of the

Whereupon, iffue being joined, witnesses were examined on both sides. The cause came on to receive a hearing this day.

The defendant is IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid defendant be, difmissed from and is hereby dismissed this Court of and from the said bill, and the payment all the matters and things herein contained, without costs.

parsonage of Bilton.

Edward Turnor.
Chr. Turnor.

DICKENS

# DICKENS against DEARSELEY.

Suffolk, 20th June 1672.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff, for eight years last past, The plaintiff, as hath been farmer of the parsonage of Cowling, in the county farmer, claims of Suffelk, by lease, dated the sixth of December, in the four-small titles of teenth year of his present majesty, made by the master, fellows, the parsonage of and scholars of Trinity Hall, in Cambridge, to whom the inherit- Cowling, in the ance belongeth; that by virtue thereof he is lawfully entitled to, county of Sufand ought to have and receive yearly, all the tithes, great and a leafe from Trismall, whatfoever, arising, &c. within the parish, which have miy College, in been used to be paid in kind, or such composition for them as the Cambridge. farmers of the parish, for the time being, and the parishioners could agree upon; that the defendants did, within the year 1670 ending at Michaelmas, keep divers milch cows, and made cheefes, and had fallen from the faid cows divers calves, and also had lambs fallen, and did depasture in winter divers sheep, commonly called boggetts; and had bees, from which they had wax and honey; and also had apples, pears, and other fruit, and chickens and other poultry; and had feveral other titheable matters; the tithes of all which were of confiderable value, and ought to have been paid to the plaintiff; which they refused to do, on a pretence that tithes in kind were not due to the plaintiff, but that certain rates or fums of money were payable in lieu thereof, by virtue of fome award made by LORD NORTH between L. Webb, farmer, of the faid parsonage; which award was made a decree in the court of chancery, or in this court.

The defendants answered, and said, they believed the plaintiff The defendants might be farmer of the faid parsonage and tithes; that the admit the plaininheritance doth belong to the faid college; and that the tithes, tiff's right, either in kind or customary payments in lieu thereof, do belong to him; that they are ready to pay for the tithes as they arise or grow due, either in kind, or by custom time out of mind continued; that they do not believe that any rates or fums of money are due and payable in lieu of tithes by virtue of any award; but that the customs and manner of tithing within the faid and state a speparish for milch cows, and for the milk and cheese made and cial manner of coming of the faid cows, and for the calves which have fallen tithing. from the faid cows, are, from the time whereof the memory of the parish. man is not to the contrary, to pay for every milch cow, and for the milk and cheese thereof, fourpence yearly, and no more; and for every calf that hath fallen from fuch milch cow, fixpence, and no more; but that wool, lambs, and other small tithes, arifing, &c. therein, are to be paid in kind, or yearly compounded for, as they could agree, and the money paid yearly at Lammas.

Upon opening the bill and answer, and hearing counsel for the against plaintiff, who did not oppose the custom of sourpence a milch Draffeller. cow in lieu of tithe milk and cheese, as in the answer is set The custom of forth; and on hearing the desendant's counsel; and reading in lieu of milk several depositions taken in the cause; and a verdict at law in and cheese ad-the time of the late Queen Elizabeth; and other evidences; mitted.

The tithes of IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED BY THE COURT, ealves in kind, that the faid custom of fourpence for every milch cow shall consect decreed, as tinue as heretofore it hath been used; and that the said defendanted in the anants shall pay to the plaintiff for their milch cows according to the said custom of fourpence a milch cow; and shall also pay the tithes of their calves in kind, and the values of all other the tithes, as the same are set forth in their answers, except for the seed or herbage of such cattle as are reared for the pail or the use of the dairy; for which THE COURT declared no tithes are by law due.

EDWARD TURNOR. CHR. TURNOR. TIM. LITTLETON. HUGH WYNDHAM.

MICH. TERMS 24. CAR. 2. LORD LEIGH against Downes, Knt.

Warwicksbire, 18th November 1672.

The plaintiff THE scope of the bill was, to compel the defendant to pay claims tithe of tithes of a certain coppice wood in the hamlet of Finham, in wood of a coppic to the parish of Stoneleigh, called Gregory's Grove, otherwise Grange of Stoneleigh, in Grove, otherwise Neitherwood Grove, containing forty acres, to Warwickfire, the plaintiff, as owner of the said rectory or parsonage impropricalled Gregory's ate of Stoneleigh.

Upon opening the bill and answer, and reading a record of the twenty-fixth year of *Henry the Eighth* out of the first fruits office, whereby it appeared that the late monastery of *Stoneleigh* was one of *the lesser monasteries*; and also several depositions taken in the cause; and on full debate;

and on its ap- IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the plaintiff shall bring pearing to have an action of debt upon the statute 2. Edw. 6. c. 13. against the been parcel of a monastery, the defendant, for not setting out the tithes of the wood cut down in Court directed a the said coppice in the bill mentioned; and that at the said trial, trial on two which shall be before a special jury, the desendant shall insist points.

only upon these two particulars following:

121b Feb. 1672. FIRST, That the faid wood, together with a meffuage and 1st whether this lands called Hellinghall Grange, were formerly part of the possession of the abbey of the abbey of THE CROWN OF ENGLAND, and came to the crown by the Standingh before act of dissolution of monasteries, and were parcel of the possessions the council of of the abbey of Standingh, and so were at and before the council Lateran, and of of Lateran; that the abbot and brethren of the said abbey were the Cisterian or-

of the Ciftertian order; and that they ufually held the fame in Lord Lerge their own possession, and so held the same at the time of the DOWNES. diffolution.

SECONDLY, That the defendant had yearly paid to the vicar adly, Whether of Stoneleigh for the faid meffuage and premises, and the tithe of a finete period wood spent in or about the same, a smoke penny, and that no be not due in the state of tithe of tithe tithe was ever paid for the same. Wood.

In pursuance of which order a trial was had, and a verdict if Dec. 1676. was given for the defendant.

Verdict for the defendant on

In Trinity Term, in the twenty-fifth year of Charles the Second, both points. a new trial was granted, and another trial was had; and upon full evidence the plaintiff was nonfuited.

Upon reading the faid orders and poster, the defendant's Ordered by the counsel prayed that the bill might be dismissed; and, on hear- Court according counsel for the plaintiff, IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT ingly. accordingly.

> WM. MONTAGUE. TIM. LITTLETON. Vere Bertie.

# JACOB, Bart. against SEMAYNE.

MICH. TERMS 24. CAR. 2.

Middlesex, 30th October 1672.

THE bill stated, that the plaintist's father was seised in see of The plaintist the rectory of Bromley Saint Leonard's, in the county of claims the riches Middlefen, and of all tithes and profits thereunto belonging, and of Bromley Saint in his life-time made his will, and therein appointed the plaintiff Middlefer. Leona d's, his executor, and died on the tenth of March 1665, so seised.

The defendant fet forth, that the lands he held in the faid The defendance parish are part of the manor of Bromley Hall, which anciently, pleads, that his and at the time of the diffolution, was parcel of the late diffolved lands were parpriory or monastery of Christ Church, in London, and exempted nastery of Christ from payment of tithes.

Upon reading letters patents granted in the thirty-seventh But on reading year of Henry the Eighth to one Morrison of the manor of Bromley the grant of Bromley Hall,

THE COURT ordered the defendant to pay to the plaintiff the the Court orvalues of the tithes by him withheld in the years mentioned in dered the tithes to be paid. the bill, according to the proofs in the cause.

> EDW. TURNOR. CHR. TURNOR. Tim. Littļeton.

> > BRABOURNE,

MICH. TERM, 24. CAR. 2.

BRABOURNE, D. D. against Eyres, Knt. Middlesex, 18th November 1672.

lembs.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff, for eleven years last past, hath been vicar of the vicarage of Northall, in the county of Middlefex, claims Middlefex, and thereby was entitled to have all the tithes arising tithes of wood within the faid parish, and in particular the tithes of coppice wood, felled for firing, and of wool and as of poles, faplings, pollards, and standards, growing within the faid parish, and not converted into timber, and also the tithes of calves, lambs, wool, pigeons, and all other small tithes; that the defendant, for the time aforesaid, possessed several parcels of coppice wood or underwood, standards, pollards, and saplings, and did cut down yearly eight acres of poles and standards, and coppice wood and poles, and did also occupy and possess land in the faid parish, and kept and depastured yearly several sheep, and had fallen several lambs, and clipped and sheared the said sheep, from which he had quantities of wool; and also had a pigeonhouse stocked with pigeons; and possessed gardens and orchards; the tithes of all which amounted to a large fum, and which the defendant doth deny to pay.

The defendant answered, and confessed the plaintiff's title to

pleads, that tim- all tithes and duties as the former vicars of the faid parish have

tithe; but that into faggots:

ber trees, though had; but faid that he knew not or believed, that faplings, poles, used for suel; man, but talu that he knew not or believed, that taplings, poles, and pollards a or other trees, grown to timber, and of twenty years standing, are twenty titheable, although used for fire wood; neither are pollards years old; are titheable whose growth is above the said age; and as to the said not titheable; tithe poles, standards, and other woods cut down from the year 1660 to the winter of the year 1669, he faid, that in that year all tithes demandable by the plaintiff from the defendant were paid and accepted by the plaintiff for all that was due and to be and that as to paid for any of the preceding years. But concerning the tithe other wood he wood fince Michaelmas in the year 1660, he did then, as he had had fet out the done in the preceding years, cause the tithe wood to be made up the plaintiff had into faggots, upon the plaintiff's promife to pay the charge for refused to take faggoting; but the plaintiff had refused to perform his promise, it away, because wherefore the defendant hath omitted to faggot any more tithe it was not made wood for the plaintiff; that fince the year 1669 the defendant had caused a full tenth part of such wood as was titheable to be fet out for the plaintiff; but that he left the same on the defendant's ground, refusing to take it away because it was not made up into faggots and stack wood for him, which the defendant contends he is not bound to do. That he had not, in any of the faid years, any sheep depastured a month together in Northall parish, nor the number of seven lambs yeared in a year, except in the year 1669, wherein he had fourteen lambs fallen; admits that he that he feedeth and clippeth his sheep in his grounds lying had theep thear- in other parishes, where he paid a composition for tithes; and ed, and lambs that he hath clipped no sheep in Northall but what he bought

fallen in the pa-

with wool on their backs ready for shearing. He consessed, that BRABOURNE he hath two dove-houses in the said parish; but whether tithe pigeons are payable, or not, he does not know.

agair# EYRES.

THE COURT, upon reading the depositions in the cause, and The Court of o. after great debate, declared, that the defendant ought to have pinion, that the ftacked and faggoted the wood which he fet out for the tithes; defendant ought and that the plaintiff ought to be relieved for his tithes for the and faggotted years in which the defendant did not fet them out.

the wood,

And in respect the defendant had, and still possessed, four hundred acres of land in the parish of Northall, and only seven acres in the parish of Greenford,

It is further ordered, that the defendant shall pay to the and to pay for plaintiff tithe of all the wool and lambs proved to be shorn and his wool and fallen in either of the faid parishes, according to the rates and lambs. values they are proved to be worth, deducting and abating out of the fame to the faid defendant the tithe wool and lamb of fo many sheep as the said seven acres in Greenford could yearly keep and maintain with feeding.

EDWARD TURNOR. CHR. TURNOR. TIM. LITTLETON. EDW. THURLAND.

WILMOT, Clerk, against THE ATTORNEY GENERAL MICH. TERM, and Others. 24. CAR. 2.

Worcestersbire, 3d December 1672.

HE plaintiff, as vicar of the parish of Holycross, in Parsbore, in The vicar of the the county of Worcester, exhibited his bill, stating, that he, parish of Holyfor seventeen years past, had been vicar of the said parish, and cross, in the country of work of work of the said parish, and sty of workes, in was thereby entitled to all the pensions, profits, and stipends entitled to a belonging to the vicar of the faid church; that the rectory of yearly pension that church was formerly appropriated to the late monastery of of 61. for his sti-Parsbore, and parcel of the possessions thereof at the dissolution pend, and of al. of monasteries by HENRY THE EIGHTH; that out of the rectory, cramental bread, on the impropriation thereof, there was agreed to be paid to the and wine, out of curate or vicar the yearly pension of six pounds for his stipend, the land formerand two pounds, thirteen shillings, and fourpence, yearly, out of ly belonging to and two pounds, thirteen inillings, and fourpence, yearly, out of the monastery of the said rectory, for bread and wine to be spent in the said Parshore, in the church and chapel; that the faid rectory, on the diffolution of faid county. monasteries, came to King Henry The Eighth, and by mesne descent to King James, who, by letters patent of the twentysecond of September, in the eleventh year of his reign, granted the same to F. Morris and F. Phillips, and their heirs; that their estates in the premises, except a portion of tithe in Chevington, within the faid parish, came, about twenty years since,

WILMOT

ogainst

THE

ATTORNEY

GENERAL

AND OTHERS

to the defendant T. Turvey, who became seised of the said rectory, and took the profits thereof; that the defendant W. Adams, for twenty years last past, hath been seised of the said portion of tithes in Chevington; and that they, for their respective parts of the said impropriation, ought to pay the said stipends to the plaintiff.

The defendants confess the plaintiff's title to the vicarage, but deny that the rectory descended to King James; for that, from the diffolution until the twenty-third year of Queen Elizabeth, the faid rectory was lodged in the crown; that the faid queen, by letters patent dated the twenty-seventh of October, in the twenty-third year of her reign, granted to E. Downing and P. Asson, and their heirs, in fee-farm, all the tithes growing in Chevington, in the parish of Holycross; all tithe renewing in Walcott and Broughton; and a portion of tithes yearly renewing in Parsbore, to the rectory of Holycross and Saint Andrew then belonging; that the faid tithes in Chevington, Walcott, Broughton, and Parsbore, formerly belonged to the monastery of Parsbore, to hold of her faid majesty, and her successors, as of her manor of East Greenwich, rendering to her majesty and her successors, for the faid tithes in Chevington, &s. twenty shillings, and for the same premises in Chevington, &c. six pounds yearly to be paid to the curate of Holycrofs for his falary or stipend into the hands of the receiver general of the county of Worcester, and at the receipt of the exchequer at Michaelmas only yearly for all services; that the faid E. Downing and P. Asson afterwards sold those tithes to T. Crompton and F. Jackson, and they fold the same to T. Richardson and his heirs; that all those said tithes (except those in Chevington) were vested afterwards in E. Turvey, the defendant's father; and about twelve years fince they descended to the defendant, who hath constantly paid the six pounds per annum, as aforesaid, to the receiver general; that the owners of. the tithes for the time being hath likewise paid the twenty shillings; but whether they were paid to the plaintiff by the receiver general they knew not; that they shall be willing, for the future, to obey the order of this court, as to the payment of the fix pounds, to the plaintiff, or to the receiver general, as shall be thought fit.

THE COURT declared, that the pension or stipend of six pounds ought to be paid to the said plaintist; and thereupou ordered, adjudged, and decreed, that the sum of six pounds shall, for the suture, be paid to the plaintist and to the plaintist's successors, vicars of the said parish of Parsore. And this Court doth recommend it to the lord high treasurer of England that he will be pleased to grant a dormant warrant to empower the receiver of the county of Worcester to pay the said pension to the plaintist, from time to time, as he shall receive the same.

CHR. TURNOR.
TIM. LITTLETON.
WILKINSON

### WILKINSON, Clerk, against Foot, Bart. Berksbire, 7th November 1672.

MICH. TERM, 24. CAR. 2.

THE plaintiff, as vicar of the parish-church of Lawrence The vicar of the Waltham, in the county of Berks, exhibited his bill, fetting parish of Lawforth, that for ten years past he had been vicar of the said parish, in and ought to have had and received all tithes which had been claims the tithes accustomed to be paid to the said vicar.

of certain lands called Beenbams, in kind.

The defendant confessed the plaintiff's title to all manner of the defendant fmall tithes happening within the said parish; but said, that the pleads a modus messuage and lands called Beenhams, out of which the plaintiff of 31. a-year, demands tithes in kind, had been held and enjoyed by all the payable owners and occupiers thereof, freed and exempted from the pay-terly, in lieu of ment of any tithes in kind to the vicar or vicars of the faid parish. church, the owners and occupiers of the faid premises having always paid to the vicar three pounds, at the four quarterly payments, by way of a modus, and as a composition for all tithes in kind whatsoever for the said premises; that the plaintiff, during the time he had been vicar, had received the fame accordingly, and the defendant was, and had been, willing to continue the payment thereof; but that the plaintiff, for two years past, had refused to accept the fame; and the defendant, to gratify the plaintiff, had sometimes paid twenty shillings per annum over and above the three pounds per annum.

Upon reading a terrier made the thirty-first of December An issue direct-1608, wherein no mention is made of any modus within the ed to try the parish, an issue was directed to try, whether the occupier of the meffuage and lands called Beenhams had, time out of mind, paid to the vicar the fum of three pounds, at four quarterly payments, as a composition for all tithes in kind whatsoever due for the said messuage and lands called Beenhams.

A trial was accordingly had, and a verdict was given for the A verdict found defendant; but on the fourteenth of November 1693 a new trial against the prewas ordered to try the iffue by a special jury, upon payment of tended modus. In pursuance of the faid order a new trial was had, and a verdict given for the plaintiff against the said pretended

The cause now came on to be heard upon the equity re- 19th Now. 1674. ferved.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendant shall pay Tithes decreed to the plaintiff thirty-five pounds for and in lieu of the value of in kind, with the tithes due from him for the meffuage and lands called exemplary cofts. Beenhams, and all other his lands within the faid parish, with fifty pounds for his costs of fuit.

EDWARD WARD. CHR. TURNOR. TIM. LITTLETON. Edw. Thurland.

Vol. I.

K

KING

HILARY TERM 24. CAR. 2.

# King against Knight.

Lincolnshire, 3d February 1672.

No part of THE bill stated, that the plaintiff was seised in see of the restory Temple Heath, impropriate of Ashby de la Land, in the county of Lincoln, in Lincolnships, is and thereby entitled to the tithes of wool and lamb, &c. within rish of Ashby d: the said parish, and the titheable places thereof.

la Land, in the

The defendants denied that they kept any sheep in the said parish, or had any lambs there yeared, or any sheep there shorn in the years mentioned in the bill.

It appeared, that the sheep were depastured upon a parcel of ground called Temple Heath, claimed by the plaintiff to be within the said parish; and therefore an issue, "whether Temple "Heath, or any, or what part thereof, lieth within the said parish of Ashby de la Land, or not?" was directed to be tried at the bar of the court of a jury of Middlesex; in which the plaintiff, after long debate, and examination of several ancient witnesses, and full evidence on both sides, became nonsuit.

THE COURT ordered the defendants to be dismissed.

EDWARD TURNOR. CHR. TURNOR. TIM. LITTLETON. EDW. THURLAND.

HILARY TERM 84 CAR. 2.

and found.

# WYNDHAM against HATCHER. Somersetsbire, 10th February 1672.

The plaintiff THE cause coming on to be heard this day, it appeared, that demands titles the chief matter in difference was concerning the payment in kind of Wish. of tithe milk in kind in the parish of Wisham Frarcy, in the county barn Farm, in of Somerset; and, the desendant having set forth an ancient complete parish of wisham Frarcy, position, an issue was directed to try, whether, by custom time in the county of out of mind, the occupiers of a farm called Westbarn Farm, in the Somerset.

A media of three parish of Wisham Frarcy, have usually paid, or of right ought to pay, to the owners of the tithes of the said farm for the time being, in recompence and sull fatisfaction for tithe milk, the sum of three halfpence heifer kept and depastured upon the said farm called Westbarn for every heifer kept and depastured upon the said farm called Westbarn for, is pleaded,

24th Feb. 1673. A trial was had, and a verdict passed for the defendant.

The defendant, THE COURT therefore ordered, that the bill be dismissed as to take milk; and the other matters were referred to the auditor dismissed.

to take an account of the same.

Parsons

#### Parsons against Noble; et è Contra. Cumberland, 28th April 1673.

EASTER TERM 25. CAR 2.

THE scope of the first bill was to be relieved upon a pretended The rector of ancient custom or manner of tithing of several lands lying the parish of within the rectory and parish of Grayfock, in the county of from the owner Cumberland, whereby the feveral possessions and occupiers within of every ancient the faid rectory and parish have used to pay to the rector, or his temement in the farmer, yearly, for every ancient tenement, three bushels of oats parish and one bufbel of bigg, in full fatisfaction of all the tithes in kind and one bufbel of oats and bigg arising and growing within the said rectory; of bigg, in lieu and that every fuch bushel hath used to contain twenty gallons, of the tithe of and not less.

thebushel to contain 20 gallons.

The fcope of the cross bill was, to settle a pretended custom A cross bill is within the faid rectory of Grayflock, whereby the several possessing, stating, fors and occupiers within the rectory have used to pay yearly to that this bufbel fors and occupiers within the rectory nave used to pay yearly to the may be paid the rector, or his farmer, a certain quantity of bigg and outs, tithe may be paid the rector, or his farmer, a certain quantity of bigg and outs, tithe may be paid commonly called " bufbel tithe," and a certain yearly fum of at the rate of money, called " pension money," being answerable to the propor- 12d. a bushel tion of twelvepence for every bushel of bigg, and eightpence for for bigg, and every bushel of oats, in lieu of all tithes; the bushel to contain Sd. a bushel for every bushel of oats, in lieu of all titnes; the bushel eight gallons, according to the Winchester measure; the peck to contain eight proportionably to contain two gallons; and the hoop, being the gallons. fourth part of a peck, to contain two quarts, and no more.

To which fiveral bills the respective defendants appeared and answered; and the plaintiffs replied; and, iffue being joined, divers witnesses were examined in both causes.

The causes came on to be heard this day; and upon opening the pleadings, and reading several depositions taken on behalf of the plaintiffs in the cross cause; and upon long debate;

IT WAS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the matter be referred to An issue direct. a trial at law; the iffue to be, whether twenty gallons or fixteen ed to try, whegallons to the bushel of oats and bigg have been accustomed to be ther the bushel should confist of paid yearly by the feveral possessions and occupiers of the tene-reventy, or of fire ments in the bill mentioned, within the rectory of Graystock, to teen gellons, and the rector or farmer of the faid rectory.

a verdict for the

The causes, being continued in the paper of causes, came But a new trial on to be further heard upon the eighteenth of November last; was granted on and upon reading the faid order, and the verdict thereupon,

It was then ordered, that a new trial should be had, to be 24th Feb. 1673. tried before a jury from the county of Lancaster, upon the said issue. But on the nineteenth of February it was ordered, by and with the consent of all parties, that the causes should be set down to be further heard this twenty-fourth of February 1673; and

PARSONS. against Noble ; et è Contra. that, if the Court should think fit to alter the issue, the defendants should pay costs for this day's attendance; but on the contrary the plaintiff should pay costs (a); and the causes now coming on to be further heard, a new issue was ordered to be drawn

Whether to the buffel.

the up in the following form: " That whereas there were feveral occupier of an- " discourses moved and had between the plaintiffs and the decient tenements "fendants concerning a certain manner of tithing of oats and within the vil. Bigg, commonly called bufbel tithe, within the villages of Ber-Penruddock, Hut- " rier, Penruddock, Hutton, and Johnby, within the rectory of ton, and Johnby, " Grayflock; and upon that discourse the plaintists affirmed to ought to pay " the defendants, that the feveral occupiers and possessions of ther before laibe, "the defendants, that the leveral occupiers and possessions of at the rate of "every ancient tenement within the said villages, time out of twenty gallons, " mind, have paid, and ought to pay, twenty gallons to the bushel, or fixieen gallon, ee and no less; and the defendants affirmed to the plaintiffs, that "they have paid, and time out of mind ought but to pay, fixteen er gallow, and no more: in confideration, therefore, of five " shillings to the defendants by the plaintiffs in hand paid, the " faid defendants upon themselves did affume, and to the " plaintiffs then and there faithfully promise, that for every " gallon the faid bushel, time out of mind, has contained more " than fixteen gallons, they would pay to the plaintiffs twelve-" pence upon request," The said action to be tried at the affizes to be held for the county of Lancaster; and the verdict formerly obtained upon the first issue to be given in evidence at the trial now directed.

22d Nov. 1675. The trial was accordingly had; and a verdict found, that the A verdict that bushel ought to contain fixteen gallons, and no more. the bushel ought to contain only fixteen gallens.

The cause came on to be further heard on the twenty-eighth But another trial is ordered in a day of November 1674; when it was ordered, that a new different county, trial should be had at the next affizes for the county of Westmoreland upon the last issue (which faid trial should be final), upon paying taxed costs.

in which the The cause came on again; and the defendant's counsel former verdict informing the Court, that the defendants had obtained another is confirmed, verdict upon the last issue, which was to be final to the plaintiffs in the first cause, upon reading the several orders and the posters,

The payment of IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendants Noble and the faid bufbel others shall pay to the plaintiffs Parsons and Robson the oats and sithe decreed acbigg commonly called bufbel tithe, which are in arrear and due to cordingly. the faid plaintiffs from the time the fame were detained until the twenty-fifth of April 1673; to be paid in money according to fuch price as oats and bigg were fold for in the several years as they respectively grew due, by a bushel contain-

<sup>(</sup>a) Notz, Costs were afterwards ordered accordingly.

ing fixteen gallons, and no more, according to the verdicts upon .. Passons the feveral iffues directed out of this courty and that the faid measure of fixteen gallons to the bushel shall be the measure between the plaintiffs and defendants for the time to come. The costs of the two last issues to be taxed, and deducted out of the bushel tithes already due. The costs expended in this court to be spared on both sides. The auditor of the said county to cast up the values of the oats and bigg remaining due, and report the same.

NoBLE; . et è Gontra.

TIM. LITTLETON. Vere Bertie.

and Easter Tres RUDGELEY, Widow, against WINSTANLEY 25. CAR. 2. Others.

Leicestersbire, 15th May 1673.

THE plaintiff, as relict and executrix of Simon Rudgeley, de- The plaintiff, as ceased, exhibited her bill, setting forth, that J. Dixon, clerk, executrix of her was lawful rector of the parish church of Glenfield, in the county husband, claims of I single and that he rector the parish church of Glenfield, in the county husband, claims of of Leicester; and that, by reason thereof, he ought to have Glenfield, in Leiall tithes, both great and small, together with all the rents, coffer fire, by viriffues, and profits of all the glebe lands to the faid rectory tue of a leafe belonging; that he, by his indenture dated the tenth of April, thereof made in the seventeenth year of his majesty's reign, did demise to the her husband in faid Simon Rudgeley, his executors, administrators, and affigns, the year 1666. all the glebe lands belonging to the rectory of Glenfield, with all tithes of herbage, wool, and lamb, and all other small tithes growing therein, and in the titheable places thereof, for fifteen years " if J. Dixon should so long live;" that Simon Rudgeley, about five years ago, died, having made his will, and appointed the plaintiff his executrix, who accordingly proved the same; that by virtue thereof the plaintiff is become intitled to all the glebe lands and tithes which have been due fince her husband's death, and to all the arrears of the profits of the said glebe lands which were unpaid to her husband.

The defendant Winstanley denied, that he knew of the said The defendants leafe; and faid, that Sir Henry Haftings, knight, was seised in deny the havfee, or of some other estate of inheritance, of divers messuages inghad any no-and lands within the towns, hamlets, and parishes of Glenfield, and say, that un-Kirby Muckles, Bramston, and the late disafforested forest or chase til Michaelman of Leicester; that by agreement, dated the first of October 1632, 1669 they paid made betwixt J. Dixon and Sir H. Hastings, it was agreed that he, their tithes to Sir Henry, should pay yearly to J. Dixon, while he should continue the rector. rector of the faid church, thirty-five pounds for a rate tithe, in tull fatisfaction of all tithes issuing out of the said lands, and for all glebe lands; that a great part of the faid Sir Henry's lands are lince come to him; and that twenty pounds per annum is his full proportionable K 3

RUBBELLY proportionable part of the faid thirty-five pounds per annum; WINSTANLEY that for some years last past he hath paid his proportionable part AND OTHERS. of the composition to J. Dinon, to whom he supposed it did belong, having no notice of the faid leafe.

> All the other defendants denied any knowledge of the lease; and said, they held several lands under the defendant Winstanley, and that he was to indemnify them from the payment of tithes.

> Upon opening the pleadings, and reading several depositions, and the faid agreement in the year 1632,

The tithes fub-1669 chaelmas decreed.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that no further examination sequent to Mi-shall be had or made as concerning the time when the said defendants, or any of them, had notice of the leafe to the plaintiff's husband; and that the defendants shall be, and are, hereby discharged of and from all arrears for tithes pretended to be due to the said plaintiff, by colour of the said lease, for and unto the feast of Saint Michael 1669, they having paid the same to the faid J. Dixon, the then present incumbent, without any notice of the said lease. AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that it be referred to the auditor of the county to state the whole account, what is due from the faid defendants to the plaintiff for tithes for their feveral lands from Michgelmas 1669; and that the plaintiff shall have liberty to make the executor of J. Dixon defendant, if the thinks fit (a).

> (a) In Michaelmas Term, 25. Car. 2. the widow Rudgeley filed a bill in this court against Wellaston, as occupier of glebe lands, &c. within the parish of Glenfield, for an account of his tithes. The defendant denied all knowledge that J. Dixon had demised the glebe lands, and the tithe of corn, grain, herbage, wool, and lamb, to the faid S. Rudgeley; and faid, that he had, about four years before, made an agreement with J. Dixon, the rector, to pay certain fums of money

in lieu of tithes, which he had accordingly paid, except for about eighteen months. An iffue was directed to try, whether Wollasson had any notice of the said lease; and on its being found that he had notice in the month of June or July 1666, THE Court ordered him to pay the yearly composition to the plaintiff, according to the agreement made with the rector J. Dixen, from the time notice was given to him of the faid leafe. - MS.

EASTERTERM. 25. CAR. 3.

LORD, Clerk, against POOKE.

Suffex, 17th April 1673.

The plaintiff THE plaintiff, as vicar of Saleburff, in the county of Suffex, claims tithes of Claimed all manner of tithes (except the side of the claimed all manner of tithes (except the side of the claimed all manner of tithes (except the side of the claimed all manner of tithes (except the side of the claimed all manner of tithes (except the side of the claimed all manner of tithes (except the side of the claimed all manner of tithes (except the side of the claimed all manner of tithes (except the side of the claimed all manner of tithes (except the side of the claimed all manner of tithes (except the side of the claimed all manner of tithes (except the side of the claimed all manner of tithes (except the side of the side of the claimed all manner of tithes (except the side of the sid claimed all manner of tithes (except the tithes of corn and the glebe lands grain) due from the defendant for certain messuages and lands of Saleburft, in which he held in the parish. the occupation of the defendant;

The defendant stated, that, for two years, he used in Salehurst but it appearing that the lands a messivage, two gardens, two orchards, and several acres of arable, the monastery of Robertsbridge, a convent of the Cistertian order of monks,

meadow.

meadow, pasture, and brook land, called, " The Manor and " Parsonage-House and Lands of Saleburs;" that the same hath always been esteemed part of the late dissolved monastery or abbey of Robert/bridge, and the glebe of the parsonage of Saleburst; that the abbot and convent of the faid abboy were of the Ciffertian order, who had privilege and immunity to be discharged of all tithes of their own lands in their own hands, and at their own costs manured; that the same are so come, freed, and discharged from the payment of tithes, to the defendant and his heirs, who himself occupieth and manureth the same, and therefore ought, neither by law or equity. to be compelled to pay any tithes to the plaintiff for the same.

LORD ag sinft Poor s.

It appeared to the Court, from the depositions and certain the records produced by the defendant, that the faid meffuage, is difcharged lands, and premifes, were part of the faid late diffolved monafment of the payment of the lands of th tery or abbey of Robertsbridge, and are the glebe lands of the par-tithes thereof. sonage of Saleburst aforesaid; that the said abbey was of the Ciffertian order, and thereby exempted from payment of tithes (a); and therefore IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendant do stand dismissed of and from the said bill, and all and singular the matters and things therein contained, without costs.

THE PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL then infifted, that a customary The paymint of twenty shillings a-year had been constantly paid by the surferinsses on defendant and his ancestors to the plaintiff and his predecessors, in customary paylieu of tithes for the premises.

ment in lieu of tithes.

THE COURT adjudging the same not to be due to the plaintiff, The Court ador payable to him as tithes for the faid premifes, or as a customary judge no such payment in lieu of tithes, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that upon payment to be the defendant's submission to the request of the Court (for that but recommend the plaintiff and defendant were near relations to one another), the continuance he do give and pay to the plaintiff during his life, and no of it as a gratuilonger, twenty shillings per annum, only as a gratuity, but not as T. tithes, or a duty or customary payment in lieu of tithes; and the plaintiff shall give a receipt acknowledging the same as a gratuity in pursuance of this order; and that the payment of the said twenty thillings per annum shall not be drawn into example, so as to create any right in the plaintiff or his successors to the said twenty shillings per annum; for the Court doth declare, that there is no fuch payment of right due to the plaintiff, as vicar of Saleburft, in lieu of tithes for the said premises, or any tithes or customary payment for the same, as by the plaintiff's counsel was infifted on as aforefaid.

EDWARD TURNOR. TIM. LITTLETON.

(a) See Staveley v. Ullithorne, ante, page 24. ; Wilson v. Redman, ante, page 62. ; Lord v. Turk, Bunb, 102.

GURDON,

K 4

Trin. Term, 25. Car. 2. Gurdon, Clerk, against Sell.

Essex, 12th June 1673.

The rector of THE plaintiff, as rector of Woodbam Ferryes, in the county of Woodbam Ferryes, in Essex, preferred his bill for tithes of thirty acres of underwood ryes, in Essex, within the said parish, whereof the said defendant became farmer or purchasor, and made the same into saggots, scovell, and stackmade into sag. wood, and carried the same away without setting out the tithes gots.

The defendant The defendant faid, that in the year 1670 he purchased of sets up a modus Sir G. Barrington seventeen acres of underwood growing in of 10s. a year in Woodham Ferryes and Danbury, sixteen acres and a half whereof the hamlet of Woodham Ferryes and Danbury, sixteen acres and a half whereof sicknare, inlieu is in Woodham Ferryes and the rest is in Danbury; that the said of all tithe of Sir G. Barrington is lord of the manor or priory of Bicknare, and those whose estates he hath therein have, by ancient custom, presspring or composition and to the restor of Woodham

and those whose estates he hath therein have, by ancient custom, prescription, or composition, paid to the rector of Woodham Farryes, as a modus or rate for tithes, ten shillings, in full satisfaction of all tithes for lands and woods holden of the said priory or manor, and lying within the hamlet; that the sixteen acres and a half of the said woods are parcel of the demesse, and lie in the hamlet of Bicknacre, in Woodham Ferryes; and that the said Sir G. Barrington, for the two years last past, paid, or was ready to pay, to the plaintiff, ten shillings, in full satisfaction of the tithes,

An iffue was directed to try, whether the lords, owners, or ed to try the occupiers of the manor or priory of Bicknacre, in the county of Effex, for the time being, of ancient custom used, time whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary, have paid yearly, and every year, to the rector of Woodham Ferryes, the sum of ten shillings, in full satisfaction of all tithes for all the lands and woods parcel of the said priory or manor, and lying in the hamlet of Bicknacre, in the parish of Woodham Ferryes, or not? Both parties to admit all circumstances, and to insist only upon the matter aforesaid, whether there be such a modus, or not?

27th Oct. 1673. The trial was had, and a verdict given for the defendant A verdict esta- therein. blishing the mo-

The defendant THE COURT ordered, that the defendant shall be dismissed this court of and from the said bill and the matters and things, therein contained.

Edward Turnor. Chr. Turnor. Tim. Littleton. Edw. Thurland.

ALDWORTH

ALDWORTH against New College in Oxford, and Trin. Term, Others (a); et è Contra.

Buckinghamshire, 12th June 1673.

THE scope of the bill was to be relieved for the small tithes The plaintiff, arising out of a portion of tithes called Longville Portion, see fee of the arch within the rectory of Wotton Underwood, of which rectory the biftop of Conterplaintiff is farmer under the Archbishop of Canterbury.

The defendants answered; and the plaintiff replied.

The defendants Heyburne and Keteridge preferred their bill of The defendants interpleader against the plaintiff Aldworth and the defendant Heyburne, and Ball, tenant, under the college of the faid portion, who appeared nlead against and answered. The plaintiffs replied; and witnesses were exa- Aldworld mined on both fides.

Upon opening both the bills, and all the answers, the Court, Advanta directin order to try the right and title of the fmall tithes within the action against faid portion, ordered, that the plaintiff Aldworth shall forthwith the College, totry bring his action against the College; and that the iffue shall be, whether whether the small tithes arising and growing due within the tithes belong to portion called Longville Portion, within the faid rectory of Wotton the archifor, or Underwood, do rightfully belong to the plaintiff, or to the faid to the College. college, or their tenant or tenants; to be tried at the bar of this court by a Middlesex jury; both parties to admit all circumstances, and to infift only upon this, whether the faid small tithes do belong to the plaintiff, or not? the defendants to produce all terriers, rolls, books, or papers concerning the faid Longville Portion, which remain in their hands, and the college to give to the plaintiff a copy of the inquisition taken at Stony Stratford, in the county of Bucks, on Friday, in the eighteenth year of Edward the Second, mentioned in the answer, and they are to procure a fight of the ancient deeds of purchase, and the evidences of and concerning the faid rectory of the faid archbishop; and that all fuits in the ecclefiaftical court against the tenants of the faid lands for the faid finall tithes shall be stayed until the deter mination of these causes, or the Court shall give other order herein; and the equity of the cause to be reserved to this

finall tithes of Longwille Portion, in the parish of Wetton Underwood.

Ball, the leffee of the Gollege.

The action was accordingly tried at the bar of this court, and 2d June 1674. a verdict had for the plaintiff, that he ought to receive the small A verdict found tabes in question. in favour of the arcbbifbop's right,

(4) See ante, Ball w. Keyburne and Others, 10th May 1669, 21. Car. 2. page 99.

AIDWORTE IT WAS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the plaintiffs in the against cross cause shall, from time to time, as their small tithes shall grow due, pay the same to the defendant Aldworth; and that neither AND OTHERS. the defendant Ball, nor any under whom he claims, shall proceed against the plaintiffs in the archdeacon's court or the arches for their small tithes within the said portion called Longville Portion, the to did-worth, and an injunction granted against Ball.

And as Heyburne and Kateridge T. Heyburne and G. Keteridge have paid their small tithes, or sithes to Ball, feveral sums of money in lieu thereof, for several years, to W.

Ball, and, since the suit hath commenced, have brought the same into court, amounting to thirteen pounds,

Ball is ordered IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid W. Ball shall to pay the same account for and satisfy to the plaintiff the value of all the small tithes by him received of them, grown due within the said portion: and it is referred to the auditor of the said county to take an account of the same.

and to pay Ald
worth the tithes puty-remembrancer do pay out of court to the faid Aldworth the faid furm of thirteen pounds; and for the future, the faid Heyburne and Keteridge shall pay their small tithes, for all the land within the portion holden by them, to the plaintiff Aldworth during the time he shall continue farmer of the said rectory; and they shall be protected for so doing by the decree of this court against the warden, sellows, and scholars, and the said W. Ball, and all claiming from, by, or under them.

and Aldwerth AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that an injunction be awarded quieted in the possession of the for the quieting of the possession of the faid plaintiff Aldwerth of and in the small tithes of the lands within the said portion called Longville Portion, so long as he shall be farmer of the said rectory of Wotton Underwood, against the said warden, &c. and W. Ball, and all claiming under them (a).

EDW. TURNOR. CHR. TURNOR. TIM. LITTLETON. EDWARD THURLAND.

(a) See ante, page 99. the case of Ball w. Keyburne and Others, 10th May 1669, 21. Car. 2. where Ball, as lessed of New College, in Oxford, claimed the tithes of Longwille Portion, and, on an iffue directed to try a variety of modules

which the defendants fet up, a vertifet was found against the defendants, and tithes of certain lands which they held within Languille Portion, were decreed to be paid to Ball in kind.

SEWELL

SEWELL against THE DEAN AND CHAPTER OF EASTERTERM CARLISLE.

Cumberland, 25th May 1674.

THE scope of the bill being to settle an ancient custom or The manner in manner of tithing within feveral townships, the Court which the media directed the following iffue to be tried in Westmoreland, v1z, that in lieu of the whereas there were feveral discourses moved and had between grain, and hay, the plaintiffs and the defendants concerning an ancient custom in certain townor manner of tithing of haver or oatmeal for and in lieu of the ships in the tithes of all corn, grain, and hay, within the townships of Carle-county of Cumton and Wray; and for and in lieu of all corn and grain within paid. the townships of Blackhall, Blackhall Wood, and the Monk, within the several parishes of Saint Gutbbert's, and Saint Mary Carlifle, and Hayton; and upon that discourse the defendants affirmed to the plaintiffs, that they, and their ancestors within the several townships, have, time out of mind, paid, and ought to pay, their haver or oatmeal in lieu of their tithes in question, by a bushel or measure containing thirteen gallons, two quarts, and one pint to the bushel, and no less; and the plaintiffs affirmed to the defendants that they have paid, and ought to pay, their haver or oatmeal in question, in lieu of their tithes, by a brass measure or bushel, containing eight gallons to the bushel, and no more; and whereas there were feveral discourses moved and had between the faid plaintiffs and defendants concerning at or within what time their haver and oatmeal ought to be paid; and upon that discourse the plaintiffs affirmed to the defendants that they and their ancestors, time out of mind, have paid and ought to pay their faid haver or oatmeal at any time between Martinmas and Lammas yearly; and the defendants affirmed to the plaintiffs. that they and their ancestors, time out of mind, have paid, and ought to pay, their faid haver or oatmeal at Lady Day, or between that time and the Lammas Day following, yearly, and not before.

A verdict passed for the defendants upon THE FIRST ISSUE directed, that the faid bushel or measure ought to contain thirteen gallons, two quarts, and one pint; and upon THE second issue, as to the time of paying the haver and oatmeal by the faid plaintiffs, a verdict was given for the plaintiffs,

THE COURT, therefore, by confent of all parties, ordered, that 1416 Nov. 1674. the plaintiffs shall, for the time to come, pay to the defendant, the leffee, to the dean and chapter of Carlifle, during the term of his leafe, and after the expiration thereof, to the faid dean and chapter for the time being, their farmers or agents, their haver or oatmeal, in lieu of their tithes, by a bushel or measure containing thirteen gallons, two quarts, and one pint, and no less, according to the said verdict, and that the said plaintiffs shall forthwith pay to the said lessee the value of their said tithes of

SEWELL against THE DEAN

haver and oatmeal in arrear, according to the faid meafure, and at the same price that haver or oatmeal was usually fold for at AND CHAPTER the respective times the same became due. AND IT IS FURTHER OF CARLISLE, ORDERED BY THE COURT, that all payments that shall be made by the faid plaintiffs for the time to come for their haver or oatmeal, shall be made at the abbey of Carlifle at any time between the eleventh day of November and the first day of August following, yearly, according to the faid verdict. And forafmuch as two issues have been directed to be tried, and, upon trial of the fame, one hath been found for the defendants and the other for the plaintiffs, costs are to be spared on either side.

> EDWARD TURNOR. CH. TURNOR. TIM. LITTLETON. EDWARD THURLAND

TRIN. TERM, 26. CAR. 2.

CONANT, Clerk, against GREAVES, Bart. Suffex, 6th July 1674.

forest.

The owner of THE bill stated, that the plaintiff Conant hath been lawful the forest of Reeding, in the county of Suffex, for five years past, Saint Leonard's, and is entitled to all the tithes within the said parish that have in the county of been accustomed to be paid; that the defendant is owner of cera buck and a doe tain lands called the Forest of Saint Leonard's within the said yearly to the parish, and ought to pay all the great and small tithes arising rector of the pa- therein to the rector, or the best buck and doe yearly, at every rish of Beeding, season, in lieu of the tithes for the said forcst; that the ty, in lieu of the defendant, for five years past, hath refused to pay any tithes for tithes of the said the said forest; that the plaintiff Turnor, as tenant to the said rector, ought to have the best buck and doe yearly, at every season, paid to him in specie, the same being worth ten pounds per annum, in full fatisfaction for the tithes arising yearly out of the faid forest.

The tithes canof the keeper's fees,

The defendant confessed, that he is owner of the Forest of Saint not be withheld Leonard's; and that before and fince the plaintiff was rector of for non-payment Beeding, he hath given orders to his keepers or tenants of the faid forest that they should kill the tithe deer when demanded; and that the reason they have not, for two years past, been paid was, that the plaintiff refused to pay the keeper's fees.

> THE COURT ordered, that the defendant shall forthwith pay to the plaintiff Turnor the several bucks and does in arrear in fpecie, due and owing, as other bucks and does are usually paid, upon warrants.

And it is this day further ordered, that the defendant 25tb Feb. 1674. .fhall, for the future, pay and deliver to the plaintiff Turnor, the leffee, during the term of his leafe, and after the expiration

to the rector, a buck and doe yearly of forest deer, in specie, in lieu of the tithes of the said forest, at the respective seasons, for the time to come, as other bucks and does are usually paid and delivered, upon warrants; without costs.

CONANT
againft.
GREAVES

EDW. TURNOR.
CHR. TURNOR.
TIM. LITTLETON.
EDW. THURLAND.

# HILL, Clerk, against PRIMATE, Clerk.

Trin. Term, 26. Car. 2.

Lincolnsbire, 16th July 1674.

THE plaintiff, as executor of John Hill, clerk, preferred a bill, A yearly penfeating, that the faid J. Hill, in the year 1640, was insting that the said J. Hill, in the year 1640, was insting that the faid J. Hill, in the year 1640, was instingual to the state of the prebend of North Grantham, sounded in the cather prebendary of dral church of Salisbury, and continued there till his death in the North Grantham, year 1662, and was entitled to all rents and prosits belonging to in Lincologians, the said prebend; that there is due to the prebendary of the said from the rector of Denton, in the prebend for the time being, at Michaelmas, yearly, an annual same county. pension of two pounds, thirteen shillings and sourpence, issuing out of the rectory of Denton, in Lincoloshire; and that the said pension, time out of mind, hath been paid to the former prebendaries; that about the twenty-sourth of April 1662, the said 120.

Hill made his will, and appointed the plaintiss his executor; that the said Hill died soon after, and that he proved the will, and thereby became entitled to all arrears of the said pension for twenty-two years past; that the defendant was during the said time, and still is, rector of Denton, and ought to have paid the same yearly.

The defendant denied that any fuch pension as two pounds, thirteen shillings, and sourpence, was or is due from him out of the rectory of *Denton*.

Now upon hearing counsel on both sides, and reading the depositions, and a record in the first fruits office, whereby it appeared, that the said pension is charged upon the prebendary of the said prebend, and allowed to the rector of the said rectory of Denton; and reading the said will, whereby it appeared the plaintiff is executor;

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendant shall forthwith pay to the plaintiff sifty-eight pounds, thirteen shillings, and sourpence, for twenty-two years pension due and in arrear to the said J. Hill at the time of his death, as prebendary of the said prebend of North Grantham.

Edward Turnor. Chr. Turnor.

WICKHAM

MICH. TERM, 26. CAR. 2.

# WICKHAM, Clerk, against GREEN.

Suffolk, 19th November 1674.

The rector of THE bill stated, that for ten years last past the plaintiss had been lawful rector and incumbent of the parish of Wilby, in folk, claims the county of Suffolk; and that the defendants, for several years, tithe in kind for had been proprietors, occupiers, and owners of several pastures eattle for fale, and feeding-grounds within the said parish, which they did, yearly and not reared and every year, feed and depasture with steers, heisers, cows, and sor the use of other cattle not reared, brought up, or returned for or out of the dairy.

dairy, to be there satted and sold, which were by them satted and sold and returned accordingly, the herbages and tithes whereof, in every of the said years, ought to have been paid to the plaintiff.

The defendant fets up a modus; and an issue is directed to try, "THE COURT directed an issue to try, "Whether there be a custom within the parish of Wilby that, time whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary, the occupiers of lands a modus, that for every beast within the said parish ought to pay to the rector of the said bought in to be fatted there "for the year's feed of every beast, steer, or bullock, bought in to be fatted within the said parish, for and in lieu, and in full ad. a-year. "feers, or bullocks."

The venue to be To be tried by a special jury of the freeholders dwelling within laid in Saint Ed the franchise of Saint Edmund's Bury, and not in the guildable of the said county.

At which trial A trial was accordingly had, and a verdict found in favour of the defendants the defendants; but on the twenty-first of April 1675, the obtains verdict; plaintiff moved the Court for a new trial; and THE LORD being diffatisfied Chief Baron, before whom the faid verdict passed, declaring in the twenty, a new court his great dissatisfaction of the same, a new trial was granted, vial is granted; upon the fifteenth of May, on the said issue, upon payment of costs of the former trial.

und the former Upon the thirtieth of June following, the plaintiff moved the verdict ordered Court, that the said verdict in favour of the said defendants might not be given in evidence at the new trial, inasmuch as it appeared to THE LORD CHIEF BARON that it was given contrary to evidence; and the Court accordingly ordered, that the poster of the said verdict should be stayed in the hands of the clerk of the affize.

On which new A new trial was had; and after full evidence given on both trial a verdict is fides, a verdict passed for the plaintiff; with which THE LORD given for the CHIEF BARON, both at the trial and in court, declared himself sattory-evidence, fully satisfied.

THE

THE COURT, upon shewing forth the poster of the said verdict, and being satisfied that there is no such modus in the said parish for depasturing and feeding of cattle, as by the defendant's anfwer is set forth, DECLARED, that tithes in kind ought to be paid And the Court for the same, according to the value of the herbage; and DE-being satisfied CREED the fame accordingly.

WICKHAM against GRERN.

EDWARD TURNOR. TIM. LITTLETON. Edw. Thurland. VERE BERTIE.

that no fucil modus existed, tithes are decreed in kind.

# Wickham, Clerk, against Thrower.

MICH. TERM. 26. CAR. 2.

Suffolk, 19th November 1674.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiss is, and for ten years past The rector of hath been, lawful rector and incumbent of the parish of Wilby, in Sufficient the Wilby, and for all that time had been entitled to, and ought to in the following have had and enjoyed the tithes, and customary duties and pay-manner: ments for tithes, within that parish; that the defendants, for one year, were proprietors and occupiers of certain farms and lands, and kept and depastured thereon cows for the dairy, and had calves therefrom fallen; that within that parish there are, and had been, time out of mind, the customs and manners of tithing following:

First, For every calf there, if under the number of ten, the The seventhcals, seventh calf for tithe, the parishioner and occupier to be if under ten, alallowed twopence for every calf there wanted from the number all wanting from

of feven to the number of ten calves.

feven to jen.

SECONDLY, That if there fall above ten calves, for every feventh The seventh calf calf above that number, the feventh calf, with fuch allowance to for every calf amake up fuch seven calves to the number of ten; and in like bove ten. manner for a greater number of calves fallen, the same being titheable, one of every tenth calf fallen, without fuch allowance.

THIRDLY, That the faid tithe calf and calves were and are The faid tithe payable to the parson there, and to be delivered at the parson-calves to be deage-house, every calf that doth fall before our Lady Day yearly livered at the age of seven weeks; and every calf yearly fallen after the faid feast at the age of five weeks.

FOURTHLY, That every parishioner and occupier of lands Thirteencheeses there, for and in lieu of lactage and tithe milk, have used to pay a year, in her of and deliver thirteen cheefes yearly, each cheefe to be made of tithe milk. all the milk of the evening and of the morning following, of the evening flett and of the morning unflett, as it cometh from the cow; the first cheese of the said thirteen cheeses to be made upon the third day of May yearly, and in like manner upon every tenth day following yearly; seven of the said thirteen cheeses to

WICKHAM against THROWER. be delivered at the church or parsonage-house of Wilby upon Saint Mary Magdalen's Day, and the remaining fix to be delivered at the same place upon Holy Rood Day yearly. That the defendants refused to set forth what calves they had fallen in that year, or to make fatisfaction for the fame; and for their tithe cheefes do fet forth other customs in prejudice of the plaintiff.

The defendants

to be made.

The defendant Thrower answered, and confessed, that the confess the modus plaintiff was rector for the time in the bill mentioned, and as stated, except intitled to all the customary dues and payments for tithes there; that the calves that he was proprietor and occupier of the lands, and a farm, are not to be that he was proprietor and occupier of the lands, and a farm, fent to the par. whereon he kept and depastured several cows, from which he had calves; that he believed there had been fuch ancient customs and manners for tithing of calves and milk, as in the bill fet forth, except only that the tithe of calves belonging to the parson are not to be sent home to the parsonage-house by the occupier or proprietor, but to be delivered, at the timeappointed by the custom, at the cribb of the parishioner, whither the parson and as to the ought to fend for the same; and also except that the thirteen milk of which cheeses are to be made of the milk of the day in the morning the cheefes are flett and in the evening next following unflett, and not of the evening flett and of the morning unflett, as in the bill is mentioned; that there is but one tithe calf due from him, which he kept for the plaintiff, and gave him notice of the same; and that his tithe cheeses for that year were delivered, part in the chancel, and part to the plaintiff's wife in the church-porch; that the cows were not milked at unufual hours, but at such

times as they are usually milked when a cheese is to be made

The defendant Clowting put in the same answer.

for themselves, or at night.

Upon opening the bill and answers, and hearing counsel, and between the par-reading the deposition of Dr. Ball taken in the cause, and on ties being, whe debate of the matter, the difference between the faid parties ther the tithe touching the tithe calf appeared to be, that the plaintiff required delivered at the that the same should be brought to the parsonage-house, whereas parsonage or at the defendants alledged that the same should be delivered at the crib; and that the difference about the tithe for cheefes was, that and whether the the plaintiff alledged that the same should be made of the evencheefes should ing's milk preceding the tithing day flett upon the morning of be made of e- the tithing-day, and of the morning's milk of the tithing-day vening's and morning's milk, unflett, and the defendants alledged that the same should be or of the morn made of the morning's milk and evening's milk of the same ing and evening day. milk made preceding or on the tithing-day,

The Court de- IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that for the future the tithe creed the calves calves, as they come due, shall be paid and delivered to the to be delivered at the parsonage-house.  $\mathbf{A}$ ND

AND IT IS ALSO ORDERED, that, for the future, the tithe cheefes which shall be yearly paid to the plaintiff, shall be made of the evening's milk preceding the tithing-day flett up in the morning that the cheefes of the tithing-day, and the morning's milk of the tithing-day shall be made of unflett, according to the custom set forth in the bill, and at such the milk of the hours and times as the cows are milked for the parties themselves preceding evenon other days not titheable, without fraud.

ing and the en-

AND THAT the tithe cheefes shall be delivered, for the future, the cheefes deliat the parsonage-house of Wilby aforesaid, and not at the church-vered at the parporch or chancel of the church.

fuing morning;

And whereas it is alledged, by the defendant's counsel, that and that touchthe plaintiff has commenced several suits in the ecclesiastical ing other tithes, court against the defendants, touching other titheable matters arifing within the faid parifh,

It is ordered by the Court, that the faid matters in it shall be referdifference in the ecclefiastical court, and also the difference red to the chief touching the tithe calves and cheeses pretended to be due to the baron. plaintiff, for the time in the bill mentioned, from the defendants, be referred to the determination of the faid Dr. Ball, to end the faid matters in difference, if he can; and if he cannot end the fame, then the faid parties are to attend THE LORD CHIEF BARON, in order to the determination and composure of the said differences, without costs on either side.

EDWARD TURNOR. CHR. TURNOR. TIM. LITTLETON. EDW. THURLAND.

# WIDDRINGTON, D. D. against BARKER.

HILARYTERM 26. CAR. 2.

Nosfolk, 11th February 1674.

THIS was a bill to be relieved for the tithes of Coleseed in The tithe of coles Terrington; the plaintiff being rector; the defendant feed is a great tithe (a), Henson vicar; and the other defendants inhabitants. shall be paid to

The Court, after hearing counsel on both sides, and reading the rector, and the depositions of several witnesses examined in the cause, took of the parish in time to consider of the matter; and on the twenty-ninth of which it is sown, April 1675, upon hearing counsel on both sides, and on full debate, and confideration thereof had,

THE COURT is of opinion, that the faid tithes of Colefeed are 29th April 1675. due, and ought to be paid to the plaintiff, being rector, and not to the curate or vicar; that the defendants Barker and others shall fatisfy and pay to the plaintiff the value of the said tithes of

(a) But see the case of Fish v. Wimberley, 4th February 1683, 35. Car. 2. in which it is determined, that colsfeed is a small tithe. . Colefeed Vol. I.

Wisdrington Colefeed fown by them upon the lands holden in the faid parish for against one year; and that the said defendants shall not be sued, mo-BARKER. lefted, or disquieted for the same by the defendant Henson, the curate or pretended vicar of Terrington; and the plaintiff to have his taxed costs.

> EDW. TURNOR. TIM, LITTLETON.

HILARYTERM 26. CAR. 2.

Tooker, Clerk, against GRIFFIN.

Essex, 25th January 1674.

North Bredward

The rector of the THE plaintiff, as rector of Vauge, in Essex, exhibited his bill parish of Vauge, touching the tithes of a moiety of marsh land called North parish of Vauge, touching the tithes of a moiety of marsh land called North in Essex, is enti-Bredward Marsh, within the said parish. The bill after setting ted to 25, in the pound yearly, forth his title and right to tithes, stated, that the defendant had according to the possessed a marsh or ground called North Bredward Marsh, in the annual rent paid faid parish, for six years past; that the said marsh lies next to for fo much of the parish of Pitsey, and contains about eighty acres, and is Mark as lies worth fixty pounds per annum; that during that time he had fed within the faid and depastured the faid marsh ground promiscuously with breeding and fatting cattle; that, in lieu of the tithes of the faid marsh, being fed with fuch barren cattle, the possessors thereof, by custom, used to pay two shillings in the pound yearly, according to the yearly rent of the marsh.

> The defendant answered and pleaded; AND FOR PLEA SAID, that KING JAMES, being seised in see of North Bredward Marsh, and of the tithes thereof, by his letters patents, dated the third of February, in the tenth year of his reign, did grant the faid marsh, and the tithes thereof, to F. Morris and F. Phillips, and their heirs; that they, by indenture dated the first of July, in the eleventh year of James the First, did grant, bargain, and fell the faid marsh and tithes to H. Archer and his heirs; who did, by indenture dated the twenty-fixth of May, in the eighteenth year of James the First, convey the tithes, rents, and profits of the said marsh to Sir Robert Rich and several others, and their heirs, upon trust, as to the said tithes, and the rents and profits of the faid marsh to be employed to the use of the vicar of Horndon towards his maintenance, fuch vicar, or some person for him, preaching yearly one sermon in the church of Horndon, on Saint Peter's Day in the forenoon; and that as often as there should be a failure, it should go to the poor of the said parish of Horndon; that the defendant is tenant to the surviving trustee for the said charitable use under a yearly rent, and, as tenant, doth enjoy the same, and for six years past hath so done, under the yearly rent of forty pounds to W. Whitmore; that in the faid years he did depasture thereon beasts and sheep, but had no hay or corn off the fame, and that the value of the tithes yearly are about four pounds, that he knew not of any rate tithes, or

composition for tithes of the said marsh, but hath paid forty shillings per annum, and no more, for the tithes to the trustees for the charitable uses mentioned in his plea.

against Grippin.

To which plea and answer the plaintiff replied specially, and faid, that the marsh mentioned in the bill doth contain one hundred and forty acres, and doth lie one half in the parish of Pitsey, and the other part in the parish of Vauge; that there hath been, for many years past, paid to the plaintiff's predecessor, by the defendant's testator, forty shillings per annum, in lieu of the tithes of the moiety in Vauge; that notwithstanding any thing in the defendant's plea or answer, the plaintiff, as rector of Vauge, is entitled to the tithes of fo much of the marsh as lies in the said parish, or to the forty shillings a-year in lieu thereof.

The defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined on the plaintiff's part.

Upon hearing of counsel on both sides, and reading several depositions in the cause, it appeared to the Court, that one half of the faid marsh called North Bredward Marsh doth lie within the parish of Vauge, and that the tithes thereof ought to be paid to the rector there for the time being.

It is thereupon ordered by the Court (the value of the tithes of the faid half marsh being admitted by both parties to be forty shillings by the year), that the said defendant do pay to the plaintiff eight pounds for the arrears of the faid tithes for four years last past, with his costs, according to the course of the court.

EDWARD TURNOR. CHR. TURNOR. TIM. LITTLETON. EDWARD THURLAND.

# GRAY, Clerk, against SAWYER. Berksbire, 3d May 1675.

EASTER TREM 27. CAR. 2.

THE plaintiff, as vicar and incumbent of the vicarage and The vicar of parish-church of Hagbourne, in Berksbire, claimed all tithes, Hagbourne, both great and small, in a certain inclosed ground, called Hag-Berisbire, is intibourne Park.

tled to the tithe of bay made in Hagbourne Park,

The defendants faid, that William Earl of Craven, by his indenture of the twentieth year of this king, did demise, grant, and to farm let, to E. Keate, all the tithes of hay, corn, wool, and lamb, and all other tithes of the whole parish of Hagbourne, to the parsonage there belonging, for ninety-nine years, upon three lives; that E. Keate being thereby possessed of the said premises, did, foon after, grant, for certain years not yet past, the same to the defendants; that in respect thereof they yearly pay to the plaintiff

GRAY لرمنهج SAWYER. twenty shillings, and to the Earl of Craven four pounds; and that the faid defendants do also yearly pay, in respect of the said premises, to the mother-church of Sarum, forty shillings; all which are in full satisfaction of all tithes for the said premises.

The matter in difference being the tithe hay of Hagbourne Park, it was referred to a trial at law; and after full evidence, a verdict passing for the plaintiff,

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendants do forthwith pay to the plaintiff the value of their tithe hay which they had and inned off and from the said ground called Hagbourne Park from the time they became tenants of the same, being agreed by both parties to be fifteen pounds.

> EDWARD TURNOR. TIM. LITTLETON. EDWARD THURLAND. VERE BERTIE.

TRIN. TERM, 37. CAR. 3.

# DYDE, Clerk, against KINCH.

Oxfordsbire, 21st June 1675.

dial, privy, and mixed tithes thereto belonging.

The rector of FIHE rector of Wigginton, in Oxford/bire, claimed all the pre-the parish of dial, privy, and mixed tithes thereto belonging. Oxfordfbire, has Bloxem, in the faid county.

The defendants confessed the plaintiff to be rector of the parish, no right to the and that they held the several yard lands for the said years in the ing in the hamlet bill charged; and that the plaintiff might be entitled to great of Milcombe, in tithes from them; but they denied, that they ought to pay their the parith of privy tithes to the plaintiff; for that the hamlet of Milcombe doth not lie within the parish of Wigginton, but within the parish of Bloxbom; and that for the faid privy tithes they have paid, by composition, five shillings a yard land for every yard land they held, for the years in the bill mentioned, to the vicar of Bloxbam, in lieu of the privy tithes in Milcombe, which they conceive a rate-

> THE COURT directed an issue, whether the small tithes of all, or any, and which of the fix yard lands lying in the hamlet of Milcombe, or any, and what part of the tithes thereof, do of right belong, and ought to be paid, to the rector of Wigginton.

> A trial was accordingly had, and a verdict was given, that the tithes in question, demanded of the defendants, did not belong to, nor ought to be paid to, the rector of Wigginton. The defendants were therefore dismissed of and from the said bill, with costs.

able yearly value for the same.

# HATCHER against RIDLEY. Lincolnsbire, 30th June 1675.

THE plaintiffs, as executors of the last will and testament of The rector of Charles Skipwith, deceased, exhibited their bill, stating that the parish of the faid C. Skipwith, by leafe from the dean and chapter of Lincoln, Golberton, in the dated the ninth of Zanuary in the eighteenth ways of his prefere county of Lindated the ninth of January, in the eighteenth year of his present coln, is entitled majesty's reign, was seised to him and his heirs during his own life, to a mode of 18. and the life of two others, of and in the rectory or parsonage im- an acre of certain propriate of Gosperton, otherwise Gosperkirke, and of all messuages, marsh lands calglebe lands, tithes, customary tithes, or rates for tithes, oblations, in lieu of the obventions, and other duties, to the faid rectory and parish be-tithe of sheep longing; that the said C. Skipwith, for several years past, was and cattle deparowner of the faid rectory, and thereby entitled to the tithes and tured, thereon, rates for tithes arising within the said parish; that the defendant tithe hay; the (living out of the faid parish) was, for several years, farmer and acres to be reckoccupier of certain marsh lands in the said parish called Sir Robert oned according Carr's Mar/b, containing four hundred acres, worth four hun-to actual meadred pounds a year, which he stocked and depastured with sheep, not according to beafts, and other titheable cattle; that there hath been a custom, ancient computime out of mind, used in the said parish, that all persons living cation. out of the faid parish, and occupying any pasture or meadow grounds in the marshes in the said parish, have used to pay yearly to the owners of the rectory twelvepence an acre for the tithe of sheep and cattle depastured within the said parish, and for tithe hay; that the faid C. Skipwith, on the eleventh of

the fame, and thereby become entitled to the faid rate tithe, which the defendant refused to pay. The defendant answered, and set forth, that Carr's Marsh contains, by estimation time out of mind, two hundred and forty customary acres, and that a modus or rate tithe hath been paid, for the same, of twelvepence the customary acre, by occupiers not inhabiting in the faid parish; that he was occupier of certain marsh lands in the said parish, but not four hundred acres; that he occupied part of the said two hundred and forty acres; that he and his undertenants fed sheep and cattle thereon; that he knew not how the plaintiffs reckoned the acres, or how their modus or rate tithe is applicable thereunto, but conceived the fame to be a new invention to destroy the old modus, which, time out of mind, hath been concerning marsh land in that parish; that the parishioners of Gosberton, time out of mind, have used to keep books of accounts for levies, taxes, and parish payments, and in them, or fome other books, are contained (in nature of a terrier) the number of acres of marsh lands in the said

L 3

November 1672, made his will, and appointed the plaintiffs his executors, and died in Oftober 1673; and that they had proved

parith

HATCHER

against

RIDLEY.

parish (whereof the two hundred and forty acres are parcel); that according to that number, twelvepence the acre hath been, and ought to be, paid, for all levies, taxes, tithes, and parish dues, by every farmer or occupier not inhabiting in the faid parish, according to the estimation in the said books and terriers, and not otherwise; that he had, for the said time, farmed part of the said two hundred and forty acres; and that, according to the custom, he tendered, after the said rate tithe, the sum due, which was resuled, and that he was willing to pay the same.

The plaintiffs replied, and thereby fet forth, that the composition of twelvepence an acre ought not to be paid after the common estimate of the number of acres, or the books and terriers, and other rates or levies in the town of Gosperton, but according to the real number and quantities of acres, according to the laws and statutes of this kingdom, and the usual measure in other places.

The defendant rejoined; and the cause being at issue, witnesses were examined on both sides; and upon opening the pleadings, and reading the answer,

It appearing to the Court, that the marsh called Sir Robert Carr's Marsh, lying in the parish of Gosberton, doth contain three hundred and thirty-five acres; and that twelvepence an acre per annum ought to be paid as a modus or rate tithe for the said marsh, according to the real quantity of acres, and not according to common estimate.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendant shall pay to the plaintiff after the rate of twelvepence an acre for the tithes of the said marsh called Sir Robert Carr's Marsh, containing three hundred and thirty-sive acres, for three years, by him occupied and enjoyed in the said years, allowing what hath been already paid.

Edward Turnor. Tim. Littleton. Edward Thurland. Vere Bertie.

MICH. TERM, 27. CAR. 2. TURNOR, Clerk, against WEEDON and Others.

Oxfordsbire, 18th November 1675.

The rector of THE bill stated, that for seven years last past the plaintiff was Soulderne, in the lawful rector of Soulderne, in the county of Oxford, and lawford, claims tithes fully entitled to all tithes and duties thereto belonging, predial, in kind.

Personal, and mixed.

The defendants Weedon, Kilby, Lord, Dodwell, and King, put in their plea and answer; and the defendants Wells and Smith their answers; AND FOR PLEA SAID, that the plaintiff, by his bill demands tithe wood for hedge rows and coppice woods; for The defendants dry beafts, for the time they were kept and fatted; for barren plead a modus of sheep fold before shear time; and for the second crop, or after-barren sheep and math of meadow ground; that, time out of mind, there hath dry beaft; a been a rate tithe for barren sheep and dry beasts kept and sold halfpenny for ewithin the faid parish; viz. fourpence, and not above, for every very sheep sold cow kept, fatted, and fold there; one halfpenny for every barren a third of the theep fold after Candlemas, and before thear time; the third of wool of all theep the wool for all sheep brought in after Candlemas, and fold before brought in after Candlemas following; and that no other tithes are due for the Candlemas; and fame; that for the aftermath of meadow no tithes at all are due for coppice due, by custom nor otherwise; nor for hedge rows or coppice and hedge row wood spent or used in the premises to which the same belong; wood used in nor for any horses kept and used about the same, or for any husbandry. other use: and they set forth the particulars of their tithes.

against WEEDON.

Upon arguing this plea it was ordered, that the defendants should answer over, and the benefit of the plea be saved to the hearing.

The defendants thereupon put in a further answer; the plaintiff replied; and issue being joined, witnesses were examined; and the cause came on to be heard the eleventh instant.

And upon hearing counsel on both sides, and reading several depositions taken in the cause, and a decree made in a cause the third of July, in the fifteenth year of Charles the First, in chancery, whereby it appeared, that the matter had been referred to the then Bishop of Oxford to examine the best way for the payment of tithes, and whether the rate of forty shillings a yard land, formerly decreed at the first inclosure, would be prejudicial to the church, who made his certificate, that he did conceive the payment of tithes in kind was, and would be for the future, a greater benefit to the church than the forty shillings per annum in lieu of tithes for every yard land could be; it was ordered, adjudged, and decreed, in the faid court of chancery, that the faid decree, as touching the composition and agreement for payment of tithes should be reversed and made void as against the plaintiff and the church of Soulderne, and the plaintiff be left at liberty to take his tithes in kind, any thing in the aforesaid decree to the contrary notwithstanding; and upon long debate of the matter, for that it was infilted upon by the counsel for the plaintiff, that the custom of one halfpenny a sheep sold before shearing or after had already been adjudged an unreasonable custom at common law, in the case of Weeden v. Harden (a), in the late King Charles' reign.

TVENER against WELLON. THE COURT declared they would further confider thereof.

The cause now came on again; and on reading several depofitions, and hearing counsel on both fides; and on full debate concerning the customs pretended by the defendants;

But the Court faid customs to be unreasonable ;

THE COURT declared, that the custom of a halfpenny for a declared all the sheep was an unreasonable custom.

> And as to all the other customs (except fourpence for a dry cow, and the tithe of aftermath, or fecond crop of meadow, for which the Court will direct a trial at law), they declared all the other customs pretended by the defendants to be unreasonable, and do overrule the fame.

and decreed the cattle, In kind,

Whereupon it is this day ordered by the Court, that the tithes of coppice defendants shall pay their tithes in kind to the plaintiff, viz. for wood, hedge coppice wood, and for hedge rows, and loppings of trees, when pings; theep fold or not spent in the house; the tenth of the value of the deunshorn, dry pasturage of sheep, according to the time of their being kept, fold, wool, and removed unshorn; and likewise for all other dry cattle, sed, milk, lamb, and kept, or depastured (except beasts of the plough and pail, and dry cows, which is referred to a trial at law ), to pay according to the value of the herbage: tithe wool to be paid, and tithe milk, at all times in the year; lambs to be tithed when fit to live without the dam; and calves to be paid in kind: and it is referred to the deputy-remembrancer to compute and report the same.

> And as to the custom of fourpence for every dry cow fed, and for the aftermath, or fecond crop of meadow, the same is referred to a trial at law, and the equity referved till after such trial had.

HILARY BRM 27. CAR. 3.

Cox against LivesAy and Others (a).

Somersetsbire, 18th February 1675.

The plaintiff THE bill stated, that William Con, deceased, two years because the tithes T fore the month of Man in fore the month of May, in the year 1672, was seised in appurtenant to fee simple of the dissolved chapel of Knoll, within the parish chapel of Knoll, of Bedminster, in the county of Somerset, and of and in all manin the parish of ner of tithes whatsoever thereunto belonging, and was lawfully Bedminfter, in feifed in fee of all manner of tithes yearly happening within the the county of chapelry of Knoll and tithable places thereof, and of and in all compositions and customary and other payments in lieu thereof, and all other duties whatsoever due or payable to the owners and impropriators of the faid chapel for the time being, their

> (a) See the case of Thompson w. June 1703, Trin. Term, 2. Anne; and Wright, 26th November 1683, 35. Gibbs w. Goodman, 11th June 1733, Car. 2. Horton v. Higginbottom, 22d Trin. Term, 7. Geo. s. farmers,

firmers, or tenants; and being so seised, about the month of May 1672, did grant and convey to the plaintiffs, Bath and others, and their heirs, the faid chapel, tithes, and premises; by reason whereof they became entitled to, and seised in sec thereof; that about the month of November, before the bill was filed, the faid Cox died intestate, and letters of administration were granted of his personal estate to the plaintiff Mary Cox; that the defendants have been occupiers of divers lands within the precincts of the faid chapelry, and have refused to pay tithes, or any composition for the same, during the said William Cox's life, or fince, to the other plaintiffs.

Cox againfl LIVESAY.

The defendants appeared and answered, and set forth that The defendance they knew nothing of the plaintiff's title to the premises. The fay that they are defendants, Livefay and his wife, faid, that they were owners of the manor of Knoll, of the inheritance of the faid manor of Knoll, with its appurte- and that the nances, and the lands in the occupation of the defendants, Gore tithes thereof be and others, they being tenants thereof; that the plaintiffs are long to them, as not entitled to the tithes of the premites in question, or to any having enjoyed fatisfaction in lieu thereof; but that the tithes, or fatisfaction for fame; them, belong to themselves, in regard the ancestors of the defendant, Martha Livefay, and those whose estate they have, as they were informed, always or many years claimed and enjoyed the faid tithes. The defendant, Martha, faid, that, by an answer in chancery of L. Paradine, her ancestor, under whom she claimeth, to a bill exhibited by the said William Cox. deceased, concerning his title to the tithes in question, by which the faid L. Paradine claimed the inheritance of the tithes and premifes, he denied that he was liable to any composition for the fame; but how far the faid manor of Knoll, or what faidchapelry betithes or composition were or are payable, or what or how the came annexed chapelry came to be annexed to the faid manor, or the distinct to the manor title of the said L. Paradine, the defendant Livesay being lately they cannot say. married, and his wife being under age, they could not fet

The other defendants likewise put in their answers; and the defendant Damel lately paid some tithes to Mr. Cox, deceased, for the land he held, he having recovered the same at law.

The plaintiffs replied; and witnesses were examined on each fide.

Upon hearing counsel on both sides, it is referred to a trial An issuedirected at law upon the statute 2. Edw. 6. c. 13, for not setting forth on the statute the tithes; and the rest of the defendants, who have answered 2. & 3. Edw. 6. the bill, are, by consent, to be bound by the event of that trial, and if the plaintiffs recover are respectively to pay tithes for the lands by them fet forth in their answers, and, as appears

by the proofs in the cause, holden by them, &c.; the equity of Cox againfl the cause to be reserved to the court. LIVESAY.

According to which order a trial was had, and upon full 5th Feb. 1676. A verdict found evidence of the titles on both fides, a verdict passed for the for the plaintiff. plaintiffs. Now upon reading the faid order and poflea,

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendants shall The tithes decreed according- each of them respectively pay to the plaintiffs the value of their tithes according to the proofs in the cause.

And in regard it was urged by the defendant's counsel, that But the title of one of the de the defendant Martha, the wife of Wm. Livefay, is an infant. fendants, an in-

fair, not to he IT IS DECREED BY THE COURT, that the faid trial at law shall concluded there not be construed to conclude the said Martha in her title.

EASTER TERM 21. CAR. 2.

fæ,

# Remington against Thornton.

#### Lancashire, 19th April 1676.

the tithes of THE bill stated, that Thomas Lord Morley and Monteagle, by the parish of indenture dated the twenty-ninth of April, in the twenty-Mellin, in Lancashire, ordered second year of CHARLES THE SECOND, demised to the plaintiff
cashire, ordered second year of CHARLES THE SECOND, within the rectory and to be paid to the all the tithes of wool, lamb, and calves, within the rectory and leffee of Lord parish of Mellin, in the county of Lancaster, and all the penny's who halfpenny's, and twopenny's yearly arising and payable for the was feifed of the faid rectory, in odds of tithe fleeces of wool, lambs, and calves, according to his demessive as of the custom of tithing used within the said parish and rectory, to have and to hold the fame for the term of twenty-one years, at forty pounds a year; that by virtue thereof the plaintiff is, and ever fince hath been, possessed of the said tithes and premises as farmer thereof, and hath, for the most part, received the said tithes; that in the faid parish there is a custom that every inhabitant within the said parish and rectory of Mellin shall yearly pay one tithe calf for every ten, nine, eight, seven, or six calves renewed, and for every five calves half a calf, or the value thereof; and for lambs and fleeces of wool, one halfpenny for every lamb and fleece of wool under five; the porprietor, rector, or farmer for the time being paying back to every fuch inhabitant for every nine, eight, seven, or fix lambs or fleeces of wool fo many halfpenny's as the fame number of lambs and fleeces of wool should fall short of ten,

> The defendants denied the custom of tithing, and pleaded c discharge of payment of all manner of tithes under a grant mad to the abbot of Croxton, in the third year of Edward the Second, and by several exemptions, discharges, and other evidences.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendants shall REMINOTON forthwith pay to the plaintiff the value of the tithes so detained from him for the time aforesaid, as set forth in their answers (a).

TROBUTOM.

WILLIAM MONTAGUE. TIM. LITTLETON. EDW. THURLAND. Vere Bertie.

(a) On the 16th of June 1673, 25. Car. 2. the present plaintiff, as lessee of Lord Morley, exhibited a bill in this court against Thompson and others, for tithes,

endowed.

flating the custom as in the present bill, and the tithes were decreed to him accordingly.

#### EBenson against Harpham.

BASTER TERM 28. CAR. 2.

#### Lincolnsbire, 24th April 1676.

THE plaintiff, as farmer of the prebend of North Kelfey, in The the county of Lincoln, exhibited his bill, stating that he, claims the tithes for three years past, was farmer of the said prebend, and en- of the prebend titled to the tithe of corn, grain, hay, wool, lamb, mort sheep, of North Kelfey, in Lincolnsbire, wood, dry, barren, and unprofitable cattle, and all composi- as the lesse of tions for the fame.

The defendants G. Brown, H. Robinson, and W. Thompson, Three defendanswered and disclaimed; and thereby denied that they enjoyed ants or occupyed any lands or tenements within the faid parish, or holding had any tithable thing therein in the faid years.

The defendant R. Brown confessed that he was occupier of Brown confesses a cottage within the faid parish, worth twenty shillings per an-occupying num; but had no tithes due to the plaintiff;

The defendants, Harpham, and several others, consessed that Harpham states the plaintiff is farmer of the faid rectory, whereof Dr. Stilling- a modus of a fleet is prebendary, and that the tithes of corn, hay, wool, and halfpenny for elamb, or some modus or composition for certain grounds in dying after Can-North Kelfey, and an halfpenny for every mort sheep that dies dlemes, and says after Candlemas only, do belong to the rector of the faid rectory; that all other but knew not of any other tithes belonging to the plaintiff; and tithes are due that time out of mind all other tithes have been, and ought to to the winer;

be paid to the vicar, there being in the faid parish a vicarage

The defendant Harpham further faid, that he was occupier of and that a mecertain grounds within the faid parish, and that the tithes of the desis due for the same were duly paid to the plaintiff, except that for some part of herbage of certhe ground a modus or composition is due, and was paid him the tain lands. two first years, and that the third year the defendant tendered the same, and the plaintiff refused to receive it; that he had paid

Dr. Stilling fleet. the prebendary,

·lands parish,

to the plaintiff the tithe of his wool and lambs, and an half-BENSON against penny for every mort sheep. HARPHAM.

The defendant I. Hall further set forth, that he, for seve-Hall pleads payment. ral years, was occupier of a messuage and one close, and that he had several sorts of corn and grain in the said close, and paid to the plaintiff the tithes of the same.

The defendant Cooke said he was occupier of lands and had Cook pleads payment. hay growing thereon, and that he had paid his tithe.

Pennell pleads an The defendant I. Pennell said, that he was occupier of lands, **exemption** by and that he had duly paid his tithes; but that in the year 1674, order of come of he was occupier of fourteen acres of meadow, for which he fet out no tithes for the same, because it is part of five thousand, lewers. eight hundred, and twenty-seven acres set out and allotted to Sir John Monson, his participants, and adventurers, tithe free, by several decrees of sewers, and confirmed by an act of parliament made in the fourteenth year of Charles THE SECOND.

Eldingby pleads The defendant Eldingby faid, that he occupied part of the payment. faid lands; and feveral other defendants faid that they held lands in the said parish, and had paid their tithes due for the same.

the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides; and upon opening the bill, answers, and disclaimer, and Penael's lands upon reading an exemplification of a law of sewers made in exempted; Charles the First's time, whereby it appears that five thousand eight hundred and twenty-seven acres lying in the level of Aucholme, and drained by Sir John Monson, and others, are difcharged of tithes; and an act of parliament made in the fourteenth year of Charles the Second, confirming the same; and and the small upon reading an endowment of the vicarage of North Kelfey the vicar.

tables belong to made in the year 1658, and an augmentation of the faid vicarage, whereby it appears that the tithes of wood, and all other fmall tithes belong to the vicar of the faid vicarage; and upon reading several depositions of witnesses taken in the cause, there being no proof against the defendants R. Brown, G. Brown, H. Robinson, and W. Thompson,

To which answers and disclaimer the plaintiff replied; and

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid defendants shall be and are hereby dismissed of and from the said bill with costs.

And as to fuch part of the bill as prays relief for the tithes of A modus payable Gile Carr, Sower Barfe, and Sheep Coate Hill, occupied by the Harsbam's por defendant Harpham in the faid years;

> It appeared to the court, that a modus or composition ought to be paid for the fame, and that the same was paid to the plaintiff for the years 1672 and 1673, before the filing of the bill, and also for the year 1674, since the filing of the bill,

ĪΤ

for the lands in festion.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid bill shall be dismissed, as against the said defendants, so far as it relates to the faid three parcels of land, without costs.

BENSON against HARPHAM,

And it is further ordered by the Court, that it be referred to An iffue directtrial at law, touching the defendant Pennell, upon two issues, ed.

First, Whether the tithes of the herbage and feeding of Whether the abarren and unprofitable cattle, in the parish of North Kelsey, be gistment of bardue to the vicar, or to the rector there?

to the refler on

SECONDLY, Whether tithes in kind be due and payable the vicar; for so much of the said five thousand eight hundred and twen-and whether the ty-leven acres fet out and allotted to the faid Sir John Monfon, in the parish. his participants, and adventurers, as do lie within the faid parish?

And as to the defendants, P. Harpham, and several others,

It appearing to the Court, that they had duly fet out their feveral tithes, and that the plaintiff had put them particularly to prove the payment of the same,

The Court will confider of costs.

Upon the faid trial a verdict was given for the plaintiff, in \$716 Nov. 1676. both the faid iffues, upon full evidence. Now upon reading the Verdict for the faid order and postea, and on much debate,

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendants, P. Harp- Harpham orderbam, and several others, shall forthwith satisfy and pay to the for barren cattle plaintiff their tithes of herbage and feeding of barren and unpro- to the plaintiff; fitable cattle due and in arrear to the plaintiff from them; and that the defendants, J. Pennel and IV. Eldinge, shall forthwith and Pennell to fatisfy and pay to the plaintiff their tithes for the meadow and pas- pay tithes of the ture, or Carr's ground, part of the five thousand eight hundred and twenty-feven acres fet out and allotted as aforesaid, and it is referred to the deputy remembrancer, to cast up and report the value of the tithes of the herbage and feeding of barren and unprofitable cattle, and the tithe hay due from them respectively.

And forasmuch as it was, on the twenty-fourth of April last, ordered that R. Brown, and several others, should be dismissed with costs, and that this court would consider of costs for the defendant, P. Harpham, and others,

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendants shall have Costs. costs taxed them, from the commencement of this suit, until the faid twenty-fourth of April last, and that the plaintiff shall havetaxed costs from the commencement, until the end of the same

And it is further ordered, that the defendant W. Roe shall be and is hereby dismissed of and from the said bill, with his costs. WM. MONTAGU.

TIM. LITTLETON. EDW. THURLAND. Vere Bertie.

PRESTON

EASTER TERM 28. CAR. 2.

# Preston, Bart. against Conuie.

Westmoreland, 9th May 1676.

The plaintiff THE plaintiff by his bill shewed, that he had been proprietor claims the titles of the rectory of the parish of Burton, in Westmoreland, for of the rectory of fix years past, and that he pays yearly a fee farm rent to his majesty for the same, and ought, as rector there, to have all mereland. manner of tithes issuing, &c. within the same.

The defendant the parish

pleads, that the plaintiff, for the time stated in the bill, had been proprietor and lands he holds in owner of the faid rectory, or that he paid any fee farm rent for the fame, but confessed that, for divers years last past, he had been owner of eight acres of land there, and that for two years past, and no more, he had fown feveral acres thereof with grain, and had kept some cattle for his use and benefit, but denied that the tithes were parcel of thereof belonged to the plaintiff, for that the lands did heretofore the monastery of belong to, and were parcel of the late disfolved monastery of Geckerfand, of the Cockerfand, which abbey or monastery was of the order of the

The defendant answered and said, that he knew not that the

& c, 13.

fratesfer ; and Promonstratesfes, which order did, amongst other privileges and being in the oc-immunities granted and allowed to them by the kings and cupation of the queens of this realm, hold and enjoy all their manors and lands faid monaftery freed and discharged from the payment of all manner of tithes, its disfolution, whilst they had the same in their own hands and occupation, and they were dif. did with their own cost till and manure the same; that by an charged from act made thirty-first year of Henry the Eighth, it was enacted the payment of se that as well the king's majesty, his heirs and successors, as all tithes by the "fuch persons and their heirs, which then had, or after should "have any monasteries, abbeys, priories, or any manors or " lands which belonged to them, should have, hold, and enjoy "the fame, according to their estates and titles, discharged and " acquitted from payment of tithes, as freely and in as large and ample manner as the faid late abbots had occupied, detained, and enjoyed the same, at the days of the dissolution, &c." that the faid eight acres were, at the diffolution, parcel of the possessions thereof, and then held and enjoyed by the abbot, convent, or governor of the said monastery or abby discharged of tithes, and is thence, by certain letters patent and mesne conveyances, legally come to the faid defendant and his heirs; and therefore he conceived that he might hold the same freed and discharged from the payment of any tithes whatsoever. He confessed that he had detained his tithes for the said lands, and refused to pay or make any satisfaction for the same, in regard he is inheritor thereof, and husbands and tills it in his own hands, and ought to receive, reap, and enjoy the benefit thereof, pursuant to the said act of parliament; that he could not set forth particularly the quantities or values of the tithes, but believed they might be worth forty shillings a year. The

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witneffes were examined on both fides.

PRESTOR againfl CONTIE.

The cause came on to be heard the first instant, when upon hearing counsel, and upon full debate,

IT WAS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that it should be decreed for the plaintiff, for the tithes in question, unless cause be shewn to the contrary.

Now upon hearing plaintiff's counsel, and no one attending for the defendant,

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendant do pay to But the tithen the plaintiff four pounds, for the value of his tithes, which he thereof are dehad upon the faid eight acres of land, within the faid rectory for creed we the the two years mentioned in the answer, being the value confessed Plaintiff. and fet forth in the answer, the plaintiff being willing to accept thereof at that value.

> WM. MONTAGU. TIM. LITTLETON. EDW. THURLAND.

HOLLAND, Clerk, against THORNBURGH, Clerk. TRIN. TERM, 28. CAR. S. Surry, 12th June 1668.

THE bill stated, that time out of mind the tithes of all corn, The rector of grass, and hay, arising and increasing out of any meadow, the parish of pasture, or arable land, within the parish of St. Mary, in the Saint Mary, in town of Guilford, in the county of Surry, and the parish of the county of Surry, the County of Surry, and the parish of the county of Surry, Holy Trinity, in Guildford aforefaid, or either of them have been claims one equally parted and divided between the rectors of the faid pa- of all tithes arifrishes; all which lands contained about one hundred and fifty ing in the paacres, excepting nine acres called Long Close, and part of Mauty Mary and the land the rishes of Sainte and the rishes of the model of the rishes and the rishes are the rishes and the rishes and the rishes are the rishes and the rishes are the rishes are the rishes and the rishes are the rishes Lands, the tithes of which wholly belong to the rector of the Holy Trinity, in Holy Trinty, and except fix acres, whereof three acres are in Guildford aforethe Caftle Close, and one acre called Danne Acre, one acre near faid. the Castle Close, and two other little parcels, which wholly belong to the rector of St. Mary, and the other moiety to the tector of the Holy Trinity; that time out of mind the rector of St. Mary's hath received and enjoyed, to his own use, one moiety of all the tithes within the faid parishes (except as before excepted), or some composition for the same; that by virtue of the usage and prescription aforesaid, the rector of St. Mary's hath good right to one moiety of all the tithes of the faid lands, except as before excepted, and ought to enjoy the fame; that he became incumbent of St. Mary's twelve years fince, and hath ever fince, till the last year, enjoyed the moiety of the said tithes, or composition for the same; but that the defendant, who hath been rector of the Holy Trinity, pretendeth that all the tithes. belong

HOLLAND against TROLUBURGE.

belong to him, and hath received the same, to the amount of twenty pounds, whereas the plaintiff ought to have had one moiety thereof: the bill therefore prayed, that the plaintiff might examine his witnesses in perpetuam rei memoriam to prove the faid ancient usage and prescription; and that the defendant might set forth what tithes he had received, and the values, and whether he had not a right to a moiety, and that the fame might be decreed for the future.

The defendant. the cuitom.

The defendant said, that he had been rector of the Holy Trinity as rector of the ever fince June 1671, and that he had endeavoured to receive Trimity, denies all the tithes to his own use, ever fince he was rector there, but that the plaintiff had hindered him, under the pretence of the usage and prescription, as stated in the bill; that he had heard there was fuch a usage between the two rectors, but knew not that it was time out of mind, and whether the tithes (except as in the faid bill is excepted) are to be divided between the two rectors, he prayed the judgment of the Court, faid, that the value of the tithes he received did not amount to more than twenty-pounds in the year during which he reecived the fame.

> The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined herein.

> Upon hearing counsel on both sides, and reading the depositions of divers witnesses taken in the cause to prove the prescription in the bill mentioned;

Tithes decreed plaintiff's bill.

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED, that the plaintiff according to the and his fuccessors, rectors of the said parish of St. Mary, in prayer of the Guildford, shall for ever hereafter have, take, and receive to his and their own use and uses, one moiety of all the tithes of corn, grass, and hay, arising and encreasing out of any of the meadows, pastures, or arable land within the parish of St. Mary, in Guildford, and the parish of the Holy Trinity, in Guildford, or either of them (excepting nine acres called Long Close, and part of Mauty Lands, the tithes of which wholly belong to the rector of the church of the Holy Trinity, and except fix acres, whereof three acres are in the Cafile Close, one acre called Donne Acre, one acre near the Castle Close, and two other little parcels, the tithes of which wholly belong to the rector of St. Mary J.

> AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the faid defendant shall forthwith pay to the plaintiff ten pounds, being the value of the moiety of the faid tithes, for the faid year in arrear, according to the answer.

WM. MONTAGU. EDW. THURLAND. Vere Bertie.

# Skinner, Clerk, against Smith.

Worcestersbire, 8th June 1676.

TRIN. TREE, 28. CAR. 2.

THE bill stated, that for fix years past, the plaintiff was, and The rector of now is the rector of the parish church of Hartlebury, in the Hartlebury in county of Worcester, and, as rector, ought to have all manner of claims the tithes tithes, both great and small, coming, &c. within the said of parish.

The defendant confessed the plaintiff's title to the rectory, The defendant and tithes, and stated that he had paid the plaintiff all tithes says that Hardue to him, except the tithes of certain lands, containing tichury Park is sighty add acres called Hardeland Park to which he had tithe free; eighty odd acres, called Hartlebury Park, to which he had been tenant about four years, at forty-eight pounds a year; that he had depastured several cattle upon the said ground, but cannot fet forth the particular number; that the Bishop of Worcester, and his predecessors, for the time whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary, have held the faid lands freed and discharged from all tithes; that he had two colts and but he states the one calf, during the faid years, the tithe whereof is fourpence manner in which a colt, and one penny in a shilling for a calf, which is tenpence; he has used the that he depastured on the faid lands all forts of young cattle for several strangers, and yearly kept sheep, and sheared fome yearly, and had fome lambs; and he fet forth the value of the tithes; and that for sheep sold before the shearing time, the and a modus as to usual tithe is fourpence a score; and that the full tithes of the sheep. faid lands was yearly worth about twenty shillings.

Upon hearing counsel on both fides, and reading several de-But the park positions taken on behalf of the plaintiff, whereby it appeared, having paid a that before the disparking of the said park, the shoulder of son in lieu of every deer, killed in the faid park, was paid to the rector of tithes, the faid parish, for and in lieu of the tithe of the said park; and upon long debate of the matter,

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendant shall the defendant forthwith pay to the plaintiff the values of the tithes coming, the tithes theregrowing, and renewing within the faid park, for the faid four of. years, in the bill mentioned, according to the answer, which at twenty shillings per annum, amount to four pounds.

> WM. MONTAGU, TIM. LITTLETON, Edw. Thurland.

TRIN. TERM, 28. CAR. 2.

### WEBB, Clerk, against ARNOLD. Southampton, 3d June 1676.

The vicar of Kingselere, in the bill stated, that the plaintiff for eight years past had Kingselere, in the been lawful vicar of the perpetual vicarage of Kingsethe tithes of the clere, in the county of Hants, and of the chapelries annexed, vicarage, and of and was thereby entitled to all tithes, as well great as small, the chapelries arising within the said parish, and the titheable places thereof, to the said vicarage belonging.

The defendant Arnold answered, and said, that he had been, The defendant Arneld confesses for four years past, tenant of divers lands in Sidmonton, in the the possession faid parish; that about seventy-seven acres thereof was ancient monion, in the demessive lands; and, that, time out of mind, a certain modes of said parish, but forty shillings a year was payable to the vicar of King sclere says they are asoresaid, for all the tithes of all the demesne lands in his possesancient demessive, from, and all other demessive lands in Sidmonton aforesaid, in the to a modus of 40t. possession of his landlord William Kingsmill, which modus of a year, which forty shillings a year had been duly tendered by his landlord, or he has paid. some person for him, during all the time he had held the fame; and as to the titheable matters accruing upon the other lands which he held within the faid parish, he faid, that he had tendered all the tithes due to the plaintiff for them, and was still ready to pay the same.

The defendant The defendant Francis said, that for several years past he had Francis confesses used two hundred and sifty acres of ground in Sidmonton afores warren, and said, for a warren only, and made profit of the conies, during the sale of the the said time, and believed that there was never any tithes paid conies kept for conies upon the said warren, or any other warren within the said parish, to the vicar.

The defendant The defendant Newman said, that for several years past, he Newman conhad been tenant of a warren in King sclere, and that never more sesses than two shillings a year had been paid to the vicar, in lieu of warren, subject the tithes of all conies and rabbits killed in the said warren, and to a tithe of only that so much he had from time to time tendered to the plaintist, who refused to receive the same. He confessed that he rented another warren, stocked with conies, called Wakeridge, which he left at Michaelmas was four years, and paid to the plaintist the tithes thereof.

The defendant The defendant J. Winckworth set forth the value of the J. Winkworth tithes due from him to the plaintiff, and said that he had tenpleads a tender dered to the plaintiff all offerings and church dues, and eleven from him. Shillings yearly, which the plaintiff had usually received in lieu of all tithes.

The defendant The defendant N. Winckworth fet forth the value of the N. Winkworth, tithes due from him, and that he had paid some part, and pleads payment of part, and tendered der of the residue.

tendered, for the other part, the accustomed sums due for them.

WIIB
against
ARNOLD.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses The endowment were examined on both sides; and upon opening the pleadings, received in eviand reading several depositions taken in the cause, and an and dence. cient endowment of the vicarage of Kingsclere, and upon much debate,

It is ordered by the Court, that a trial at law shall be An issue direct-had between the plaintiff, and the defendant Arnold; the issue ed to try the moto be, whether a modus of forty shillings a year be payable to dusset up by Arthe vicar of King sclere, for the time being, in full discharge of mold. all manner of tithes, due to the said vicar, for the demessee lands belonging to Sidmonton Farm, in the parish of King sclere?

And as to the titheable matters accruing upon the other lands which the faid defendant held within the faid parish, besides the said demessive lands,

It is ordered by the Court, that the faid defendant And ordered fhall pay the same to the plaintiff for the time he hath held to pay his other the same, after the rate of sixpence in the pound yearly, for of 6d, in the every twenty shillings value of the said lands.

It is further ordered, that a trial at law shall be had Anissue directed between the said plaintist, and the desendant Francis; the issue to try whether to be, whether there be a custom throughout the parish of rabbits are tithe-Kingsclere, to pay tithe, or something in lieu thereof, for rabbits, within the titheable places belonging to the vicar,

It is further ordered, that a trial at law shall be had be-An issue to try tween the plaintiff, and the defendant Newman, the issue to be, the modus, set whether a modus of two shillings per annum hath been, time out of up by Newman. mind, payable by the owners, for the time being, of the warren in the defendants possession, called King sleas, in the parish of King selere, to the vicar of the said parish, for the time being, in lieu and satisfaction for all tithe conies of the said warren?

It is further ordered, that it shall be referred to the The auditor to auditor of the said county, to compute the value of the tithes, compute what due from the desendants J. and N. Winckworths, and to cerficom J. and N. tify the same.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the defendants Arnold, The defendants Francis, and Newman, at their own charges, shall have a copy of the endown of the ancient endowment of the vicarage of King sclere.

After which hearing, the defendant Newman died, and the 14th New 1678. The fuit revived fuit was revived against B. Newman, his executrix, and, by against New order, the issues were to be put into one record.

\*\*man's executrix.\*\*

A trial

difmiffed.

WEBS A trial was accordingly had upon the faid issues, and the plaintiff was non-suited upon full evidence.

ARNOLD.

The plaintiff THE COURT therefore ordered, that the faid defendant be non-fuited, absolutely dismissed this Court of and from the said bill, and The desendant the matters and things therein contained (a).

Wm. Montagu. Tim. Littleton. Edw. Thurland. Fr. Bramston

(4) See the case of Kent v. Webb, ante page 79.; Powlet v. Bates, post. 9th
June 1774. Trinity Term, 14. Geo. 3.;

Mich. Term, 28. Car 2. DURANT, Clerk, against Bush and Others.

Buckinghamshire, 16th November 1676.

The vicar of THE plaintiff, by his bill, stated, that he was vicar of the Caversfield, in The parish church of Caversfield, in the county of Buckingham; the county of that in July 1672 he was lawfully instituted, &c. therein; Buch, claims the that the same had been void for twelve years before; and that he arrears of tithes that the same had been void for twelve years before; for 12 years, du. is thereby entitled to the tithes, duties, and profits, thereunto ring which time belonging, with the arrears unpaid during the avoidance; the church had that, for the time whereof the memory of man is not to the been void, viz. contrary, the vicar of the vicarage of the faid parish church 71. annually from the refer or im. ought to have and enjoy the vicarage, and backfide, and seven of pounds in money from the rector or impropriator there, and the faid parish, all tithes of corn and hay, and all manner of small and great and all the tithes tithes yearly coming, &c. out of four yard lands, lying and of coin, hay, their yearly coming, etc. out of four yard faints, fying and and small tithes being in the parish of Stratton Audley, in the city of Oxford; that arising out of the defendant Bush had, for twelve years past, received the profour yard lands, fits of the faid vicarage house and premises, and the seven pounds in the parish of a year, and, together with the other defendants, did also enjoy in the county of the faid four yard lands during the faid time, and did receive the tithes, issues, and profits thereof, and that they still do enjoy Oxford. the same, but refuse to discover the quantities and values thereof, and to pay the tithes and the arrears. He therefore prayed a full discovery and account for tithes.

The defendants The defendants answered and set forth, that in the year 1663, stated that in the the profits of the vicarage were sequestered in the hands of the year 1663, the churchwardens there, but how the same were disposed of they profits of the knew not; that they believed there was a vicarage-house, and sequestered in about one acre of land thereunto adjoining, but knew not that the hands of the the tithes of corn, hay, wool, lamb, milk, calf, and all church wardens, manner of small tithes, were ever paid out of the said sour and set forth a yard lands in Stratton Andley, in the defendants' occupation, in composition for kind, to the vicar of Caversfield, but that a rate, or annual comthe yard lands position, of two pounds twelve shillings a yard land, and so in Stratton Andley, have log.

been paid in lieu of tithes to the vicar of Caversfield, and they did not believe that ever any claim was made for more.

The defendant Bush faid, that the same was paid to him from The defendant Michaelmas 1661 to Midsummer 1670, but as no tithes had Ruso admits the even been demanded in kind, he had not kept any particular receipt of the thereof; and also seven pounds a year out of Caversfield, and monies that he had expended the fame in ferving the cure; and had no from the year arrears of the tithes of the faid vicarage in his hands, fave 1661 to 1670, only the rateable tithe of two pounds, twelve shillings, for one and that he had yard land, received fince Midfummer 1672; which the vicar expended had no right to, for that the same belonged to the dean and same in serving the cure, except canons of Christ Church, in Oxford.

The defendants denied all knowledge where or in what place getts a title in the faid lands do lie, out of which the plaintiff doth demand Christ Church coltithes in kind.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides; and upon reading the depositions of several witnesses, and on great debate of the matter,

It is ordered by the Court, that the defendant Buff do go to an account before the deputy remembrancer for one and an half yard land, at the rate of three pounds, eighteen shillings, for the fame during the time in the bill mentioned, and for the arrears of two yard lands and one half fince the account The defendant made up and stated between M. Bard and the defendant Bufb, account. which was in 1672, at the rate of two pound, twelve shillings, for the yard of land, and one pound, fix shillings, for the half yard of land, in each year, to the time of the bill, without any deduction to be made; withmoderate costs; and the tenants of Sir J. Burland are to pay their arrears to the plaintiff forth-

arain? Busn

in 1672, and fug-

And for that it was urged by the defendant's counsel, that The college of the dean and canons of Christ Church, in Oxford, were concern-Christ Church aded, in right of the college, in the inheritance of the land, out of mitted parties to which the tithes in question are issuing, and do also pretend a whether right to the faid tithes; it is ordered by the Court, that if the tithe in Stratton faid college will consent to answer, they are to be made parties Audicy belongs to the bill, and may go to a trial at law, whether the two yard to the college, lands and a half yard land, parcel of the five yard lands belong- of Courtifield. ing to the parsonage of Stratton Audley, the inheritance whereof is in the faid college, ought to pay tithes to the vicar of Caversfield ?

In pursuance of the said order, the deputy remembrancer 816 Feb. 1676. made his report; and upon reading the faid decree and report it is ordered by the Court, that the faid report be confirmed, and

DURANT against Busn,

that the defendant do pay to the plaintiff the sum reported due, being fifty-two pounds.

AND OTHERS.

And for that it was alledged by counsel, that the college had been made a party to the bill, and had put in an answer thereto, it is ordered by the Court, that the college give in their answer, whether they will try the title or not?

A trial was had favor of the vi-

And whereas, on the thirtieth day of November, in the thirand a verdict in tieth year of his present majesty, upon the plaintiff's counsel, informing the court that a trial had been had with the 21th Nov. 1680. college, in which the plaintiff obtained a verdict, upon the issue formerly directed, it was ordered by the Court, that the former decree should be confirmed, and that a commission should be awarded to ascertain and set out the four yard lands and an half, lying in Stratton Audley, out of which the faid plaintiff ought to receive tithes; and the defendant Hall to pay the plaintiff his costs.

In pursuance of the said order a commission issued and was returned, as fet forth in the faid decree.

Now upon hearing counsel, and reading the said order and certificate, and upon full debate,

The tithes de ereed.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the said certificate be and hereby is ratified and confirmed in all the parts thereof, and that the faid plaintiff shall for the future have, receive, and take tithes of all and every the feveral and particular parcels of lands in the faid certificate mentioned.

Coffe,

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the faid defendants shall not pay the costs of the said commission, and the execution thereof, nor of this decree, they having already paid the costs upon the former decree.

> Wm. Montagu. EDW. ATKYNS. RD. WESTON.

MILARY TERM 29. CAR. S.

Asfordby, Clerk, against Newcomen.

Lincolnsbire, 19th February 1676.

The rector of THE bill stated, that the plaintiff for twelve years past hath Mablatherpe, in Deen rector of the parish church of Mablethrope cum Staine, claims tithes of in the county of Lincoln, and is entitled to all tithes within the certain pasture said parish, and to all compositions and customary payments in lieu of tithes.

The defendant The defendant answered that he had, during the time menadmits that he holds the land tioned in the bill, been occupier of feveral acres of pasturein Mabletborpe,

but fays he lives in Saltfleiby, and fets up a modus for strangers to pay 4d. an acre in lieu of tithes. ground

ground in the faid parish, and kept thereon sheep and other cattle, and that the tithes of the said stock of cattle were worth, one year with another, three pounds, and ten shillings; that all the time aforesaid, he hath dwelt in the parish of Saltsletby, and not in Mablethorpe; that there is a custom in Mablethurpe, that all farmers of land within the faid parish, living out of the said parish, shall pay to the rector upon the first day of August, or afterwards on request, fourpence an acre, in full satisfaction of all tithes, for every acre of pasture ground.

ASTORDEY againft NEWCOMEN.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendant shall The defendant pay to the plaintiff twelvepence an acre for all new converted decreed to pay ground by him occupied and enjoyed, within the faid parish of new meadow, Mabletherpe, in the faid twelve years; and also shall pay to the and 4d, an acre plaintiff fourpence an acre for all ancient pasture ground, by for ancient pashim occupied and enjoyed, within the faid parish, for the faid ture. time in lieu of tithes (a).

(a) See the case of Assordby v. Newcomen, 6th June 1678, Trinity Term, 30. Car. 2. post. 183.; and same case, post. 1 1th Nov. 1680. in which last case the customs above stated were declared to be unreafonable and void. But in the case of Caxton v. Langton, post. 2th Nov. 1690, Mich. Term. 2. Will. & Mary. the Court, taking notice of the foregoing cafes, declared the customs to be good.

> BASTER TERM 29. CAR. 2.

EARL OF BRIDGWATER, against THEED.

Buckinghamsbire, 21st May 1677.

THE scope of the bill was to recover tithes arising and grow. The plaintiff ing due upon the lands lying within the vill of Horton, in lands in the vilthe parish of Edlesborough, in the county of Bucks, the plaintiff lage of Horton, being proprietor of the rectory of the parish church of Edles- in the parish of borough aforesaid. The bill stated, that the defendent Theed, and Edleshorough, but his ancestors, had, for many years last past, rented of the plain- fays they are so intermixed with tiff, and his predecessors, the tithes yearly growing, &c. upon other lands that the lands within the faid vill of Horton, at ten pounds a year; their quantities that those lands lay so intermixed with other lands, without any cannot be ascercontinued bounds, that the individual lands in Horton, in the tained; said parish could not be certainly described, set forth, and distinguished from the other lands.

The defendants answered, and witnesses were examined, and counsel were heard.

The plaintiff's counselalledged that there were above two hun- and dred and fixty acres of land lying within the vill of Horton, in the he prays a comdred and fixty acres of land lying within the vill of riorion, in the miffin to accer-faid parish, whereof two hundred and forty-five acres were in the fame; possession of the defendant Theed, or his tenants, and the residue in the possession of other persons, but that, by the confusion with other lands in the defendant Theed's possession, the meets and boundaries thereof could not be certainly known, nor the individual lands described; therefore they prayed a commission to fet out the fame.

The defendant's counsel alledged, that there were not above

Bridgewater forty-four acres of land within the said vill of Horton, which

against Delonged to the parish, and very little part thereof in the possession of the desendant, or his under tenants; they therefore ant prays the prayed a trial at law to ascertain the quantities.

Quantities may be ascertained by a trial at law.

Two iffues di- THE COURT ordered that an action at law shall be brought rected. against the defendant Theed, upon two issues;

FIRST, Whether there be not above forty-four acres of land within the vill of *Horton*, which belong to the parish of *Edlesborough*, and how many acres there are above forty-four acres?

SECONDLY, How many acres of land there are within the vill of *Horton*, which belong to the parish of *Edlesborough*, in the possession of the defendant *Theed*, or his under tenants, and how many acres in the possession of other persons?

Sib Nov. 1677. A trial was accordingly had, and a verdict was found for the A verdict for the plaintiff upon all the iffues; but the defendant's counsel praying new trial granted, for that the inheritance of both parties was concerned, the Court ordered another trial on the former iffues, at the bar of this Court, by a special jury of the county of Bucks, on payment of the costs of the former trial to be taxed; that the plaintiff shall have liberty to peruse the defendant's book now offered to be given in evidence, if the plaintiff desire it; the Court giving no directions for making use of the said book at the trial, but ordering that the last verdict may be given in evidence, although another trial be ordered,

Another verdict Another trial was accordingly had, and after full and long for the plaintiff evidence given upon both fides, and upon a view, and reading merely that there fome part of the defendant Theed's pretended ancient book, and on full confideration had and taken thereof by the court and jury, a verdict was found for the plaintiff upon all the iffues, namely, as to

245 acres in the THE FIRST ISSUE, that there are two hundred and one acres par. sh of Edlef- of land, within the vill of Horton, which belong to the parish of Edlefborough.

e 32 acres in the As TO THE SECOND ISSUE, that there are one hundred and possession of the minety-two acres of land above forty acres of land, within the vill of Horton, which belong to the parish of Edlesborough, in the possession of other persons.

Tith Feb. 1677. The cause now coming on for further directions, it was alA commission ledged by the plaintiff's counsel, that although, by the two verguish the lands. dicts, it did appear that there were in all two hundred and forty-five acres of land in Horton, which belonged to the parish of
Edlesborough, and that two hundred and thirty-two acres thereof
belonged to the desendant Theed, and were in his, or his under

tenant's possession, and that the other thirteen acres, residue of two hundred and forty-five acres, were in the possession of other Baidgewater persons; yet that the lands themselves lay so intermixed with other lands, that the individual lands were not certainly known; he therefore prayed that a commission might iffue, under the seal of this Court, to afcertain the same two hundred and forty-five acres, and two hundred and thirty-two acres, and the other thirteen acres the residue, and also to describe and abut the fame, and that the same may be ever titheable to the plaintiff, and the tithes arising from the same paid to him, his heirs, and assigns, their farmers and tenants.

EARL OF agains Tueso.

It is ordered by the Court accordingly.

And it is further ordered, that the faid two hundred and The defendant thirty-two acres, and thirteen acres. fo to be fet forth, are to be pay tithes for titheable, and the defendant Theed, his heirs and affigns, and 245 acres, the occupiers of the same, shall from time to time set forth, yield, and pay the tithes arising of and from the same to the plaintiff, his heirs and affigns, farmers, and tenants.

And it is declared by the Court, that they ought also to pay and to pay the and fatisfy the plaintiff the arrears of the tithes thereof, or the arrears. value for the fame, for two years ending at Michaelmas last.

And also that the occupiers of the said thirteen acres ought also to pay their arrears for the faid years, and the tithes for the future to the plaintiff, his heirs, affigns, farmers, or tenants.

And it is further ordered, that the defendant Theed shall pay Moderate code. to the plaintiff moderate costs, for the last trial at law and in equity, to be taxed by the deputy remembrancer.

The other defendants Firth and Cooley to be dismissed this Court, and from the faid bill and the matters therein contained, without costs.

> WM. MONTAGU. Tim. Littleton. Edw. Thurland. VERE BERTIE.

### COWARD, Clerk, against Higdon. Somerfetsbire, 30th May 1677.

EASTER TERM, 29. CAR. 2.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff had been rector of the The rector of parish church of Ditcheatt, in the county of Somerset, for Ditcheatt in Sofeven years past, and had duly officiated the cure there, by merfershire, claims reason whereof he ought to receive all manner of tithes, arising to a special manwithin the faid parish, according to the custom of tithing corn ner of tithing. and grain there growing as described in the bill.

The

COWARD ezaingi MIGDON.

The defendant confessed the plaintiff to be reftor of the parish; but denied the custom.

The defendant denies the special sustom.

The particulars flated,

Upon hearing counsel on both sides, and reading several deof the custom positions, and upon debate of the matter; AND FOR THAT it appears to the Court by the depositions taken on the said plaintiff's behalf, that there is an ancient custom of tithing within the parish of Ditcheatt, that those who have any wheat grown in the faid parish do reap the same, and afterwards put it into moves or reekes on the place where it grew; that the proprietors of the several acres of beans, pease, oats, vetches, and barley, growing within the faid parish, are likewise, by the said encient custom of tithing, to put their beans into stacks, and their pease into heaps, and their oats, vetches, and barley into cocks on the fame ground; that, when they are to carry away the fame, and not fooner, they are then to lay out their tithes, being the tenth sheaf of wheat and beans, and the tenth heap of pease, and the tenth cock of oats, vetches, and barley; that the faid tithes being so to be respectively laid out, the several owners of the faid corn or grain, for so much of the same as is within the inclosed grounds of the said parish, are to give due notice, to the rector of the faid parish, of the time of carrying the said corn or grain, to their respective habitations, to the end the faid rector or his fervants may fee the tithes so laid out and receive them.

accordingly.

It is thereupon ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Tithes decreed Court, that the defendant, for the future, shall from time to time pay his tithes in pursuance of the said ancient custom of tithing within the said parish, and that the said custom or manner of tithing, within the faid parish of Ditcheatt, be and is hereby confirmed.

> WM. MONTAGU. TIM. LITTLETON. EDW. THURLAND. Vere Bertie.

TRIN. TRAMP 29. Caz. 2.

Offley, D. D. against Glynn.

Surry, 2d July 1677.

The plaintiff so THE bill stated, that the plaintiff, for five years past, had rector of Worden been rector of the rectory of Worplesdon, in the county of plessen, in Surry, and entitled to all tithes, both great and small, arising lying on the and renewing within Henley Park, in the said parish. fouth fide of the

brook, that runs through Healey Park, as lying in the faid parish.

The

The defendants denied that the lands lying within Henley Park, or any part thereof, are within the rectory or parish of Gwynn.

Worpleson, and that all the said lands lie in the parish of The desendant Ash, and that they have paid all manner of taxes and affessiments denies that they to the parish of Ash.

A trial at law was directed on this iffue, whether all the An iffue directlands, or any part thereof, and how much thereof, lying in ed to trythe fact.

Henley Park, on the fouth fide of the brook or rivulet running through the faid park, lye in the parish of Worplesdon, or not?

It was thought necessary that the jury should have a view of The jury have a the lands out of which the tithes in question are demanded; view and find and a view being had, the issue came on to be tried, and upon they are not in a long evidence, the jury found that neither the aforesaid lands, the said parish or any part thereof, do lie within the said parish of Worples. 13th June 1678-don.

THE COURT ordered, that the defendant shall be dismissed The desentant of and from the said bill, and the matters and things therein dismissed contained with very moderate costs, to be taxed by the deputy remembrancer.

WM. MONTAGU.
TIM. LITTLETON.
EDW. THURLAND.

### GWYNNE, Clerk, against SHARPE.

Trin. Trrma 29. Car. 2.

Wiltsbire, 2d June 1677.

THE plaintiff, as rector of the parish church of Wilton, in The rector of Wiltsbire, exhibited his bill, claiming the tithes of Friars Wilton, in Wiltend in kind, and twelve shillings for every yard land in the fores, claimstithese tithing of Netherhampton, in lieu of small tithes.

The plaintiff, as rector of the parish church of Wilton, in The rector of Wilton, in The rector of Wilton, in Wi

land in lieu of small tithes in the hamlet of Netherhampton.

The defendants confessed the plaintiff to be lawful rector of The defendants Wilton, and entitled to all manner of tithes there, saving the say, that Friers tithes for Friers Mend, which mead is tithe free, and except Mend is tithe right of the Earl of Pembroke to the great tithes in Nether-bampton.

The defendant Sharpe said, that for nine years past he had been and that they possessed, for the remainder of a term of ninety-nine years de-hold it under the terminable upon lives, whereof two are yet in being, of Friars Earl of Pende Mead, containing four acres, granted to him by Philip, Earl of Pembroke; which mead, for four years last past, he had let to the other defendant for thirteen pounds per annum, he, the defendant Sharpe, allowing one pound, thirteen shillings, and sixpence for Lord's Rent, with all rates and taxes, amounting to sifty shillings;

GWYNNE shallings; that he believed the same was part of some abbey, friary, or religious house, in or near Wilton; and that the same was always tithe free.

The defendant Brazier said, that he held Friars Mead of the defendant Sharpe.

The custom of The defendant Sharpe further said, that he is owner of a metathing in Nether-suage and one yard land in Netherhampton, held of the Earl of Pembroke by copy of court roll; and believed there hath been a custom in Netherhampton, for every yard land there to pay to the rector of Wilton for the time being, in lieu of small tithes, twelve shillings yearly, and so proportionably for a greater or lesser number or lands there. He said, that the same were, for the said time (except the last year) in different persons' possession; but that he was willing to pay the plaintist twelve shillings for the said year, according to the said cus-

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witneffes were examined.

The cause now came on to he heard; and on reading several depositions, and on full debate of the matter,

The 12d, a yard IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendant Sharpe land, in lieu of do forthwith pay to the plaintiff twelve shillings, in lieu and tithes in Nather-satisfaction for the tithes of the said yard land in Netherhampton for the year 1672, when he held the said yard land in his own hands.

And as touching and concerning the tithes of Friars Mead for the faid years mentioned,

The titles in IT IS ORDERED, that the said defendants do respectively satisfy bird of Frar's and pay to the plaintiff the values of the titles of the said mead called Friars Mead, for the several years they held the same.

WILLIAM MONTAGU.

TRIN. TREM, TOPHAM, Clerk, against WYMONDSOLD; et è Contra.
29. CAR. 2.

Lincolnsbire, 25th June 1677.

The vicar of THE plaintiff, as vicar of the vicarage of Deeping Saint James, Deeping, in Linotherwise East Deeping, in the county of Lincoln, by his bill collisioner, files a stated, that he was licensed by the Bishop of Lincoln, about ten bill against the years since, into the parish-church of Deeping, and made lawful for the payment vicar there; that during that time he had served the cure, and of all small sinks was thereby entitled to all tithes of wool, lamb, barren cattle, in kind; cattle taken in by agistment, and fat cattle sold by the butcher; of hemp, flax, calves, pigs, geese, eggs, honey, milk, fruit, poultry, and other small takes; Easter books, dues, duties, offerings, and

and oblations arising within the said parish and the titheable places thereof, and to all compositions in lieu of tithes, sums of money, wymonsold; rates, and payments due and payable, or which have been usually paid to the vicar there; that the said impropriate rectory was stating, that the parcel heretofore of the abbey of Thorney and priory of Deeping rectory was par-Saint James; that the parish being populous, the cure was served cel of the abbey Saint James; that the parith being populous, the cure was ierved and by two priests, who had considerable allowances besides the small of Thorney and priory of Deeping, tithes which of right belong to the vicar or curate; that KING and granted by HENRY THE EIGHTH, in the twenty-second year of his reign, King Henry the granted the said rectory to the Duke of Norfolk "as amply as the Eighth to E. "abbot and prior had granted the same to Elizabeth Holland," by Holland, with an which grant all the said tithes, rights, and allowances, belonging to rights belonging the vicarage were excepted, and have ever fince been paid to, or to the vicarage. compounded for by, all fucceeding vicars officiating the cure there, and ought to be paid to the plaintiff; that the faid impropriate rectory being come to the defendants, they pretend that all the tithes of the vicarage do belong to some or one of them, and refuse to pay tithes in kind, or the dues, rates, &c. for their lands, and the titheable matters due from them to the plaintiff. He therefore prayed a full discovery of their titheable matters and things, and the values thereof, and that the defendants might account for and pay the same.

The defendants denied all knowledge of the plaintiff having The impropriabeen licensed or collated to the said vicarage; but believed the tor states, that had impropriate rectory was parcel of the possessions of the abbey granted the recoss Thorney and priory or cell of East Deeping; that the house and tory to the Duke scite of the said priory and the said rectory, with all tithes great of Norfolk, withand small, by the statute of dissolution of abbeys, came to King out any reserva-HENRY THE EIGHTH, and were by him granted to the Duke of lowance to, the Norfolk, in which grant there is no exception or refervation of vicar; any tithes or other allowances to the vicar; which faid rectory and deduces the and premises came, by good conveyance, to William Wymondfold, same by mesne who settled the same upon J. Osborne and others, and their heirs, conveyances to the use of the said William Wymongold for life, then to the from the Duke of Norfolk to himuse of Sir Dawes Wymondfold, and after his decease to the use fell, of the defendant Dame Jane Wymondfold for life, for her jointure, and after her decease, to the first and second sons, and all other fons, of Sir Dawes in tail, with other remainders; that twenty nobles a year were paid to the vicar during the life of William Wymondfold; and after the rectory came to Sir Dawes stating, that they Wymondfeld, he, of his free gift, increased it fifteen pounds, and had paid certain fometimes more, which was paid to the vicar during his life; sums gratuitously to the vicar, but that the faid payment was afterwards continued by the defendant denying his right Dame Jane Wymondfold until the plaintiff infifted upon it as his thereto; right; whereupon the did forbear to pay the faid fifteen pounds a year, for half-a-year ending at Michaelmas 1675; but tendered the faid half-year's pay at the rate of twenty nobles a-year, which

TOPHAM against et è Centrà.

the is still ready to pay. The defendants denied that they either lived in the parish, or that they held any lands therein, or had any WYMONDSOLD; titheable matters within the same, since the plaintiff had been vicar, or that they had paid any money in lieu of tithes, or ever and also denying heard of any being paid, other than as aforesaid; and stated, that they either that the defendant Dame Jane Wymondfold claimed all the tithes lived or held any and rights of the faid rectory as belonging to her during her lands in the palife; and denied all knowledge of any modus or composition other than the twenty nobles a-year.

The plaintiff replied generally.

The defendants The defendants filed their cross bill, stating their title to the file a croft bill, rectory impropriate, and church of Deeping, with the rights, stating their ntle, and that the glebes, tithes, oblations, and profits thereto belonging; that vicarage is only the defendant knew the tithe rates and customary payments endowed with a belonging to the faid rectory to have been always received by the bouse, land, and impropriators and their lessees; and that the vicarage is entwenty nobles a- dowed with a house, orchard, garden, some land, and twenty nobles a-year: and they prayed a discovery in the premises.

The vicar con-

fesses the im- and had received the great and small tithes; but knew not by propriators have and had received the great and initial titles; but knew not by always received what right they have fo done. He confessed the endowment of the tithes, both the vicarage, and that he was licensed in the year 1668, and great and small, collated by the bishop in the year 1675; that he had forbidden the inhabitants and parishioners of Deeping to pay any small tithes and offerings to Lady Wymondfold or her agents; and that he had taken the profits of the vicarage-house and lands fince he but denies all ferved the cure there. He faid, that he knew of no endowment but that made by the bishop in the year 1609, saving that he hath feen an ancient book in the priory-house at Deeping, purporting

The vicar confessed the plaintiffs were seised of the rectory,

knowledge of the faid endow ment.

> The plaintiff replied; and both the causes being at issue, witnesses were examined.

> fomething of an endowment, but remembered not the contents.

Upon opening the bills and answers, and reading the deposi-On reading a tions on both fides, and a leafe made by David, heretofore Abbot lease to E. Hol of Thorney, to Elizabeth Holland, of the demesnes of the priory of Saint James in Deeping (being an appendix to the abbey of I borney). to which priory the faid rectory of Saint James Deeping, with the advowson, was appropriate; by which lease there is also granted to the said Elizabeth Holland the said rectory, and all tithes, oblations, and other profits, and there is only referved to the faid vicar a pension of fix pounds, thirteen shillings, and sourpence; and a furrey of and upon reading a copy of a record in the reign of King Henry THE EIGHTH of the survey of the said priory, and of the rents and charges issuing out thereof, wherein there is also reserved to the faid vicar the faid fix pounds, thirteen shillings, and four-

pence,

the priory,

pence, and no more; and also an inspeximus of a patent made the ninth of July, in the thirty-second year of Henry the Eighth, against the ninth of July, in the thirty-second year of Henry the Eighth, WYMONDSOLD; whereby the said king granted to the Duke of Norfolk the reversion of the demesnes of the said priory and rectory, reserving only and an inspecimena twenty nobles a-year to the vicar; and upon full debate of the of King Henry's matters aforefaid a

patent to the Duke of Norfolko

This Court doth declare, that they do not fee any cause to the Court derelieve the faid plaintiff Topham upon any of the matters by him clares the vicar complained of in his bill. not entitled,

It is thereupon finally ordered and adjudged by the and difmiffes the Court, that the defendants in the original cause be, and are desendant, hereby difinished this court of and from the said bill, and the matters and things therein contained.

And for that in the cross bill exhibited there is not any matter no relief being of relief prayed whereupon the Court may proceed to make any prayed by the

It is therefore further ordered, that the cross bill be and is likewise dismissed.

And costs, on both the said causes, are spared on either side. Wm. Montagu, TIM. LYTTLETON. EDW. THURLAND. Vere Bertie.

CLARKE against Sunderland and Others. Yorkshire, 11th February 1677.

HILARY TERM 29. CAR. S.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff was farmer of the rectory of The South Cave, in the county of York, and that he was entitled claims the tithes of South Cave, in the all manner of tithes due to the rector, and particularly to the the county of tithes of corn, grain, hay, wool and lamb, as well as of other forts rate of tithes.

The defendants stated, that they held divers lands in the The defendants parish of South Cave which were not parcel of the manor of plead payments parish of South Cave which were not parcel of the manor of tithes of the Bromfleete; and that for those lands they had duly paid their lands in South tithes to the plaintiff; and that they also held, as tenants, several Gave which were other lands within the faid rectory which are parcel of the manor not parcel of the of Bromfleete, which was parcel of the late diffolved monastery of manor of Brom. Saint Leonard, in the faid county, and which lands and tene flette. ments have, time out of mind, been held discharged from tithes.

An iffue was directed to try, "whether the lands and tenements An iffue directed " held by the defendants, which are parcel of the manor of to try, whether " held by the defendants, which are parter of the lands in South " Bromfleete, in the faid county, have been, by prescription time the lands in South Gave which are out of mind, and now are, and ought to be, discharged from parcelos the ma. the payment of all manner of tithes to the rector of the rectory nor of Bromflette " of South Cave? whether they be in the owner's, or in are discharged of " the tenant's hands?"

A trial

#### DECREES IN TITHE CAUSES

176

CLARKE A trial was accordingly had, and a verdict passed for the deagainst fendants.

AND OTHERS. '
34th May 1678. And a verdick being found for the defendants,

fed,

THE COURT therefore ordered and adjudged, that the defendants shall be, and hereby are absolutely dismissed this court of and from the said bill, and the matters and things therein contained; and that costs on both sides shall be paid, according to the course of the court.

WILLIAM MONTAGU.
TIM. LITTLETON.
EDW. THURLAND.
VERE BERTIE.

Easter Term 30. Car. 2. SILVERLOCKE, Clerk, against Isles.

Esfex, 29th April 1678.

a custom to pay THE bill was filed to discover what lands the defendant occuevery tenth pied within the parish of Tilbury, in the county of Essex, and
day's milk to have satisfaction for the tithes of the milk of cows depastured
of May to the thereon.

The desendant insisted upon a custom within the parish of

"The defendant infifted upon a custom within the parish of for all tithe West Tilbury, "that the inhabitants there ought to pay for tithe milkthrough-" milk every tenth day's milk or tenth meal's milk from the first day of May to the first day of August following yearly, in full of all tithe milk throughout the year."

THE COURT was of opinion, that the custom is illegal; and therefore ordered, that the defendant shall pay to the plaintist his tithe milk throughout the whole year, or the value thereof.

Wm. Montagu. Tim. Littleton. Edw. Thurland. Fr. Bramston.

BASTER TERM 30. CAR. 2. PERNE, Clerk, against STYLES, Clerk (a).

Lincolnsbire, 22d April 1678.

The bill states, THE bill stated, that Robert Chapman, clerk, was seised in see of that William and the advowson of the church of Crowland, in the county of Robert, being Lincoln, and of the rectory impropriate, and of the tithes in the see of the ad parish of Crowland, in the said county; that he had issue Grace vowson of Crow Richmond and Susan Southwell; that Grace Richmond, the eldest land, and the sister, died; whereupon one moiety of the advowson and imschurch being

void, presented the plaintiff to the cure thereof, and afterwards conveyed their interests in the same to the desendants Wildbore, Southwell, and Hawkins, in trust for the plaintiff, and his successors in the said cure; and therefore the plaintiff claims the tithes thereof.

(a) See Perne w. Oldfield, 2. Chan, Cafes, 32. S. C. Raym. 60. propriations

against STYLES,

propriation descended to William, her son and heir; that Susan Southwell died, whereupon the other moiety descended to Robert, her fon and heir; that, they being feifed, and the church being void, they presented the plaintiff; that Robert having a desire to fettle his moiety in trust for the plaintiff, and for those that should succeed him in the cure of the said church, did, by indenture dated the first of August 1671, grant his moiety to the defendants Wildbore and Southwell, and to their heirs, for the parsons and curates of the said church, and their successors, for ever; that William did, by like indenture dated the twentieth of October 1672, convey his moiety to the defendants Southwell and Hawkins, and their heirs, in trust for the plaintiff, being legally presented and inducted, and the succeeding ministers of the said church; whereby the plaintiff became entitled, and ought to enjoy the same; that the plaintiff, upon occasion of opposition, hath been feveral times put into possession by the posses comitatus; but that the defendants Southwell, Wildbore, and Hawkins, by multiplicity of fuits and threats, compelled several of the parishioners to pay them the tithes, whereas in truth they had no title at all fince the twenty-fixth of December 1672; that feveral of the defendants had occupied lands in the faid parish, but refused to pay the tithes to the plaintiff. To the end, therefore, that the defendants, the parishioners, might discover what tithes they had, or what lands they occupied fince the twenty-fixth of December 1672, or fince the plaintiff's title accrued, and that the plaintiff might be relieved in the premises, the bill was filed.

The defendant Styles put in his plea and answer, and died before the cause was brought to an issue,

The defendant Kendall put in his plea, which, upon argument, was over-ruled, and he was ordered to answer.

The defendants the parishioners answered, and confessed the The desendants plaintiff's presentation from Southwell and Richmond, and their say, that Crowconveyances in trust for the plaintiff's use; but they alledged, land is a rectory that he had no title to any of the tithes he pretended to; for that the tithes THAT the rectory is impropriate, and no tithes belonging thereto, belong to the but only oblations, mortuaries, funerals, and christenings; the impropriator, other tithes being due to the rectors, who had used, at their own who is to find a charge, to find a chaplain, who was licensed by the hithon and chaplain; and charge, to find a chaplain, who was licensed by the bishop, and that he gave the admitted to it for his life in the nature of a donative; that, under same to one this qualification, the defendant Styles was in; and therefore the Stiles in the naplaintiff's inftitution and induction void; as also the grants of ture of a dona-Southwell and Richmond. They therefore fet forth their respective the presentation small tithes, but not their predial tithes. They further answered, to the plaintiff and faid, that the prefentation to the plaintiff was void by was void, and reason of fimony, because the defendant Styles was, at the time of fimoniacal, the fuch presentation, curate or incumbent. They admitted, that then full. Vol. I. Richmond

against STYLES.

abbot;

Richmond and Southwell granted their several moieties in trust for the plaintiff; and faid, that the same was intended to be by virtue of the statute 17. Car. 2. c. 3. which only extended to But they ad-cities and towns corporate, which Crowland was not, and theremitted the grant fore the grant was void; that the manor of Crowland, and the in trust for the plaintiff and his rectory thereof, heretofore did belong to the about of Crowland, successors, but who was a mitred abbot, and that his abbey, one of the great mosaid it was in nasteries, was dissolved by the statute 31. Hen. 8. c. 13.; that tended to be by the faid manor confifted of very great demesnes, wastes, and servirtue of the 17. vices, several of which demesses and wastes were granted to that the lands of fendants respectively claim; that the faid abbot, and all those longed to the whose estates he had in the said manor, from the time whereof abbae of Crow- the memory of man is not to the contrary, for themselves and land, a mitted their faid tenants, had been respectively discharged of all predial and mixed tithes arising and renewing within the said manor, except the tithes particularly mentioned in their answer; that in confideration thereof the faid abbot did, at his own charges, build a chapel, and found a priest to celebrate divine service there, who yearly had the following tithes, viz. oblations, burials, christenings, tithes of hogs, geese, milk, and marriages; and that at the that the faid abbot of Crowland was, at the time of the diffolution, time of the diffo-lution of monaf-feifed of the faid abbey, the scite whereof consisted in a fair abbeyseries the faid house, with a chapel thereunto adjoining, which was parcel of abbot was seised the said monastery, and of four hundred acres of marsh, surof the abbey, rounded with water, which were in the faid abbot's hands at the and of the faid time of the diffolution; that the rectory, time out of mind, had been in the possession or occupation of the predecessors of the faid abbot; that it coming to the crown by the 31. Hen. 8. c. 13. the same descended to King Edward the Sixth, who fold the of same to the Lord Clinton and Say; and that from him, by mesne which were dif-charged of tithes conveyances, the fame was come to F. Wing field, whose estate by unity of post herein was claimed to be discharged of all payments of tithes by reason of the said unity of possession, or by prescription time out of

manor,

The defendant The defendants Randall and others confessed, that in 1673, Rendall confesses 1674, and 1675, they held lands in Alderlands, and also in Postholding lands in land, within the faid parish, for which they paid no tithes to the plaintiff.

mind, or by fome other lawful ways and means.

The plaintiff re-

The plaintiff replied specially, and faid, that by the statute of plies, that the the 17. Car. 2. c. 3. the owners of the impropriation and settled the rec- tithes fettled the same in trust for the curates, parsons, or tory on him and vicars of the said parish successively; that thereby, or by other his successors. good title, the plaintiff, for the time in the bill mentioned, was entitled to the tithes in the faid parish; and that the lands in the defendants possessions were liable to pay tithes in kind.

> Issue being joined, witnesses were examined on both sides; and upon hearing counsel on both sides, and upon much debate

of

of the matter in question, the Court was fully satisfied, that the church of Grewland is not presentative, nor the matter capable of fimony; and that therefore the plaintiff cannot be guilty of The Court of ofimony, as is alledged against him, but that he hath made forth a
pinion, that the good title to such tithes of the said parish as ought to be paid. church is not

presentative, and therefore the plaintiff's induction no simony.

But inafmuch as the defendant's counsel insisted, that the But an issue is demessione lands of the said manor of Crowland were discharged directed to try, from payment of tithes; and it appearing to the Court, by the bot held the defendant's proofs, that the lands in Palland in the faid and the defendant's proofs, that the lands in Postland, in the said parish, lands are the demessive lands of the said manor, the Court ordered a trial Possiands freed at law on the following issue, "Whether the abbot of Crowland, from tithe. # at the time of the diffolution of the faid abbey, in the thirty-" first year of King Henry the Eighth, and all his predecessors " abbots of the said abbey, time out of mind, held the said lands " called Pufant, otherwise Postlands, freed and discharged from " payment of any manner of tithes?" to be tried by a special jury in an action on the statute 2. & 3. Edw. 6. c. 13.; the defendants to admit the plaintiff to be rightful incumbent of Crowland, and to admit his title to the same, and agree a value; the action to be brought against one defendant, and the rest who hold jany demesne lands in the said parish, to be con-

And as to all the other lands, except Poftlands, within the faid The tithes of all parish, held by the defendants Kendall and Hampson, in regard the other lands it no ways appeared to the Court that the same are demession plaintiff. lands.

THE COURT ordered the defendants to pay to the plaintiff. the value of the tithes of the said lands.

cluded by the faid trial; and the equity of the cause, as to the

demesine lands, to be reserved till after the trial.

A trial was accordingly had against the defendant S. Ken-A verdict is dall, upon the statute of Edward the Sixth, for not setting forth given for his tithes of the demesne lands in his possession; and after long plaintiff. evidence on both fides, a verdict was given for the plaintiff.

Now, upon hearing, the plaintiff's counsel desiring that the The Court deplaintiff might have a decree for the tithes in question; and clare, that Styles had no title to upon reading the return of the pofice; and the defendant's any of the tithes, counsel insisting that the defendant Styles (who died since the commencement of the fuit) was lawful curate of the faid parish, and continued so until his death, and thereby was entitled to the tithes of the faid parish, all which was made to appear to the court by the defendant Styles's answer; and by reading of a licence granted to the faid Styles from the vicar-general; and that accordingly he enjoyed the fame; and also that the plaintiff had not produced any particular licence to ferve

PERNE against STYLES.

the faid cure, otherwise than what is mentioned in an instrument of admission grounded upon a presentation granted to the plaintiff, which was declared to be void as fuch; and that, for that reason, the bill ought to be dismissed, as the desendant's counsel did insist.

THE COURT, upon a deliberate hearing touching the aforefaid matter; and upon a serious and solemn debate thereon; and upon reading the instruments whereby the plaintiff claims, as curate or incumbent of the parish-church of Crowland, DO UNA-NIMOUSLY DECLARE, that the faid W. Styles, clerk, has no title to any of the tithes of the faid parish of Crowland for and during any of the time for which the plaintiff, by his bill, seeks a discovery; but that the plaintiff hath a good title to the same for and during all the faid time.

but the defended the amount paid to Styles;

But inafmuch as the faid Styles pretended a title to the fame ants to be allow-tithes, and the defendant's counsel alledged that they had paid of the tithe they fome of their tithes to him,

> THE COURT, by and with the consent of the plaintiff, do order that they shall be allowed what tithes they shall make appear to have been actually paid to him, or any composition for the same, in his life-time,

but a new trial

And inafmuch as the defendant's counsel prayed another trial is granted, as to concerning the defendants being discharged from the payment the demession lands, of tithes for their said demession lands; and the Court taking into consideration the great value of the tithes of the said demesse lands, and not out of any diflike of the verdict obtained, ordered, that a trial shall be had at the bar of this court between the plaintiff and the defendant Kendall; the issue to be, "whether to try, whether " the demession lands of the manor of Crowland, and whereof dif- " the defendant Kendall held part during the years in the bill from " mentioned, are, and ought to be, legally freed and discharged " from the payment of all tithes, or not?" and at the faid trial the defendant is to admit the plaintiff to be rightful incumbent of Crowland, and duly entitled to all fuch tithes as are due and payable for the faid demesne lands of the manor during the time in and all the de- the bill mentioned; and if a verdict shall pass for the plaintiff, all fendants to be the defendants who hold demesne lands are to be bound by the

they are charged titles;

verdiet.

And it is further ordered by the Court, by consent of the dejury, the plain- fendants, that they are to pay the jury (the plaintiff being a pauper), whether the verdict be for or against them; and they also his are to pay the plaintiff his taxed costs of the last trial; and in case they do not pay the same, then the aforesaid trial shall not be had; but this cause shall be determined without any other

fame: to be tried by a special jury of the county of Middlesex.

tiff being a pantaxed costs.

trial.

A trial

A trial was accordingly had, and a verdict passed for the defendants; and on the fifth day of July instant, the plaintiff's counsel moved for a new trial; but the Court thought fit to make no order: and now, on the tenth of July 1679, upon A verd to found hearing counsel, and reading the plaintiff's affidavit, a new trial ants, that the being a fecond time prayed,

THE Court declared, they saw no cause for a new trial, and A new trial refused the application.

PERNE a gainj? STYLES,

demejne lands ave tithe free.

moved for, but resused.

### Bull against Mellier and Collier.

EASTER TERE 30. CAR. 2.

Somersetsbire, 6th May 1678.

THE plaintiff was owner and impropriator of the rectory of The impropria-Murlinch, in the county of Somerset, and of the great and tor of the rectosmall tithes within the villages of Murlinch, Callcott, Eddington, in the county of Chelton, Sutton, and Stowell, in the faid parish.

The defendant Collier was vicar of the vicarage of Murlinch.

The scope of the bill was, to compel the defendant Mellier to Eddington, exdiscover the number of acres of meadow and hay ground he had cept that which is made on Anheld during the last four years within the said rectory, and the cient quantities of hay he had mowed there from any ground not and on Sinck being ancient meadow, commonly called Ancient Meadow, and Meadow. Stock Meadow.

Somerfet, is entitled to the titles Of hay made in the village of

The defendant Mellier confessed himself to be an occupier of meadow ground within the village of Eddington; and denied that he had any tithe hay there due to the impropriator, except some small wet pieces of ground called the Suggs, lying in the open fields; but faid, that for all the meadow ground lying between the East and West Lands twopence an acre hath been anciently paid to the vicar there, in lieu of all the tithe hay there; and that for all other meadow ground, either ancient meadow, A medus fet up. or formerly arable and now made meadow, or mowing ground; the customary rate or payment of one penny an acre hath beenand is due and payable to the vicar of the said vicarage, for and in lieu of all tithe hay arising therefrom; and he set forth the quantities and values of French or clover grass, and of the natural grafs.

The defendant Collier set forth, that he was vicar of the said The vicar claims vicarage, and entitled to the several moduses of one penny and the tithe. twopence an acre for the ancient meadow in Murlinch, Sutton, and Stowell, but not in Calcott, Eddington, and Chelton; and demied any custom of paying to the vicar there any rate or modus for  $N_3$ 

Bull *egainf* Mellier. any new made meadow, or any hay in kind in *Eddington*, or any composition for new made meadow there; and said, that he had agreed with the other defendant for his vicarial tithes; but denied that it was expressed or intended that any tithe hay or modus of any new made meadow was or should be included therein.

The plaintiff replied; and issue being joined, several witnesses were examined on both fides; and upon hearing counsel for all parties; and reading several depositions on behalf of the plaintiff and the defendant Mellier; and on debate of the matter; THE COURT ordered a trial at law; the issue to An iffee direct- be, "whether, by custom within the said parish of Mur-" linch, all the occupiers of lands and tenements within the 's faid village of Eddington have, time out of mind, paid, or " of right ought to pay, to the vicar of the vicarage of Mur-66 linch for the time being his farmers, or tenants, the yearly " fum of one penny an acre for, and in lieu, satisfaction, and 66 discharge of, all manner of tithe of grass made into hay, and other tithes due to the vicar and impropriator there, yearly " arising from all and every the meadows and mowing grounds, " and other grounds within the faid village of Eddington, as well " ancient meadow as ground made at any time, or converted " from arable or pasture into meadow, or mowing ground, and other grounds there, except the small pieces of ground called \*\* the Suggs, and the meadow or moving grounds in Eddington, " lying between the two lands there called the East and West " Lands; and so after that rate for a greater or leffer quan-« tity ?"

A verdict for A trial was accordingly had; and the plaintiff obtained a the plaintiff. verdict.

The Court therefore ordered, that the defendant Mellier do Tities in kind decreed to the impropriator.

The Court therefore ordered, that the defendant Mellier do Murlinch, the plaintiff, as impropriator of the faid rectory of Murlinch, the tithe hay in kind, or the value thereof, for the feveral quantities of hay rifen or gained from all and every the meadows and mowing grounds, and other grounds within the village of Eddington, at any time made or converted from arable or pasture into meadow or mowing grounds (other than and except Ancient Meadow and Stock Meadow) occupied and enjoyed

by the faid defendant in the faid years.

Wm. Montagu. Tim. Littleton. Edw. Thurland. Fr. Bramston.

ASFOR DBY

## Asforder, Clerk, against Newcomen.

Lincolnsbire, 6th June 1678.

TRIM. TERM, 20. CAR. 2.

THE plaintiff stated, that for twelve years past he had been The rector of rector of the parish-church of Mablethorpe Saint Mary cum Mablethorpe, in the county of Lincoln and was entitled to all tithes Lincolnshire, de-Staine, in the county of Lincoln, and was entitled to all tithes mands the tithes within the faid parish.

of pasture

The defendant set forth, that, for the said time, he had been The desendant occupier of feveral acres of pasture ground in the said parish, and confesses that had kept thereon sheep and other cattle; but that, for all the he holds passures time aspection he dwelt in Sassess and not in Makethanes in the parish, time aforesaid, he dwelt in Salstetby, and not in Mabletborpe; but says, that he and that there was a particular custom of tithing in Mable-dwells out of it; tborpe.

and states a me-

Two iffues were directed, to try,

FIRST, Whether, by custom in Mablethorpe, all farmers of Anisuedirected lands within the faid parish, living out of the faid parish, ought to try, whether to pay to the rector there for the time being, fourpence an acre, modes of fouror any other fum or fums of money, and how much, for every pence an acre, acre of pasture ground, in full and satisfaction of all tithes yearly payable on the coming, happening, or renewing, within the faid parish, to be first of Agraf, paid yearly upon every first day of August?

in lieu of tithes;

SECONDLY, How much new converted ground, and how and to find how much ancient pasture, the said defendant occupied within the much ancient faid parish of *Mablethorpe* in every of the faid twelve years?

fendant held.

A trial was had; and the jury found, that the faid defendant 22d May 1679. held and occupied, in the faid parish of Mabletborpe, in every of A verdice parily the faid twelve years, one hundred and feventy-leven acres, for the plaintiff, namely, one hundred and forty-eight acres of ancient pasture, and partly for the defendant. and twenty-nine acres of new-converted ground; and that the custom is to pay fourpence a-year for every acre of ancient pasture, when the same remain pasture lands, and twelvepence for every acre of new-converted ground.

THE COURT, therefore, ordered the defendant to pay to the Tithes decreed, plaintiff fourpence an acre per annum for every acre of ancient pasture by him held and occupied in the said parish of Mablethorpe in every of the said twelve years; and also twelvepence an acre per annum for every acre of new-converted ground for the faid time; which faid feveral fums being computed in court amount to forty-seven pounds; and the plaintiff is to allow the defendant twelve pounds, four shillings, and fourpence, paid him thereout; therefore there remains due to the plaintiff thirtyfour pounds, fifteen shillings, and eightpence.

And it is further ordered by the Court, that the plaintiff Costs. shall have his costs in this suit, except for the former decree, and Ante, 166. N 4 except

ASTORDET

against

Newcomen.

except costs for the trial at law, which are to be set one against the other, the said verdict being partly for the plaintiff and partly for the desendant.

> WM. MONTAGU. Tho. RAYMOND. EDW. ATKYNS.

TRIN. TERM, 30. CAR. 2. PEARSE against BENNETT.
Wilesbire, 13th June 1678.

The plaintiff THE scope of the bill was to be relieved for tithes due claims the tithes to the plaintiffs, as owners and proprietors of the rectory of Norton Farm, of Norton Bavant, in Wiltsbire, out of a farm and several hunnorton Rawant, dred acres of land and meadow within the said parish, for sive in the county of years past.

Wiles.

The defendant The defendant faid, that the is owner of the farm called Norton tys, the farm Farm, confifting of feveral hundred acres of arable, pasture, and was parcel of meadow; that the said farm and lands were heretofore parcel of Dariford Abby, the months of Dariford in the county of Kent; and that in the county of the monastery of Dartford, in the county of Kent; and that, Kent, and held by the statute of the thirty-first year of Henry the Eighth they by the abbot came to the crown; that the abbot of the faid monastery, and discharged of the his predecessors, at the time of the dissolution of the said monastime it was dif- tery, held the faid farm and lands discharged of tithes; that the late King James, by his letters patents dated the twenty-fixth of folved. November, in the seventh year of his reign, granted the same to G. Salter and John Williams, and their heirs; and that the same are, by good conveyances, come to the defendant for life; that KING HENRY THE EIGHTH, and all other persons under whom į fhe claims, have holden the fame discharged of tithes.

Now upon opening the pleadings, and hearing counsel on both fides; and upon long debate of the matter;

A trial ordered The Court ordered a trial at law in an action upon the statute on 2. & 3. Edw. 2. Edw. 6. c. 13. for the not setting forth of tithes; the defendant 6. c. 13. in which the de- to admit the plaintiff's title to the rectory under the letters fendant is to patents, and insist upon any discharge to exempt her from payplead the statute ment of tithes, or that the said tithes did not pass by the said 31. Hen. 8. c. 13. grant; but no lease in being of the said tithes is to be insisted on by the defendant.

21st Nov. 1678. A trial was had; but the plaintiffs, who produced a copy of The plaintiff part of the faid letters patents, but had omitted to take out the non-fulted for non obstantes therein, were, for want thereof, nonsuited; but not having taken a new trial was granted upon payment of the costs of the last strial.

A new trial granted on payment of cofts.

A new

A new trial was accordingly had, and a verdict was given for the defendant; and now upon hearing the plaintiff's counsel, praying another new trial, on the allegation that the verdict was given for the defendants, contrary to the expectation of the judge 26th June 1679. before whom the cause was tried; and, after hearing the A verdict given defendant's counsel, a new trial was ordered, upon payment of ants; and a good cofts for the last trial; and that this shall be final to the third trial orderplaintiffs: to be tried by a special jury.

azainf BENNETT.

ed on payment of good cofts.

Pursuant to which last order a trial was had; and upon long Verdict for the defendant. and full evidence, a verdict passed for the defendant.

The cause coming this day to receive a final hearing,

27th Nov. 1679.

The Court, after much debate of the matter, the plaintiff's Another trial counsel insisting upon a new trial, ordered, that the defendant resused. shall be, and is hereby absolutely dismissed of and from the said The desendant dismissed. bill, and the matters and things therein contained.

> Wm. Montagu. THO. RAYMOND. EDW. ABKYNS.

DAVIS, Clerk, against TUTTON. Somersetsbire, 10th June 1678.

TRIN. TELM. 30. Car. 2.

THE bill stated, that for five years past, the plaintiff had been The vicar of vicar of the vicarage of Wedmore, in the county of Somerset, Wedmore, in Seand was duly instituted and inducted thereto, and performed marjet bit c, claims the tithes of the cure there; that he was thereby legally entitled to receive calves according the profits of the glebe lands, and all tithes of calves, honey, to a particular wax, geefe, cows, pigs, orchards, and all other small tithes, manner of title offerings, oblations, and obventions whatfoever, yearly ariting ingtherein, and the titheable places thereof; that within the said parish there hath been a custom, time out of mind, that every The custom parishioner who has calves fallen within the parish to the num-stated. ber of feven, eight, nine, or ten, in any one year, shall give one calf to the vicar for his tithe thereof; and that if the number be under seven, then the parishioner shall pay nothing for the fall thereof in that year, unless he had compounded with the vicar for the same; that the vicar had the liberty and benefit, by the said custom, to drive the same, and put off the receipt and benefit (the number of the faid calves being under seven) until the next or following years, until fuch parithioner had ten calves in the whole, or so many as ought to yield the vicar one calf; and then such parishioner is to account with and pay to the vicar the tenth calf in kind; and so after the same rate for any number of calves above ten, according to the same proportions.

againf

DATES

The defendants denied the custom, as stated in the bill; and faid, that the custom is, and so hath been time out of mind, that every parishioner who has seven, eight, nine, or ten calves fallen The defendants within the faid parish in one year ought to pay to the vicar one Achy the custom as stated, and of the faid calves for his tithes thereof; but that if he had under alledge a differ seven calves in one year fallen, then he ought to pay to the rent custom of vicar one halfpenny for the tithe of each calf so fallen in one paying the tithe year that is weaned; but that if the parishioner sell any of the faid calves, then the faid vicar is to have, by the faid custom, the tenth penny of what the faid calf or calves are fold for; and that in case the calves fall in one year under the number of feven, and the same should be killed by the owner thereof, the vicar, by the faid custom, is to have the left shoulder of the calf fo killed, or fourpence, at the election of the vicar, in lieu of the tithe thereof.

Upon opening the pleadings, and reading feveral deposi-The Court, on the tions, the tithe book, and divers ancient tithe books of the former rithe books, is favicars there, and upon long debate of the faid custom of tithing
tissied of the custom, as stated of calves within the said parish of Wedmore, it fully appeared, and was proved to the Court, that the custom for tithing of calves, in the bill. within the faid parish of Wedmore, hath been, and is as in the bill is fet forth.

The defendants ingly.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED BY THE COURT, that every of ordered to pay the defendants that hath had any calves fallen within the faid parish shall pay tithes for the same, according to the custom stated in the bill; which faid custom is hereby ratified and confirmed to all intents and purposes whatsoever. The defendants to pay moderate taxed costs in respect of the said customs.

> Wm, Montagu. TIM. LITTLETON. EDW. THURLAND.

> > The

MICH. TERM, 30. CAR. 2.

WAUGH, Clerk, against GREENWOOD.

Yorkshire, 25th November 1678.

The vicar of THE bill stated, that the plaintiff and his predecessors, vicars parrington, in Darrington, in the country of York, have, time out of mind, Tarkfire, claims enjoyed all tithes of hay and corn in kind; that for twenty years the tithe of the township of Sta- past he hath had the tithes in kind of all corn, grain, and hay, pleton, and of arifing therein; that the defendant, for five years past, was Holgate Farm, owner of several lands in Stapleton, within the said vicarage, and within the faid of Holgate Farm, and had fown the same with corn, and mowed hay, and kept many cows and sheep which had calves and lambs, whereof the plaintiff ought to have had tithes in kind, worth four pounds per annum; which he refused to pay, under several pretences.

The defendant denied that he or his predecessors ever had any tithe of corn and hay, or that there was any modus for the fame against GREENWOOD. within the townships of Darrington or Wentbridge; for that the The defendant erchbishop was owner of the same there, and that G. Holgate had fines, that the a lease of the tithe of corn there for three lives, except the tithes of Dorringdeanery tithes, which were leased to J. Holgate; and that ton were leased to R. Cookson had a lease for all tithe hay within the vicarage of T. Holgate, Darrington; and he stated, that there were lands in Darrington, to whom he had called the Demesne Lands, that paid for the tithe of corn the thir- paid the thirrieth tieth shock, to the said G. Holgate; that the plaintiff had received tithes; the privy tithes in kind, or the value thereof; that, for five years that he past, he had been owner of lands in Stapleton, and of Holgate owner of lands Farm, and had fown the same with several forts of grain; and in Stapleton, and for the faid five years he set forth the quantities and values of of Holgate Farm; the corn he had fown on fifteen acres of Demessive Lands in Stapleton and that he had paid the vicar the Manor; and that for that time he had paid to the plaintiff, or thirtieth shock, his leffee, the thirtieth shock, being his tithe in kind. He also &c.; fet forth the quantity and value of the hay he had on his lands in the faid years, for which, he faid, the plaintiff had received one and states a mo. shilling and fixpence per annum, as a modus for the same; and that dus of 18d. anever any tithe hay was paid in kind; that in the faid years he had tithe hay; not any cows; but he fet forth the number of sheep, for which he satisfied the plaintiff in money for the tithe; and the quantities and values of the corn and grain he had fowed on Helgate Farm during the faid time; and also what quantity of hay he had thereon, and the value, for which corn and hav he paid the plaintiff fourpence per annum, in full fatisfaction, according to the modus there; that he had obtained a verdict at the common law in and a verdict in an action commenced by the plaintiff for the faid tithes; and that his favour in an he was not any ways indebted to the plaintiff for any customary action at law. payments, or other things demanded by bill.

The plaintiff replied, that as to the corn and grain grown The plaintiff ace upon the lands called the Demesne Lands belonging to the manor-knowledges the house of Stapleton, called Stapleton Hall, in the answer mentioned, thirtieth shock, he had received, during the faid years, the thirtieth shock of the but denies it was faid several forts of grain, but received not the same in full satisfacfaction of the tithes of the said corn and grain sown upon the tion of his tithes, said Demessee Lands, nor was there ever any agreement between him and the defendant so to accept the same, but for his present subsistence was forced to take what he could get, and therefore took the same de bene esse until he could seek the further aid of this court to be restored to the residue, hoping thereby he was not stopped or concluded to demand his full tenths of the said corn and grain, as, time out of mind, had been paid, and of common right was due; and that if the defendant should prove the custom of paying the thirtieth shock in discharge of the full tithes of the faid corn and grain, the contrary whereof the plaintiff hoped he should prove, the said custom or prescription

WAUGH was unreasonable, and wholly insufficient to bar him of his ayainst demand of full tithes in kind of the faid corn and grain. GREENWOOD

matters

Upon hearing counsel on both sides; and upon long debate; read in evidence, and upon producing an exemplification of a verdict obtained by the defendant at common law in an action commenced by the plaintiff for the tithes for two of the years in queftion; the defendant's counsel alledging to the Court, that it was fully in proof in the cause, that the plaintiff had right only to the thirtieth sheaf of corn arising from the Demesne Lands of Stapleton; and likewise, that the said plaintiss had right only to eighteen pence per annum as a modus for all tithe hay arising from the said Demesne Lands of Stapleton aforesaid, which had been paid accordingly, and received by the plaintiff; and that the fourpence a-year as a modus had always been paid for all tithes due to the vicar of Darrington, arising of and from Holgate Farm; and that the plaintiff had received the fame accordingly;

The defendant difinified.

It is ordered and adjudged by the Court, that the faid defendant be, and is hereby difmissed of and from the said bill, and the feveral matters therein contained, with moderate costs, if the plaintiff do not hereafter put the defendant to further trouble for the faid tithes.

> WM. MONTAGU. TIM. LITTLETON. EDW. THURLAND. FR. BRAMSTON.

HILABY TERM 30. CAR. 2.

Dodd, Clerk, against Ingleton.

Esfex, 24th February 1678.

The vicar of THE plaintiff, as vicar of the vicarage and parish-church Chigwell, in Fi- of Chigwell, in the county of Ellex, claimed the tithe of of Chigwell, in the county of Effex, claimed the tithe of fex, claims the tithe of milk, and complained, that the defendant, under colour of some and infifts, that words in a decretal order made in this court in a former cause the defendant between the now plaintiff and H. Hudson and others, inhabitants ought to fend it of Chigwell (a), had not fent or carried the same to the plainto the vicarage. tiff's house every tenth day, or meal as he ought to have done, 1. Freem. 329. according to the custom of the said parish.

Raym. 277. Rayn, 54.

(a) In the case of the present plaintiff, Dodd, v. Hudfon, 29th April 1675, 27. Car. 2 the Court ordered, if that the " defendants shall pay to the plaintiff 46 tithe milk in kind all the year round, 66 the faid plaintiff or his tithe gatherer " making a demand of the fame at the " respective habitations of the said de-

" fendants." Book of Decrees and Orders. See the cause of Wickham ... Thrower, ante, page 143.; Gee v. Perch, Rayner, 98.; Carthew v. Edwards, 3. Burn. E L. 467.; Bosworth v. Limbrick, Rayner, 809. 841. Cullemore w. Bolworth, Rayner, 93%. Hutchins v. Full, Rayner, 1010.

The

The defendant denied any custom for carrying tithe milk to the vicar's house.

Dodo ag zin f INGLETON.

The defendant fays, the vicar ought to fend for it.

meal's milk, and not the tenth of every meal's milk, ought to be opinion, that the paid for tithes, it is ordered, by confent of the defendant's ought to be THE COURT being unanimously of opinion, that the tenth The Court of counsel, that the defendant, for the future, shall pay to the paid; plaintiff his whole tenth meal's milk of all his cows every even-

But as there was not any custom within the said parish of but as to the Chigwell infifted on by either fide, for the plaintiff's fetching his plaintiff's fetch. tithe milk, or for the defendant's bringing the same either to the ing it, or the dechurch porch, or to the vicarage-house in the said parish of ing it to the vi-Chigwell; and the Court being divided in opinion, whether of carage, right the same ought to be setched by the plaintiff, or carried by will hear counthe defendant? the cause was ordered to stand over, that the sel and civilians. Court might further consider and advise thereof in the mean time; and, on the fifteenth of May 1679, it was further ordered again to stand over, and that the Court will hear counsel on both sides, as well civilians as others, as to the common law right; and on the twenty-second of May 1679, after hearing the civi- The lian, and counsel on both fides, and upon full debate, it was argued by civiordered again to stand over for the opinion of the Court,

On the tenth of November 1679, the cause came on to be further heard, when

IT WAS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED BY THE COURT, and decreed the that the defendant, for the future, shall pay to the plaintiff his whole whole tenth meal's milk of all his cows every morning, and his meal's milk ewhole tenth meal's milk every evening (a); and for that there is very morning not any custom within the faid parish of Chigwell insisted upon and evening; on either fide for the plaintiff's fetching his tithe milk, or for the faid defendant bringing the same, either to the churchporch, or to the vicarage-house in the said parish of Chigwell; and the Court being of opinion, that tithe milk is due of common right, and that as well for the preservation of the same as for the convenience in collecting the faid milk, the fame ought to be brought to the plaintiff, IT IS THEREUPON FURTHER ORDERED, and carry or lend &c. that the defendant, for the future, shall bring or fend his the same every tithe-milk to the church-porch within the faid parish of Chigwell, tenth morning

and evening to

<sup>(</sup>b) See accord. Bate v. Spracking, 73.; Gee v. Perch, Rayner, 98.; Hut-porch. Bush so. and Dodk'n v. Oliver, Bunh. chins v. Fish, Rayn. 1004.

Dopp against INGLETON. as the same shall become due from time to time, that is to say, his, the defendant's, whole tenth meal's milk every tenth morning, and his whole tenth meal's milk every evening, to the end that the plaintiff, or his agent, or fervant, in that behalf appointed, may receive the fame accordingly without costs.

> Wm. Montagu. THO. RAYMOND. EDW. ATKYNS. WM. GREGORY.

**HILARYTERM** 30. CAR. 2.

### Usher against Foster.

Cumberland, 13th February 1678.

The plaintiff THE plaintiff, as rector of the parsonage of Beweastle, in the claims tithe of county of Cumberland, demanded tithes of certain pasture the pastures cal-led Kirfopp and

Blacklevin, in the parish of Bewcafile, in the county of Cumberland.

The defendant in lieu of all tithes whatsoever.

The defendant answered, that he was and is seised in fee tail of fays, they are certain pasture grounds called Kirfopp and Blacklevin, lying barren heaths, within the precincts of the faid rectory; that the faid pasture can be made, grounds are barren heath, unfit for hay or any kind of tillage, and only useful and usually hath been, and are employed for a sheep walk; and that as a speep walk; there is a modus to pay to the rector of Bewcastle eleven shillings and that there is in lieu, and fatisfaction, and discharge of all tithes whatsoever, shillings a-year yearly happening out of the aforesaid grounds.

An iffue directed A trial at law was directed; the iffue to be, whether, time to try the me-whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary, the owners and possessors of the said grounds called Kirsopp and Blacklevin, lying within the precincts of the said rectory, have paid yearly to the rectors or farmers of the faid rectory for the time being the fum of eleven shillings, in lieu and full satisfaction of all manner of tithes iffuing, growing, and renewing, yearly, upon the faid grounds, and hath, during all the faid time, been yearly accepted and taken by the rectors or farmers, except by the plaintiff or his farmers, in lieu and full fatisfaction of the faid tithes?

17th Nov. 1679. A verdict for the defendant.

A trial was had; and a verdict passed for the defendant.

The bill difmiffed.

THE COURT therefore ordered the defendant to be dismissed of and from the faid bill, and all the matters and things therein contained.

SCUDAMORE

SCUDAMORE against PEMBERTON, Knt. and Others. Easter Term

London, 22d May 1679.

THE bill stated, that by indenture tripartite, dated the four- The rector of teenth of November, in the fifteenth year of his present Saint Dunstan's, majesty's reign, made between R. Co.ks. impropriator of the in London, claims rectory of Saint Dunftan's in the Weft, in the city of London, of the pound, pursuant first part; J. Thompson, clerk, vicar of the said church, of the to 37. Hea. & fecond part; and the plaintiff Scudamore of the third part; all c. 12. that rectory and vicarage, with its rights, &c. and all tithes, oblations, profits, and advantages, thereunto belonging, were demised, bargained, and sold to the plaintiff, to hold for ninetynine years, if the faid Thompson should so long live and continue vicar thereof; that by virtue of the said demise the plaintiff became entitled to all the profits of the faid rectory and vicarage; that some part of the parish lieth in the city of London, and another part in the fuburbs of the faid city, within the county of Middefex; that the defendants had been owners or inhabitants of houses, &c. therein; and that several rates or sums of money were due to the plaintiff; which they refused to pay. The bill, therefore, prayed relief in the premises.

The defendants' counsel infifted, that the defendants' respect The defendant tive houses were discharged from the payment of two shillings infifts on a we and ninepence in the pound, by reason of certain ancient cus-dus of 82 and tomary payments for their said houses, in lieu of tithes for their faid houses, or of the ground on which they were built.

THE COURT ordered, that trials shall be had touching the validity of the modules; the equity of the cause to be reserved till after the same be had.

Accordingly a trial was had; and the jury found, that by cuf- 10th Dec. 1679-Accordingly a trial was nad; and the july round, that by verdict in factom a certain fum of money was payable, and accustomed to be vour of the mee paid, from time to time, every year, time out of mind, viz. eight date shillings and elevenpence quarterly, for and in satisfaction of all tithes of the ancient house and garden (in the occupation of Sir George Benyon), to the proprietor of the tithes of Saint Dunstan in the West; and that two shillings and ninepence in the pound, according to the annual rent of the faid ancient meffuage and garden, with the appurtenances, was never paid, nor ought to be paid, to the proprietor of the tithes of Saint Dunsian, for the tithe of the faid ancient meffuage, with the appurtenances.

The cause now came on to be heard upon the equity reserved; and upon reading the said order and postea, and hearing counsel,

IT IS THIS DAY ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendant The defendants Sir F. Pemberton shall be, and is hereby dismissed out of this dismissed. court,

againfl PEMBERTON AND OTHERS

Scupamore court, as to the faid bill, and all and every the matter and things therein contained, without prejudice nevertheless to the plaintiff, his executors, or affigns, to fue for and recover the arrears of the modus due for the faid house and ground late Sir Simon Baskerville's. from the faid defendant, and other the persons liable to the payment thereof; the other persons liable with the said defendants to the arrears of the modus not being made parties to this fuit, if the plaintiff, his heirs, or assigns, shall think fit to fue for the fame (a).

WM. MONTAGU. THO. RAYMOND. EDW. ATKYNS. WM. GREGORY.

(a) It appears from the Book of Decrees and Orders, that the plaintiff did file another bill against the defendants Sir Francis Pemberton and others; that the cause came on to be heard on the 22d May, 31. Car. 2.; that the de-Endants' counsel infifted, that the defendant J. Marsball's house was discharged from the payment of the two faillings and nineperce in the pound, by reason of an ancient customary sum of nine shillings and fourpence, payable yearly in lieu of tithes for the faid house. On which the Court directed two iffues, FIRST, Whether the faid fum was payable for the faid house in lieu of tithes ; SZCONDLY, Whether two shillings and ninepence in the pound, according to the annual rent, was payable to the vicar for the faid house, or not; and upon full ev dence on both fides, the plaintiff was nonfuited, and a new trial granted; on which trial, before THE LORD CHIEF BARON, the plaintiff, after full evidence, was again nonfuited. On which it was finally ordered, on the 27th Officber 1681, in Michaelmas Term, 33. Car. 2. that the defendant Marsball be dismissed from the faid bill, and the matters and things therein contained. The defendant Marshall died; and on the 9th June 1684, 36. Car. 2. the plaintiff Scudamore filed a bill against his widow for the recovery of the two shillings and ninepence in the pound, pursuant to the stasute 37: Hen. 8. c. 12. The widow

pleaded, that the house was fituated in Fetter Lane, and, having been burnt down, was re-erected on ground time out of mind belonging to the converted Jews, called THE ROLLS, which is fituated between Chancery Lane and Fetter Lane; that the faid ROLLs is a liberty itself, and not in the city of London, but exempt from the same, and a chapelry of itself; that the said house of converts, now called the Rolls, was and is an ancient structure or building, and was a religious house or monastery time out of mind, and was, long before the 37. Hen. 8. c. 12. and decree, anciently appointed and appropriated to and for the reception, inftruction, and maintenance of fuch Jews and infidels as were converted to the Christian faith; and that, time out of mind, it has been exempt from tithes Two iffues were directed to try, FIRST, whether the house was within THE ROLLS; SECONDLY, whether the ground on which it was built is in London or Middlesex; but the parties never went to trial, and it came on again on the equity referred; and the Court, on inspecting many grants from the crown to feveral persons masters of the rolls, and other records, declared, that the house ought not to be charged with any tithes to the vicar of Saint Dunftan's by the statute 37. Hen 8. c. 12. or otherwise, no tithes ever having been paid for the same. See also Bennet w. Trepais, Bunh 106.

### HARTLEY and Others against GEY.

Trin. Term, 31. Car. 2.

Lancasbire, 3d July 1679.

THE plaintiffs were curates of the several parochial chapelries If, in a lease of a within the rectory of Whalley, in the county of Lancaster. rectory, the East.

The defendant was vicar of the parish of Whalley.

ter roll and surplice fees be replied fees be re-

The bill stated, that the rectory and titheable places within the served, faid parish are part of the possessions of the Archbishop of Canter-made payable to haid parish are part of the positions of the Archospop of Canter-bury, and of great extent and yearly value; that the vicar of yet if it appear Whalley, and the curates, being but flenderly provided for, the to have been the vicar not having above forty pounds a-year falary, and the intention of the curates only eleven pounds, Mr. Moore, the late vicar, and all leffor that the the curates, did, foon after the restoration, petition Dr. fuxton, tain chapelries the then Archbishop of Canterbury, for an augmentation to their should receive a falaries; and the curates, being ten in number, having paid the proportion of the vicar twenty pounds, he presented the petition to the Archbishop, same, a court of who promised, that when Sir Ralph Ashton, his tenant, renewed cree it to be a his lease of the rectory, he would reserve the Easter roll (being trust for the cucustomary payments at Easter yearly), and the surplice sees at rates, and order christenings, marriages, churchings, and burials, for the vicar trustees to be apand curates, which were valued at one hundred and twenty pointed accord-pounds a-year, but that they are not worth for much that Similarly. pounds a-year, but that they are not worth fo much; that Sir Ralph Albton afterwards renewed his lease, and the Archbishop referved to himself and his successors the said Easter rolls and furplice fees, to be received by the vicar, without mentioning the curates in the leafe; but he declared, that the vicar should only have forty pounds a-year out of the fame, who was contented therewith, and the remainder was proportionably divided amongst the curates, who enjoyed the same for some time after the defendant became vicar of the faid parish; but that the vicar having procured a copy of the faid leafe, and not finding the curates mentioned therein, refused to pay the same. The bill, therefore, prayed to be relieved, and to have a decree for the payment of the fame for the future, and for the arrears.

The defendant pleaded a release of the matter in question from the plaintiff Banks; but, on hearing, he was ordered to answer.

The defendant accordingly answered, and denied most part of the bill; but confessed, that in the year 1663 he became vicar, and received to his own use all the Easter roll and surplice fees, as in the lease are mentioned and reserved to him.

The plaintiffs replied; and iffue being joined, witneffes were examined.

THE COURT, upon opening the pleadings, and hearing counsels and reading several depositions taken in the cause, and on debate Vol. I. O

HARTLEY

egainst

GLY.

of the matter, declared, that the trust is well proved, and that there was a trust in the vicar, by Archbishop Juxton, who gave the augmentation of the Easter roll and the surplice sees for the curates of the several chapelries of Burnly, Haslingdon, Colne, Clitheree, Downham, Altham Church, Kirke, Padcham, Rossindell, and Pendell, over and above forty-two pounds thereof to make up the vicar's thirty-eight pounds, eighty pounds a-year, and the rest to be distributed amongst the curates of the said several chapelries, who were to provide themselves their own common wine for their respective chapelries; and the vicar was to be discharged thereof.

And it was thereupon ordered by the Court, that the faid trust be, and is hereby confirmed; that the defendant shall forthwith grant and affign to fuch person or persons as the curates, or the major part of them, shall think fit, all the faid Easter roll and surplice fees within the rectory and titheries of Wballey, under a trust, that the grantees or affignees shall pay to him, the defendant, and his fuccessors, forty-two pounds a-year. out of the same, clear, without any charge of communion wine, or other charge whatever; and that the rest be divided amongst the curates, for the time being, proportionably; that the faid defendant shall pay to the plaintiffs, the curates, their several proportions of the mesne profits, after the rate of forty shillings a piece yearly, from the several times of their respective admittances, without costs, to fave further charges; but in default, it is referred to the auditor of the faid county to certify the fame; and the plaintiffs Hargraves, Phillipson, and Banks, who were parties to the suit, and afterwards struck out upon their paying their share of the costs of the suit, are to receive their proportions; and it is ordered, that cofts shall be suspended till the auditor shall have made his report.

WM. MONTAGU.
THO. RAYMOND.
EDW. ATKYNS.
W. GREGORY.

Trin, Term, 31. Car. 2. SWAN against STANLEY; et è Contra.

Worcestersbire, 30th June 1679.

The vicar of THE plaintiff, as vicar of the parish-church of Alderminster, in the county of Worcester, stated, by his bill, that, for twenty Worcestos bee, claims the tithes of grass and to, and ought to have received, predial tithes of grass and hay, and the all small tithes there arising; that there is a custom within the small tithes of faid parish; and sell them before the next shearing-time, the owner is to pay and sets forth a special manner to the vicar a halfpenny a sheep for every sheep sold; that if any of thing.

owner fell any ewes and lambs kept there before Holy Rood Day, the owner is to pay to the vicar a halfpenny for every ewe, and a halfpenny for every lamb so sold; that if any owner sell sheep between Candlemas and Midsummer, the vicar is to have a halfpenny a sheep.

againfl STANLEY; et è Contra.

The defendants answered, and confessed the plaintiff to be The desendants vicar of the parish, and entitled to the tithes of wool, lamb, admit his title to calves, pigs, pigeons, apples, pears, hens, turkeys, geefe, hemp, the town and and flax, arising within the town and the common fields there, common fields; according to the custom of tithing there used time out of mind, but say, he is but whether in all the parish they knew not; nor did they not entitled to believe that he ought to have the predial tithes of grass and hay within the said parish, for that the vicarage was not endowed grass and hay, therewith; but they confessed, that he had received the tithe hay arising on their several farms; but denied that he was enti- or of surze and tled to the tithe of furze, or lops of trees, for that the vicarage is the lops of trees; not endowed thereof, nor were such tithes demanded till of late years. They further stated, that in recompence of what fuel they that they pay a burnt in their houses, they have paid to the vicar a penny called make penny in lieu 2 fmoke penny, according to the custom there used time out of wood for suel; mind. They confessed also, that there were such customary and state a dispayments for sheep, as in the bill are mentioned; and said, that ferent custom as there is a custom to new the vices a farthing a sheep for every to sheep, &c. there is a custom to pay the vicar a farthing a sheep for every sheep agisted in the common fields there; and one penny a cow for every milch cow kept there, as a rate in lieu of tithe milk, and for calves, if the owner have seven, the vicar is to have the feventh, paying the owner three halfpence; if under feven, the vicar to have half a calf; if ten, the vicar to have the tenth in kind; which rates the plaintiff and his predecessors had or ought to have observed.

The cross bill set forth, that the plaintiffs were landholders The desendants of several farms in the said town and common sields of Alder- file a cross bill, minster; and that there had been several customs there, time out customs, of mind, observed, THAT IS TO SAY, that for such sheep as were infift such cus. bred in the common fields, and not wintered there, the vicar toms ought to to have only half tithe wool thereof; and for fuch sheep as were be observed, and brought in after Candlemas, and shorn there, the vicar to have a in the original halfpenny a sheep; and for such sheep as were agisted in the bill common fields there, the vicar to have a farthing a sheep; and that fuch rates were in lieu of tithe wool; that for tithe calves, if the owner have feven, the vicar to have one, paying to the owner three halfpence; if under feven, the vicar to have half a calf; if ten, to have the tenth in kind. That for such milch cows 28 were kept in the common fields he is to have one penny a cow, and fo for every milch cow, as a rate for tithe milk. That every housekeeper used to pay a penny at Easter, called a smoke penny, in lieu of tithe for the fuel they burnt in their houses; and twopence for offerings for man and wife; which cuftoms O 2

agninft STANLEY: ct è Centra.

have been observed by the vicars there, but were by the present one refused, who endeavoured to abolish the same, and set up other customs; that, notwithstanding, they had paid the vicar all his tithes, as in their answer is set forth: therefore they prayed relief in the premises, and that the customs might be confirmed by the decree of this court.

The plaint'ff an-

The defendant answered, and confessed there might have tweets the crofs been some such usages for tithing for some years; but said, that bill, and faye, the fame had not been observed time out of mind, and that the n thin hear of penny paid as a smoke penny is not paid in lieu of what fuel they burnt in their houses. He denied that he endeavoured to destroy the ancient customs, but submitted to the same when proved; and faid, that there were good lops of trees and quantities of furzes, which the plaintiffs fold, for which they had not paid tithes.

Both causes heard.

In both which causes issue being joined, several witnesses were examined on both fides; and the causes brought to a hearing on the twenty-fixth of June 1678, when they were referred; but the parties not agreeing, they came this day for the opinion of the Court; and after long debate of the matters complained of by the vicar,

plaintiff THE COURT did not see cause to relieve him upon any of The made no ground them, but doth this day order and adjudge, that the faid bill be, for relief. and is hereby absolutely dismissed, yet without costs.

> And for that the Court was fatisfied with the proofs now read, that there are, and have been such customs for tithing used, time out of mind, within the said parish of Alderminster, as the plaintiffs in their cross bill have set forth,

But the Court ettablish toms, and order by the vicar.

Ir is further ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the four Court, that the customs mentioned in the said cross bill, and cuf- hereafter recited, that is to fay, FIRST, The custom for paying to them to be here. the vicar of Alderminster for the time being, at Laminas Dos after observed yearly, a farthing a sheep for every sheep agisted in the common fields of Alderminster, in lieu of tithe wool;—secondly, The custom for calves, that if the parishioner have seven calves, the vicar is to have one, paying to the owner three halfpence; if under seven, the vicar to have one halfpenny for each calf at Lammas Day; and if ten, the vicar to have the tenth calf in kind; -THIRDLY, The custom for milch cows, that for every milch cow kept in the faid common fields, the vicar to have yearly one penny for each cow, in lieu of tithe milk, to be paid at Lammas Day; - FOURTHLY, The custom that every housekeeper thall pay a penny at Easter yearly, called a smoke penny, in lieu and fatisfaction of tithe for the fuel burnt in their respective houses, shall be, and are hereby established and confirmed to be for ever hereafter observed by and between the said vicar and his

his fuccessors; and the said Robert Stanley, and other the plaintiffs in the cross bill, and all others claiming under them; with moderate costs to be paid by the defendant Swan in this cause.

SWAN against STANLEY; et è Gonira.

WM. MONTAGU. THO. RAYMOND. EDW. ATKYNS. W. Gregory.

### SIMPSON against Tucker.

TRIN. TERM. 31. CAR. 2.

Devenshire, 7th July 1679.

THE plaintiff, as vicar of Collyton, and of Shute, and Monne- The vicar of ton, thereto appendant, claimed tithes for agiftments for Gollyton, in Deverstire, claims three years past. titlies in kind.

The defendants alledged, that they are inhabitants with- The defendants in the tithings of Minchenholme and Woodland, within the flate that they faid parish of Collyton, and set forth several customary pay-are inhabitants ments within the faid things; viz. fourpence for a milch of Minchenboime cow, and threepence an heifer yearly, in lieu of the tithes of in the faid pacalves, milk, cheefe, and butter; one penny for every colt; rish, and set up threepence for the tithes of every acre of meadow in Minchen- a modus of threethreepence for the titnes or every acre of integration in artifaction below, and twopence in Woodland; and for every hogshead of head for cyder cyder or perry threepence in lieu of the tithes of all apples and and perry in lieu pears grown within the faid tithings. of all tithes of

apples and pears. The plaintiff infifted, that there are the fame customs through- The plaintiff reout the whole parish of Collyton, except the twopence and three-plied, that the pence an acre of tithe hay; that the defendants are justment defendants are bolders, or renters of land at a yearly rack rent; that all the justiment bolders, justiment bolders, or renters of land, as well within the faid tithings and ought to of Minchenholme and Woodland, as in all other tithings within the ment after the faid parish, ought to pay agistments after the rate of twenty-rate of twentypence in the pound for fo much land as they depasture; which pence in the faid agistments or payments have been usually paid by all rent-pound. ers of land throughout the whole parish of Collyton, where the vicar hath tithes, though the owner of fuch lands, if he kept the same in his own possession, might have the benefit of the faid custom's.

THE COURT conceived the custom of paying threepence a The Court of hogshead for cyder or perry, in lieu of all apples and pears opinion that the grown within the faid tithings, to be void, and against law; and modus respecting therefore doth ORDER AND DECREE, that the defendants shall cyder and perry pay to the plaintiff threepence for every hogshead of cycler or creed payment perry in lieu of all tithes for the same; and that the said de- of threepence a fendants shall acccount, satisfy, and pay to the plaintiff the hogshead for cytithes of all hoard apples and pears, and all other apples and der and perry, and of apples pears not made into cyder or perry, or the value thereof.

and pears in THE kind;

SIMPSON

THE COURT further ordered this issue to be tried, "Whe-"ther by the custom within the said tithings of Minchenbolme and "Woodland all justment holders, or renters of ground at a yearly and direct an if- " rent within the faid tithings, have used, and ought to pay fue as to the "rent within the laid tithings, have used, and ought to pay payment of 20d. " yearly to the vicar of Collyton, for the time being, twentypence in the pound by 46 in the pound for such grounds as they feed and depasture, in justiment bolders. "lieur of all tithes and customary payments for all cattle fed or " depastured upon the same?" both parties to admit all circumstances, and to insist only upon the matters aforesaid.

in kind ;

A trial was according had; and, after full evidence given on by the direction both fides, a verdict was given for the plaintiff, and thus inof the Judge, dorsed: "When pasture is let by itself, then the renters are to that when paf- "pay twentypence in the pound according to the rent; when ture is let by it." pay twentypence in the pound according to the rent; when felf, the juftment " pasture and other grounds are let together, then they are to bolders are to pay " pay tithes in kind for the pasture according to law, one penny and in the every forty shillings;" that upon the trial of this cause, the where pasture is plaintiff pretended a modus of twentypence in the pound; and let with other the defendant pretended a modus of fourpence per cow, and the lands at one en- rest of the tithes of pasture to be paid in kind; and it being tire rent, they LORD CHIEF JUSTICE NORTH'S opinion that "where the pafare to pay tithes " ture was not let by itself, whereupon the rent of the pasture "was not certain, there could be no fuch modus of twenty-"pence in the pound for the uncertainty of the value;" thereupon his lordship directed the jury that, in case they found against the pretended modus of fourpence per cow, they should find that tithes in kind were due; whereupon they gave a verdict for tithes in kind.

and in the preentire rent,

The cause came on the twenty-second instant; and upon fent case, pas reading the order, the postea, the indorsement, and the judge's ture and other certificate, and hearing counsel on both sides, the same was lands, being let continued to the twenty-fifth of November 1680; when, after together at an continued to the twenty-fifth of November 1680; when, after long debate thereupon, and due confideration of the whole matter had by the Court, forasmuch as it appeareth that pasture and other grounds were let together to the defendants,

the Court de-

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendants shall creed the pay- fatisfy and pay to the plaintiff their tithes in kind, according to ment of tithes the value thereof, to be computed by the deputy remembrancer (except for cyder, which is to be paid according to the faid modus of threepence a hogshead.)

> WM. MONTAGUE. EDW. ATKYNS. Wm. Gregory. J. Street.

#### HATCHER against CLEWER. Surrey, 19th February 1680.

31. CAR 2.

THE plaintiffs, for and on behalf of themselves and all other the The inhabitants owners or occupiers of any meffuages, lands, or tenements and land holders for which any tithes or dues are payable, within the town or the county of parish of Croydon, in the county of Surrey, filed their bill against surrey, file their the defendant, the vicar of Croydon, for the purpose of establish-bill against the ing and confirming certain ancient customs, usages, and modes vicar to establish of paying tithes, which, during the time whereof the memory mode of paying of man is not to the contrary, have been used within the town certain vicarical and parish of Creydon; viz. for the tithe of every cow and the tithes within the proceeds thereof fourpence; for every working horse fourpence; faid parish. for every colt above two years old twopence; for every dry The beaft twopence; for pigs, the tenth pig in kind, except of the nodes of tithfirst farrow; for every garden or orchard, whereof the owner makes no benefit by the sale of any thing that grows therein, one penny; for every fign at an inn or alehouse, fixpence; for every master tradesman's apron, fourpence; for every cock one penny, in lieu of tithes of eggs; for all apples, pears, plumbs, and other fruit, the tenth in kind; for herbs that follow the spade, the owner whereof doth sell and make profit thereof, the tenth in kind; for every fingle man receiving the facrament, fourpence; for every fingle woman receiving the facrament, threepence; for every man and his wife who receive the facramant, fivepence; for every marriage, the banns of which are published in the parish church, two shillings and fourpence; for every marriage by licence, five shillings; for every man taking a wife out of the said parish, and not marrying her therein, five shillings; for churching every woman, eightpence; for every person buried in the church yard of the said church above the age of two years, two shillings; for every person under that age, one shilling and fourpence; for burying every person above the aforesaid age without a coffin, one shilling; and the like for every person of the same age buried at the parish charge; for every parishioner above the age aforesaid buried in the body of the faid church, fix shillings and eightpence; for reading the burial fervice, two shillings; for every person under the said age, three shillings and sourpence; for reading the burial fervice, two shillings; for every stranger of both ages, double duties; for every person buried in the chancels of the faid church above the age of two years, thirteen shillings and sourpence; for every person buried in the chancel under two years of age, fix shillings and eightpence; for reading the burial service for either person, two shillings; for every stranger, double duties; and for going before the body of every parishioner, one shilling; and of a stranger, two shillings; the tenth fleece of wool shorn within the said parish; for the fall of every lamb, twopence; for honey, the tithe in kind;

CLEWER.

which payments ought to be paid at Easter, or at some other certain time in the year.

The vicar fays

The defendant faid, that he knew not whether any other of the other land the owners or occupiers of lands or tenements within the faid holders and the parish are privy to the bill; and that as the scope of the bill is patron, and the o dinary, ought to have a fettlement of divers customs for the payment of tithes to be made par. and other duties, the plaintiffs ought to have made the patron ties to the bill. and the ordinary of the diocese parties to the bill; that he knew not what customs have been used within the said parish for payment of tithes to the vicar, nor that fuch as are fet forth in the bill have been, time out of mind, used therein; but he believed the contrary, because, by some ancient endowment, he finds the tithes to be much otherwise; and he admitted some of the said customs, and denied others.

Upon hearing counsel on both sides, and reading the proofs,

Several of the and confirmed.

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED, that the modus modes of tithing infifted on by the plaintiffs, and all other the inhabitants of are flated in the Croydon, to the particulars hereinafter mentioned, be established bill established and settled, and that the plaintiffs and all other the inhabitants do pay to the defendant for the matters after-mentioned as followeth: viz. the fum of fourpence only, and no more, for and in lieu of the tithe of a horse, mare, or colt; for the tithe of the fign of every inn or alchouse within the said parish of Croydon, fixpence only, and no more; for the tithe milk of every cow going and depasturing within the faid parish fourpence only, and no more; and this Court is of opinion, that the modus for the proceeds of a cow extends only to milk, and the plaintiffs paying according to that modus are to be discharged of tithe milk; and the Court is also of opinion, that tithe calves ought to be paid in kind; and for the tithe of every dry bullock going and agisting within the said parish, twopence only, and no more; and the faid plaintiffs and all other the inhabitants are to pay to the defendant their arrears of the tithes aforesaid, according to the rates before mentioned, without costs on either fide; and as for the lambs, the parishioners are to pay fourpence only, and no more, for every lamb, which fourpence is to be shared between the parson and the vicar, according to former usage within the said parish.

> AND IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE Court, that the defendant shall accept of the said rates and fums of money for and in lieu of the tithes before-mentioned during fo long time as he shall continue vicar of Croydon, and not demand or receive of and from the faid parishioners of Croydon any greater or other fum of money whatfoever for and n lieu of the tithes before-mentioned.

And as to all other matters in the faid bill contained, and not hereby decreed, the bill is dismissed.

HATCHER against CLEWER.

WM. MONTAGU. TH. RAYMOND. EDW. ATKYNS. Wm. Gregory.

## Brooke against Hull.

EASTER TERM 32. CAR. 3.

Northamptonsbire, 17th May 1680.

THE plaintiff stated, that his father was seised of the rectory The of Great Okeley, in the county of Northampton, and of all claims the tithes glebe lands, and of all manner of tithes, as well great as small, of certain lands in the parish of and of all rates of tithes; that at his death the faid rectory and Obdey, in North. tithes (except the tithes that were settled on his wife) descended ampionspire, calto the plaintiff, as fon and heir, and that he hath ever fince led Oldfild Clos. been entitled to, and ought to have and enjoy, the same, and fer. all other profits belonging thereto, except as aforesaid; that the defendant, for a few years past, did occupy certain closes in Okeley, called Oldfield, otherwise Oldfield Closes, the said closes. being no part of the jointure lands; the tithes whereof, or some rate, ought to be paid to the plaintiff.

The defendant said, that the closes were parcel of the lands of The desendant the late dissolved abbey of Papwell, which was of the Ciftertian says, they are order, and therefore freed from the payment of tithes, especially discharged of tithes, as having so long as he kept it in his own hands; for that he then, and so sometimes formerly belongduring the time in the bill mentioned, had the inheritance ed the Cifertian thereof.

order of monks, and because he

had the inheritance thereof, and the lands were in his own occupation.

A trial at law was ordered upon this iffue, " Whether the Aniffue directed "close called Oldfield Close, in the defendant's possession, be to try whether "discharged of the payment of tithes or not, being in the defend- the said lands "ant's hands?" the defendant to admit the plaintiff to be are tithe free. owner of the rectory, and the plaintiff to admit the defendant to be owner of the freehold and inheritance of the close.

A trial was accordingly had; and, upon full evidence, the plaintiff became nonfuited.

THE COURT therefore ordered that the defendant be dif- The defendant missed of and from the bill, and the matters therein contained. dismissed,

> Wm. Montagu. EDW. ATKYNS. Wm. Gregory. RD. WESTON.

> > MEIRES,

BASTER TERM 32. CAR. S.

MEIRES against ASTLEYN and Others.

Nottinghamsbire, 17th May 1680.

The plaintiff THE bill stated, that the chapter of the collegiate church of the bleffed Many the live the bleffed Mary the Virgin, of Southwell, being seised in of lamb and fee of all the tithes of wool and lamb within the town of Soke, and wool in the parift of Southwell, parish of Southwell, did, by indenture dated the fourteenth of in the county of June, in the twenty-third of the present king, demise and grant to Ann Bennett'all the faid tithes of wool and lamb, to hold to her, her executors, administrators, and assigns, for twenty-one years; that she became possessed thereof, and being so possessed, did, by deed dated the the eleventh of January 1667, fell and affign to the plaintiff the faid tithes and all her estate and term therein, &c.

One defendant whatfoever;

The defendant Affleyn confessed the chapter's title to the pleads a moder of tithes of wool and lamb in Haloughton, otherwise Haughton, 41. a-year in which town is within the parish and soke of Southwell; but he lieu of all tithes denied the plaintiff's title; for that he was farmer, under Sir Charles Wolfey, of an ancient meffuage or farm, and divers lands and tenements, for which he had not paid tithe of lamb and wool to the plaintiff; and that the owners of the faid messuage, from the time whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary, have yearly paid four pounds to the Chapter in full discharge of all manner of tithes.

The defendants May and Watts denied all knowledge fendants plead a that the chapter is seised of and in all the tithes of wool custom to pay a and lamb within the town of Soke, and parish of Southwell, or cloake ben in lieu and land within the town of Soze, and parint of Soze, of all tithes of that they made such lease as in the bill is mentioned; but said, wool and lamb, that all the tithes of corn, hay, and all other tithes whatfoever, due and accustomably paid, growing on the grounds they occupy in Halam, where they dwell, being within the manor of Woodborrow, are payable, and of right do belong to the prebendary of the prebend of Woodborrow, and that they, and all under whom they claim, time beyond the memory of man, have, or ought to have paid to the prebendary of the prebend of Woodborrow a cloake hen in lieu of all tithes of wool and lamb growing, &c. within or out of any the lands they occupy or possess in Halam or elsewhere within the said soke.

> Upon reading the chapter's lease to A. Bennett of all the finall tithes, and her affignment to the plaintiff,

THE COURT declared, the pretended moduses set on foot by ants decreed to the defendants in their answers to be void, and that they ought pay tithes in to pay their tithes of wool and lamb to the plaintiff. kind.

Wm. Montagu. EDW. ATKYNS. WM. GREGORY. G WAYAS

## GWAVAS against TEAGE. (a) Cornewall, 14th June 1680.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff, on the twenty-fifth of The impropria-March, in the year 1669, was seised, and ever since has tor of the parish of Paulyn, in been seised in his demesse as of see, of the rectory impropriate, Cormwall, claims, and tithes of the parish of Pauly, otherwise Paulyn, in the by custom, from county of Cormwall, the series between the series of the parish of Paulyn, in the by custom, from county of Cornwall, the same being a very ancient rectory and the fishermen of adjoining to THE SEA, and extending itself into Mounts Bay, the faid parish the tithe of all where the inhabitants of the parish aforesaid, and others, had, sea fish caught time out of mind, used to have and keep fishing boats and nets for by them in taking of cod, ling, hake, lobsters, pilchards, and all other great Mounts Bay, exand small sea fish within that bay and seas adjoining, and to tie cepting such 6th and moor their boats, and keep their nets; that, time out of bait. mind, a custom of tithing had been there used and approved, that every parishioner, and others, being proprietors or occupiers of any fishing-boat or net which had been usually tied, moored, or kept in any part of the parish aforesaid, when not used, paid, and by the custom ought to pay to the owners of the rectory, the tenth part of all great and small fish taken in the faid bay or other feas, with fuch boats, nets, or other fishing craft fo tied, moored, or kept (except only such fish as had been used for bait for other fish, of which bait no tithes were paid); that the defendants ever fince have been inhabitants of Paull aforesaid, and used fishing-boats, nets, and other engines for the catching of fish; that they usually tied, moored, or kept the fame at Mousehole, or Newlynn (being towns or villages within the faid parish of Paull), or at other places within the parish, at fuch times when the same were not used for fishing; that since the twenty-fifth of March 1669, the defendants had taken in the faid bay and seas (besides such fish as were used for baits) several quantities of sea fish of several forts and kinds, the tenth part whereof ought to have been paid to the plaintiff, according to the custom; but which they refused to do under various pre-The bill therefore prayed a discovery, and an account and fatisfaction for the fame.

The defendants faid, that they believed the plaintiff was The defendance seised of the said rectory impropriate, whereby he ought to have deny the custom the tithe of corn, grain, and book fifb, and certain customary pay-bill, and fay ments for pilchards caught by seynes, as the same had been paid that the fishertime out of mind; they confessed that the parish of Paull adjoined men of Paulyn, to the sea, and extended itself into Mounts Bay; and that the Newlynn, inhabitants of that parish, and others, had, time out of mind, Moujebole, are tithe of book fift in kind, but not of filebards caught in feynes, or made into fumachoes.

(a) See the cases of Gwavas v. Kelyand S. C. Bunb. 239. 256, and 3. Bro. mack in Tripity Term, 1. Geo. 2. post.; P. C. 479.

used

GWAYAS against TEAGE.

used to moor and keep their boats and nets there for taking of cod, ling, hake, lobster, pilchards, and other sea fish within the faid bay and feas adjoining; but they denied the custom in the bill fet forth; and faid that, time out of mind, there had been, in the said parish of Paull, otherwise Paulyn, two ancient fishing towns, called Newlynn and Mousehole; that the inhabitants of those towns, and of Paull, who had so moored and kept their boats and nets, did only pay tithe in kind for book fish, which was fet out by the fishermen who took the same after they came on shore, and that there was never any tithe in kind paid for pilchards; but that for pilchards caught in seynes by boats so moored (except such as were measured and hung by the but of the tenth head in fuch seynes), the owners of such boats and seynes had part of the mo- used to pay the tenth part of the money for which the same

in fresh,

ed fife;

ney they sell for were used to be sold in fresh, according to the price the owners paid the seamen for their parts thereof (being one-half of all except the meaf- pilchards fo taken by fuch feynes), except the meafed fish for which no tithe was paid; that greater quantities of pilchards were taken in driving nets than could be used for bait, and that when brought on shore part were fold in fresh to the common people, and the other part made into fumachoes, and fold to merchants without payment of any tithe in kind, or any thing in lieu thereof; and they did believe that, if any tithes were due for pilchards meafed in seynes, or caught in driving nets, the fame would have been demanded and recovered by the former rector of the faid parish, in whose time driving netts were used, and quantities of pilchards taken by them more than were used for bait, and yet no tithe paid or demanded for the same, as they remembered. They also stated, that they did not know that any tithe had been paid in kind for lobflers caught in pots and that they or otherwise, or any thing in lieu thereof. They denied the

fwer;

are willing to payment of the tenth pilchard in kind of fuch as were taken in pay according to seynes, or other payment than as aforesaid; but said that they the custom stat- were willing to pay, and had paid their tithes and customary payments, as anciently used; and they all owned themselves to be parishioners and inhabitants of the said parish, who had exercised the fishing caft within the time aforesaid, with boats and nets so moored and kept as aforesaid; they said that in the years charged they were owners of boats, seynes, driving nets, or other fishing craft, kept and moored in the parish of Paull, with which they had taken pilchards, lobsters, and other fish, and had paid to the plaintiff the tithe of all book fifb, and also the customary payment for all pilchards taken in feynes (except meafed pilbut ought not to chards); but they infifted that they ought not to pay any tithe

pay for either or other thing in lieu thereof for lobsters or pilehards caught in bosters or filet- driving nets, or meased in seynes; nor any tithe in kind for od caught in pilchards caught in seynes, but only the tenth penny, for which nets or meased the same were fold in freshes as aforesaid.

The

The plaintiff replied; and iffue being joined, witnesses were examined on both fides; and upon hearing counsel on both fides, and reading the depositions, and on long and solemn debate of the matter.

THE COURT declared, that the plaintiff ought to have the The tithes of tithes in kind of all sea-fish whatsoever, taken by the de-all sea fish whefendants, fince the plaintiff's title accrued, by or with boats, ther taken with nets, or other fishing craft tied, moored, or kept within the except such as faid rectory; whether the faid fea-fish were taken in or with are used for bait, nets called feynes, or in or with nets called drift nets or er are meafed, driving nets, or by or with any other fishing craft (except decreed to be only such fish as were taken and used for bait for other fish, and the tenth penny excepting fifth meafed in the fleeves of feynes, whether used for for which they bait or not), which this Court declares not to be titheable; are fold. that the payments of the tenth penny of the money, for which the fish were fold, was, in effect, a payment of the tithe in kind; and that the late usage of taking pilchards there in drift The practice of or driving nets, for other purposes than for bait, was fraudulent- taking pilchards ly put in practice, to defeat the custom and the plaintiff's in- in drift new, heritance therein, and ought not to be admitted, to avoid pay-except for bait, ment of tithes for pilchards fo taken (except for fuch pilchards is fraudulent. only as are used for baits, or were meased in the sleeves of feynes); and therefore,

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid defendants do The defendants come to an account with the plaintiff for the tithes of all fuch ordered to acfish, as have been taken by them since the twenty-fifth of count. March 1669, in, by, or with boats, nets, whether seyning nets or driving nets, or other fishing craft whatsoever, tied, moored, or kept within the faid rectory or parish (excepting only such fish as have been used by them respectively for bait for other fish, and excepting fish meased in the sleeves of seynes as aforcfaid); with costs.

> WM. MONTAGU. Edw. ATKYNS. WM. GREGORY. Rd. WESTON.

CASTLE against MAULTIN.

Worcestersbire, 7th July 1680.

TRIN. TERM. 32. CAR. 2.

HE rector of the parish church of Stockton, in Worcester- The rector of Stire, claims tithe of milk, due from the defendant for Steckton, in Warceftersbire, claims six years past. the tithe of milk

in kind. The

CASTLE

Against

MAULTIN.

The defendant infifted on a custom to pay the seventh calls

in lieu of tithe milk.

The defendant Upon opening the pleadings, and reading some of the depopleads a custom sitions taken in the cause, to give every seventh cals, in lieu thereof.

An issue directed The Court ordered the custom to be tried at law; and at the to try the custom. The jury found, that every occupier or possessor of lands, withten. The jury find in the parish of Stockton, used to pay the rector of the said parish, the issue with an for the time being, the seventh calf, in satisfaction of tithe milk, addition. if so many calves did bappen in one year, and if not, to pay none.

The plaintiff's counsel insisted that the said verdict is not according to the issue, but varies in this, that the jury find the said issue, with this addition, "if so many calves did happen in one year, and if not, to pay none."

The titles of And THE COURT ordered the defendant to pay to the plainmilk decreed in tiff the values of the faid milk, detained from him, in the kind. three years in the answer mentioned.

> Wm. Montagu. Edw. Atkins.

Trin. Term, 32. Car. 2.

#### TATTERSHALL against Offley,

Sussex, 7th July 1680.

The lands called Possing worth THE plaintiff, as rector of Waldron, in the county of Sussex, Farm, in the partial filed his bill to have satisfaction for the values of certain with of Waldron, tithes, from a farm within the said parish, called Possing worth in the county of Farm.

Suffer, are not discharged from The defendant said, that the farm and lands, were parcel the payment of of the late dissolved abbey of Roberbridge, of the Cistertian order, sinks, as having and came to the crown by the dissolution of the monastery, and ed to the abbey by mesne conveyances to the defendant; and that the abbot and of Roberbridge, convent, at its dissolution, by prescription, or otherwise by their of the Cistertian being of the Cistertian order, were freed from the payment of aider.

Whilst they enjoyed the same in their own hands, which privilege doth exempt the lands from payment of tithes to the plaintiss.

A trial at law was directed to be had upon the statute 2. & 3. Edw. 6. c. 13. for non-payment of tithes; the issue to be, whether tithes be payable for the said lands?

A trial was had, and a verdict passed for the plaintiss.

2d Mry 1681. THE COURT therefore ordered, that the defendant do pay and fatisfy to the plaintiff fix pounds, being the value of the tithes

tithes withheld from the plaintiff, within the time in the faid bill mentioned.

TATTER-SHALL agairst Offley.

Wm. Montagu. Edw. Atkyns. Wm. Gregory. T. Street.

ASFORDBY against Newcomen and Others.

Lincolnsbire, 11th November 1680.

MICH. TERM, 32. CAR. 2.

THE scope of the bill was to compel the defendants to pay The rector of to the plaintiff, as rector of Mablethorp St. Mary's cum Lincolnshire, in Staine, in the county of Lincoln, the tithes of several grounds claims tithes in occupied by the defendants, in the said parish, for three years kind, and 81 a past; and to compel the desendant N. Newcomen to pay eight year for glebe pounds a year for eight acres of glebe, and the tithes of the land, and 2008.

The bill also stated that the desendant T. Newcomen a year for the

pounds a year for eight acres of glebe, and the tithes of the land, and zos fame. The bill also fsated, that the defendant T. Newco-church-yard, men ought to have permitted the plaintiff to keep four cows with liberty to and a mare, in a close called Stainebill, and to have paid to keep therein and a mare, in a close called Stainebill, and to have paid to keep therein

and a mare, in a close called Stainehill, and to have paid to keep therein the plaintiff twenty shillings a year for Staine church-yard, lying four cows and a open to Stainehill.

The defendant T. Newcomen said, that he lived out of the The defendant parish, and was tenant of several closes in Staine; that there is Newconen says, a custom in Staine, for all persons living in or out of Staine, the parish, and to pay threepence an acre per annum, and no more, in sull satisfets up a modus saction of all tithes, upon Lammas Day; and he denied that of 3d. an acre, in he hindered the plaintiff from keeping sour cows and a mare lieu of all tithes, upon Stainebill, if he hath a right so to do; but said, that the plaintiff, or his tenant, did keep three cows there, which he conceived to be in lieu of the church-yard, and that he ought not to pay the said twenty shillings a year.

The defendants say, they are occupiers of several closes in The other deMablethorpe St Mary's, and that there is a custom there, for a modus of 12d.

any person, living out of the said parish, who farms any lands an acre for new
within the same, to pay to the rector there, twelvepence an converted
acre per annum, for every acre called new converted ground, and ground, and sourpence an acre, per annum, for every acre of ancient passure, cient passure,
in satisfaction for all tithes, upon the first day of August.

The defendant N. Newcomen denied that the faid eight acres are glebe land, and averred that they are his own inheritance, and that therefore he ought not to pay any rent for the same.

The defendant's counsel, upon the hearing, insisted upon the several modusses, as set forth in the answer, and the plaintist's counsel insisted that tithes in kind ought to be paid.

THE COURT, upon reading feveral depositions taken in the The tithes of the cause, and on long debate of the matter, was satisfied, and land decreed in declared kind.

Asponder declared, that there was no fufficient proof of any modus, or against customary rate, or payment for the lands or grounds in Stains, but that tithes in kind ought to be paid by the defendant T.

Nesucomen to pay

Nesucomen for the fame; and also that the said defendant ought 135. 4d. a year, to pay to the plaintiss thirteen shillings, and sourpence, per annum, for Staine church-yard, during the said time.

As to the glebe lands, THE COURT leaves the fame to be determined at law.

As to the quef. And as to the lands in Mablethorp St. Mary's held by the tion, whether defendants as foreigners, living out of the parifh, and farming persons, living grounds in the parish, the defendants' counsel insisted, that out of the part the usage hath been to pay twelvepence an acre for new only 12d anaer converted grounds, and fourpence an acre for ancient passure, and for new converted the plaintiff's counsel insisted upon the unreasonableness of the ground, and 4d pretended usage or custom for such payment, so as to bar the plaintiff of his tithes in kind, and the rather because it appears that the inhabitants in Mablethorpe, who then held the same, or any other grounds in Mablethorp St. Mary's, ought to pay tithes in kind, and that foreigners ought also to pay tithes in kind for the same ground, if plowed or tilled.

a case is settled The Court ordered a case to be stated and agreed to by the Lord counsel on both sides, and if they cannot agree to the same, the Lord Chief Baron, is to be attended to settle the same. A case was accordingly made and settled by THE LORD CHIEF BARON, and the Barons of the Court being attended therewith.

The question was, whether the usage alledged be reasonable in which the and consistent with the rules of law, so as to bar the plaintist question was, from his tithes in kind? or, whether tithes in kind ought not whether such mo- to be paid to the plaintist, for the lands and titheable matters in dus be reasona- question, notwithstanding such pretended usage?

THE BARONS, having had and taken full confideration of And the Court the faid case, are all of opinion, and do unanimously declare, was unanimously that the custom set forth in the answer, as to Mablethorp St. ly of opinion that Mary's, is unreasonable and contrary to law, and therefore the said customs ought not to bar the plaintiff of his tithes in kind (a).

Before THE BARONS gave their opinion upon the case, the 9th Feb. 1681. defendant N. Newcomen died, whereby all proceedings in the cause, as against him, ceased, and the plaintiff filed his bill of reversal against his son, and the proceedings were revived, pursuant to order.

THE BARONS, having had and taken full confideration of the case, are all of opinion, and do unanimously declare, that the custom, set forth by the desendant's father, as to Mablethorp St.

(a) But see S. C. ante 166, and 183.; 2. November 1690, Mich. Term, 2. and the case of Canton v. Langton post. Will & Mary.

Mary's

Mary's, is very unreasonable, and contrary to law, and therefore ought not to bar the plaintiff of his tithes in kind.

Aspondby agairft . NEWCOMEN.

HILARY TERM 32. CAR. 2.

The lands called

ty of Wilts, are fubject to a

charge of 4cs. a year, to the vicar

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendant do account for the same during his father's life time, and since his

And it is referred to the deputy remembrancer to take and report the same.

> WM. MONTAGU. EDW. ATKYNS. Wm. Gregory. T. STREET.

## HEWLYN against WILDMAN.

Wiltsbire, 11th February 1680.

THE vicar of Wroughton, in the county of Wilts, claims an annual payment or composition of forty shillings per annum, rish of Wroughfor his tithes, arifing out a farm called Leazes, in the possession ton, in the counof the defendant.

The defendant denied, that he knew of any fuch custom.

The Court was of opinion, that there hath been an ancient in lieu of all vicustom of paying forty shillings per annum, for the lands in carial tithes ansthe defendant's possession, to the vicars of the said vicarage of ing thereon. Wroughton, in discharge of all vicarial tithes for the said lands, and that the same has been paid for above fixty years; and therefore, it is ordered by the Court, that the defendant shall, upon notice of this decree, pay to the plaintiff eleven pounds, in full for the arrears of the faid ancient yearly payment of forty shillings, for the said vicar's tithes, belonging to the faid vicarage of Wroughton.

> WM. MONTAGU. EDW. ATKYNS. Wm. GREGORY.

CAMELL against WARD.

Suffolk, 20th June 1681.

THE scope of the bill was to compel the defendant, being Tithes are not the executor of John King, to fet forth and discover what payable for a deyearly profit the testator made in his life-time, of a decoy of fowl, coy of wild ducks, or for in the parish of Worlingham, in the county of Suffolk, which the ducks or other plaintiff alledged the faid John King had been occupier of for fowl taken in a feveral years, and wherein he had yearly taken and killed ducks, decoy, nor for

TRIN. TERM, 33. CAR. 2.

the eggs of tame

ducks kept for the fervice of a decoy.

Vol. I.

P

mallards,

CAMPLL againft WARD.

mallards, teals, and other fowls, and had eggs therefrom; whereby he made great profit, and ought to have paid to the plaintiff yearly, as rector there, the tithes thereof in kind, or made some composition to him for the same.

The defendant faid, that he knew not that John King was ever owner or farmer of the faid decoy, but believed that he was servant to Sir John Duke, the owner thereof, and gave him an account thereof; that the faid decoy was only of wild fowl, and that the plaintiff and his predecessors, never, since the making of the faid decoy about forty years ago, had paid any tithes for the fame, or made any fatisfaction in lieu thereof.

Upon opening the bill and answer, and upon debate of the matter, it appeared to the Court, that the ducks taken in the faid decoy were wild ducks, for which the Court was of opinion, that tithes are not payable; and there being no proof of any custom for the payment of tithes for ducks and other fowl taken in decoys, or for the eggs of tame ducks kept for the service of the faid decoy, the Court was also of opinion, that tithes ought not to be paid for the eggs of fuch ducks.

The bill therefore was dismissed; but without costs.

Wm. Montagu, EDW. ATKYNS. WM. GREGORY.

TRIN. TERM. 33. CAR. 2.

MARGETTS against Butcher.

Northamptonsbire, 13th June 1681(a).

claims the tithes Northampton.

plaintiff THE bill stated, that for four years past, the plaintiff had been and then was farmer of the parsonage and rectory imof lamb, wool, propriate of Sutton, otherwise called King's Sutton, in the county in the parish of Northampton, and of all the tithes thereof; that there is an an-King's Sutten, in cient custom within the said parish, that the occupiers of meadow the county of and mowing grounds shall pay tithes of the lattermath, or fecond crop of grass, or herbage cut in the meadows there, whereof the tithes of the first crop of herbage there mowed were set out in grass, and not made into hay, by the occupiers of such meadow ground,

The defendants

The defendants, as to the tithes of the lattermath, said, fay no tithe is that they had heard that the fame had been fometimes paid due of the after- by some land holders, but that they believed it was paid in their own wrong, and confessed that they had themselves paid the same in their own wrong.

> (a) This cause came first before the was then ordered to stand over for the Court, on the ninth of May 1681, and opinion of the Court.

The defendant Butcher said, that he rented fix yard lands in the parish of Astropp, and had four acres of his own, and that three of the fix yard lands, and the four acres, are in the parish of Sutton; that the messuage and the three other yard lands are in the parish of Newbottle, and that the small tithes belong to the vicar of that parish; that there are one hundred and forty-four and lambs on an sheep commons belonging to the said messuage and six yard undivided waste, lands, and none belonging to the four acres; that, in the or common befirst place, he sheared no sheep fed in the parish of Sutton, but fold several of them before shearing time, for every sheep whereof an halfpenny only was due by the custom of those parishes; that since, one year with another, he had sheared several sheep in the parish of Newbottle; that, during the time in the bill mentioned, he had sheared no sheep in the parish of Sutton; that every year he had several lambs of the ewes kept on the commons of the faid farm; and he confessed that he did not pay the privy tithes of the said farm to the plaintiff, for that the sheep and cattle going on the commons of the said farm did feed, during the faid term, for the most part of the year, on the waste and unknown grounds in Aftropp, and for the rest of the year on the lands lying within the parishes of Newbottle and Sutton; that the waste and common grounds lie within the faid parishes, and are unknown and undivided; that the defendant, residing in the parish of Newbottle, hath paid the vicar there all tithes of wool and lamb arising out of the said mesfuage and fix yard lands, and is advised that he ought not to pay to the plaintiff any privy tithes for the lands in the parish of Sutton.

MARGRETA ag ainst BUTCHER.

The defendant pleads that he kept his sheep

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides. The cause came on to be heard the ninth day of May last; and on debate of the matter,

THE COURT delivered their opinion, that as to the tithes of The defendants wool and lambs, the fame ought to be paid rateably, according to decreed to pay the value of the lands which the defendants respectively held in wool rateably. each parish, and that they were respectively to account for the same, only that if there were any odd sheep or lambs under seven, there is, by the custom, only an halfpenny a sheep and an halfpenny a lamb due for the fame to the vicar of King's Sutton, and nothing to the parson, and therefore for those odds the defendants are not to account, fave only that where the sheep Practice of dihave been divided amongst the children, the defendants ought viding sheep ato account for the tithes of the lamb and wool of the faid sheep mong children fraudulent. rateably as aforefaid; the Court declaring that fuch gifts to children are fraudulent.

As to the tithe of the after math, or after crop of grass As to the tithe mowed, there being no custom or prescription alledged or set of forth by the defendants in discharge thereof, the plaintiff's the Court will

counsel further consider.

agains BUTCHER. counsel infifted, that the tithes thereof ought, by common right, to be paid to the plaintiff.

But the Court took time to advise of the same until the day after the term, when the Court declared, they would further advise touching the payment of the tithes of the said aftermath, or after crop of grafs.

The cause now came on for their judgment therein, and after hearing counsel on both sides,

Tithes of afterpaid of common rigbt.

THE COURT delivered their opinions feriatim, " that of math is to be " common right, tithes of aftermath or of the after crop of grass " mowed (there being no prescription or custom against, or in

" discharge of the same) ought to be paid and set forth by the

" defendants to the plaintiff."

WM. MONTAGU. EDW. ATKYNS. WM. GREGORY. THO. STREET.

HILARY TERM 33. CAR. 2-

## Cluver against Pullen.

Surry, 20th February 1681.

Croydon, in Surry, is entitled to the tithes of calves, and enions in kind.

The vicar of THE vicar of Croydon, in Surry, claimed tithes in kind of calves, carrots, turnips, and onions.

Upon hearing counsel on both sides, and reading several deturnips, carrots, positions, and on much debate,

> THE COURT ordered and decreed, that the plaintiff ought to have tithes of calves in kind, for all calves fallen in the faid parish of Croydon, and also tithes of all turnips, carrots, and onions, and fuch other matters fowed in the common fields, and elfewhere in the faid parish.

> > WM. MONTAGU. EDW. ATKYNS. WM. GREGORY. THO, STREET.

TRIN. TERM. 34. CAR. 2.

## KETTLEBY against Corbett.

Herefordsbire, 10th July 1682.

The rector of THE plaintiff, being vicar of the vicarage of Avenbury, in the Avenbury, in Hecounty of Hereford, alledged, that the rectory of Avenbury, refordsbire, order-

ed to pay the vicar a yearly stipend of 71. 68. 8d. and to build him a convenient house, with a garden and orchard annexed, pursuant to the reservation in the original grant of the restory, from the abbot of Derc.

being

being appropriated to the abbey and convent of Dore, in the faid county, there was referved to the vicar a competent maintenance by the bishop; that the bishop and abbot endowed the faid vicarage with the rectory house, (excepting to the abbot the principal chamber, and the cellar, and one orchard, and a little house adjoining), garden, orchard, fixty acres of land, the tithe of hay, and all other small tithes; that afterwards the said rectory coming to the crown, king Edward the Sixth granted the same to one Harford and one Farley, reserving all the vicarial tithes, and three pounds a year, to be paid out of the faid rectory to the vicar; that the defendant claims the faid rectory, and all the lands and small tithes, and denies the faid vicarage to be endowed with the fame, or with any more than a yearly stipend of seven pounds, six shillings, and eightpence, and a finall parcel of meadow ground called St. Agatha's Meadow.

aganti CORBETT.

THE COURT, on reading the copy of a special verdict and other exhibits, ordered the cause to be continued in the paper, and in the mean time THE LORD CHIEF BARON to be attended with a copy of the special verdict.

The Court on the twentieth of November 1682, upon full The evidence. confideration had of the first endowment of the said vicarage, and also of several compositions made betwixt the abbots of Dore and the vicars of Avenbury, for the time being, and also of the faid letters patent of King Edward the Sixth, all mentioned and found by the special verdict now produced, and also of several depositions taken in the cause, and several ancient terriers produced, DECLARED, that the plaintiff ought to enjoy the faid meadow, called St. Agatha's Meadow, and to have and receive the said stipend of seven pounds, six shillings, and eightpence a year, and the arrears thereof fince he came to be vicar there, and to have and enjoy the rectory house, garden, and orchard, as his predecessor did, and that the same house ought to be rebuilt by the defendant.

A commission was accordingly issued, under the seal of this A commission Court, to commissioners to fet out a convenient piece of issued to fix ground, to build a convenient house for the vicar to dwell in, on a proper with a garden and orchard to the same, who are to certify the car's residence. fame to the court, with the costs and charges touching it.

Harding, 2. Eq. Ca. Abr. 17.

A commission was awarded, and two of the commissioners 241b Nov. 1684. certified, that they thought it convenient, that a house be built The commisfor the vicar of the faid vicarage, in a parcel of land, called the fioners certify Lime Paddock, lying between the highway leading from the the place, and town of Bromyard, to the church of Avenbury, claimed by the building the defendant, and that they had marked out and measured a cer- same. tain part of the faid parcel of land, which they conceived to be a sufficient parcel of land for the vicarage house to stand in, and

the x, ence of

KETTLEBY against CORBETT.

to make a garden and orchard to the same; that it will cost one pound, fifteen shillings, to make a sufficient fence in the faid parcel of land; and that the house will cost the sum of fixty pounds.

The cause now came on for further directions; and on hearing counsel on both sides, and reading the said certificate, and on debate of the matter,

The defendant ordered to build the fame.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendant do build a house on the ground set out by the said commissioners, according to their certificate, and that the defendant do convey the fame, with the faid garden and orchard, to some person, to be agreed on, for the vicar of Avenbury and his successors.

Cofts.

And the Court will confider of costs, when the commissioners do certify that the house is built, and what it costs.

TRIN. TERM, 34. CAR. 2.

## SALISBURY against WHITEY,

Suffex, 29th June 1682.

fex, & es his bill

The vicar of Hashings in Sufof Hashings in the county of Sustex, and ought to have of Hastings, in the county of Suffex, and ought to have against the wi the use and enjoyment of the vicarage house with the barns, dow and execu- &c. together with all tithes, rents, dues, and profits, thereunto trix of his pre- belonging; that the defendants husband, the lafe vicar, let the decensor, for de same go into great decay: and therefore he prayed relief in the premifes.

The defendant court has no jurildiction, court;

The defendant answered and said, that the scope of the bill besays, that the ing to recover dilapidations, she is not obliged to answer the same; for and that this Court cannot take cognizance of fuch matters, that the 13. as the statute 13. Eliz c. 10. s. 2. directs the same to be recover-Eliz c. 10. ( 2 ed in the ecclesiastical court, and therefore she might have pleaded has given it to the said statute, but, for the satisfaction of the Court, she hath the ecclefusficul answered and admitted assets.

> The plaintiff replied; and iffue being joined, witnesses were examined; and upon debate of the matter,

therefore the le cheate is dunuffed.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid defendant shall be, and hereby is difmissed this court, and from the matters and things in the bill, with costs fince the putting in of the anlwer

> Wм. Montagu. Edw. ATKYNS. Wm. Gregory. THO. STREET,

## Leigh against Chadd. Bedfordsbire, 14th May 1683.

EASTER TERM - 35. CAR. 2.

THE bill stated that J. Duport, clerk, parson and prependary and lands called of the parish church of Leighton Buzzard, in the county of Mora and Gled, Bedford, being seised of the parsonage and prebend, and entitled in the hamlets to all tithes arifing therein, by indenture dated the eighteenth of *Hunth* and *Roach*, in the parith of *July*, in the twenty-ninth year of *Charles the Second*, demifed the fame to the plaintiff, for three lives, at the yearly rent of *Bustard* in the seventy-six pounds, thirteen shillings, and sourpence, he pay- county of Beding to the vicar of the faid church twelve pounds yearly; and ford, are tithe that, being so seised, he ought to receive the tithes thereof.

The defendant answered, that he rented a messuage and lands called Mares and Gled, in the hamlets of Heath and Roach, in the faid parish, and that he did not set out the tithes, or make any composition for the same, by reason that the said messuage and lands are tithe free, being part of the lands belonging to the abbey or priory of Dunftable, which was one of the greater monasteries.

THE COURT, upon hearing counsel on both sides, and on debate, ordered, that the faid bill be difmiffed with costs.

> WM. MONTAGU. EDW. ATKYNS. Wm. Gregory. T. STREET.

#### . Fox against SHAWE; AND

TRIN. TERM, 35. CAR. 2.

WRIGHT against SHAWE.

Yorksbire, 25th June 1683.

THE plaintiff Fox fet forth, that, for feven years past, he had The plaintiffs been impropriator of Bradfield, in the county of York, and claim the tithes was thereby entitled to two parts in three of all tithes both great fild, in Yorkand small within the said parish, and to the tithes of lambs; that fare. the plaintiff Wright, being vicar of the said parish, claims one part in three of the faid tithes.

The defendant answered and said, that there was a custom The desendant in the faid parifh to pay for every lamb fallen within the faid fets up a modus. parish and sold after lambing time, and before clipping time, out of the parish, one halfpenny, in lieu of tithes, and no more,

An iffue was directed to try the custom.

An iffue direct-

berse not reported 3424

P 4

A trial

against SHAWE. WRIGHT against SHAWE. The defendant

difmiffed, and

plaintiff ordered

4d. for cofts.

Fox

A trial was accordingly had, and a verdict, on full evidence passed for the defendant; but a new trial was ordered, upon the plaintiffs paying to the defendant twenty pounds costs, for the former trial; and on the fecond trial, a verdict, on full evidence, passed again for the defendant.

5th May 1684. A new trial granted, and another verdict for the defendant.

THE COURT therefore ordered, that the defendant be difmissed of and from all the matters and things in the plaintiss feveral and respective bills contained, and that the plaintiffs pay to pay 531. 138. to the defendant fifty-three pounds, thirteen shillings, and fourpence, costs.

TRIN. TERM, 35. CAR. 2.

### Wood against Beaumont. Yorksbire, 5th July 1683.

tle.

The vicar of Sandall Magna, THE plaintiff, as vicar of the parish and parish church of Sandall Magna, in the county of York, filed his bill against Sandall Magna, in the county of York, filed his bill against York, claims an the defendants, being inhabitants, owners, and occupiers of ancient pension messuages, lands, and tenements in Criggleston, a vill or townof 14l a year ship within the said rectory of Sandall Magna, setting forth, that an annuity of by prescription, endowment, or other good title, there hath 201. a year if been an annual pension or sum of sourteen pounds a year due fuing out of the and payable to the vicar there, by the owners, proprietors, or rectorial tithes; occupiers of the rectory or parsonage impropriate, of Sandall certain custom ary payments in Magna, and that the fame hath been constantly paid to lieu of small the plaintiff's predecessors, and ought to be paid to him; that tithes; and the over and above the faid fourteen pounds a year, the vicar tithes of hay, is entitled to the oblations and Easter offerings, and other gittment of catand customary payments, and other rates in lieu of tithe milk, calves, fowls, honey, wax, hay, agistment, and herbage, within the faid township; that there is, and hath been, time out of mind, a custom in Criggleston, that the inhabitants thereof have paid one penny for a cow, in lieu of tithe milk; one halfpenny for a calf, in lieu of tithe calves; threepence for a foal, in lieu of tithe foals and colts; and one penny for a fwarm of bees, in lieu of tithe honey and wax; that they have also paid, for several tenements and farms in the said township, certain annual rates or payments for, or in the name, or lieu of tithe hay, herbage, and agistment, of their respective tenements and farms; that accordingly the plaintiff hath received the same, and is contented so to do; that Sir Richard Beaumont, the rectory of being seised in see of the said rectory of Sandall Magna, con-Sandail Magna, stantly paid the said sourteen pounds a year, and, being mindgave all the tithes ed to encrease the vicar's maintenance, by will, dated the the reof to L. twenty-second of August 1621, gave all the tithes of corn and Way, T. Pil. twenty-second of August 1621, gave all the tithes of corn and kingion, and T. hay arising of and from divers lands within the said rectory, Wray in trust to pay the vicars of Sandall Magna, 201. a year.

Sir R. Beaumont, being scised of

which

which were then enclosed and improved, to his nephews Leonard Wray, Thomas Pilkington, and Thomas Wray, and their heirs for ever, in trust, to pay twenty pounds a year to the vicar; that they neglecting to perform the faid trust, J. Stockport, vicar there, in the month of May, in the tenth year CHARLES THE FIRST, fought relief from the then commissioners for charitable uses, and the then trustees were summoned, and ordered to pay to the vicar the said twenty pounds a year arising out of the tithes of corn and hay, pursuant to the will (a); that Leonard Wray fold his part of the tithes to Thomas Pilkington L. Wray fells his and Thomas Wray, who came to a partition, and that Sandall part to the other Magna was allotted to Thomas Wray, and the tithes of Criggleson who came to a to Thomas Pilkington, who have all along paid the faid fourteen partition, pounds a year in moieties; that the defendant Elizabeth Wray, widow of Thomas Wray, continued to pay her moiety; but that Dame Mary Beaumont, who hath an estate for life in the tithes Dame of Criggleston, refused to pay her moiety; that the defendant Beaumont has an having got the endowments and evidences relating to the premiles, and the conveyances and titles of the small sums in licu gleson. of tithe hay, herbage, and agistment, and the Easter book, wherein the faid moduses are entered, she refuses to pay the faid tithes, payments, and duties to the plaintiff. The vicar therefore prayed a general relief in the premises.

Wood againfl

The defendants appeared and put in feveral answers; the plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and several witnesses were examined on both fides.

Now upon opening the bill and answers, and hearing counsel The evidence. for all parties, and upon reading an inquisition taken in the reign of QUEEN ELIZABETH, upon a commission of charitable uses, concerning the faid fourteen pounds a year; and the will of the faid Sir Richard Beaumont; and a decree of the commissioners of charitable uses made in the tenth year of CHARLES THE First, concerning the faid twenty pounds a year, and upon reading the depositions of several witnesses taken in the said cause, and on full debate or the matter,

THE COURT is fully of opinion, and doth declare, that both The pension of the faid fourteen pounds a year, and the faid twenty pounds a 141 and the anyear, are due and payable, and ought to be paid to the plaintiff, nuity of 201. sa vicar of the vicarage of Sandall Magna, and to his successors, the plaintiff; in right of the faid vicarage; and that one moiety of the arrears of the faid fourteen pounds a year, and which incurred fince the plaintiff became vicar, and during the life of the said Lady Beaumont, ought to be paid to him by the executors or

discipere, filed his bill against the execu- ordered to pay them.

(a) On the 22d June 1678, Trinity tors of Lady Beaumant, &cc. for arrears of Term, 3. Jac. 2. Johnson, executor of C. the said stipend and annuity, and she was

admi\_

Wcop against BEAUMONT. administrators of the said Lady Beaumont (a), and the other moiety by the faid Elizabeth Wray; that as well the arrears, as the growing duty of the faid twenty pounds a year, ought to be paid from the time of the death of the faid Lady Beaumont, by the owners, or other persons interested in the said rectory and premises charged or liable to the payment thereof.

and the pention ture.

AND THEREUPON IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the to be paid in fu- faid annual fum of fourteen pounds shall, from henceforth for ever, be duly paid and answered to the plaintiff and his succesfors, vicars of Sandall Magna for the time being, claiming under the faid Sir Richard Beaumont, by four quarterly payments, according to the faid inquisition, which mentions also the endowment of the faid vicarage.

The annuity also to be paid in future.

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the yearly fum of twenty pounds, devised by the will of the said Sir R. Beaumont, shall, from henceforth for ever, be duly paid and answered to the plaintiff and his successors, vicars of Sandall Magna, by the owners and occupiers of the tithes of corn arising within the faid inclosed and improved lands and grounds within the faid rectory of Sandall Magna, according to the faid will.

The paymentin the plaintiff.

And as to the customs in Criggleston, for payment of one penny lieu of small for a cow, in lieu of tithe milk; one halfpenny for a calf; tithes decreed to threepence for a foal; and one penny for every swarm of bees in lieu of honey and wax; the fame being fully proved in the cause to bedue, and that the same, for fixty years, have been constantly paid to the vicars of Sandall Magna, they are hereby ratified and confirmed; AND IT IS HEREBY DECREED, that the fame, for the future, shall be paid to the plaint ff and his fucceffors; and that the defendants, the inhabitants of Criggleston, shall pay all the arrears due to the plaintiff, without costs.

Issues directed to try the modus respecting the tithe of hay, herbage, and agistment.

And as to the several moduses and customary payments, mentioned in the bill, and fet forth in the defendants, the inhabitants, answer, in lieu of tithe hay, herbage, and agistment, upon their feveral and respective tenements; THE COURT ordered fix feveral trials at law to be had touching the same, wherein the defendant Richard Beaumont is to be plaintiff, who, by consent, is forthwith to nominate three of the defendants, being the best farmers or owners of lands in Criggleston, with whom he will try the right of the several moduses and customary payments by them alledged to be in lieu of tithe hay, herbage, and agistment arising upon their respective farms or tenements in Criggleston, and that

(a) On the 30th of November 1686, Michaelmas Term, 1. Jac. 2. the plaintiff filed his bill against the executors. and the Court ordered them to pay 241 108. for three years and a half arrears of the moiety of the 141, a year; and all the arrears of

her moiety of the 201, a year, out of the tithe corn of the lands in Grigglesten, received by her, during the fald three years and a half, that the plaintiff was viçar of Sandall Magna, before her death.

the plaintiff Wood nominate three other of the defendants, being the next best farmers or owners of lands in Criggleston, with whom the defendant Beaumont is also to try the right aforesaid; and the several issues are to be, "whether the respective sums, The issues stat-" by the defendants in their answers respectively alledged to ed. " be the moduses, payable in lieu of tithe hay, herbage, and agist-" ment, for their feveral and respective farms, bath been, time " out of mind, paid by the owners or occupiers of fuch farms fo " put to issue, in lieu of all tithe hay, herbage, and agistment, " arising in their respective farms in Criggleston aforesaid, or And the rest of the moduses alledged in lieu of tithe hay, herbage, and agistment, by the other defendants, are to attend and go according to the event of the faid trials; and, in case the defendant R. Beaumont shall fail or neglect to try the same at the next affizes, then this Court doth hereby declare, that they will, at the plaintiff's request, decree to the plaintiff each particular modus, payable by the faid defendants, for and in lieu of tithe hay, herbage, and agistment, according as by the bill, and the answer of the inhabitants are set forth; and that then they will confider of costs; the equity as to the same to be referred.

against BEAUMONT.

The cause now came on for a further hearing, and the The defendants plaintiff's counsel informing the Court, that the defendant neglect to try Richard Beaumont had wholly neglected to nominate three de- the question. fendants, to try the issues for settling the right of the said moduses, prayed that the said moduses might be established by the decree of this Court, to be paid to the plaintiff.

And upon debate of the matter,

IT IS THIS DAY ORDERED, &c. BY THE COURT, that the faid 22d Nov. 1683. several moduses or customary payments, for and in lieu of tithe The several mehay, herbage, and agistment, within the township of Crigglesson, dust in lieu of tithe hay, herin the desendants, the inhabitants, answer particularly and bage, and ajistrespectively set forth, shall be and are hereby settled to be due ment, decreed and payable from the said inhabitants respectively, for and in ##respect of their said several and respective farms, lands, and tenements in Criggleston aforesaid, to the plaintiff and his successors, vicars of Sandall Magna for the time being; and that the faid defendants, the inhabitants, shall pay to the plaintiff alliarrears, and for the time to come shall pay the same to the plaintiff and his fuccessors, vicars there, unless cause be shewn by the defendants Richard Beaumont and W. Hardcaftle, and his wife, they first paying five pounds costs; and then the Court will confider of further costs to be paid to the plaintiff.

The cause came on according to the said order, when the de-fendants prayed time to consider, whether they would try the pray time to con-

pray time toconfider whether they will try the iffues;

Wood against BEAUMONT' faid iffues, on their undertaking to pay the arrears of the faid pension and annuity, and to stay proceedings in the spiritual court of York.

but neglect to comply with the order of the

But afterwards the plaintiff's counfel informed the Court, that the defendants had not complied with the faid orders, and prayed that the feveral modufes and customary payments, or rates for and in lieu of tithe hay, herbage, and agistment within the faid township of Criggleston, for the several and respective farms in the faid defendants, the inhabitants, answers particularly fet forth, might be fettled by the decree of this Court, to be for ever hereafter paid to the plaintiff and his successors, vicars of the parish and parish church of Sandall Magna.

A perpetual injunction awarded to quit the plaintiff in the decreed to him.

It is thereupon this day finally ordered, &c. by the Court as prayed, and that a perpetual injunction shall forthwith be awarded, under the feal of this Court, for quieting the possession of the plaintist in the possession of the matters hereby decreed to him, several matters and for stay of all proceedings in the ecclesiastical court of York had or made against the said plaintiff, or any of the inhabitants, defendants, touching any of the matters or things fettled by order and decree of this Court.

> AND IT IS ORDERED by the Court, that the faid defendants Beaumont and Hardcaftle shall pay to the plaintiff his costs of this fuit, to be taxed; and it is referred to the deputy remembrancer to tax the fame.

> > WM. MONTAGU. EDW. ATKYNS. WM. GREGORY. THO. STREET.

TRIN. TERM, 35. CAR. 2.

HALL against BABB.

Devonsbire, 5th July 1683.

for the pafturing.

Tithes are due THE bill stated, that the plaintiff had been rector of the parish for the after and parish-church of Upton Pine, in the county of Deven, math of clover and parin-cnurch or Upton Pine, in the county of Dewn, grass, but not for five years past, and ought to have received all tithes and after customary payments for the same, and particularly the tithes of feeding and de- the aftermath of clover grass.

> The defendants faid, that no tithes ought to be paid for the fecond mowing of clover grafs; which was the only dispute in the

> THE COURT, upon hearing counsel on both sides, are of opinion, that the tithes of the aftermath of clover grass are due, and

and ought to be paid, but not for after-feeding and depafturing.

HALL against .

WM. MONTAGU. Wm. Gregory. THO. STREET.

### Wightwick against Gifford.

MICH. TERMS 35. CAR. 2.

Ladies, are in the

Stafford/bire, 19th November 1683.

HE scope of the bill was, to be relieved for the tithes of a The messuage, mefluage called Black Ladies, and ten acres of land belonging and ten acres of land, called Black to the same, in the parish of Brewood, in the county of Stafford.

A trial at law was directed upon the statute 2. Edw. 6. for not parish of Brafetting out the tithes of the faid meffuage; the only question to county of Siefbe, whether the said messuage called the Black Ladies, and the lands ford. belonging to the same, are situate, lying, and being, within the parish of Brewood, or not?

A trial was had, and a verdict was given for the plaintiff, no evidence being given for the defendant. A new trial was granted, upon payment of costs, to be had at the bar of this court by a Staffordsbire jury; and on full evidence given on both fides, a verdict was again given for the plaintiff.

THE COURT therefore ordered the defendants to fatisfy and pay to the plaintiff the value of the tithes of all the lands in question.

## THOMPSON against WRIGHT. Somersetsbire, 26th November 1683.

MICH. TERM. 35. CAR. 2.

THE plaintiff, as vicar of the vicarage and parish-church of The vicar of Bedminster, in the county of Somerset, with the chapels of Bedminster, with Saint Mary Radcliffe, and Saint Thomas, in Briftol, and Abbots the chapelry of Saint Mary Rad-Leigh annexed, filed his bill, stating his title to the small tithes eliffe annexed, and offerings arising therein.

claims the Jmall

The defendants confessed the plaintiff to be vicar as aforesaid, therein. .and that he ought to have and receive the tithes, as the former vicars had.

The defendant Wright said, that he was an inhabitant of The defendant Saint Mary Radcliffe, and kept a common inn there; and that he isacommon innheld, within the impropriation of Knoll, in the faid parish of keci erin St. Ma-Bedminster, certain lands called Marr Meades and Pyle Hills, ry's, and holds part whereof he mowed and made into hay, and part he fed with lands in Knoll, carriers horses, and paid the tithes thereof to the owners of the in the par.th of impropriation of Knoll; and that he also held other lands in the has paid his faid parish.

tithes to the rec-The ter of Knell.

arifing

THOMPSON against WRIGHT.

cattle in Buln inster.

The defendant Priest confessed that he held and rented two closes, called Portinghams: that he had depastured part thereof, and of the other part had made the grass into hay; and said, The defendant that the tithe hay, if any were due, belonged to the parfon of Bedhas depastured minster, and not to the vicar.

The plaintiff replied; and witnesses were examined.

The question

Upon opening the bill and answer, and reading several depowhether sitions, and hearing counsel on both sides; and the defendant the tithes of the Wright's counsel only infisting upon the question, whether the possession wright's tithes arising in and upon the said closes called Marr Meads and payable to the Pyle Hills were payable to the owner of the impropriation of Knoll, impropriator of or to the plaintiff? which closes the plaintiff's counsel alledged Knoll, or to the to be parcel of the farm called Paradmeads; and it appearing, by vicar of Bedmin. the plaintiff,'s proof, that tithes had been paid for the faid farm to the former vicar of Bedminster;

The bill, as athe plaintiff's title.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the bill, as to the gainst Wight, defendant Wright, do stand absolutely dismissed, without costs, dismissed, with- and without prejudice to the plaintiff's right to small tithes out prejudice to which hereafter shall arise upon the said several closes of ground called Marr Meads and Pyle Hills, or any of them.

> And as to the tithes demanded by the plaintiff of the defendant Priest, arising in and upon the several grounds in his occupation, forasmuch as it appears to the Court that the same are due to the plaintiff,

The defendant pay tithe for the the plaintiff.

IT is further ordered, that the faid defendant shall account Prist ordered to with, and satisfy the plaintiff for all the tithes of agistments, and the feeding and depasturing of barren and unprofitable cattle, and barren cattle to other small tithes, which have yearly renewed and increased in and upon the several and respective grounds confessed in his answer to be occupied by him within the said parish; and it is referred to the deputy remembrancer to take the faid account, and report the fame (a).

> (a) See other causes relating to this parish, Cox v. Livesay, 18th February 1675, 27. Car. 2. ante, page 152; Horton v. Higginbottom, post. 22d

June 1703, Trinity Term, 2. Anne; and Gibbs v. Goodmap, post. 4th Juge 1733, Trinity Term, 7. Geo. 2.

HILARY TERM 35. CAR. 3. , FISH against WIMBERLEY.

Lincolnsbire, 4th February 1683.

Colefeed, though and in large quantities, is a colefeed. small tithe.

HE plaintiff, as vicar of the vicarage and parish-church of Gedney, in the county of Lincoln, demanded the tithe of

The defendant Wimberley acknowledged, that fince the plaintiff's induction he had occupied in the faid parish several acres of

new-improved lands, and had reaped therefrom colefeed, the tithes whereof he did not fet forth to the plaintiff, because, by law, he Wimberger. should not have been charged with any for seven years to come.

The defendant Waterfall confessed, that he had reaped leveral acres of coleseed, the tithes whereof he did not fet out to the plaintiff, because it was newly improved ground, and he had agreed with the plaintiff for the tithes of the coleseed for ten pounds; and that he believed coleseed was a great tithe, and belonged to the rector of Gedney, and not to the vicar.

Upon reading the endowment of the faid vicarage, dated in the year 1209; and also the depositions of witnesses taken on both fides, it plainly appeared to the Court, as well by the endowment as by the testimony of the said witnesses of the usage there, that the vicar of the faid parish was endowed with, and accordingly did always, from time to time, receive and take, not only all manner of small tithes within the said parish, but also all tithes whatfoever, other than the tithes of corn; and that the rector had the tithes of corn only, and no more, within the faid parish.

And upon debate of the matter, the Court delivered their judgment unanimously, that'the tithe of coleseed is a small tithe; and that the plaintiff being endowed with or being possessed of all manner of small tithes within the said parish,

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendant Wimberley do forthwith fatisfy and pay to the plaintiff the value of the tithes of the coleseed, which he inned in the said year; and that it be referred to the deputy remembrancer to state the values thereof; and that the defendant Waterfall do pay to the plaintiff ten pounds, in full for his tithes of colefeed according to agreement; with three pounds for his cofts.

> WM. MONTAGU. EDW. ATKYNS. Wм. Gregory. THO. STREET.

TROUTBECK against LAWSON. Cumberland, 5th May 1684.

36. CAR. 2.

THE plaintiff, as rector of the rectory and parish-church of The rector of Downes, in the county of Cumberland, demanded of the the parish of defendants tithe in kind of corn and hay growing upon feveral Downes, in Cumlands and tenements within the faid parish in their possession, berland, demends tithes in kind. which they had detained from him for several years past.

paid

TROUTSICK again# LAWSON.

plead certain modufer for the re-The several pay-

ments flated.

The defendants answered, and said, that no tithe in kind for corn and hay was due or payable within the faid parish to the rector there, but only a modus or certain fum of money by way The defendants of prescription, except for the township of Downes, which always paid tithe corn and hay in kind, and infifted on a modus payspective lands in able in lieu of tithes for their lands, viz. for two tenements of the their possession. defendant Lawson's, containing thirty acres, and lying within the township of Whitrigg, within the said parish, ten shillings and fivepence; for two tenements of the defendant Wilfon, twenty acres, lying in the townships of Langroft and Amthorne, within the faid parish, ten shillings and twopence; for a tenement of the defendant Winder, fourteen acres, lying in Whitrigg, five shillings and twopence; and for the defendant Farlam's tenement, in Whitrigg, fixteen acres, eight shillings and sixpence.

evidence.

for precedents. fame,

Upon opening the pleadings, and reading the nones of this this parish, taken parish, taken in the fourteenth year of Edward the Third, conin the reign of Edward the cerning the valuation of the nones of corn, wool, and lamb, of the Third, read in church of Downes; and also a record in the custody of the remembrancer of the first fruits, touching the tithes and profits of the faid rectory of Downes, taken in the twenty-fixth year of Henry the Eighth; and upon reading some proofs concerning the payment of the respective modules or sums of money set forth in The Court, on the answer; and upon debate of the matter; the Court taking account of the largeness of the moduses, or payments alledged by moduses, order a the defendants to be in lieu of the tithes of corn and hay of search to bemade their respective tenements, in respect of the yearly values of the

> IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the cause stand over; and in the mean time the Court are to be attended with precedents where great moduses near the values of the tithes have been referred to trials at law.

19th June 1684. the feveral modufes decreed.

THE COURT having been attended with precedents pursuant to The payment of the faid order; and now on debate of the matter, the defendants by their answers having offered to pay the sums set forth 25 moduses or prescription money, doth think fit, at present, to decree the payment of the moduses or prescription money set forth in their answer to be paid to the plaintiff, as heretofore hath been usual.

> IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid defendants do pay to the plaintiff the same accordingly; and that costs are to be spared on either fide.

WM. MONTAGU. EDW. ATKYNS. Wm. Gregory. THO. STREET.

DEWES

## Dewes against Tredwell. Oxfordshire, 1st May 1684.

EASTER TERM 36. CAR. 2.

THE bill stated, that for ten years past the plaintiff had been The owners of farmer of the impropriate rectory or parsonage of Swacliffe, the three closes in the county of Oxford, by virtue of several demises from Saint called Swaciffe Mary's College, in Oxford, and ought yearly to receive of the farfordfire, only mers and occupiers of lands therein, and the titheable places pay ad. a-year thereof, all the tithes of corn, grass, hay, wool, and lamb, which for each of the ought to be justly set out and paid.

faid closes that ure mowed, in

The defendant said, that for about eight years past he had lime of tithe bay. tented lands or enclosed grounds, called Swacliffe Grange, in the faid parish; and that the way of tithing had been as follows, viz. the owner of the rectory had received the tithe corn arifing off the faid grange, and likewise the tithes of wool there; and the vicar or incumbent had constantly had a rate tithe for all bay that had been moved within the faid lands called the Grange, viz. for every close within the said parcel of land called the Grange twopence yearly; that the land from which he cut the faid hay did, for the greater part, lie in three closes; and when it lay in three closes, he paid for the same sixpence each year, viz. twopence for each close; and when but two closes were cut then only fourpence; and he conceived that he was, by his paying of twopence for every close he moved in the faid grange to the vicar, discharged of any tithe hay there to the rector.

A trial at law was ordered on this issue, whether the owners of the faid premises called Swacliffe Grange, time out of mind, have yearly paid, or ought to pay, to the vicar of Swacliffe for the time being, twopence only, in fatisfaction and discharge of the tithe hay in kind of every close yearly mowed, parcel of the premises called the Grange.

In pursuance whereof the said matter was tried, and a verdict 21st May 1685. was given for the defendant; but the plaintiff's counsel informing the Court that the verdict was contrary to the direction of the Judge, and the Judge who tried the same having informed THE LORD CHIEF BARON that it was contrary to his direction, the Court ordered the matter to be tried de novo by a special jury; and the defendant to have his costs for the last trial.

In purfuance of the faid order, a new trial was had, and a 10th Nov. 1685. verdict was given for the defendant, as appeared by the poslea, now read in court.

THE COURT therefore ordered, that the bill shall stand dismissed, with moderate costs.

Vol. I.

EVR

EASTERTERM, 36. CAR. 2.

## EVE against WIGHTWICK.

Kent, 1ft May 1684.

The rector of Midley, in Kent, possession.

The defendant of all tithes ;

claimed the tithes.

The plaintiffreing of Romney.

The Courty on position between the rector of Romney,

decreed the de-Is an acre to the rector of Midley, Romney.

THE bill fet forth, that the plaintiff is lawful rector of Midley, otherwise Midleley, in the county of Kent, and entitled to claims 18. an a. all tithes, compositions, and customary payments, belonging to cre in lieu of the the faid rectory; that the plaintiff's predecessors had had one tithes of all pafture grounds in the defendant's faid parish.

The defendant denied all knowledge of any custom for payment denies the cuf. of one shilling an acre for the tithe of all pasture land within tom, and says, the said parish; but said, that he used four hundred and twenty he has 420 acres acres of pasture land in the parish of Midley and Old Romney, but of parture in Midley and Old which lands lay in Midley he knew not; that for several years Romney, and that together, fo long as W. Carr, who was then rector of Old Romney, he paid 181. a. lived, he paid him eighteen pounds per annum for tithes of all year in Romniy, the faid lands; and after his death, he paid, several years togeard 141 a year in Midley, in lieu ther, fourteen pounds per annum, for the tithes of part of the faid lands, to the then rector of Midley; and for some years he paid to the then rector of Old Romney four pounds per annum, in lieu and that the rece of the tithes of the refidue of the faid land; that the rector of tor of Romacy Old Romney claimed the faid tithes.

The plaintiff put in a special replication; and thereby said, plies, that the that the lands used by the defendant in the parish of Midles were tithes he de-mands are not four hundred and twenty acres; and that if the rector of Old within the tith. Romney did claim the tithe of the said lands, yet the same were not within the tithery or titheable places thereof.

Upon hearing counsel on both sides; and reading the deporeading a com- fitions of feveral witnesses taken in the cause; and a copy of a composition, dated the fifteenth of February 1547, made be-Midley and Oid tween M. Colyn, then rector of Midley, and E. Sponer, then rector of Old Romney, and remaining in the registry of the prerogative of the archbishop of Canterbury; and upon much debate;

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendant shall forthfendant to pay with satisfy and pay to the plaintiff twenty pounds, viz. twelvepence an acre, for four hundred acres of pasture land lying in and 41 a-year to the parish of Midley, in the defendant's occupation; and that the rector of old the defendant do forthwith pay to the rector of Old Romney four pounds per annum for all the lands mentioned in the aforesaid composition; and that the said desendant shall not pay costs in this cause, the rector of Old Romney being present in court, and consenting to accept the said four pounds per annum for all the lands in the faid composition, for such time as the plaintiff had been, and should continue to be, rector of Midley aforesaid.

> WM. MONTAGU. Wm. Gregory.

> > ATTERBURY,

# ATTERBURY, D. D. against Turner, Knt.

TRIN. TERM. 36. CAR. 2.

### Lord Nottingham against Atterbury.

Buckinghamsbire, 23d June 1684.

THE plaintiff, as rector of the parish-church of Milton, other- Dr. Attobury, as wise Middleton Keynes, in the county of Buckingbam, by his bill stated, that he, for many years, had been, and still is rector of Buckingbam, and lawful incumbent of the said rectory, and was entitled to the claims Ghapel glebe lands; that parcel of the said rectory, lying in Milton, con- Close, Rye C. ofi, taining thirty-nine acres, whereof one piece, called Chapel Close, and thirty-three acres of land, as containing one acre and a half, and another piece called Rye Croft, belonging to the containing four acres and a half, remained in the plaintiff's pof- globe of the recfession; that other parcels, containing thirty-three acres, are in tory; the possession of the defendants; that he was also entitled to a right of comfeveral commons to be taken in the meadows, fields, lands, and mon for four leveral commons to be taken in the meadows, neids, lands, and horses, tenbeasts, pastures of the manor of Milton for sour horses, ten beasts, and and thirty sheep; thirty sheep, the said right of common being yearly worth forty pounds; that he had also yearly taken, in the meadows, thirty the liberty of jogs or loads of hay; and that he ought to have and enjoy all taking manner of tithes arising within the said parish in kind, and in particular in the fields called Ten Pound Close, Great Pasture, kind of Ten Pound Lady Mead, and Little Ham, lying within the said parish; that Close, Great Pasture, and Little Ham, lying within the said parish; that Close, Great Pasture, and Little Ham, lying within the said parish; that about the year 1593 there was an exchange and agreement made sure, Lady Mead, between J. Stafford, then lord of the faid manor, and R. Smith, and Little Ham; then rector there, as stated in the bill; that the said R. Smith, and states, that during his life and to the year 1640, permitted the substance of the an agreement faid agreement to continue after the death of the said J. Stafford; was made between a former that the plaintiff, being intitled to the said rectory, and finding rector and the that the lands fet out by the lord of the manor in lieu of the lord of the maancient glebe were not the same in quantity with the ancient nor, under which glebe by fix acres and a half; and that the faid composition was the ancient glebs made when the lands of the manor were let for about the value closed and exof three hundred pounds a-year, which lands are now let for shanged; near two thousand pounds a-year, intreated the present owners but that the said to restore to the church its proper rights, which they have not exchange was only refused to do, but have entered on thirty-three acres and a not fairly exehalf of glebe land, and taken the profits thereof, under a pretence detnmental to that the faid agreement was perpetual; that they have also the church. entered on the Dove House, and have refused to give the He also claims a plaintiff forty shillings a year in lieu thereof, and have let the dove-bouse, or aforesaid closes to tenants who have subtracted their tithes of hay 40s. a-year in and of grass, and refused any fort of payment for the same for lieu of it. several years past. The plaintiff therefore prayed a full discovery of their right and title, and relief in the premises.

The defendants admitted the plaintiff Dr. Atterbury to have The defendants been rector of the faid parish for the time in the bill mentioned, in the bill;

loads of hay;

but denied knowing where the glebe lands lay; and faid, that

ATTERBURY againf TURNER; AND LORD against ATTERBURY.

the plaintiff might be entitled to fuch tithes as in the bill are fet forth. But they positively denied that he was entitled to any NOTTINGRAM common or pasturage to be taken in the fields of the said manor or parish, or to any composition in lieu thereof, other than what he at present enjoyed; or that he or his predecessors had or ought to have, in any meadows parcel of or belonging to the faid manor, any quantity of hay whatfoever; or that he, in right of his faid rectory, ought to have any tithes in kind whatfoever, coming. &c. within the feveral fields aforefaid. And they infifted, that, during all the time whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary, there had been paid to the rector or parson of the faid rectory for the time being, by the owners or occupiers of the faid fields or closes, the following annual sums, for and as a rate tithe, and customary payment in lieu of all tithes arising, &c. on and flate, that the faid closes, viz. twenty shillings a-year for the Ten Pound yearly Close; three pounds a-year for the Great Pasture; and fix shilpayments are, Gioje; three pounds a-year for the Great Paffure; and fix thisby prescription, lings and eightpence a-year for Lady Mead; and that the rector to be paid to the of the said parish had enjoyed, time out of mind, a small parcel rector, in lieu of of meadow in a place called Bird's Meadow, in lieu of all tithes the tithes of Ten from Little Ham Meadow. They faid also, that they believed Paffure, and La- the agreement between the lord of the manor and the rector was dy Mend; and made and entered into as stated in the bill; and that the said was exchanged for Little Hem; and deny that the confession to the fame, and they confession they had, under the title in the bill set forth, and deny that they have built denied that they had disturbed the plaintiff in the enjoyment in any cottage on any of the exchanged premises, or of any commons or pasturage, the homestall; or that they had built any cottage in the homestall of the paror taken any or- sonage-yard, or taken any orchard out of the Chapel Close, or that, pd Close; or that to their knowledge, Sawpit Yard was enjoyed by the plaintiff's he has any right predecessors; and averred that it had been, time out of mind, to Sawpit Taid. part of the wastes of the manor. They then set forth a con-They also state a veyance of the said manor from W. Stafford to them for eleven conveyance of thousand years, under certain trusts. The defendant H. the manor in Stafford also set forth a settlement of inheritance of the said manor upon himself in tail, with divers remainders over. They confessed the letting the aforesaid closes, and that they had not paid tithes in kind to the plaintiff, but alledged that they had paid to him the several rates aforesaid; and insisted thereupon. And they prayed, that the Inclosure, after so long a space of time, and after fuch great charges had been incurred by inclosing the fame, might not be laid open; the rectory and the glebe now enjoyed by the plaintiff being better than it was before the faid inclosure.

chard out of Cha-

truft.

The plaintiff replied; and witnesses were examined.

The cause came on to be heard the twenty-sixth of January, in the twenty-second year of his present majesty's reign; and upon full full and folemn hearing whereof, the Court referred the matter in question to a trial at law in a special action upon the case, the plaintiff to declare, "That whereas the defendants R. Smith and " three others, being possessed of Ten Pound Close, of which NOTTINGHAM " Buckingham Way, Foddering Yard, and Wrenn Park are parcel, and likewise of lands called Great Passure, whereof eighty " acres, called the Warren, are parcel, and of Lady Mead and Aniffue directed " Little Ham, the said defendants did promise, that if tithes in " kind were due for the same land, they would pay for the Great " fame."

A trial was accordingly had. It was admitted by pleading, and by the parties, that tithes in kind were payable for the close called Buckingham Way, being two acres, and for Foddering Yard ingham Way, Fee fix acres and a half, and for Wrenn Park three acres and a half, Wrenn Park, parts and for the Warren seventy-four acres, parcel of the close called of Tim Pound Great Passure; and a verdict, upon long and full evidence on Close, and the both fides, passed for the desendants in all the issues, in affirmance of all the faid customary payments, and discharge of tithes set paytithes in line. forth in the answer, fave what was admitted by pleading as afore- A verdict, as to faid to pay tithes in kind.

But in the Eafter Term following, upon the plaintiff's motion, But a trief at ber it was ordered, that there should be a trial at bar of this court is ordered; upon the fame iffues.

And the Court being informed that all the defendants, except and all the de-J. Smith, were dead, it was, on the thirty-seventh day of June, fendants being in the thirtieth year of his present majesty's reign, ordered, by Snith, consent, that the defendant H. Stafford, owner of the premises, should stand and be in the place of the defendants that were the Court, by then dead, without any bill of revivor for that purpose.

manor defendant, to prevent a bill of revivor.

In pursuance of which orders the plaintiff declared, and the The jury find, defendants pleaded; and the cause came on the twenty-fourth of that 200. a year November following upon the faid iffues before a jury of the counthe remainder of ty of Buckingham. Upon which trial (there being the same admis- Ten Pound Close; fions as before) THE JURY found, FIRST, That as to Ten 60s. a-year for Pound Close (whereof New Close is parcel) the fum of twenty the remainder of thillings was, time beyond the memory of man, paid, and is 6s. 8d. a-year payable to the rector of Milton aforesaid for the time being, in for Lady Mead; full fatisfaction of all tithes thereof; SECONDLY, as to the and tithes close called the Great Pasture (not including the seventy-four kind for List acres now called the Warren, in Wadd Ground) the jury found, that fixty shillings a year are payable to the rector for the time being, in full fatisfaction of all tithes thereof; THIRDLY, as to the close called Lady Mead the jury found, that fix shillings and eightpence

ATTERBURY against TURNER; AND LORD ATTERBURY. to try, whether Ten Pound Close, Pafture, Lady Mead, and Little Hom, are to pay tithe in kind. The defendants admit, that Buckdering Yard, and Warren, part of Great Pafture, the remainder, for the defend-

the lord of the

are payable for

against TURNER; AND LORD

ATTERBURY eightpence are payable yearly, in full fatisfaction for the tithes of that close; FOURTHLY, as to the close called Little Ham the jury found, that tithes in kind are payable for the same to the rector of NOTTINGRAM the parish of Milton.

against ATTERBURY.

But the Earl of Nottingham, having purchased the manor of But the Earl of the defendant H. Stafford and his trustees, filed his cross bill Nottingbam, hav. against Dr. Asterbury and his lessee, and the Bishop of Lincoln, ing purchased stating his title to the said manor; the agreement and exchange the manor, files of lands; the customary payments; the verdicts, and other a cross bill to proceedings; and prayed that the said rate tithes and customary have the meduses and the inclo payments, the exchange, and the inclosure, might be established, sures established. by the decree of this court, for ever; and that the defendants might answer the premises.

Dr. Atterburg

The defendant Dr. Atterbury admitted the Earl of Nottingham's states, that 5! title to the manor, and the said exchange, agreement, inclosures, a-year were paid to him after the and enjoyments accordingly; and stated, that five pounds a-year, in for many years after the said exchange had been made, and lieu of his right before he became rector, and afterwards, had been paid for the of common; and commons; and that the lord of the manor and his tenants, for fix prays the agree-ment to inclose years after he became rector, rented their tithes of him; but that may be vacated. he afterwards, conceiving that the church had not its full right, filed his bill, and brought his ejectment, as in the bill are set forth; and that he was willing to comply and submit if he might have his just dues (a).

> The Earl of Nottingham replied; and witnesses were examined on both fides.

The Court, after hearing, ad-Lideration.

The cause came on to be heard in Easter Term last; and on reading the agreement touching the faid exchange, and the journed the cause feveral depositions taken in the first cause, on both sides; and upon long debate of the matter; the Court did not then think fit to deliver their opinions; but adjourned the faid causes.

The exchange flablifhed,

And now upon hearing several counsel, and upon long debate, and inclosures e- it appearing to the Court (b), that the faid exchange and inclofure were very ancient, and that great fums of money had been laid out in fencing, quick-fetting, and inclosing the faid manor; and that it would be very mischievous, and of dangerous consequence, to question such ancient exchanges, and throw open such ancient inclosures, after so long enjoyment on all sides, although 'although it doth it might not appear that the confent of the ordinary was at first net appear that had thereunto; and that for the faid rectory and glebe, as now held and enjoyed, together with what is hereby decreed to the rector and his fucceffors, will be of better value than it was before the inclosure; and the Court holding it just and reason-

the widinary confented.

able

<sup>(</sup>a) The book does not state the aniwers of the other defendants to the cruis bill.

<sup>(</sup>b) Wm. Montagu, Lard Chief Baron, ED. ATKYNS, WM. GRECORY, THOS. STRRET.

able that there should be a settlement of the rights, both of the ATTERBURY lord of the manor and the rector,

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that all the inclosures of and in the said manor and parish of Milton, otherwise Middleton Keynes, be, and hereby are, for ever settled and established, as now they are; and that the said Earl of Nottingbam, his heirs and affigns, lords and owners of the faid manor and The lords of the premises, and his and their farmers and tenants for the time are, in future, being, do, and shall for ever hereafter, peaceably and quietly peaceably to enhold and enjoy the faid manor and lands so inclosed, and all the joy the same, as glebe lands which formerly lay promifcuously in the fields, and at present inare now inclosed and lie in any the grounds or inclosures of or in Milton aforesaid, held or enjoyed by the said Earl of Nottingbam, or his tenants, against the faid Dr. Atterbury and his succeffors, rectors of the rectory of Milton for the time being, and freed and discharged of and from all his and their claims and demands for or concerning any of the faid glebe lands

agairft TURNER; AND LORD NOTTINGHAM against ATTERBURY.

maner of Milion

AND IT IS ALSO FURTHER ORDERED, &c. that the aforesaid and the yearly customary yearly rates or payments of twenty shillings, three payments pounds, and fix shillings and eightpence, for the lands aforesaid, Great Profiner, called Ten Pound Close, Great Pasture, and Ludy Mead, shall be, and Lady Mead, and are hereby settled and established; and that the faid Earl of accepted, in lieu Nottingbam, his heirs and affigns, lords and owners of the faid of tithes, by Da closes and grounds, and the tenants and farmers thereof, shall duce flore the first shall and only and his fuccessors. ever hereafter respectively hold and enjoy the faid closes and grounds (excluding those before excluded) freed and discharged of and from the payment of any manner of tithes for the same, fave and except only the faid yearly rates.

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the faid Earl of Notting- The lord of the bam do forthwith set out, convey, and assure, unto or to the use manor to set out of, the faid Dr. Atterbury and his successors, rectors of Milton, lands worth 151. lands in the faid manor of the yearly rent of fifteen pounds the rector's right (and until fuch fettlement that he do pay the faid fifteen pounds of common for yearly), and which lands, when so set out, are to be, and by this sour horses, ten Court are declared and decreed to be, for and in lieu and full beafts, and thirsatisfaction and discharge of the barn, and the commons, and pasturage in the meadows, pasture lands, and fields of Milton, for four horses, ten beasts, and thirty sheep, and of all other commons and pasturage claimed or pretended to by the faid Dr. Atterbury's bill, and in lieu and fatisfaction of all the glebe lands of or and in lieu of all belonging to the faid rectory, which are intermixed with, or lie glebe lands inpromiseuously in or amongst the lands or grounds of or belonging termixed with to the said Earl of Nottingham or his tenants, and of all claims and manor. demands which he shall or may have or claim, for or upon the account of the faid barn, commons, and glebe lands.

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that a commission do issue, A commission to under the seal of this court, for setting out the two acres called iffue to set out Buckingkam the lands.

egainst TURNER; AND LORD

NOTTINGBAM against ATTERBURY.

The present and future rectors to enjoy the fame, and the Dove House and tithes of Lutle Ham.

All arrears to be paid to the prefent rector; the at 40s.

The original bill the liberty of takpit Yard.

A perpetual injunction iffued for establishing inciolures, &c.

ATTEREVEY Buckingham Way, and also the lands of fifteen pounds a-year value, to be conveyed as aforefaid.

> AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the faid Dr. Atterburg and his fuccessors, rectors there, shall, for ever hereafter, hold and enjoy the feveral lands and grounds heretofore inclosed and fet out, and now held and enjoyed by him, for and as the glebe of the said rectory, or in lieu thereof; and shall also hold and enjoy the faid dove-house, and the tithes in kind, or the close called Little Ham, and the faid yearly customary payments in lieu of tithes, and for the faid closes called Ten Pound Close, Great Pasture, and Lady Mead, as aforesaid.

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the faid Earl of Nottingbam and H. Stafford do pay to Dr. Atterbury all such arrears of right of common the tithes in kind of Little Ham, and of the faid annual rents and at 51. a-year and customary payments aforesaid, and of the ancient commons the dove house claimed by his bill, after the rate of five pounds a-year and forty shillings a-year for the Dove House, as demanded by the bill.

But as to the thirty loads or jogs of hay, and the cottage dismified, as to alledged by his bill to be built on the freeboard of the Homestall ing thirty loads of the parsonage yard, and the pretended parcel of glebe called of hay; the cot- Chapel Close, and the orchard taken out of the Chapel Close for the tage; and Sow- said cottage, and also the Sawpit Yard, and other the matters claimed by his bill, and for which there is no decree, there not appearing any right to the court for the same, the bill is to be dismiffed without any cofts.

> And it is further ordered, &c. that a perpetual injunction do iffue for establishing the inclosures aforesaid, and for staying the action of ejectment brought by the faid Dr. Atterbury, and all other actions and fuits that may be hereafter brought by him or his fuccesfors for recovering any of the glebe lands of the rectory which lie intermixed with or amongst any other lands or inclofures of the faid Earl of Nottingham and his tenants, or touching any other matter fettled by this decree; and it is referred to the deputy remembrancer to take the faid account, and to report the fame to the court.

> And the faid defendant the Earl of Nottingham is to pay to the plaintiff Dr. Atterbury fixty pounds for his costs.

> > Wm. Montagu. EDW. ATKYNS. Wm. Gregory. THO. STREET.

18th May 1686. Certificate of the commissioners.

In pursuance of the said order, a commission issued forth, under the seal of this court, for setting out and ascertaining the said two acres called Buckingham Way, and the faid lands of fifteen pounds

pounds a-year value, directed to several commissioners; in ATTERBURY pursuance of which, fix of the commissioners returned the said commission and certificate annexed, dated the second of February, in the second year of James the Second, certifying, that Nottingham the lands set out for glebe by the Earl of Nottingham, called against Betts bis Home, and the three acres, one rood, and five poles. in Thomas Rents his Home, do amount to the value of fifteen pounds a-year.

TURNER; AND LORD

And also in pursuance to the said order, the deputy-remembrancer made his report touching the arrears of tithes.

And upon hearing counsel on both sides, and reading the faid commissioners certificate, and also the deputy, remembrancer's report,

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid certificate Certificate condo stand, and is hereby ratified and confirmed; and that the firmed. lands mentioned in the same be held and enjoyed by the faid Dr. Atterbury and his successors, rectors of Milton aforefaid, for the time being, for ever bereafter, for and in lieu, and full fatisfaction and discharge of the barn, and the commons, and the pasturage in the meadows, pasture lands, and fields of Milton aforesaid, for four horses, ten beasts, and thirty sheep, and of all other commons and pasturage claimed or pretended to by the faid Dr. Atterbury's bill, and in lieu and fatisfaction of all the glebe lands of or belonging to the faid rectory, which are intermixed with, or lie promifcuously in or amongst the lands or grounds of or belonging to the faid Earl of Nottingham, or his tenants, in Milton aforesaid, and of all claims or demands which the said Dr. Atterbury or his fuccessors, rectors of Milton, shall or may have or claim for or upon the account of the faid barn, commons, or glebe lands, according to the purport and effect of the faid decree.

And it is further ordered, that the deputy remem- The lord of the brancer's certificate do stand, and hereby is ratified and con-manor ordered firmed; and that the faid Earl of Nottingham do forthwith to pay Dr. Atterpay to the faid Dr. Atterbury three hundred and fifty-one lod. 3511. ex. pounds and tenpence, mentioned in the faid certificate to be due unto him.

EDW. ATKYNS. THO. JENNER. R. HEATH. CHR. MILTON.

MURTHWAITE

Mics. Tarm, 36. CAR. 2.

#### MURTHWAITE against Peirce, Yorksbire, 20th November 1684.

Yerk, are to pay to the rector of Mark's Day, or a gelding's gate, Bourille.

The tonants of THE rector of the parish of Berkeby, in the county of the manor of York claimed by his bill all and all manners of sick and the county of York, claimed, by his bill, all and all manner of tithes arifing. Hutton Bonwille, &c. within the faid parish.

The defendants were landholders within the manor of Hutton Borboy, in the Bonville, within the precincts of the faid parish of Berkeby, and of said county, 20s. which manor the defendant Peirce was lord; and they stated a a-year on Saint customary manner of paying tithes.

A trial at law was directed upon this iffue, "Whether there or a mare's gate, " hath, for the time whereof the memory of man is not to the from May Day so contrary, been usually and customarily paid or allowed yearly Michaelmas contrary, been usually and cuitomarily paid or allowed yearly Day, at the elec- and every year, by the lord of the manor of Hutton Bonville tion of the rector, a aforesaid for the time being, to the rector of the said rectory and 40s. upon so and parish of Berkeby for the time being, the sum of twenty Saint Matthew's " shillings, upon or about Saint Mark's Day yearly, or a gelding's Day yearly, in security in security for a mare's gate from May Day in the morning till the any way due " feast day of Saint Michael the Archangel, at the election and within the ma- ss choice of the rector of Berkeby aforesaid; and also the sum of Bor of Hutton 12 forty shillings a-year payable upon or about every Saint " Matthew's Day, or upon or about every feast-day of Saint " Michael the Archangel yearly, in lieu and full discharge of • all manner of tithes, rights, payments, and duties, happening " within the said township of Hutton Bonville, which were any way due or payable to the rector of Berkeby aforefaid for "the time being? and, whether the fame hath been so taken and accepted by all the rectors and farmers of the faid " rectory?"

> A trial was had upon the said issue, and a verdict passed for the defendants.

> And upon debate of the matter, THE COURT was fully fatiffied that there was fuch prescription and modus, time out of mind, used as aforesaid; and therefore, on the twenty-fifth of May 1685, ordered, that the faid defendants be, and are hereby difinisfed of and from the faid several matters in the faid bill contained.

> > WM. MONTAGU. EDW. ATKYNS. Wм. Gregory. RT. WRIGHT.

#### WATTS against Hoskyns.

Mich. Tram. 36. CAR. 3.

Herefordsbire, 20th November 1684.

"HE bill stated, that the plaintiff, as rector of the rectory or The tithes of parsonage of Doore, in the county of Hereford, ought to have certain and receive all tithes, both great and finall, arifing in the faid Grange, in the parish; that the defendant is owner of a grange therein, called parish of Doore, King flon's Grange; and that he had growing thereon corn, grain, in the county of hay, and other titheable matters.

Hereford, are not

The defendant, among other matters, stated, that his estate in the payment of the faid parish was parcel of the abbey of Doore, to which the tithes in kind, rectory was appropriated, and so continued till the dissolution of the fame in the reign of KING HENRY THE EIGHTH, after whose decease the same came to Edward the Sixth, who, by his letters patent, dated the fifteenth of June, in the seventh year of his reign, granted to Sir F. Ruffell and others, and their heirs, "all that grange of King flon, with the appurtenances, to " the late priory of Doore heretofore belonging, and all other " the hereditaments what soever late in the tenure of J. Basker-" ville or his affigns in Doore or King fton, to the faid priory of " Doore belonging;" that his lands were parcel of Doore; and that no tithes were ever paid for the fame; and that the priory of Doore was of the Cifertian order, and thereby ought to be, and was discharged from the payment of tithes.

THE COURT, on reading a lease made by the abbot of Doore to T. Baskerville of the said grange, and the grant made by EDWARD THE SIXTH to Lord Russell and J. Bridges, was of opinion, that the tithes of the faid grange do not pass either by the lease or by the general words in the grant; but that they ought to be paid in kind.

> WM. MONTAGU. EDW. ATKYNS. Wm. Gregory. ROB. WRIGHT.

# Cotterell against Athrop and Others.

MICH. TERM. 36. CAR. 2.

Gloucestersbire, 20th November 1684.

HE bill stated, that the defendant Sprigg, clerk, being seised The lessee for of several closes of pasture, called Middle Norton, lying in years of a farm the parish of Weston Subedge, in the county of Gloucester, by in-called Middle in the denture, dated the twentieth of October, in the twenty-ninth year parish of Weston of his present majesty, demised the same to the plaintiff, to hold Subedge, in Glowfor twelve years, at two hundred and fixty pounds a-year, tithe ceftershire, files the rector, stating, that there is a modus of 60l, a year for Upper, Middle, and Lower Norton; and that Middle Norton ought to pay only 121. 38. 6ch, thereof in lieu of tithes.

free ;

ATRROP AND OTHERS.

free; that in lieu of tithes for the faid farm there was a compofition or rate tithe of twelve pounds, three shillings, and sixpence, payable half-yearly to the rector of Weston Subedge, being the proportion for that farm; the farm, called Upper Norton and Lower Norton, lying within the faid parish, paying the remainder, to make up the modus or ancient composition of fixty pounds a-year; that the other defendant Athrop, clerk, being rector of the faid parish, and well knowing the customs to be as aforefaid, for feveral years had received the ancient modus of fixty pounds a-year for the faid three Nortons, and still did receive the fame. from Lower Norton; the proportion being thirty-one pounds, seventeen shillings, and sixpence, and for Upper Norton sisteen pounds, nineteen shillings; yet to reap advantage, he did endeavour to fet aside the said ancient composition, and threatens to fue for tithes in kind. The plaintiff therefore prayed, that the defendant Athrop might answer the premises; that the ancient composition of fixty pounds a-year might be established by the decree of this court; that the plaintiff might examine his witnesses for the preservation of their testimonies; and that an injunction might be awarded to stay the defendant Athrop from proceeding at law for treble damages.

The defendant denies the existence of the medus, and states, is evidence that it could not have been an ancient agreement,

The defendant Athrop answered, and believed the plaintiff had been tenant and occupier of Middle Norton, as in the bill is mentioned; but what title the defendant Sprigg had made to him conthat the great- cerning the same he knew not, nor of any modus or customary payness of the sum ment of fixty pounds a-year for the tithes of the three Nortons, or of the twelve pounds, three thillings, and fixpence, for or as a proportionable rate for Middle Norton; that the faid three Nortons lie in the parish of Weston Subedge, of which he hath been rector for fixty years past, being presented by Lord Say and Sele, who was the owner thereof; that he knew not of any modus decimandi, or customary rate of fixty pounds a-year, or any other sum in lieu of tithes in kind payable for the three Nortons when plowed or fowed, or at any other time; or that any fuch rate was anciently received by the rectors there; and denied that there hath been, time out of mind, twelve pounds, three shillings, and sixpence, or any other fum paid, either as parcel of the faid fixty pounds a-year, or otherwise, as a modus for the tithes of Middle Norton; and if any such payment of sixty pounds a-year hath been paid, he believed the same was by some temporary composition or agreement between the rectors and the owners of the faid three Nortons; and that the greatness of the pretended modus is an evidence against itself; for that the tithes in the time of KING RICHARD THE FIRST would not be worth half fo much; and therefore the faid fixty pounds a-year could not be a perpetual modus.

THE COURT declared, that so large a modus or composition of COTTERELL fixty pounds a-year could not be anciently, or time out of mind, the full yearly value of the faid three Nortons, when the fame AND OTHERS. were improved by a long inclosure thereof, and immediately before the late ploughing thereof not exceeding three hundred that the three pounds a-year, the tithes included. And upon producing divers Nortons, in their ancient deeds and leases it appeared, that M. Hinmer, the rector highest state of of Weston Subedge, on the eleventh of December, in the tenth improvement, year of Queen Elizabeth, demised the whole rectory to one W. Hodges for eighty years, at the rent of thirty-one pounds a-year; and that the affignees of the faid W. Hodges, by indenture, dated and that in the the fourteenth of July, in the first year of King James, demised reign of James the tithes of the faid three Nortons (except the Grove there) to Sir of the tithes of the three Nortons Richard Fines, the then owner of the same, in consideration of tous were let for one hundred pounds fine, and at the rent of fixty pounds a-year; 6el a year, from which term was afterwards, by another indenture of the tenth of whence the idea February, in the fixth year of King James, in confideration of the might originate. fifty-fix pounds, thirteen shillings, and fourpence, enlarged to continue to the end of Hinmer's leafe at the like rent of fixty pounds a-year; which the Court conceived to be the first rise or ground of the faid pretended medus; and that the fame was but a temporary composition; wherefore, upon full debate of the matters,

And it appearing only let for 300L a-year;

THE COURT declared, that they faw no reason to relieve the The Court deplaintiff upon his faid bill; the faid pretended modus being in no termined ways binding upon the defendant; and thereupon ordered, that faid pretended the defendant Athrop shall be, and is hereby dismissed this moder invalid. court, with costs.

WM. MONTAGU. EDW. ATKYNS. Wm. Gregory. ROB, WRIGHT.

Á

# COLLECTION

OF

## DECREES

BY

#### THE COURT OF EXCHEQUER

ın

# TITHE-CAUSES,

DURING

## THE REIGN OF JAMES THE SECOND.

Trin. Trem, 2. Jac. 2. ROBERTS against Knowler and WATERMAN.

Kent, 9th July 1686.

The common march lands in the parish of Sea Scaler, in the county of Kent, pay a modus of fixpence an acre, in lieu of the tithes of the therbage of the state of the faid march.

The pince in the in the herbage.

The defend fixpence and the confixpence of the state of the faid march.

HE plaintiff, as lessee of the vicarage of Sea Salter, in the county of Kent, demanded twelvepence an acre for pasture and the depasturing of cattle, in lieu of tithe herbage.

The defendant faid it was only fixpence an acre.

in lieu of the atrial was directed on this issue, "Whether there is a modus wither of the common marsh faid marsh."

A trial was directed on this issue, "Whether there is a modus the soft of fixpence an acre in lieu of tithes for the common marsh alad marsh."

"India was directed on this issue, "Whether there is a modus the said was directed on this issue, "Whether there is a modus within the faid parish the said was directed on this issue, "Whether there is a modus within the said was directed on this issue, "Whether there is a modus within the said was directed on this issue, "Whether there is a modus within the said was directed on this issue, "Whether there is a modus within the said was directed on this issue, "Whether there is a modus within the said was directed on this issue, "Whether there is a modus within the said was directed on this issue, "Whether there is a modus within the said was directed on the said wa

The plaintiff commenced his action, and the defendants pleaded, and the plaintiff brought down his record to be tried, but did not proceed to trial; and thereupon, on the twenty-ninth of *November* last, the said issue was again directed to be tried upon paying the costs; but at the affizes he again diswarned the said trial.

THE COURT ordered, that the faid bill be, and the same is hereby dismissed (a).

(a) No costs mentioned.

TURNOR

#### TURNOR against PAYNE and Another.

MICH. TERM, 1. JAC. 2.

Kent. 19th November 1686.

THE bill stated, that the defendants then were, and for the In the parish of space of ten years last past had been, owners, occupiers, or proprietors of several farms and lands in the parish of St. tithes for the John's, in the Isle of Thanet, in the county of Kent; that the herbageof arable tithes of wheat, barley, oats, rye, peafe, beans, and other grain, lands are by cufbelonged to the parsonage impropriate of Salmerton, and that tom due to the the tithe of hay, of the herbage of land, of orchards, gardens, fame year in wool, calves, lambs, and other fmall tithes, belonged to the which the faid vicar of the faid parish of Saint John's; that the plaintiff for lands have been feveral years past had been farmer of the rectory of Salmerton, fowed corn. and was also entitled to the vicarical tithes aforesaid; that the defendants refused to discover their farms, and to shock their corn as the custom was, and had hindered him taking the tithes thereof.

The defendant Wm. Payne said, that he had been occupier of a farm in Saint John's called Twenties, and admitted that both the great and the small tithes of that farm belonged to the plaintiff, but denied that there were any tithes due for the herbage of any arable lands.

The defendant Elizabeth Pagne said, that she was occupier of a farm called Shonken Dean, in Saint John's, and also of a meffuage and farm there, and that the corn tithes of each farm belonged to the plaintiff, but denied that any tithe of herbage was due for any arable lands.

Upon reading the proofs, and upon debate of the matters as to the tithes demanded by the plaintiff of the herbage of arable grounds in the same year after the corn is carried off, and while the same remain fallow ground, for which the defendants by their answers deny any tithe to be due, the Court directed a trial to be had upon this iffue, viz. "Whether by custom, time " out of mind used, tithe herbage of arable lands, within the said " parish of Saint John the Baptist, we're due to the impropriator of " Salmerton, or the vicar of Saint John the Baptist, for the same "year in which it hath been fown with corn?"

A trial was accordingly had; wherein a verdict was given, "that, time out of mind, tithes, or a composition or payment " for and in the name or lieu of tithes, had been paid for the "herbage of arable lands, within the faid parish of Saint John " the Baptist, to the proprietor of Salmerton, or vicar of Saint " John the Baptist, for the same year in which the said lands " had been fown with corn."

This

TURNER agains PAYNE RENTONA dus

This cause came on to be heard the twenty-first of April 1687, both in relation to the plaintiff's demand of tithe herbage, as also for his other demands in the bill for tithes due from the defendants; and,

Upon reading the faid decree, and the return of the pofice upon the faid trial,

IT is ordered and decreed by the Court, that the defendants shall account for, satisfy, and pay to the plaintiff the tithe of herbage, and all other tithes and titheable matters due from them respectively to the plaintist to the time of exhibiting his bill; and it is hereby referred to the deputy remembrancer to take the faid account (a).

(a) No costs mentioned.

MICH. TERM, J. JAC. 2.

#### ROBINSON against FOULK and Others.

Cardiganshire, 4th December 1686.

tory.

In the parishes THE bill stated, that for about eight years past, the plain-of Llandadarne tiff had been seised in his demesse as of see and other estate Vaur, Caftle of inheritance of and in the rectories of Llanbadarne Vaur, Llan-Llangebeyarne, in bangell Generglin, otherwise Caffle Gwalter, and Llanychayarne, in the county of the county of Cardigan, and of the tithes within the faid parishes the (except such part or portion of tithes as do belong to the vicar of Llanbagell); that the said parishes lying on the sea coasts, and takes, by cuffer, Lianhagell); that the laid parishes lying on the lea coasts, and the tithes of ber the inhabitants using the trade of herring fishing, the greatest rings as follows: profits of the faid tithes did arise by the tithes of herrings; from fiftermen in- that the plaintiff, and all those whose estate he hath in the said babiliants the eleventh berring rectory, have, time out of mind, received tithe herrings yearly in
taken and land. the manner following, To wit, from every person dwelling ed within the Within the faid rectories and parishes, and taking herrings at rectory, and sea, or in any creek of the sea, every eleventh herring taken in the remember to any creek of the lea, every eleventh herring taken in herring to taken the fea and loaded in any port, creek, or elsewhere, within any and landed out of the said rectories and parishes, or any of them; and every of the rectory; twentieth herring so by the said persons taken and put on shore and from fifter in any foreign place out of the faid rectories, parishes, and preents the rues-tieth herring tak. rectory every twentieth herring taken at sea, or any creek thereof, en and landed and landed within any of the faid rectories and parishes, or any of within the red them; that ever fince the plaintiff hath been seised of the premises, until lately, the fishing inhabitants, within the said rectories, and others not inhabitants, have paid tithe of herring according to the method aforefaid, and also constantly paid the same, time out of mind, to the respective owners and proprietors of the same; that the defendants, being inhabitants therein, have, for four years last past, taken great store of herrings, and have refused payment of the tithes according to the custom aforesaid, and encouraged

encouraged the foreign fishermen to refuse payment, and said, that by law no tithes ought to be paid for fish, nor ever were paid to the plaintiff or his predecessors according to the me- AND OTHERS. thods aforesaid, although they know the contrary. Therefore the bill prayed a discovery of the quantities, and that the defendants may be compelled to pay their tithe of herrings according to the faid customs.

ROBINSON against

The desendants said, that they know not what right or title the plaintiff has to the rectory, for that the Earl of Castlemaine being owner thereof, he and his lady had disposed of the same for twenty years past, and they had not heard of any alienation. They confessed, that several of the inhabitants used the trade of herring fishing, and believed that all tithes within the said rectory, except the vicarical tithes, belonged to the impropriator; but they denied that the greater part of the tithes of the faid parish arose from herrings, for that it arose from corn and fuch like articles, worth one thousand pounds per annum, and that the tithe of herrings was only worth fixteen pounds. They also denied the payment of the tithe of herrings time out of mind as stated in the bill. They confessed themselves inhabitants within the parish of Llanbadarne Vawr, and that they had for feveral years past used the trade of herring fishing, and gained a poor livelihood thereby; and that of late years fuch as were farmers of the tithes have claimed the tithe of herrings. and that they have given for the same, sometimes more and fometimes less, as they thought fit. They said, that they had landed feveral quantities of herrings within the fea marks, but kept no account of them; that until the year 1683 they had constantly paid and delivered to the proprietors such proportion of herrings or fuch fum of money as they thought fit; but that when they were informed that no tithe was due of right for fish taken at sea, they forbore to pay the same; that in regard to the tithes in the year 1683, the plaintiff had no right to them, for they were let to Rees Lloyd and another for fix. teen pounds a-year, which they paid; that if in the year 1684 the proprietors could prove tithe paid as in the bill mentioned, they hoped they would not be compelled to pay the fame, there being no such tithe due of common right; and said that the said parishes do not extend into the sea further than the full sea marks.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides.

Upon reading the several proofs taken on both sides, it appeared that there was such a custom within the said rectories for the payment of tithe herrings as is set forth in the bill.

IT IS THEREUPON ORDERED AND DECREED, that the defendants D. E. Foulke, H. Evan, and R. and I. Lewis, shall account with, satisfy, and pay to the plaintiff their tithes of herrings Vol. I.

ROBINSON against Foult AND OTHERS. herrings according to the said custom for the year 1683 and 1684, together with moderate costs.

But as the taking of the faid account would be troublesome and expensive to the defendants, it is ordered by the court, by consent of all parties, that they shall pay to the faid plaintiff a fixed fum of three pounds, one shilling, and eightpence, to be divided accordingly.

FILARY TERM 2. JAC. 2.

#### STOCKER against DELAPIERE. Kent, 4th February 1686.

titue free.

The fixe and THE bill stated, that the plaintiff, for twenty-three years pasts precinct of the had been rector of the parish of Saint Alphage in the city had been rector of the parish of Saint Alxhage, in the city Black Friers, in the city of Gan- of Cunterbury, and was entitled to all tithes, oblations, and are obventions, arising within the said parish and the titheable places thereof, or to a composition of two shillings and sixpence, or two shillings, in lieu thereof, according to the rents and profits of the houses in the parish; that the defendant, for fourteen years, had been occupier of a messuage, garden, and orchard in the faid parish, being grounds added to a spot of ground where the Black Friars of Canterbury were at first seated, called the Island; which said island, within the time of memory, was within the faid parish; that the defendant, as executor to his father, ought also to satisfy the plaintiff for ten years tithes due from him after the aforesaid rate; but that the defendant refused to pay the plaintiff his dues, pretending that the said island is not within the said parish, or that the said Black Friars were diffolved in the thirty-first year of Henry the Eighth, and were upon the diffolution discharged from the payment of tithes and oblations.

> The defendant said, that he knew not that the messuage, &c. which he occupied, or any part thereof, was in the faid parish, or any of the titheable places thereof, for that the same was situated in the scite and precinct of the Black Friars, in Canterbury, which had always (till the time of the late usurped powers) been a privileged place, and reputed to be out of the faid parish, and a distinct parish of itself, having a distinct church and churchyard within the faid precinct. He confessed that he and his father had enjoyed the faid premises about the time in the bill alledged, and that he was executor to his father; that the premifes are now in his occupation, and, from time to time out of the memory of man, have been free and exempted from the payment of any tithes or other oblations (except facramental duties only, which by a decree of the ecclefiastical court of Canterbury, made in the year 1572, were charged thereupon to be paid to the faid parish of Saint Alxhage); that from time whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary, the faid premifes were

STOCKER

againf

Delapiere

part of the possessions of the archbishop of Canterbury, free from the payment of tithes, and that afterwards the fame (amongst others) came to the preaching brothers, called the Black Friars, who also held the same free from the payment of tithes till their diffolution; that upon the diffolution of the faid priory, as well by the furrender of the faid preaching brothers, as by an act of parliament, in the twenty-seventh year of Henry the Eighth, the faid messuage, lands, and premises, came to the said king, who was seised thereof in see in right of his crown, and held and enjoyed the fame free and discharged of all tithes, oblations, and obventions, for or in respect thereof; and that after his death king EDWARD THE SIXTH was seised thereof, so freed and discharged; that, after his death, it descended and came to QUEEN Mary, who also held and enjoyed the same freed and discharged from the payment of tithes; that after her death QUEEN ELIZA-BETH was feifed in like manner; and being fo feifed, by her letters patent, dated the fifteenth of July, in the second year of her reign, did grant and convey the fame to J. Harrington and G. Burdon, and their heirs; that the said messuage, orchard, and premifes, did, by mefne conveyances, or in law, come to the defendant's father, who by will devised the same to the defendant, and his heirs and assigns for ever; that about one hundred and twenty years fince, foon after the faid precinct was conveyed out of THE CROWN, when the privileges of the faid priory and their exemption from parochial jurisdiction and payment of tithes were fresh in memory, and the proofs of exemption produceable, one Ovendon, then owner of the faid precinct, was cited to appear in the ecclefiaftical court to answer in a plea of fubtraction of tithes; to which he pleaded " exemp-"tion from paying of tithes;" which plea the then judge allowed, and dismissed Ovendon, only enjoining him to perform his facramentals in the parish church of Saint Alxhage. He denied that any of the inhabitants of the faid scite or precinct ever paid any tithes, oblations, or obventions, to the rector of the faid parish until of late that the plaintiff had procured some of them to pay fomething in lieu of tithes; that he knew not of any fuch composition as in the bill is charged, nor ever heard that after the faid fuit brought against Ovendon (till this) any rector or minister of the faid parish did ever demand or sue for tithes, or for any thing in lieu thereof, for any houses, lands, or tenements, within the faid precinct of the Black Friars, but that the same were always freed and discharged from all such payment of tithes.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both sides.

Upon reading letters patent under the great seal of England dated the fifteenth of July, in the second year of Queen Elizabeth, it thereby appeared, that the scite of the said Black R 2

Friars

STOCKER against DRLAPIERE. Friars had been part of the possessions of the archbishop of Canterbury, and by the faid letters patent granted as such to John Harrington and G. Burdon: also on reading an exemplification of a dismissory sentence made in the consistory court of Canterbury, in the year 1572, concerning one William Ovendon, then owner of the faid house called the Black Friars, whereby it appeared that the faid house was privileged from the payment of tithes: also on reading the depositions taken in the cause, and on long debate,

THE COURT was of opinion, that the faid meffurge and premises, in the possession of the defendant, parcel of the scite of the faid priory, and for which the plaintiff by his bill feeks relief for tithes, or a customary payment in the name or lieu of tithes, are not liable to the payment of any tithes, or any composition, customary rate, or payment for or in the name or lieu of tithes to the rector of the faid parish of Saint Alxhage. It is thereupon ordered by the Court, that the faid bill be dismissed without costs.

> WM. MONTAGU. EDW. ATKYNS. EDW. NEVILL.

HILARYTERM 2. JAC. 2.

BAINES against CLARKE and HARDY.

Yorkshire, 13th February 1686.

Willows

The plaintiff, as THE bill stated, that Richard, late Archbishop of York, being lessee of the rectory of Kilborne, in the county of York, by feised of the rectory of Kilborne, in the county of York, by in Terrifiere, de- indenture dated the thirtieth of Officer, in the twenty-second mands tithes of year of Charles the Second, did demise the same, together Therpe in the with all manner of tithes, both great and small, and all sums of money due or any way payable in lieu and for or in the name of tithes arising yearly within the said rectory and the titheable places thereof, to the plaintiff, his heirs, and affigns, for a term of years; that by virtue thereof the plaintiff entered and became entitled to the same; that the defendant, Clarke's father, was for four years before his death an inhabitant and owner or occupier of several meffuages and lands, and that tithes of the same were due to the plaintiff, but that before any satisfaction was made, he died and left the defendant his executor, who possessed himself of his personal estate; that the defendant Clarke in his own right, and the defendant Hardy for several years, had been inhabitants and occupiers of feveral meffuages and lands, and had yearly corn and hay and other tithes within the faid rectory, which were due to the plaintiff; that the defendant Hardy did also owe to the plaintiff for his oblations, obventions, Eafter reckonings, and other duties. The

of the defendant, as executor to his father, Henry Glarke.

The defendants stated, that since the thirtieth year of Charles the Second, William Kitchingman hath been tenant for three lives of the farm or lordship of Thorpe in the Willows, which they supposed were the lands for which the plaintiff demanded tithes; The defendants that for four years after the death of the faid Henry Clarke, they state a modus of farmed most of the said lordship of him; that till of late no 71. a-year; tithes in kind were ever demanded; for that the premises were discharged therefrom by a modus of seven pounds a-year; that for · the first four years in the bill mentioned Henry Clarke, deceased, was occupier of the principal meffuage and other lands, parcel of those for which tithes are demanded; that the plaintiff brought that the plaintiff an action of debt upon the statute 2, and 3. Edw. 6. c. 13. for brought tithes of corn and hay against thedefendant Clarke as executor; actions, and a that upon the trial thereof a verdict passed for the defendant verdict was gi-Clarke for the faid modus of feven pounds a-year; that the fame fendant. was tendered to the plaintiff in full of all tithes, but that he refused to accept the same. He confessed, that Henry Clarke died possessed of a personal estate sufficient to pay his debts. The defendant also stated, that as for the tithes of the premises after the faid four years, the plaintiff had brought two actions of debt against him, and recovered treble damages for the same, and that the plaintiff accepted of the same in full of all manner of tithes. And as for their other tithes, oblations, obventions, Plaintiff brought Easter offerings, and other duties, the defendants said that the action against value of the same had been duly tendered, but that the plaintiff the defendant, had refused to accept thereof, and that the defendants are treble damages. willing to pay all just demands.

BAINTS

ven for the de-

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined.

Upon the proofs being read, and debate thereon,

THE COURT declared, that the plaintiff ought to accept of The Court of othe modus of seven pounds a-year in satisfaction of all the plaintiff is contithes of corn and hay, and all other tithes, both great and cluded by the finall, for those four years, he being by verdict concluded verdicts. and stopt from demanding any more for the tithe of corn and hav, and all other tithes during those four years; and that the plaintiff ought not to have any further fatisfaction than he hath already received for the tithes of corn and hay, for which the faid two other actions were brought; but as for the tithes and duties of the defendant R. Clarke in the last four years, for which no action was brought, and which were detained from the plaintiff, THE COURT declared that the plaintiff ought to have fatisfaction for the same.

It is thereupon ordered and decreed, that the defendant Clarke do forthwith pay and fatisfy to the faid plaintiff the fum of twenty-eight pounds for all the tithes of corn and hay and all other tithes both great and small, for the first four years, R<sub>3</sub> demanded,

BAINES against CLARKE AND HARDY.

demanded by the bill as executor to his father, and that the defendant Clarke do account for and pay to the plaintiff the value of all his tithes (except tithes of corn and hay) arising within the said rectory for the four last years in the said bill mentioned.

AND IT IS ALSO ORDERED, that the defendant Hardy do account for and fatisfy to the plaintiff the value of all his tithes, both great and small, whatsoever, arising or falling within the faid rectory, due to the plaintiff for all the time he was tenant and occupier of any lands within the faid rectory.

> Edw. Atkyns. THOS. JENNER. Richd. Heath. CHR. MILTON.

HILARY TERM WARDELL and Others against SQUIRE and Others. 2. JAC. 2. York/bire, 11th February 1686.

The owner of T the tithes of the meadow, and pasture ground in hird.

"HE bill stated, that C. Tanckred, of Whixley, is seised of the inheritance of the tithes of corn, of arable land, of meadow, of and pasture of Greenhamerton, in the county of York, and of the Greenbommerton, in finall tithes of the said township; that by indenture, dated the Tork, is entitled eighth of Nevember, in the thirty-fifth year of CHARLES THE to the tithes of SECOND, he let to the plaintiffs the great and small tithes grain, within the said township, to hold for twelve years, at forty-two pounds a-year; and that by the faid indenture the faid plaintiffs became entitled to all the said tithes.

> The defendants said, they believed that C. Tanckred is seised in fee of the tithes of corn, but not of meadow or pasture, within the faid township; and that a modus decimandi of a halfpenny a year for an ox gang hath been customarily paid in full fatisfaction of all the tithes of hay, herbage, and agistment; that the said C. Tanckred was not seised of all small tithes, but that the vicar of Wixley hath right to some part of them, and that they had paid the fame to him, and tendered the other tithes, according to the custom, to the plaintiff.

> A trial at law was directed upon this iffue, viz. "Whether "there be a custom within the township of Greenhamerton, " time whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary, that " a halfpenny an ox gang per annum ought to be paid in full " satisfaction for all the tithes of hay, herbage, and agistment " of all the meadow and paiture grounds within the faid town-"fhip, or not?" The other matters in the cause to be reserved till the faid trial shall be had.

> A trial was accordingly had; and upon full evidence given on both sides, a verdict was given for the plaintiff, that there was no fuch modus.

THE

THE COURT therefore decreed, that the defendants dopay to the plaintiff the values of their respective tithes of all AND OTHERS corn and grain, meadow and pasture ground, together with all their small tithes happening and arising upon the premises in AND OTHERS. question for the time demanded by the bill.

WARDELL agumst SQUIRE

Townson, D. D. against Hungerford, Knt. Wiltsbire, 6th May 1687.

EASTER TERM 2. ]AC. 2.

Cadenbam, in the

parish (1 Breme

a modus of ruch-

ty foillings a year to the vicar of

the faid parish

within the fad

manor.

THE vicar of Brembill, in the county of Wilts, demanded The lands in tithes in kind for the lands which the defendant held within the manor of the manor of Cadenham, in the faid parish.

The defendant pleaded a modus of twenty shillings a-year 41, in the counin lieu of all manner of tithes within the faid manor and the ty or Willi, pay demesse lands thereto belonging.

On the third of February last it was ordered, that the defendant should take out a commission, and appear gratis; and by an- in her of all other order, made the first instant, the depositions, taken in the times many court of chancery, were to be made use of at the hearing.

On reading the depositions and ancient acquittances for the faid modus of twenty shillings a-year payable in lieu of all tithes for the faid manor of Cadenham,

THE COURT declared, the defendant to have well proved his modus, and ordered that the faid bill be difmiffed without costs, upon the defendant paying to the plaintiff the arrears of the modus of twenty thillings a-year,

> ATKYNS, Chief Baron. JENNER, *Baron*. Нелтн, *Barqu*. MILTON, Baron.

Woodford against Herne. Northamptonsbire, 7th June 1687.

TRIN. TERM, 2. JAC. 3.

THE vicar of the parish of Dustan, in the country of Northamp- There is a modus ton, claimed from the defendant the small tithes of the of 40s. a-year scite of the late dissolved abbey of Saint James, and the inclosed payable to the lands thereto belonging, lying within the faid parish.

The defendant set forth a modus of forty shillings a year for the payable to the vicar of Dufton, in lieu of all tithes arising from ing on the kite the faid scite.

Upon reading the depositions, it plainly appearing, that the the forty shillings a-year mentioned in the answer is payable for lands thereund tithes arising from the said scite and the inclosed lands thereunto belonging, R 4 belonging,

vicar of Dufton, in Northumpton fmall tithes arifof the abbey of Saint James, and

lт

WCODFORD againA HERNE.

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that the defendant do pay to the plaintiff the arrears of the faid modus forty shillings a year, being for five years due at Michaelmas, before filing the bill, with costs.

EDW. ATKYNS. THOS. JENNER. RICHD. HEATH. CHR. MILTON.

Lord Ossoulston against Widdrington, D. D. Mich. Term, 2. JAC 2. Norfolk, 28th October 1687.

vicar o Terring-10s. 2d.

The rector or THE bill stated, that king CHARLES THE SECOND, in the twenty-second year of his reign, and long before, being ton, " the coun- feifed in the annual rent of two pounds, three shillings, and ty of Noviole, and Margaret fourpence, payable for tithes of grain and hay issuing out of the Professor, in the rectory of Terrington, in the county of Norfolk, and of another of annual rent of five pounds, fix shillings, and eightpence, issuing Cambridge, is liout of and for the chapel of Saint James, in Terrington, and
shie to pay to for the farm of that chapel, or Saint John's, in Terrington, lately
the gratee of the crown an paid or payable by the vicar there for the time being at Michaelannuny of 71. mas and Lady Day, by equal portions, and have been and are, or ought to have been paid by the vicar of Terrington, or by fuch as have been the Lady Margaret's Professor in Cambridge, or had or have a right to the premises whereout either of the said rents have or ought to be paid; that his majesty, being so seifed, the faid rents, with other rents payable to his majetty for any tithes or lands in Terrington, and which have been or ought to be paid by the rector or vicar there, or the faid Margaret Pro fessor, or any other, were vested, by virtue of several acts of parliament, or letters patent, in Lord Hawley and others, and their heirs, in trust, for his said majesty, until sale made, and the faid trustees were impowered to fell the same; that the trustees, by deed. dated the thirtieth of July 1673, for the confideration therein mentioned, granted and conveyed to the plaintiff and his heirs, amongst other things, the faid annual rents, whereby he is entitled thereto; that the defendant is, and ever since his, the plaintiff's, conveyance was, rector or vicar of Terrington and Margaret Professor of Cambridge, and ought to have paid to him the faid rents ever fince, and that his predeceffors, the former rectors, for feveral years before, and fince the year 1660, had paid both the faid rents; that the defendant hath enjoyed the tithes of the grain and hay issuing out of the said rectory and chapels; that he had paid the rent of two pounds, three shillings, and fourpence, but refused to pay the rent of five pounds, fix shillings, and eightpence; that the plaintiff, having paid a full confideration for the faid rents, ought to enjoy the fame. bill

bill therefore prayed, that the defendant might answer the said bill, and pay to the plaintiff the said rents and the arrears thereof ever since he became rector or vicar, or Margaret Profesor as aforesaid.

LORD
OSSOULSTON
agamft
Widdring.
Ton, D. D.

The defendant faid, that he knew not that his majesty king CHARLES THE SECOND was feifed of the faid rents, or that the fame are payable by the vicar, or that the plaintiff derived his title from his majesty; that he hoped to prove that his late majesty, being seised of the said rectory, did, for the endowment of the Lady Margaret's Professor, by letters patent, dated the twenty-fixth of August, in the third year of his reign, grant to the said university the said rectory for ever, to hold in perpetual alms for all fervices, rents, and demands whatfoever. He confessed that he had been for twelve years past Margaret Professor, and entitled to the tithes and profits of the same; he denied that he was ever vicar of Terrington; and faid that he is informed that there is a vicar endowed of the church of Terrington, and the faid rectory and vicarage are, and they, for many years past, have been two different interests, not vested in one and the same person; that one Mr. Henfon is vicar there; but whether the faid vicar be chargeable with the faid rents he knew not; that he believed there was a free chapel within the limits of Terrington, called Saint James's chapel, not depending on the rectory, having a distinct patronfrom the faid church, with a distinct minister; that he knew not of any lands which belonged to the chapel of Saint James, and had heard that, if any fuch were, the same were long since swallowed up. He denied that he ever had in his possession any lands that belonged to the rectory other than the scite and the church-yard, and faid, that there is a chapel of ease called Saint John's, where the vicar of Terrington hath the cure, and an house to dwell in, and some glebe; but whether chargeable with any rent to the crown or the plaintiff he knew not; that he knew not what rent any of his predecessors had paid for the said rectory or vicarage, or for the chapel of Saint James, or for the farm of the chapel of Saint James or Saint John's; but if any fuch were paid, he is advised that the said payment will not charge him or affect the church. He confessed that he had paid the rent of two pounds, three shillings, and fourpence, but it was before he was informed of the king's title to demand the same, and denied that he had held or claimed any thing as rector or Margaret Professor that is liable to either of the said rents.

The plaintiff replied, and shewed, that the two yearly rents have heretofore been known and answered to the crown, and to such as claimed under the crown, as two distinct rents, by the names and descriptions in the bill mentioned, or as one entire rent of seven pounds, ten shillings, charge-

LORD OSSOULS TON against WIDDFING. TON, D. D.

chargeable upon, or issuing out of, or paid or payable for or in respect of the chapel of Saint James, in Terrington,; that by virtue of the faid conveyance to him made, he is well entitled to the same; and that the defendant ought to pay him the same as one entire rent.

The defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined.

On reading the depositions of the witnesses taken in the cause, and several ancient records and receivers' accounts, and the letters patent mentioned in the answer,

THE COURT was fatisfied that the faid rent of feven pounds ten shillings, and the arrears thereof, ought to be paid and answered by the defendant to the plaintiff, and that the defendant hath all along received the tithes and other things for and in respect whereof the said rents of seven pounds, ten shillings, a-year is or are due and payable.

And therefore it was ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED by the Court, that the defendant shall account for and pay to the plaintiff the arrears of the seven pounds, ten shillings, unpaid, which have incurred fince the defendant became possessed of the faid rectory and tithes of Terrington; and also for the future shall pay to the plaintiff, his heirs, and affigns, the faid rent of feven pounds, ten shillings, so long as the faid defendant shall be possess. ed of or interested in the said rectory of Terrington, by two equal portions, at Michaelmas and Lady Day yearly.

> EDW. ATKYNS. THO. JENNER. RICHD. HEATH. CHR. MILTON,

MICH. TRRM, 2. JAC. 2.

#### WARNER against Clough,

Norfolk, 7th December 1687.

Lands formerly helonging to the helonging to the plaintiff's bill was to compel the defendance of Links and to pay tithes for several lands in the said bill menapriory of Little Walfinghum, in tioned that were his in possession at Little Walfinghum and Great Norfelk, are tithe Walfingham, in the county of Norfolk.

> The defendant answered, and insisted, that the lands out of which the plaintiff fued for tithes are tithe free, having belonged to the priory of Little Walfingham.

> Upon reading several depositions on the defendant's part, and a verdict at law for the plaintiff, and an ancient terrier or rental made in the twenty-first year of Edward the Fourth, and a copy of a record of a grant made in the ninth year of Edward the First, and another copy of the record of a furrender dated the fourth of Lugust, in the thirtieth year of Henry the Eighth, and other field

field books and copies of records, and upon debate of the matter. the Court directed a trial at law, on the statute 2. & 3. Edw. 6. c. 13. on which trial the plaintiff became non-suited; but a new trial was granted upon payment of taxed costs of the former trial, on which trial a verdict passed for the 7th May 1688. defendant; and on hearing counsel another trial was granted to be tried by a special jury, at which trial, on full evidence, the plaintiff became again non-fuited.

WARNER against CLOUGE.

7th Nov. 1688.

THE COURT therefore ordered the bill to be dismissed with costs to be taxed.

> ATKYNS, Chief Baron. HEATH, Baron. INGLEBY, Baron. ROTHERHAM, Baron.

### CHITTY against REEVE.

Surry, 2d June 1687.

THE plaintiff, as executrix to her husband, who was farmer The manner of of the tithes within the rectory of Farnham, in Surry, under paying the tithe Sir Thomas Vernon, the impropriator of the faid rectory, filed her parish of Farnbill to be relieved for tithes in kind of hops, stating a particu- bem, in the counlar manner of taking the tithe of the same, according to a cus- ty of Surry, is tom in the parish, viz. that the occupier should set out every shall set out every sha tenth row or hill of hops, without any fraud, and as they arise, ry tenth row or before the same are gathered, or the binds thereof cut.

TRIN. TERM. 3. JAC, 2.

bill of more, without any fraud, as

they wrife, before the fame are gathered, or the binds thereof cut.

The defendant, by his answer, admitted the custom to be to fet out every tenth hill, but alledged, that the custom also was for the occupier to cut the tithe hills, at the same time with his own, and to strip the binds and hops from off the poles and lay them upon fuch tenth hill, from whence the farmer was to remove them in a convenient time, and pick them elsewhere.

The plaintiff replied; and witnesses were examined.

Upon hearing counsel on both sides, and on reading several S.C. Bunb. 20. of the depositions taken in the cause, the Court decreed as follows. It fully appearing to the Court, that the custom, usage, or practice of paying tithe bops, in that parish, for above fixty years past, hath been, that the impropriator, or his leffee, hath had the tenth row when equal, or else the tenth hill; that the same have been left standing, with the hop-binds uncut; that the impropriator, or his leffee, or agents, have always had convenient time to come and cut the binds and pick the hops upon the grounds; and it further appearing, that if the owner of the hops should take down the poles and cut the binds of the tithe hops,

CRITTY

against

Reeve.

when he cuts his own, the tithe of hops would be much prejudiced and made worth little or nothing, it being the nature of hops to spoil if they lie twenty-four hours upon the ground, before they are picked, and it being impossible for the impropriator, or his lesse, or agents, to have persons to pick the tithe hops of the whole parish, who generally pick altogether, and have very great quantities growing, before they are spoiled, in case the owner should cut the binds of the tithe hops when he cuts the other nine parts. And the Court was of opinion and declared, the said custom, usage, and practice to be reasonable and sitting to be observed; and the Court also declared, that in case there was not any such usage, the tithe of hops ought to be paid in kind, viz. the tenth part of the whole after picking (a).

WHEREUPON IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that the defendant shall account for and pay to the plaintiff the full value of the tithes, or tenth part of the hops, which he had growing within the said parish of Farnham, in and during the time in the bill set forth; and that it be referred to the deputy remembrancer to take the said account.

The deputy remembrancer, on the twenty-second of June 1688, made the following report, " In obedience to an order of " the Court made the ninth of June 1687, I have examined the e matters thereby to me referred, and find that the defendant was occupier and possessor of fix acres and an half of land well " planted with hops, for the year before the bill exhibited; and that he had more than two loads of hops growing thereon 46 in the faid year, every load confisting of twenty hundred weight, and every hundred weight was worth five pounds, and, allowing liberally for the picking and drying the tithe "thereof, was well worth fifteen pounds; and I find by the of proofs, that the plaintiff stript and carried away half a hun-" dred weight of the said hops, which, according to the rate " aforefaid, comes to twenty-five shillings, which being deducted out of the aforesaid fifteen pounds, the rest will remain due to " the plaintiff."

And the faid report was confirmed.

EDW. ATKYNS. RICH, HEATH. CHA. INGLEBY. J. ROTHERHAM.

(a) See Bate w Spracking, Bunb. 20. Gee w Perch, 10. Vin 3. Rayn. 87. 97. Blifs w Chandler, Burn. E L. 450. Rayn. 152. 8 Vin. Abr. 585. Walton w Tyers, 5. Bro. P C. 99 and the cafe of Knight w. Halfey, Hilary Term 37 Geo. 3. 7. Term. Rep. 56. by which two last cafes it appears to be decided, that the cuftomary manner of tithing hops, as aboveflated, cannot be supported; but that a tenth part of the hops, after it is severed from the binds, is to be paid to the owner of the tithes.

UMPREVILLE against

Hopges AND OTHERS.

HILARY TERM 4. ]AC. 2.

of St. Botolphs,

UMFREVILLE against Hodges and Others. London and Middlesex, 26th January 1688.

THE plaintiff, as executrix of the last will and testament of The widow and W. Umfreville, stated, that her husband, for twenty years executive and upwards before his death, was impropriator of the rectory William Umfreimpropriate of Saint Botolph without Aldgate, and entitled to all wille, late rector tithes, oblations, and Easter offerings within the faid parish; Aldgate, that part of the parish lieth within the city of London, and mands tithes of the other part in the county of Middlefex; that the defendants houles in the dewere occupiers of feveral houses within the same during his fendant's posseslife time, and ought to have paid their tithes, oblations, and Easter offerings to him; but that the same not being paid to him they became due to the plaintiff.

The defendants appeared and answered; the plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides; upon reading the several depositions taken in the cause, and on full debate, a trial at law was directed, upon the following iffue, viz. " whether any rate or fum, and what rate or fum of An iffue to try money, is payable in lieu of tithes for fuch house or houses what rates are of the defendants as lie in the parish of Saint Botolph without to be paid in Alagate, in the county of Middlesex?" To be tried before lieu of titues. THE LORD CHIEF BARON, in Middlesex.

And as to the house of the defendant Box, the same being within the city of London, and his counsel insisting that the same is discharged from the payment of tithes, it is ordered by the Court, that all further proceedings against him shall be staid till the said trial be had, and, in the mean time, he is to give a note to the plaintift's attorney of such grants and records and where they are to be found, as discharges his house from the payment of tithes, and to produce them at the trial.

A trial against the defendant Thomas Heath was accordingly The jury find had before THE LORD CHIEF BARON; and the jury found that a modus of 186. there is a modus of eighteen shillings a-year for the tithes of his a-year, payable house to be paid by four shillings, and sixpence, a quarter.

The cause now came on for further directions; and upon reading the posses of the verdict, the defendants' counsel prayed a new trial; but upon reading an affidavit on his behalf, and on debate,

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that the de- The modus defendant Heath shall fatisfy and pay to the plaintiff, or her affigns, creed to be paid accordingly. the faid modus of eighteen shillings a year, viz. four shillings, and fixpence, a quarter, for the time he hath been occupier of the faid house and it is hereby referred to the deputy

against Honges AND OTHERS. remembrancer to state and compute how many years the defendant hath been occupier of the faid house, and what is due from him to the plaintiff, both for the modus and Easter offerings.

> R. ATKYNS. Ed. Nevill. N. LECHMERE. Jos. Turton.

HILARY TERM 4. JAC. 2.

CROSSMAN against GOODRIDGE.

Somersetsbire, 24th February 1688.

If a plaintiff refule to appear

THE plaintiff, as vicar of the vicarage and parish church of Banwell (a), in the county of Somerfet, filed his bill against and answer, the the defendant for small tithes,

pro confesso, and on the plaintiff making seth of the value, the payment of the tithes be decreed.

\$. C. Bunb. 26. \$. C. Rayn. 66.

The defendant, being duly ferved with a process of subpæna, neglected to appear to and answer the said bill, and being committed to the Fleet, was brought up to the bar of the court by. habeas corpus, on the twenty-eighth of November last, to appear and answer the same, and the bill being read to him the first time, and he then refusing to appear and answer the same, he was remanded, and brought up a second time on the first day of this term, and still refusing, he was again remanded, and brought up a third time, on the first day of February instant, and again remanded, and brought up a fourth time on the feventh day of February instant, on which day he, having neither appeared or answered, obtained an order for time to Easter Term next, to answer the said bill, without path, unless cause was shewn to the contrary, and on the thirteenth day of February, the plaintiff shewed cause, and prayed the said bill might be taken pro confesso, he, the plaintiff, refusing to accept the defendant's answer without oath, when it was ordered, that the order of the seventh of February instant should be discharged, and that the defendant should put in his answer by this day; and that if the defendant did not put in his answer, the warden of the Fleet should attend the court with the body of the faid William Goodridge, on the twenty-fourth of February.

The defendant not having put in his answer to the bill, as by the faid order he was directed, the warden attended with the defendant accordingly, and it was prayed, that the bill might be taken pro confesso against him.

(e) See Chapman v. Lanidown, post. 7. July 1790, Trinky Term, 30. Geo. 3.

And

And on hearing feveral counsel for the said defendant, and what could be alledged on both fides,

CROSSMAN againfl GCODRIDGE.

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE COURT (a), that the faid bill be, and it is hereby taken, pro confesso against the said defendant.

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the plaintiff do make eath of the value of the tithes (b) in the bill charged, not exceeding the fum of feventy-eight pounds in the whole for the twelve years in question, which sum, so to be made oath of as aforefaid, is hereby ordered, adjudged, and decreed (c) to be paid by the faid defendant to the plaintiff accordingly.

(a) The Right Honourable SIR JOHN ENNLEY, Knt. chancellor and under treafurer of his Majesty's court of exchequer, the right honourable SIR EDWARD AT-ETNS, Knight, lord chief baron, SIR Tuomas Jenner, Knight, and Sir THOMAS POWELL, Knight, two other of the barons of the Court.

(b) See Bailey e. Peafly, Trinity Tetm, 5. Geo. 1. Raym. 144. Bunb. 26. (c) The decree was figned by all prefent in the court, the chancellor, &c.

#### Simpson against Hill; et è Contra. Yorksbire, 2d July 1688.

TRIN. TERMS 4. JAC. 2.

THE bill stated, that W. Pinkney, being seised in see of and in The lessee of the the rectory or parsonage impropriate of Fishlake, in the titles of the impropriate rectory faid rectory belonging by independent the fine from the propriete rectory faid rectory belonging, by indenture, dated the first of May of Fishere, in 1683, did demise the same to the plaintiff for three years, York, re, ciaims whereby the plaintiff became entitled to the said rectory and wool, have some tithes.

wool, hay, corn,

The defendant faid, that, during the years 1684 and 1685 as The defendant stated in the bill, he was owner of a messuage in the said parish, says he comand eight acres and a half of arable, meadow, and pasture ground, pounded for the and was also farmer of several parcels of arable, meadow, and his small cibes; pasture ground, therein; that in the year 1683, he had growing on his faid lands wheat, barley, beans, peas, line, and flax, which he gathered without fetting out his tithes, having compounded with the plaintiff for the same, and paid him the composition agreed on, and that the plaintiff accepted the same, in difcharge of the faid tithes; that he had paid to the plaintiff twenty shillings by composition, in sull discharge of all tithes of wool and lamb, and other his fmall tithes and Easter reckonings, for the faid year; that in the year 1684, he had growing on the ard also faid lands wheat, &c. the tithe whereof he duly fet forth, and 1684.; the plaintiff's fervants gathered the same, and that he had paid the plaintiff one pound, fifteen shillings, by way of composition, in full of his tithes of wool and lamb as aforefaid, which he accepted; that in the year 1685, he had the fame kinds of grain, and the tithes whereof he duly fet forth, and the plaintiff's fervants 1685. gathered

alfo

SIMPSON squinft. HILL; et à Contra.

That there is a modus of ad. an acre payable at eient incloures;

frof, but not to fack it;

that the same custom prevails fields.

The defendant acre for bay, and mentioned.

gathered the greatest part thereof, and that he paid the said plaintiff forty shillings for his tithe wool and lamb, &c. for the faid year due, before the answer, and should be willing to pay the plaintiff for all his small tithes and Easter offerings, as they should become due; that in the faid years he had made great quantities of hay, and carried same away from off the ancient in-Easter, in lieu of closures, without setting out the tithe thereof, as he lawfully the tithe of bay might do, for by prescription and custom the farmers and ocmade in the an- cupiers of land, within the faid inclosure in Fifblake, have cuftomarily, and time out of mind, been discharged of tithe hay in kind, by payment of fourpence an acre at Easter, to the occupiers, owners, and farmers of the faid tithes, in lieu and fatisfaction thereof, and that the defendant has paid for the year 1683, and 1684, after that rate, and is willing to pay the that the manner same for 1685; that the manner of setting forth and paying of tithing corn, in the ancient inclosures and common puffures, hath the ancient inclo- been fome times by throwing out the tenth from the nine fires, is by fetting sheaves, when the inhabitants reaped and bound up their corn, and fometimes the inhabitants have fet up the fame in stacks, which he believed was in kindness to the farmers and occupiers of the said tithes, and not of right; that the inhabitants never confidered themselves obliged to stack their corn in the ancient inclosures; and that the general custom in the common arable fields is to set out their tithes by separating the tenth sheaf from the in the common nine, and whenfoever the fame was let in stacks it was kindness, and was never required as a duty until of late by the said plaintiff.

The defendant exhibited his cross bill against the plaintiff, also filed a croji and prayed a discovery, whether the plaintiff had not gathered bill, charging the and received the tithes, as well great as small, claimed by him, modes of 4d, an or the greatest part thereof, in kind, or some, and what satisthe tenth theaf faction by agreement, composition, or otherwise in lieu thereof, for com, as above- and particularly, if there be not a custom within the said rectory of Fishlake, that the farmers and occupiers of meadow ground in the ancient inclosures there have constantly, and time out of mind, paid fourpence an acre yearly at Easter, in lieu, satisfaction, and full discharge of tithe hay, in the said ancient inclofures, and what is the manner of tithing corn as well in the ancient inclosures, as in the common arable fields there.

The plaintiffedtistact on tithes;

The plaintiff answered, and confessed, that in the year 1683 mits the pay- he had received fix pounds in fatisfaction of tithe corn, line, ment, but denies them to have and flax, but denied that he received twenty shillings in difbeen in full fa- charge of tithe wool and lamb, small tithes, and Easter offerings of for that year, but that he received two pounds, fix shillings, for tithe wool of thirty sheep, and five shillings for tithe of twenty lambs, which was all the plaintiff paid after the first of May in that year, except a tithe pig; that in the year 1684, the defendant Hill reaped and carried away the greatest part of the corn he had growing growing that year, before he had notice thereof, and believed the tithe thereof was not duly set forth, but his servant gathered what tithes were fet out; that in the faid year, the faid Hill paid him twopence halfpenny, for house dues; for offerings for himself and wife, fourpence; for fix new led cows, ninepence; for a foal, one penny; for twenty-two acres and a half of meadow in the faid ancient inclosures, fourpence an acre; for the tithe of wool for one hundred sheep, one penny a sheep; and for fixty lambs, threepence a piece; which was all the defendant Hill paid him that year; but he denied that he received the same in full, and believed several tithes were not accounted for in that year; that in the year 1685, the defendant Hill pulled and carried away a good quantity of flax or line without fetting out the tithe thereof, or compounding for the same, and as for corn in that year, he believed that the tithes thereof were not justly set out, but what was set out he gathered, except about twelve thrave, which Hill had thrown out in . sheafs, contrary to the custom in the place where the corn grew; and he set forth the quantities and values of the several species of tithes he had received from Hill, on the fifteenth of June, in the said year, and denied that he received the same in full. He also said that and says that the Hill offered to account with him for twenty acres, and two roods of tithe of bey in meadow in the ancient inclosures, which he had moved and made for ancient inclosing in due in into hay in that year, and to pay him fourpence an acre for hind; and that the fame, which he refused, as tithe hay was due in kind that the tithe com year, by reason the plaintiff had not in that year set out his ought to tithe corn growing in the ancient inclosures, in stack; that for facked; fifteen years then last past (during which time he farmed the and that the cusparsonage of Fishlake) it was reputed that it hath been a custom tom of paying parionage of Fifblake) it was reputed that it nath been a cuitom 4d. an acre at for every inhabitant within the faid parish of Fifblake, being Raser, for hay the occupier of any of the ancient inclosures, to pay fourpence for in the ancient every acre at Easter, upon condition of sexting forth the tithe inclosures, was corn he had growing within any of the faid ancient inclosures, in the fack; that the manner of setting forth tithe corn in the ancorn in fack; cient inclosures there by the inhabitants of Fishlake, all along, fince he was farmer, hath been to fet out the same in flack, and not in fleaf; that the manner of paying tithe corn, in the common and that the fields in Fishake hath ever been to pay the same in sheaf, and out the tithe whenever any person stacked their tithe corn there he looked com in sheaf preon the same as a kindness only; and that hay gotten in the vailed in the comcommon fields is titheable in kind.

SIMPSON ag ainst HILL, et è Contra.

mon fields only.

The plaintiff Simpson replied; and the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined in that cause only.

A trial at law was ordered to be brought by the plaintiff 2d July 1688. Simpson, against the defendant Hill, the iffue to be, "Whether there be not a general custom, time out of mind, within corn in the an-" the parish of Fishlake, throughout the said parish, that all cient inclosures the inhabitants of the faid parish, occupiers of lands in the ought to be fet Vol. I.

" ancient out in fleaf or in flack.

SIMPSON against HILL, et è Contra. ancient inclosures within the said parish, ought yearly to set " out their tithe corn, which grew upon those lands, in flacks, " and to pay the tithes thereof in stacks, or to pay their tithes " thereof in sheaf, before the same be stacked?" The question as to the small tithes demanded by the plaintiff Simpson, and the matters comprised in the cross bill, to stay until the faid trial be

A verdict that it out in speaf only. said issue.

A trial was accordingly had on the faid iffue, and after a full ought to be set and fair trial, a verdict passed for the defendant Hill, upon the

> The cause now, viz. the twenty-fifth of November 1689, came on for further directions. The defendant's counsel prayed that the custom may be decreed according to the verdict. plaintiff's counsel insisted that there was money due and in arrear to Simpson from Hill for tithes, as also to have costs spared in this court.

The Court of omodys of 4d, an acre for hay in the ancient in-

But forasmuch, as upon reading divers depositions of witpinion that the nesses, it appeared to the Court, that there is and hath been a general custom used in Fishlake, that the owners, farmers, and occupiers of any meadow ground within the ancient inclosures, in cofures is good; Fifblake, and particularly of the inclosed meadow ground there (whereof the defendant is owner), time out of mind, had been and ought to be discharged of tithes of hay yearly coming and renewing on the faid meadows, by payment to the owners, farmers, and occupiers of the faid tithes of fourpence an acre, for every acre of the faid meadow mowed by them, yearly at Eafter, and that the same, time out of mind, had been constantly so paid to and accepted by the faid owners, farmers, and occupiers, of the and that the de- faid tithes accordingly and that the faid defendant Hill had paid and fatisfied the faid Simpson the same modus in discharge of all his tithes of hay of his faid inclosure and meadow ground in the bill mentioned, and that he hath also paid all other his tithes mentioned likewise in the said bill.

fendant hathpaid the fame.

The defendant therefore, as to tithe bay, is difmiffed.

THE COURT doth therefore hereby order, that the faid Hill fland and is hereby absolutely dismissed this court of and from the faid bill, and the matters and things therein contained with his costs.

And that as to the custom set forth in Hill's cross bill, and for which a verdict had passed for him,

And as to titbe corn in the ancient inclosures It is ordered to be fet out hereafter in sheaf, accord-غنه.

It is further ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court, that as well the faid plaintiff Hill, as all other the inhabitants of the faid parish of Fishlake, being owners and occupiers of lands in the ancient inclosures, within the said parish, may, for the future, pay to the plaintiff their tithe corn, which shall for ing to the ver- the time to come happen and grow upon those lands, in speaf, before the same be stacked, according to the said verdict, and according

according to the custom and usage of the same parish, and shall not be obliged to pay the same in flack, or otherwise than as aforefaid.

SIMPSON ag.unft HILL, et è cionira.

And the said Simpson shall likewise pay to the said Hill his The plaintiff to costs expended in this suit and at law, to be taxed by the de- pay cost both at puty remembrancer of this Court.

law and in equi-

EDW. NEVILL. NICH. LECHMERE. Jos. Turton.

#### Washburne against Nunnelly. Northamptonsbire, 12th July 1688.

TRIN. TERM, 4. JAC. 2.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff, as owner of the rectory The rector of impropriate of Pitchley, in the county of Northampton, had Pitchley in Northampton, had bimpropriate debeen for feventeen years before the filing of his bill, entitled to all mands tithes of forts of tithes both great and small arising therein; that the ever-frauduentdefendant, for the faid time, had been possessed of land in the ly removed into faid parish, and in the adjacent parishes of Orlingbury and an adjoining pa. Gransley, and kept sheep in a close called Kinningham, within the ing time; of tithe faid parish of Pitchley; that with intent to defraud the plaintiff herbage at 25, in of the tithe thereof, he had removed his ewes before and near the pound; and the time of yeaning, and his other sheep before and near the of wool time of shearing, out of the said parish into the said parish of Orlingbury, the tithes of lambs and wool whereof the plaintiff had always demanded, but which the defendant had refused to pay; that the defendant had, for several years, wintered on his lands in Orlingbury and Cransley, numbers of his sheep, and about Lady Day yearly removed them into his lands in Pitchley, and kept them till about Midsummer, about which time the plaintiff demanded tithe wool, which the defendant refused to pay; that the defendant stocked yearly his land in Pitchley with barren and unprofitable cattle, and refused to pay tithe of the herbage for the same, after the rate of two shillings in the pound.

The defendant confessed, that he had for several years rented defendant the imof Lord Crew several lands in Pitchley, and kept thereon puted fraud, horses, cows, sheep, and bullocks, and made profit of his lands, for which tithe were paid in kind; that he also rented lands in Orlingbury, and occupied the faid close called Kinningham, in and states a modulo of id for e-Pitchley, and lands in Cransley, and depastured thereon ewes and very barrencow, other sheep and cows, but denied all fraud in removing them, in lieu of tithe with intent to hinder the plaintiff of the tithes of lambs and herbage, wool, or other tithes; and as for the tithe of berbage of dry and unprofitable cattle, he infifted upon a custom, time out of mind used within the said parish, of paying one penny for

against NUNNELLY. tithe wool

every barren cow, in lieu of the tithe thereof; and for tithe wool, that the manner of tithing within the faid parish of Pitchley, time and a particular out of mind, was as followeth, viz. that on the first of January, custom of taking in every year, the number of sheep are computed, and if there are so many sheep at shearing time, the impropriator hath his full tithe wool, and so many as are shorn; and if the number which was at New Year's Day fall short, the impropriator has for each sheep a halfpenny, and if more, only a halfpenny for every sheep above the number that was there on New Year's Day; and he faid, that according to the usage aforesaid, he hath constantly paid his tithe wool.

> The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides.

The defendant of the fraud;

Upon reading the depositions on both sides, and on full debate adjudged guilty it sufficiently appeared, by the proofs taken in the cause, that the defendant had, for feveral of the years mentioned in the bill, driven away his ewes depasturing upon his lands within the parish of Pitchley, near before the time of their yearing, to his lands in the parish of Orlingbury, which the Court adjudged to be an apparent fraud, and done with intent to deceive the plaintiff of the tithe of the lambs of those ewes, so removed as aforesaid, and for which the defendant ought to be accountable to the plaintiff.

and ordered to to the number

IT IS THEREUPON ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the depay the tithe of fendant do account with and pay to the plaintiff for the value of lambs according the tithes of the lambs of those ewes, which, by the proofs of ewes remov- taken in the cause, appear to have been depasturing upon the faid defendant's lands in Pitchley, for and during the years mentioned in the bill, or any of them, and which were, near before the time of their yeaning, driven away out of the said parish of Pitchley, into the said defendant's lands in Orlingbury aforesaid; and it is referred to the deputy remembrancer to take the faid

> And as to the modus of one penny for every barren cow fet forth in the answer, in lieu of tithe herbage, and also as to the faid manner of tithing or paying tithes for wool, within the faid parish, set forth in the said answer; and as to the payment of costs, the Court reserve the consideration thereof.

> In pursuance to the said decree, the deputy remembrancer made his report, dated the twenty-second of October last, and no exceptions having been taken, the cause came on, on the eighteenth of November 1689, for further directions upon the said report, and upon reading the same,

> IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that the faid report be, and the same is hereby ratified and confirmed, AND THAT

THAT the said defendant do pay to the plaintiff nine pounds, so WASHBURNE reported to be due for tithe lambs in 1685.

against NUNNELLY.

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the faid defendant do And also the account with and pay to the plaintiff, for the value of the tithe of herbage herbage of the dry and barren bullocks depastured by the de- for dry and barfendant upon his said lands in Pitchley, for the time demanded by bill, according to the proofs in the cause, and it is referred to the deputy to take the faid account.

> The cuftom of tithing the wool adjudged a good cuftom,

But as to the faid custom or manner of paying tithes for wool, within the faid parish, set forth in the answer,

THE COURT doth adjudge the fame to be a good custom.

THE COURT FULL.

CAMBRIDGE, against MASCALL TRIN. TERM, King's College, 4. JAC. 2. and Others.

Warwicksbire, 5th July 1688.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiffs, the provost and scholars King's College, in of King's College, in Cambridge, being seised in fee, in right Cambridge, and of the faid college, of and in all the rectory impropriate of their leffee Lord Woodon Wabons. in the county of Warwick, and of all tithes, the tithes of the pensions, and portions of tithes thereunto belonging, of which rectoryof Wween, faid rectory impropriate the tithes, pensions, and portions of in Warwichsbire. corn, grain, and hay of the towns of Wooten, Henley, Whithy, Uttenball, Osford, Edeston, and Bearley are part, all which said tithes of corn, grain, and hay, by indenture dated the fixth of November 1682, they demised to the plaintiff Lord Carrington, to hold the same for twenty years; that Lord Carrington thereby became entitled to all the faid tithes, and ought to have received the same; that for such tithes, pensions, and portions within the faid parish, as are not demised to the faid plaintiff, the other plaintiffs are seised thereof in see; that ever since the year 1682, the faid defendants have been inhabitants in Bearley, within the faid rectory, and owners or possessors of several mesfuages, lands, meadow and pasture grounds, lying in the town fields and precincts of Bearley, within the faid parish of Wooton, and had wheat and other grain, hay, flax, hemp, and a number of horses, cows, sheep, lambs, wool, &c. &c. the tithes or tenth whereof ought to have peen paid to the faid plaintiffs, according to their respective rights thereunto: therefore the said bill prayed a discovery of the lands, &c. and also the values of the tithes, and that the plaintiffs may examine their witnesses in perpetuam rei memoriam.

The defendant Mascall insisted, that all the lands of Mrs. The defendants Rogers, lying in Bearly, in his possession (except the three tithe say their lands pieces are tithe free.

King's College, CAMBRIDGE, azainst MASCALL

AND OTHERS.

Pieces, and Tithe Acre), are discharged of the payment of tithes of corn and grain, and that there was a modus of fix shillings, and eightpence, for the other tithes thereof.

The defendant Warren infifted, that all his lands in Bearly, (except fix lands a gore in Oldhill, twelve lands in Frankwell Field, fix lands called the Tithe Piece, and two lands called the Tithe Acre), are likewise discharged of the payment of tithes of corn and grain, and that he had the like modus of fix shillings, and eightpence, for his other tithes of those lands.

Both the faid defendants had paid the tithe corn of the faid excepted lands to the plaintiff Lord Carrington, and also had paid the faid rates of fix shillings, and eightpence, a-piece to the vicar of *Bearly*.

And that the plaintiffs are en -Meadows.

The defendants Mascall, Perks, and Ryland, infifted that the titled to the first owners of the impropriate tithes of Bearly, and their farmers crop of the Tithe have, time out of mind, had and enjoyed the first crop or math Plack, in heu of of a certain parcel of meadow in Edeftone, called the Tithe Plack, the tithe of Dole in full satisfaction and discharge of all the tithes of hay of certain parcels of meadow in Bearly, called Dole or Lat Meadows, and that for their other lands, they had paid their tithe corn to Lord Carrington, and their small and privy tithes to the vicar of Bearly, for the time in the bill charged.

> Upon reading a leafe, dated the fixth of November 1682, from the College to Lord Carrington, the Court directed Lord Carrington to try an action against the defendants Mascall, Perks, and Ryland, upon two iffues;

An iffue to try whetherthelands are tithe free;

FIRST, Whether the lands of the faid Mrs. Joyce Rogers, lying in Bearly, in the possession of the defendant Mascall, and by him in his answer alledged to be discharged from the payment of tithes, or any and what part thereof, be discharged of the payment of tithes of corn and hay, or not?

and whether the Meadows.

SECONDLY, Whether the first crop of grass or hay arising from crop of interplace a piece of meadow, lying in Edeston, called the Tithe Pleck, and is in Leu of Doe received by the impropriator, doth discharge all the ancient Dole or Lot Meadows in Bearly, or not?

> AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that as to the fix shillings, and eightpence, claimed by the faid plaintiffs, the provost and scholars, for the small tithes of the defendant Warner's lands, the said defendant shall be and he is hereby dismissed of and from the said bill, and the matters and things therein contained.

> AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that as to the rest of the tithes in Bearly, now in question, the same are to stay till the faid trial be had.

The iffues found against the plain. plaintisfs. tiffs.

A trial was had and both the faid issues were found against the THE FIRST ISSUE, "That the faid lands of the faid " Joyce

" Joyce Rogers, lying in Bearly aforefaid, in the possession of the " faid defendant Mascall, are and every acre thereof (except the " faid pieces of land called the Three Tithe Pieces, and the Tithe "Acre), is discharged from the payment of tithes of corn and " hay." And as to THE OTHER ISSUE " That the owners of AND OTHERS. "the impropriate tithes of Bearly aforefaid, and their farmers, " for the time being, from the time whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary, have yearly had and enjoyed the first " crop of the faid parcel of meadow in Edefton called the Tithe se Pleck, in full satisfaction and discharge of all tithes of hay, " yearly growing, coming, renewing, or happening in and upon the faid parcels of meadow called the ancient Dole or Lot " Meadews, in Bearly aforesaid."

KING'S College, CAMBRIDGE, against MASCALL

This cause came on upon the equity reserved, on the twentyfifth of November 1689, and upon reading the faid order and postea and on full debate. the Court not seeing any cause for relieving the plaintiffs in their faid bill,

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the Bill diffusified. plaintiffs bill be and is hereby dismissed (a).

> ROB. ATKYNS. EDW. NEVILL. NICH. LECHMERE. Jos. Turton.

(a) No costs mentioned,

# BROOKE against ABBUTT and Others.

ı

Suffolk, 12th July 1688.

TRIN. TERMS 4 JAC. 2.

THE bill stated, that the provost and scholars of King's Col- The provost and lege, in Cambridge, being seised in see of and in the tithes, scholars of King's oblations, and offerings, and of the rents of freehold and bridge, are encopyhold in Lindfay, in the county of Suffolk, did by indenture titled to recotbird demise and grant the said tithes and premises for a long term partiof the tithes to Ann Eade, widow, who was possessed of the same, and after- of Lindjay Farm, wards, on the fecond of January, in the thirty-third year of and to one third CHARLES THE SECOND, by indenture, dated the fame day, did Farm, in the pademise the premises to the plaintiff, by virtue whereof he en- nin of Lindsay, in tered, and was and is entitled to the tithes of grafs and hay, and the county of of all forts of corn and grain, yearly arising, &c. in and upon one meffuage and the lands thereunto belonging, called Lindsay Farm, otherwise Harkes, in Lindsay, and also to Baker's Farm, and ought to have enjoyed the tithes thereof; that the faid defendants, or fome of them, have held and enjoyed the fame for several yearspast, and have had great quantities of grass and hay, and of corn and grain, yearly growing thereon, and had reaped and carried away the fame, without making any agreement or fatisfaction for the same.

A trial

BROOKE

agairft

ABBUTT

AND OTHERS.

A trial at law was ordered to be had by the plaintiff against the defendant Abbutt only; the issue to be, "Whether the plaintiff hath any right or title to any part, and what part, of the tithes of the farms called Lindsay Farm, and Baker's Farm, with the lands thereunto belonging, lying in Lindsay, in the county of Suffolk, (except the tithes of half an acre lying within Lindsay Farm, which the defendant now difcelaims)?"

A trial was had, and a verdict was found for the plaintiff, but it being ineffectual, a new trial was directed, and the plaintiff became non-fuited for want of producing the leafe from the college to Ann Eade, as above stated; the Court therefore directed a new trial to be had upon the plaintiffs paying costs taxed for the said non-suit within a week, otherwise the bill to be dismissed. The plaintiff gave notice of trial for the assizes, and the defendant attended at a great expence, but the plaintiff not attending, the defendant, on the fourteenth of May 1691, prayed that the said bill may stand dismissed, and to have his costs, and the plaintiff appearing by counsel, it was ordered that the plaintiff shall pay to the defendant ten pounds for his costs and charges in attending the said affizes, and on paying the same be at liberty to proceed to trial.

The cause was not tried at the affizes, but was referred to arbitration, but the referee not making any award, but rather a certificate, the Court, on the fourth of February 1692, upon reading the certificate, ordered, that a trial at law be had upon the former issue directed, and that the counterpart of a lease, made by the provost and scholars of King's College, in Cambridge, to Ann Eade, widow, dated the twenty-sifth of November, in the thirty-third year of Charles the Second, be allowed for evidence, without proving the same at the trial.

A new trial was accordingly had upon the former iffue, upon which trial the jury found that the plaintiff hath right and title to one third part of the tithes of the farm and lands called Baker's Farm, and two third parts of the tithes of the farm and lands called Lindsay Farm.

Upon reading the posles of the faid verdict, and hearing counfel, and on full debate,

10th May 1692.

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED, that the several desendants shall account with and pay to the said plaintiff two third parts of the tithes of the farm and lands called Lindsay Farm, and also one third part for Baker's Farm, for the times they severally held the same, or any part of them, unless cause be shewed to the contrary; and it is hereby referred to the deputy remembrances to take the said account.

141b May 1691.

The cause coming on to be further heard on the second of June 1692, the defendants counsel prayed that they may be ABBUTT discharged of costs; but the Court declared unanimously, that the AND OTHERS. defendant Abbutt ought to pay the plaintiff his costs; and therefore it was ordered, that the said order of the tenth day of May last be, and the same is hereby made absolute, with costs, to be taxed by the said deputy remembrancer to whom it is hereby referred to tax the same.

ROB. ATKYNS. Nich. Lechmere. JOHN TURTON. JOHN POWELL.

# Skipwith against Pickering and Others.

4. JAC. 2.

Lincolnsbire, 5th July 1688.

THE bill stated, that the Bifbop of Lincoln, being seised in right. The of his church, to him and his fucceffors of and in the claims the tithes rectory impropriate of Barney, in the county of Lincoln, did by Barney, in Listindenture, dated the ninth of December, in the twenty-seventh colinguire. year of CHARLES THE SECOND, demise the same to the plaintiff for twenty-one years, at the yearly rent of thirteen pounds, fix shillings, and eightpence, ten quarters of barley, and ten lambs, payable at the days mentioned, by virtue whereof the plaintiff ever fince became entitled to, and ought to take and receive all tithes and rates for tithes of the land lying within the faid parish.

The defendants answered, and set forth the lands by them The defendants feverally held, and what titheable matters and things they fe- fay their lands verally held and kept in and upon their grounds within the faid are tithefree. parish, and said, that their said lands are parcel of the possesfions of the abbey of Bardney, which was one of the great monasteries, and came to the crown by the dissolution in the thirty-first year of Henry the Eighth, and either being parcel of the demesne of the said abbey, or by prescription, unity of possession, bull, or other discharge or exemption, their said lands are, and ought to be freed from the payment of tithes, and infifted upon all legal discharges of tithes that can be made out.

Upon reading a lease made in the thirtieth year of Henry the Eighth, to R. Turwhitt and J. Hennage, of the lands which were in the proper culture and occupation of the faid abbot and convent of Barney, at the time of the dissolution of the said abbey, for forty-one years, and the bailiff's accounts, and other matters belonging to the faid abbot and convent, and also on An Issue directs reading the depositions taken in the cause, and on full and de-ed, liberate hearing, a trial at law was thereupon ordered, the

iffue

SEIPWITE

against

PICKERING

AND OTHERS.

iffue to be "Whether the lands in the defendant's answer "mentioned to be by them severally held and enjoyed within "the parish of Barney, or any, and which of them be titheable "to the plaintist, as farmer of the rectory of Barney aforesaid, "or not," to be tried before THE LORD CHIEF BARON, by a jury of Middlesex. But, upon the seventh of July, it was ordered, that the aforesaid issue should be tried at Lincoln, and that at the said trial, the said defendants should admit the plaintist's title to the rectory of Barney.

The plaintiff is son-fuited.

The iffue came on to be tried accordingly, and after a full evidence given, the plaintiff became nonfuited.

The cause coming on the twenty-fifth of November 1689, to be heard on the poster, upon reading the said poster of the said non-suit,

The defendants difmitted. It is ordered and adjudged by the Court, that the defendants be absolutely dismissed of and from the said bill, and the matters and things therein contained (a).

ROB. ATKYNS.
EDW. NEVILL.
NICH. LECHMERE.
JOS. TURTON.

(a) But fee the cafe of the Bishop of Lincoln v. Ellis, 26th of May, 1722, Trinky Term, 8. Geo. 1. in which the tithes of this parish are decreed to the Bishop of Lincoln.

### COLLECTION

## DECREES

THE COURT OF EXCHEQUER

# TITHE-CAUSES,

DURING

#### THE REIGN OF WILLIAM AND MARY.

SIDDENHAM against BARRETT.

Somersetsbire, 20th June 1690.

TRIN. TERM. I. WIL. & MAR.

HE plaintiffs, as surviving trustees of John Lord Parolett, The ground caldeceased, filed their bill, stating that for several years past kd Great Weamthey had been entitled to all tithes of corn, grain, bram, in the pahay, wool, and lamb, and other titheable matters and customary 11th of Tatton, in the county of Sepayments and compositions in lieu of tithes, due and payable to moret, pays a the farmers and impropriators of the rectory of Yatton, in the modus of 4d. an county of Somerfet; that the defendant had been owner, oc- acre to the vicar, cupier, and possessor of land therein, and kept ewes and wea- in lieuofallt.thes thers, and had fallen lambs, and had shorn wool, and had not siven any feet fall grounds. given any satisfaction for the said tithes of wool and lambs. The bill therefore prayed a discovery and satisfaction for his tithes.

The defendant faid, that he held three quarters of a piece of land called Great Weambram, in the faid parish of Yatton, containing one hundred and fifty acres, and that, in the faid years, he had kept upon the fame weather sheep yearly, and always fold the faid sheep, in a short time after they were there; that they were always shorn and clipt at the defendant's house, or upon other lands where they were usually

SIDDENHAM against BARRETT.

fed, out of the faid parish and the titheable places thereof, before they were depastured upon the said pasture ground called Great Weambram, and that he never shore any sheep within the said parish, as in the bill is charged, and had but one lamb yeaned in the faid parish, and that no tithes of lamb or wool were ever demanded of him but by the vicar, and that he paid him yearly fourpence an acre for his share of the said ground, which he believed to be the usual modus, or payment in discharge of all tithes whatfoever, for the faid ground.

A trial at law was directed to be brought by the plaintiff, against the defendant, upon this iffue, "Whether by custom, " time out of mind, the fum of fourpence an acre, for every " acre of the said ground, called Great Weambram, in the parish of Yatton, paid yearly to the vicar of the faid parish of Yatton, " for the time being, be in lieu and full fatisfaction of all manner of tithes whatfoever, payable out of the faid ground " called Great Weambram?"

A trial was accordingly had, and the jury found, that fourpence an acre was yearly paid to the vicar of the parish of Yatton, in lieu and satisfaction of all tithes of all fruit, hay, wool, and lamb whatfoever, for the ground called Great Weambram, by the occupiers or possessors thereof.

Upon reading the postea,

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the defendant shall be, and is hereby dismissed of and from the bill, and the matters therein contained.

> R. ATKYNS. ED. NEVILL. N. LECHMERE. Jos. TURTON.

MICH. TERM, z.Wil. & Mar. STRODE against BICKHAM and ELLIOT.

Samersetsbire, 29th November 1689.

The rector of Meere, in Somertithes of land, formerly covered with water, called Meere Pool.

THE plaintiff, as farmer of the rectory of Meere, in the county of Somerset, stated, that he was entitled to titbes of Seifiere, claims corn, teasills, grain, grass, hay, and other tithes, and to all customary payments in lieu thereof; and that there was an ancient pool of water, containing five hundred acres, within the faid parish, lately drained by the defendant Bi. kham, the tithes of corn, grain, and hay whereof, and for depasturing of cattle thereupon, were worth twenty-five pounds; that the defendants were owners of divers lands within the faid parish; and particularly the defendant Elliott was possessed of a farm called Godney Farm, within the said parish, and had great quantities of titheable matters; that the defendant Bickhain possessed acres

of land, called Meere Poole, and had divers quantities of corn and other titheable matters, and also a decoy poel within part of Meere Pool, whereof the tenth wild duck, by custom, ought to be paid for tithe; that the defendants had divers other lands within the faid rectory, for which they ought to have paid tithes; but that they refuse to pay the same.

STRODE against BICKRAM

The defendants appeared; and the defendant Bickham infifted, The defendants that there was an ancient pool of water, which was formerly a fay, that Meere fish pool, called Meere Pool, containing five hundred acres, within Pool was formerthe faid parish, which had been drained about seventy years, belonging to the whereof he poffessed great part, and some part called New Cutts; abbey of Glasbut that no tithe was payable for the same, for that the said conbury, and so parcel of ground, called Meere Pool, was formerly a fish-pond discharged belonging to the abbot of Glassenbury, and in his hands at the time of the diffolution of that abbey, and on that account was, by law, discharged from the payment of tithes. He confessed, that he possessed the decoy pool, and took one hundred couple of wild fowl; but infifted that the same, being parcel of the fi/b-peol, called Meere Pool, was, for the same reasons, discharged from payment of tithes. He also insisted, that there was a custom, time out of mind, within the manor of Godney, within the parish and infifts on a of Meere, that for all lands and tenements within the faid manor, customery manwhich are or were copyhold tenements, there have been, time ner of tithing out of mind, paid, in lieu of tithes for the same, the rates fol- copyhold land. lowing, viz. where the lord's rent referved for fuch copyhold was above twelvepence an acre, three halfpence an acre; where fuch lord's rent referved was but twelvepence, then one penny an acre; where fuch lord's rent referved was under twelvepence. then one halfpenny an acre, in lieu of all tithes for fuch copyhold lands and tenements; and he confessed that he possessed copyhold lands, and was ready and offered to pay to the plaintiff after that rate for his tithes; but said, that the plaintiff had refused to receive it.

The defendant Elliott confessed, that he possessed several acres of Meere Pool; and infifted that, as it formerly belonged to the abbot of Glaffonbury, it was, by law, discharged from the payment of tithes. He insisted, that the farm, called Godney Farm, did formerly belong to the faid abbey of Glastenbury, and was so discharged as aforefaid. He faid, that he likewise possessed copyhold lands in the said manor of Godney, and insisted on the same modus decimandi as the other defendant; and averred, that he was always ready to pay the plaintiff after that rate; but that he had refused to receive the same.

Upon hearing what was alledged by counsel on either side, and on reading the proofs taken in the cause, and on debate,

THIS

STRODE againft BICKHAM AND ELLIOT.

he court of othat Godney Farm only liable to the

THE COURT was of opinion, and so declared, that the parcels of land which were part of Meere Pool and Godney Farm were, for the reasons set forth in the defendant's answer, discharged from the payment of tithes, and that there was such a modus decimandi for fuch copyhold lands and tenements as are or were par-Meere Pool is cel of Godney Manor, and within the faid parish of Meere, as in tithe free, and the said answer is set forth.

> It is thereupon ordered and adjudged, that the faid bill be dismissed with costs to be taxed.

> > R. ATKYNS. ED. NEVILL. N. LECHMERE. Jo. Turton.

MICH. TERM, E. WIL & MAR.

### KENT against PETTIVER and Others.

Warwicksbire, 25th, November 1690.

The plaintiff, as vicar of Prior Hardwick, Warwicksbire, claims the fmall tithes ariting in Pryor Marfion.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff was inducted into the vicarage of the parish-church of Pryor Hardwick, in the county of in Warwick, and entitled to tithes of the faid vicarage; that Pryor Marston is a member of Pryor Hardwick, and hath a church or chapel in it; that he hath officiated at both the faid places; and that all small tithes whatsoever arising, &c. in Pryor Marston (except of such land as belongs to the Earl of Sunderland), are due and payable to him, as vicar of Pryor Hardwick, viz. the tithes of fuch pigs as are under or over the number of ten as have been usually paid, and the milk of every cow kept in Pryor Marston every ninth night and tenth morning, from the third of May until the first day of August.

admit tithe of bay in fies;

The defendants faid, that Pryor Marston is a member of Pryor Pryor Hardwick, having a chapel wherein the plaintiff hath offi-Marken to be a ciated; that the owners of the rectory impropriate do receive or Hardwick, but the tithes of corn, wool, and lamb, and that the plaintiff hath no deny that the more claim to tithe hay than he hath to the tithes of that part plaintiff is en- of the parish, which is the estate of the Earl of Sunderland; that titled to the they are willing to pay to the vicar the value for their tithes of the common fields milk, and other small tithes, which is about six shillings, and of Pryor Mar- eightpence, each yard land in Marston Field, where the greatest part of their estates lie; that the plaintiff hath no right to the tithe of bay in any part of the common fields in Pryor Marston; that tithe hay hath never been paid in kind within the fields of Pryor Marston; that they have been heretofore two fields, the North Field and South Field, which of late have been three fields; that in each of the said fields the vicar hath distinct plots of mowing ground, viz. one plot of meadow called the Hedge, being nearly as much as three men usually mow in one day; and of ground in the several parcels of ground in South Field, viz. one parcel of ground

because the grass of different plots faid common

fields have been affigned to the vicar in lieu of tithe hay.

In Ball, and one parcel of mowing ground called the Pikes, lying on Woolland Leys, one plot of meadow ground lying in Dean, and one parcel of mowing ground in Dale Meadow; that the faid plots AND OTHERS are in lieu of tithe hay arising within the said respective fields; and that by reason thereof the sields in Pryor Marston have been discharged from the payment of tithe hay.

Krny arains PRTTIVER

The defendants Baseley and Goodwin say, that they are possessed of some mowing ground in the fields of Marston, which are no part of the yard lands, but do belong to the inclosure of Lady Rouse, in Pryor Hardwick; and that there is a modus of thirty shillings a-year paid for all her inclosures in Hardwick, and for the mowing grounds in Marston Fields.

The plaintiff replied, and fet forth, that tithe hay ought to be paid for hay arising in the common fields in Pryor Marston, and to the tithe hay also in the inclosures in the answers mentioned, to the vicars of of the common Pryor Hardwick; and that there hath heretofore been a fatis- seeds, and says faction made to his predecessors for such tithes; that such plots the plots of and parcels of grounds as the plaintiff hath in the common fields of to are Pryor Marston are ancient glebe belonging to the vicarage there, glebe. and not any modus or fatisfaction for the tithe hay claimed by him; that T. Kent, his predecessor, lately obtained a decree in this court against the said defendant Pettiver for tithe hay in the comenon fields in Pryor Marston.

The defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined; and An issue direct. on debate of the matter, a trial at law was directed upon two ed to try, iffues:

FIRST, Whether all the h. Iground belonging to all and every Whether the yard lands, and parts of the yard lands, within the common common fields fields of Pryor Marston be discharged from payment of tithe hay are discharged of to the vicars of Pryor Hardwick, in respect of the plots of mowing ground, the fore crop of which is alledged by the defendants to be entirely enjoyed by the faid vicar in lieu thereof, or not?

Secondly, Whether there be a modus of thirty shillings a-year whether there payable to the vicar of Pryor Hardwick, for all tithes due to him is a mediatof 30s. in the inclosed grounds in Pryor Hardwick called the Lady a year for the Rouse's Inclosure, and the meadow ground in Pryor Marston Field thereunto belonging, or not?

inclosed ground?

The plaintiff was willing to accept of the small tithes according The other small to the values offered in the answers; and therefore it is ordered, tithes decreed. that the defendants shall forthwith pay the same to the plaintiff accordingly.

A trial was had; and as to THE FIRST ISSUE, a verdict passed A verdict for for the defendants, that the same are discharged from the payment the defendants of tithe bay in respect of the said first crop of the mowing ground and for the

enjoyed plaintiff on the fecond.

KENT PETTIVER AND OTHERS. enjoyed by the vicar as aforefaid; and as to THE SECOND ISSUE. a verdict passed for the plaintiff against the modus.

A new prayed, but refufed.

The cause came on the thirteenth of February 1601 upon trial the equity reserved, when the plaintiff's counsel prayed a new trial upon the first iffue, which the Court did not think sit to

The defendants the tithe hay in the common fields;

But, upon reading the decree and postea, ordered and adjudged, difmissed as to that as to the matter of THE FIRST ISSUE found for the defendants they be, and are hereby difmissed, with their costs only from the time of their answers, in regard thereby they have confessed fome duties due to the plaintiff, which are decreed to be paid to the plaintiff by the faid recited order, and are not avoided by the faid trial.

and ordered to pay tithes of hay in kind for the Inclofures.

And as to the tithes of the inclosed grounds, called the Lady Rouse's Inclosures, and the meadow grounds thereto belonging, for which the defendants Baseley and Goodwin pretend a modus of thirty shillings a year, in discharge of tithes, for which a verdict passed for the plaintist,

Cofts,

It is ordered, that the defendant Baseley shall pay to the plaintiff for his tithes, for fo much of those grounds as were occupied by him for the time demanded by the bill, the fum of ten shillings. And that the said defendant Goodwin is to pay twenty shillings for his tithe, and that the plaintiff is to have his costs against the defendants Baseley and Goodwin.

MICH. TERM, B.WIL. &MAR. Bullin against Brecknock. Lincolnsbire, 21st November 1690.

The tithes of wool, lamb, ceptions, are due to the impropritory of Westen in Holland, in the county of Lincole, and not to the vicar.

THE plaintiff was farmer of the tithes of the impropriate rectory of Weston in Holland, in the county of Lincoln. The com, and grain, defendant was vicar of the vicarage and parish church of Weston. with certain ex- The scope of the bill was to have a discovery respecting the tithes of corn and grain, wool and lamb, which the defendant in feveral ator of the rec- years had renewing and increasing in and upon the several grounds by him farmed and held within the faid parish of Wefton, and to have fatisfaction of the defendant for the same.

> The defendant by his answer claimed tithes of wool and lamb, as belonging to him in right of his vicarage, by virtue of an ancient endowment, made in the year 1280, and, as to the tithe of corn, he alledged that he and his predecessors, vicars of Weston, had all along paid a rent or pension of thirteen shillings, and fourpence, a-year to the crown in lieu thereof; and by means thereof they had been exempted and discharged of and from payment of any manner of tithes of such corn and grain of what kind or nature foever, which they fowed

Towed or reaped within the faid parish only for the maintenance of their families.

agains BREENOCK.

Upon reading the depositions of the several witnesses examined on both fides, and also the endowment mentioned in the answer, and an account of the bailiff and collector of the revemues of the possessions of the late monastery of Spalding, in the thirty-second year of Henry the Eighth, and a lease made by the prior and convent of the faid monastery, in the twenty-fourth year of Henry the Eighth, to Sir John Poynton, priest of the vicarage of Westen, with the tithes thereto belonging, during the life of the said Legnton, wherein the tithes of corn and line of the parishioners only, and tithe wool and lamb, are excepted; and foralmuch as the ulage and custom for the payment of some of the tithes in Weston hath gone contrary to the endowment,

THE COURT was of opinion, that the tithes of corn and grain, wool and lamb (except as after-mentioned), are due and payable to the impropriator of Weston, and not to the vicar; and thereupon ordered and decreed, that the defendant shall account with and fatisfy the plaintiff for the value of all fuch tithes of corn and grain, wool and lamb, as the defendant hath had renewing, &c. upon the grounds by him farmed, held, and enjoyed within the parish and the titheable places thereof, not being glebe lands, belonging to the vicarage, except the odds of wool and lamb, viz. under seven lambs and under seven sleeses, and also The tithes to except the tithe or other composition usually paid of and for which the vicar hay, barren and other cattle fed and depastured within the faid merated. parish of Weston, as well by the parishioners of the said parish. as by firangers and foreigners, and also except the tithe of cole. feed, hempfeed, and flaxfeed, calves, foals, orchards, gardens, fruit, roots, herbs, milk, honey, eggs, and all other small tithes yearly arising and renewing within the parish; which several tithes of and for the said odds of wool and lamb, hay and pasture ground, fed as aforesaid, colesced, mustard seed, &c. &c. have, as it appears, for several years last past been paid to the vicars of Weston, and so ought to be paid to the said defendant.

ROBT. ATKYNS. EDW. NEVILL. Nich. Lechmere. JOHN TURTON.

DUMMER against WINGFIELD.

Buckinghamshire, 10th Fibruary 1690.

THE plaintiff, as rector of the rectory and parish church of The manner in Hardwick cum Weeden, in the county of Bucks, stated, by his which the tithe that for twenty rears past he had been restor thereof and of sheep are paid bill, that for twenty rears past he had been rector thereof, and in the passish of

HILARY TERM 1. WIL. & MAR.

Hardwick com

Weeden, in the county of Bucks. entitled

Dummer againfi Wingffeere

entitled to all tithes and duties arising within the same; that within the faid parish great numbers of sheep have been usually kept, wintered, and shorn, the tithe of the wool whereof ought to be paid to the rector; that the parishioners, for the conveniency of keeping more sheep than they wintered, did frequently make an addition to their winter flock by bringing in sheep after Lady Day, which they also sheared; for which sheep so brought in after Lady Day they presended that they ought not to pay tithes in kind, but a rate tithe of fourpence a fcore for each week they were kept before shearing time, in lieu of tithe wool; but that of right, and also by custom, tithes in kind of all wool shorn within the parish was due to the rector, or at least for all wool shorn from the sheep which were within the parish at Candlemas Day, or which were brought in after Candlemas Day, and before May Day, and there shorn; that in order to know the number of theep in the faid parish, there were anciently two views or tales, the one about Candlemas Day, and the other about Lady Day yearly, which were made by the parishioners on the one side, and by some person on behalf of the rector on the other; that the desendant's late husband was an inhabitant and occupier, of lands and tenements, and kept a large flock of sheep, and had a dovehouse, wherein were bred a great number of pigeons; that from shearing time in the year 1685 he kept great numbers of sheep till about Christmas; and, to defraud the plaintiff, then fold the same, and took in great numbers by agistment, or otherwise made profit thereby during the winter season, and until and after Lady Day, and then brought in divers sheep, which he shore about June, in the year 1686, for which he ought to have paid tithes in kind; and also a pasturage tithe for his sheep sold before Christmas, or taken in by agistment; but that he refused to pay the same, as also to pay tithes for his pigeons for the year 1675, and for the following years, until the year 1682; that about August 1686 he died, and that the defendant, as administratrix, possessed herself of, and entered upon, his real estate in the said parish, and has ever since enjoyed the same; that in the year 1686 she kept, from shearing time till Allbollontide, or Christmas, two hundred sheep, and as many more in 1687, and had near two hundred sheep shorn within the faid parish in each of the said years, and had also two hundred theep more thorn there in 1688, and kept the like number of sheep in each of the said years, from shearing time till Allhollontide, or longer, until they were fatted, and did then fell and dispose thereof, and delayed buying in any more sheep until after Lady Day in each of the faid years, on purpose to defeat the plaintiff from receiving any tithe wool in kind for the same, and made great profit to herself by agistment of other fheep, and by letting out her winter commons to others, and by fuch and the like contrivances refused to pay any tithe wool in kind, or any other tithe, than only fourpence a month for each

DUMMER againft Wingsield.

each score of sheep depastured within the said parish between Lady Day and shearing time, which is usually only about three months in the year; whereas the faid rate tithe of fourpence a score by the month ought to extend to the sheep brought into the faid parish yearly after Lady Day by such parishioners only that kept winter stock of sheep therein; that the said defendant also kept on the said farm in the year 1687 ten cows, the tithes whereof, with the defendant's Eafter offerings, amounted to fixteen shillings, which the defendant also refused to pay. The bill therefore prayed a discovery of the quantities and values of the tithes due to the plaintiff from the defendant, and also her late husband, for several years past, and a satisfaction for the

The defendant answered, and faid, that the custom for paying The defendant's tithes for sheep is, that for all sheep depastured within the said answer. parish on Candlemas Day, and there shorn, tithe wool is due in kind to the rector; that if such sheep are taken out of the parish before spear day, yet the rector is to have the value of every tenth fleece paid to him by the owner, the rector repaying the owner fourpence a score for each month such sheep are taken away after Candlemas Day, and before shear day yearly; that for all sheep brought into the parish at any time after Candlemas Day yearly, and before the *shear day* following, whether from there or not, fourpence a score, and no more, is due from the owners for every month they are kept there, from Candlemas Day yearly, to shear day following, in lieu and full satisfaction of the tithe wool of fuch sheep, and that no tithe sleece ought to be paid in kind, whether the owners keep any winter stock or not; that The knew not of any other rate tithe for the depasturing of sheep within the faid parish, or for the wool of such sheep, and believed there was no other, for that the parishioners keep their sheep there only for the improving their arable land, whereby the plaintiff's tithe corn is encreased, and do not fat their sheep. She confessed, that for distinguishing what sheep were wintered in the parish, and what were brought in after Candlemas Day, there was anciently a general view or tale taken by the parson and the parishioners of the sheep there on Candlemas Day, but denied that there were anciently two views or tales, or any other view than as aforesaid. She also denied, that the rate tithe of fourpence a score a month, extended only to sheep brought into the parish after Lady Day or May Day yearly, and before shear time, and said that it likewise extended to the sheep that are brought in between Candlemas and Lady Day. She also denied that the parishioners are obliged to keep full winter stocks, or that, by any custom, they are obliged to buy in sheep but at their free will and pleasure. She said that her husband died in August 1686; that she possessed herself of his personal estate as administratrix, and had affets sufficient to pay the plaintiff's demand;

DYMMER egainf Wingfield.

that about Lady Day 1686 he bought in one hundred theep, and soon after shearing them he died, for which she offered the plaintiff fourpence a score per month, but that he refused to accept the She faid, that she believed that all other tithes due from her husband were paid to the plaintiff; that she disposed of the said sheep before the end of November following, but conceived no tithe was due from and after the sale thereof; that she kept no sheep till Lady Day 1,687, and then she bought in one hundred and fifty, which she sheared, and offered to pay the plaintiff the rate tithe of fourpence; that before Candlemas 1687, she bought in seventy-eight sheep, and no more, which she sheared, and offered eight fleeces to the plaintiff, which he refused to accept of; that after Candlemas Day she bought in fixty-fix, and kept the same till soor day, and speared the same, and effered the plaintiff the rate tithe of fourpence, which he also refused; that the paid the plaintiff the tithe of her cows, except for the last year, when she kept ten cows, for which there is due by custom one shilling and fixpence for each cow, and for her Enfler offering twopence. That she hath had fifteen dozen of pigeone, whereof, or of so many of them as the had fold, the computed the tithes to be three shillings. She said, that she was always ready to pay her faid tithes and duties, and doth offer to pay the fame, and denied that she refused to pay the same; and said that what sheep she fold were not fatted, but sold to the grazier.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both sides.

On the twenty-seventh day of January last the cause cause on to be heard; and on reading the depositions of several witnesses, it appeared to the Court, that the rate tithe of sourpence a score per month, which the desendant doth by her answer insist upon to be the custom of the said parish, payable to the rector there in lieu and sull satisfaction of tithe wood, extends only to the sheep bought in after Landlewas Day, and for so long time only as such sheep are kept in the said parish between Candlemas Day, and severing day, and extends not to the times such sheep are kept in the said parish from and after sheeping day till the same are fold, which is a considerable part of the year; and for which the Court was of opinion, that tithes were due to the plaintiff for the herbage and feeding of the sheep.

It was therefore ordered and decreed, that the defendant should account with and satisfy the plaintiff for the said rate tithe of sourpence a score per month for all the sheep brought into the said parish, either by the desendant's late husband, or by her after Candlemas Day, and before shearing day in the said year, and for the value of the tithe herbage and depasturage of the same sheep, or of any other sheep kept and depastured by the desendant

defendant from and after shearing time, and fold and disposed of before Candlemas following, for so long time as the same were fed and depastured, from shearing time till the same were sold in each of the said years; with moderate costs.

DAMMER agair f WINEFIELD.

The defendant was likewise ordered to account for the tithes of pigeone for the faid years, and of cows kept in 1687, and for her Easter offerings in the said years, and also for the value of eight fleeces of wool offered by her answer to be paid for the sheep she bought in before Candlemas 1687, and tendered and paid into the court two pounds, seventeen shillings, and fixpence, for the value of the faid tithe, if the same should amount to so much. And it was referred to the deputy remembrancer to take the faid account (a).

ROBT. ATKYNS. EDW. NEVILL. NICH. LEGHMERE JOHN TURTON.

(a) This decree was confirmed on P. C. 192. and Colman v. Bunker, a rehearing, and the decisions cited in the Gilb. Rep. Eq. 231. cales of Baltaneal . . Sandys, Show.

# EDGERTON against FOLLETT and Another.

Devensbire, 20th February 1690.

HILARY TERM L.WIL. & MAR.

"HE plaintiff, as rector of the rectory and parish church of A custom to pag Lympston, in the county of Devan, demanded tithes of 4d. a hoghest apples in kind.

of cyder, in lion of all tithe apples

The defendants infifted, that the tithe of apples ought not to chard fruit, is be paid in kind, for that a modus of fourpence for every void in law. hogshead of cycler had been, for time beyond memory, paid to the rector there, in lieu of all orchard fruit growing within the parish.

THE COURT was of opinion, that the pretended modus, fet forth in the answer, in discharge of orchard fru itnot madeto eyder, is a void custom in law.

# BARKER against CLARKE.

Suffolk, 26th May 1690.

THE bill stated, that A. Rouse, deceased, was seised in his The demessive as of fee of the manor of Worlingworth, in the lands of the macounty of Suffolk, and of the demesne lands belonging to the said worth, in the manor, and of two parts in three of all the tithes of corn and county of Saffell,

BASTER TERM 2.WIL. & MAR.

pay to the vicat

of Worlingworth the thirtieth fleck of corn, in lieu of all tithes arising on the faid lands.

T 3 grain

BARKER . *againf* Clarke. grain yearly growing on the said demesne lands, and of the advowson and rectory of Worlingworth, all which were granted to him and his heirs by Henry the Eighth; that the same, on the death of the said A. Rouse, descended to his son and heir, who afterwards conveyed the same to J. Thurston; and that after his death they came to his son, who conveyed the same to the plaintiff's father.

The defendant stated, that in the year 1685 he held, under the title of J. Thurston, forty-six acres of land, parcel of the demesne belonging to the manor of Worlingworth, and had that year about two acres of oats; that he set out the thirtieth shock for tithe to the parson as a modus, in satisfaction of all tithes thereof; and that the owners and occupiers of the demesne lands never paid any tithes to the lord of the manor.

Upon reading the proofs taken in the cause, and upon debate of the matters in question,

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid bill be, and the fame is hereby dismissed.

ROB T ATKYNS.
EDW. NEVILL.
NICH. LECHMERE.
JOHN TURTON.

EASTERTERM, 2.WIL.& MAR,

### ALCHORNE against GILBERT.

Sussex, 26th May 1690.

The custom of tithing wheat in the parish of Eastbourne, in the county of Sussex, a is by setting out the tenth beap, a and not the tenth bap, and not the tenth bases.

THE plaintiff, as impropriator of Eastbourne, in the county of Sussex, claimed the tithes of wheat.

The defendant said, that he refused to tithe his wheat any otherwise than by the sheaf, which the plaintiff refused to accept.

The plaintiff replied, that the custom of tithing wheat was by the tenth heap, or fiveing, and not by the tenth sheaf.

THE COURT was fully fatisfied that the custom of tithing wheat within the said parish is to set out the tenth heap, or sive-ing, and not the tenth sheaf; and thereupon ordered and decreed that the desendant shall forthwith pay to the plaintiff forty shillings for the value of the tithe wheat detained by the desendant from the plaintiff, and shall also pay him sive pounds costs.

ROBT. ATKYNS.
EDW. NEVILL.
NICH. LECHMERE.
JOHN TURTON.

ALCOCK

## ALCOCK against HILYARD and LE GRAND. Lincolnsbire, 22nd May 1690.

EASTERTERM, s, WIL.&. MAR.

THE bill stated, that C. Westead, being owner of the impropriate Derelict lands rectory of Marlo Chapel, in the county of Lincoln, and of reclaimed from all tithes any way due to the rector of the faid rectory, demised the fea, and rendered arable, are all the faid tithes to the plaintiff from Lady Day 1683 to Lady subject to pay Day 1685, at fixty pounds per annum, and that by virtue there-tithes. of he became entitled to the same.

The defendant said, that he is owner of several pieces of ground lying near and adjoining to the fea, which being embanked is become firm and dry ground, but which are esteemed derelist lands; that he ploughed part thereof, and fowed the fame with rape feed and colefeed, and reaped the fame; that no tithes were payable for the fame, nor had any been demanded, before the rape feed was disposed of; and therefore he did not fet out any tithe; and that if any tithe be due, the same is due to the vicar, and not to the impropriator.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, that the defendants shall account for and pay the tithes of the faid lands to the plaintiff; and it is referred to the deputy remembrancer to take an account of the same,

> ROBT. ATKYNS. EDW. NEVILL. NICH. LECHMERE. JOHN TURTON.

# Osborn, Bart. against Breckon. Yorksbire, 18th July 1690.

TRIN. TERM. s.Wil.& Mar.

within the rec-

THE farmer of the rectory of *Pickering*, in the county of The lands cal-York, under the dean and chapter of York, claimed the led Wbeeidale great and small tithes of a piece of ground called Wheeldale, Rigg, in Torkotherwise Wheeldale Rigg.

The question was, "Whether it was within the said rectory ing, in the said of Pickering or the titheable places thereof, or not?" and an county, issue was directed to try that fact; upon which the jury found. that the faid piece or parcel of ground called Wheeldale, otherwife Wheeldale Rigg, doth not lie within the rectory of Pickering, nor within the titheable places of the same.

THE COURT accordingly ordered, that the defendant shall be, and is hereby dismissed of and from the said bill and the matters and things therein contained.

ROBT. ATKYNS. EDW. NEVILL. NICH. LECHMERE. JOHN TURTON.

STAYNES

TRIM. TRRM. S. WIL. &MAR.

# STAINES against Wells and Others

Essen, 10th July 1690.

A modus of one hilling for every calf under ten, and milk, and of fifteen cheefes evert ty of *Effex*, in mik

"HE plaintiff, as rector of the parish church of Langdon Hill, in the county of Effect, demanded tithes in kind of calves

The defendants insisted upon two moduses; the one of twelve year, is payable pence for every calf under the number of ten yearly fallen to be ers of Langdon paid to the rector at Michaelmas; the other of fifteen cheefes Hill, in the coun- every year, each of which cheefes is to be made of the whole milk (except fuch as the calves fuck from their feveral dams) prosee of talves and ceeding or coming every tenth day, between the tenth day of May and Michaelmas Day, in full fatisfaction of all tithe milk coming from all and every cow and cows fed and depastured within the faid parish and titheable places thereof.

> A trial at law upon two iffues was directed, to try the validity of the said moduses; and on the said trial, after hearing much evidence on both sides, a verdict passed for the defend-

> THE COURT therefore ordered, that the faid defendants shall be, and are hereby dismissed of and from the said bill, and the matters therein contained; but without costs on either side.

> > ROBT ATKYNS.

TRIN. TERM, 3. WIL &MAR. ATTORNEY GENERAL, at the Relation of Sutton, against OLDYS.

Surry, 7th July 1690.

ble lands.

The lands called THE bill stated, that the king and queen and their progeniwhich lie in the manor of Byfleete, in the county of Surrey, and of feveral deparish of Wiley, market on Dynamic, in the country of melne lands thereto belonging, whereof the lands called the Surrey, are tithe Park are parcel; that the said lands, time out of mind, had free, although been tithe free, and fo enjoyed both by the king and his tenants, they are nowdif- and that no manner of tithes had ever been demanded or paid parked, and converted into arafor the same in the memory of man; that the desendant Oldge,
verted into arapretending to be parson of Wisley, had brought several actions against the relator's tenants for tithes in kind of that part of the faid land called the Park, that lyeth in Wifley; that the other defendant Smart, as rector of Byflecte cum Wisley, had filed his bill in this court for tithes of the same land, pretending it to be within the parish of Byfleete, and that Wisley is no parish of itself, but part of the parith of Byfleete. The bill therefore prayed,

prayed, that their majesty's tenants might be quieted till the matters should be fettled.

ATTORNET GENERAL agai 4f OLDY&

The defendant Oldys answered, that their majesties were seised of the manor of Byfleete, and of the demesne lands thereto belonging, in right of the crown; that the lands called Byfleete Park are part of the demessee lands of the said manor; that that part of the Park that lies in Wifey, whilst it continued a park and was stocked with deer, and afterwards, when it was converted into a warren, might possibly pay no tithes; but that it is now disparked, and the coneys destroyed, and the land ploughed and fowed, and has been fowed for about three years past, and therefore the tithes are become due to him; and that he, as rector of Wisley, brought an action at law against the relators' tenants for the tithes arising out of that part of the Park as lyeth in Wifley, which is a parith of itself.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined; and upon debate of the matter, a trial at law was directed to be had at the bar of this court by a special jury of Middlesex upon this issue, " Whether that part of the Park " called Byfleete Park, which lyeth in the parish of Wifley, is " discharged of the payment of tithes, or not?"

A trial was accordingly had at the bar of this court; and upon full evidence, the jury found that that part of the park called Byfleete Park, which lieth in the parish of Wifley, is difcharged from the payment of tithes.

It is thereupon finally ordered, adjudged. And De-CREED, that their majesties, and the said relator, and all perfons claiming by, from, or under them, shall for ever hereafter have, hold, and enjoy that part of the park called Byfleete Park, that lieth in the parish of Wisley, in the county of Surrey, freed and discharged from the payment of any manner of tithes to the defendant Oldys, or any other claiming title thereto; to which purpose a perpetual injunction is to be awarded for the quieting their majesties and the said relator, their tenants and leisees, in the peaceable enjoyment thereof; but without costs on either ROBT. ATKYNS. fide.

EDWARD NEVILL. NICH. LECHMERE. JOHN TURTON.

KNIGHT against MAWE. Lincolnshire, 18th July 1690.

THE scope of the bill was to have an account of a portion of The tithes of corn and grain, hay, hemp, and flax, called claims a portion Saint Leonard's tithes, which, at the time of the diffolution of or takes, called stibes, issuing out of certain lands in the parishes of Epworth and Hazey, in the county of Lincoln.

TRIN. TERMS 2 WIL &MAR.

plaintiff S: nt Leonard : KHIONT againf MAWE

the late monastery or priory of monks of the Carthufian order, in the Isle of Anholme, in the county of Lincoln, the prior of the monastery or priory, for the time being, was and had been, time out of mind or otherwise, by some ancient grant, reservation, endowment, or composition in right of the monastery or priory, and as belonging to the house, scite, precinct, or church of and within the same, entitled to, and had, from time to time, received and enjoyed, and were iffuing out of certain lands lying in the parishes of Epworth and Haxey, in the said county, in the possession of the said desendants; which said portion of tithes were due and ought to be paid over and above the tithes due to the rectors of the faid parishes.

The defendants flate, that they Diwa.

The defendants denied, that at or before the diffolution of the deny, that the faid priory or monastery, the prior pro tempore was or had been, lands have paid time out of mind or otherwise, by any legal grant, endowment, suches time out or composition, lawfully entitled to the said tithes; and insisted of mind, and that the lands, out of which the plaintiffs demanded the tithes, copybold being copyhold lands, held of the manor of Epworth, by copy cannot be of court roll, ought not to be charged with the tithes; that in rendered liable case the prior pro tempore did receive the said tithes, it was inby prescription, cumbent on the plaintiff to shew how he came to do it, and gin of the title what endowment, grant, or other thing he had which might, the tithes in law, charge the faid lands, the faid lands being copyhold, ought to be and nothing thereof to be found in the court rolls; and therefore they conceived that the faid lands could not be charged with fuch an unufual burden, except by fome furrender from fome former owners of the faid lands, according to the custom of the faid manor, and enrolled and entered in the court rolls of the faid manor; and that, in case the said lands be charged with the faid tithes, and confidering the great charge of tillage, fallowing, manuring, feed cutting, lord's rents, taxes, and other charges, they conceived the lands were not worth tilling, but must lie uncultivated; and therefore hoped the Court would not decree the payment of the faid tithes till the plaintiffs proved by good evidence how the lands were originally charged, and what legal endowment, grant, or other thing the prior had thereof, and from whom.

> The plaintiffs replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides; and upon reading the feveral depositions taken in the cause, and a deed, dated the twentyfeventh of April 1652, made between Sir P. Tyrubitt and J. Dillingham, and on debate of the matter,

🌢 erial at law ¥çç.

THE COURT directed a trial at law in an action of trover and sirected on an conversion, to be brought by the defendants against the plainissue, whether tiffs: in which action, the plaintiffs in this cause are to admit they are tithe that the defendants fet out a stack of corn and a cock of hay for tithes over and above the tithes due to the parson, and also

that

that the plaintiffs carried the same away, and converted the same to their own use; and the only question upon the trial to be, "Whether the plaintiffs are entitled to the faid portion of "tithes, called Saint Leonard's tithes, lying in the parishes of " Epworth and Hazey, or not?"

KNIGHT against MAWE.

A trial was accordingly had; and a verdict was found for the defendants in the action (who are plaintiffs in this fuit).

This cause, and also a cross cause, wherein the defendants in this cause were plaintiss, and the plaintiss desendants, came on the twenty-ninth day of June 1692 to be heard; and upon reading the peflea of the verdict, and after a new trial being prayed for, and on debate,

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED, that the defendants shall fatisfy The defendants and pay to the plaintiffs the tithes due for the lands they fe-ordered to pay verally hold in the faid parithes of Epworth and Haxey, or the the tithes. value of the fame; and it is referred to the deputy remembrancer to take the account.

And as to the cross bill, it being for the same matters, it is predered to be dismissed with costs to be taxed.

> Robt. Atkyns, NICH. LECHMERE. JOHN TURTON. JOHN POWELL.

# Dodderidge against Startop.

Suffer, 10th July 1690.

TRIN. TERM. a. WIL.&MAR.

THE plaintiff claims tithes of the rectory of Whatlington, in The parishioners the county of Suffex.

The defendants state a custom of paying two shillings in sector of 2s. in pound, according to the wearly value of 2s. the pound, according to the yearly value of their farms, by half the pound in lieu of tithes. yearly payments, at Lady Day and Michaelmas, in full fatisfaction of all tithes whatfoever.

of Whatlington,

On reading the depositions of divers witnesses in the cause, and on full debate of the matter, THE COURT ordered the bill to be dismissed without costs.

### CLAXTON against LANGTON.

MICH. TRAM. S. WIL. & MAR.

Lincolnsbire, 24th November 1690.

HE bill stated, that the plaintiff, for one year last past, had A custom that been rector of Mablethorpe Saint Mary's cum Stayne, in the out-dwellers, occounty of Lincoln, and entitled to all manner of tithes in kind, pasture in a paand to the glebe lands thereunto belonging.

rish, shall pay 4d. an acre yearly,

on the first of August, in lieu of tithes, is a good custom.

The

CLANTON againf: LANGTON.

The defendant stated, that within the parish there is a custom that it any person, dwelling out of the parish, occupies or takes to farm any pasture ground, being ancient pasture ground, lying in the faid parish, he shall pay to the rector of Mablethorpe the yearly fum of fourpence an acre for every acre of fuch pasture ground, in full of all tithes yearly arifing upon every fuch respective acre, the same to be paid upon every first day of August, or afterwards, upon request, and that the same sum of fourpence are acre, amounting to four pounds, fourteen shillings, and sixpence, was tendered to be paid accordingly, but that the plaintiff refused the fame, and that the defendant was and is ready to pay it.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides.

The plaintiff's counsel confessed that the custom was fully proved, but denied that the defendant had proved any more than one pound, fourteen shillings, and fourpence to be tendered, which was not the full customary payment; and infisted that the custom being formerly in issue, in the cause of Ashfordby, clerk (the plaintiff's predecessor), against Newcomen, gentleman (a), was decreed to be a void custom, and against law, and there. fore prayed the like decree might be made in this cause, and that fuch former decree was the only inducement why the plaintiff brought this fuit.

The defendant's counsel insisted, that since the said decree the like custom being in question once or twice in this court, was decreed to be a good custom (b).

Whereupon, and upon hearing what was alledged by the counfel on both fides.

THE COURT declared, that the custom insisted on by the defendant in his answer, was a good custom.

It is thereupon ordered and adjudged, that the defendant shall be, and is hereby absolutely dismissed this Court of and from the bill, and the matters and things therein contained, with costs to be taxed by the deputy remembrancer.

THE COURT FULL.

(a) See ante page 166.

(b) See ante page 166. 185. 207.

PILARYTERM 2. WIL.&MAR.

SANDYS against PIGOTT. Somersetsbire, 29th January 1690.

The archdeaton of Wells, in Sopaschals and protors and vicars within the faid anchdeaconry.

HE bill stated, that the plaintiff, for fix years past, had been archdeacon of the archdeaconry of Wells, in the manfifbire, is encountry of Somerfet, and was entitled to several ecclesiastical dues,
titled to certain collections and appropriate from the fortend professor and called paschals and procurations, from the several parsons and eurations from vicars within the faid archdeaconry for their respective parsonthe feveral rec- ages and vicarages; that there became due to the plaintiff from the defendant, as vicar of Philips Norton, with the chapel of Hinton

Hinton thereto annexed, within the faid archdeaconry, for three years, for procurations for Philips Norton, at seven shillings and fivepence halfpenny a-year, one pound, two shillings, and fourpence halfpenny; for paschals for the same, at two shillings and threepence a-year, fix shillings and ninepence; and for the chapel of Hinton, for procurations for the faid three years, at seven shillings and fivepence halfpenny a-year, one pound, two shillings, and fourpence halfpenny; and for paschals for the said chapel, at three shillings and threepence a-year, nine shillings and ninepence.

SANDYS agains Plaott.

The defendant infifted, that there was only due from him to the plaintiff nine shillings and eightpence halfpenny a-year, and no more, for all manner of ecclesiastical duties whatsoever payable out of the faid vicarage, as appeared by a record of this court in the remembrancer's office of the first fruits, being the return of a commission under the great lead of England, in the thirty-fixth year of Henry the Bighth, directed to commissioners to enquire of the annual value of ecclefiastical benefices, and of the deductions thereout issuing, pursuant to an act of parlies ment in that case made and provided.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined; and upon debate thereof, and reading divers ancient books of the former archdeacons of the faid archdeaconry, which evinced the payment of the respective duties demanded by the plaintiff, and upon reading the faid record of the first fruits, and the proofs taken in the cause, and upon mature deliberation had of the matters aforefaid,

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED, that the defendant do pay to the plaintiff the faid feveral and respective duties called procuretions and pasthals for the said years, amounting to three pounds, one shilling, and threepence, with his costs, unless the defendant fhews better cause.

Upon hearing counsel again on the fifth of February 1690, this Court doth ratify and confirm the faid decree;

And doth further order and decker, that the faid defendant, for the future, fo long as he continues vicar of the said vicarage, and the plaintiff archdeacon of the said archdeaconry, do pay to the plaintiff his procurations and paschals pursuant to the faid former decree.

THE COURT FULL

TANGRED against FEWSDALE. Yorkshire, 9th February 1690.

THE bill stated, that for seventy years past the plaintiff and The impropriahis ancestors have been seised in see of and in the rec- tor of the rectory and parsonage impropriate of Whixley, otherwise Wikesley, in Yorkspire, claims tithes in kind of a farm called Gatelill,

HILARYTERM 2. WIL. &MAR,

TANCRED against FLWIDALS. in the county of York, and of the advowson and right of patronage of the parish church of Whinley, and entitled to all manner of tithes, both great and small whatsoever, yearly happening, &c. and to all oblations, obventions, and other church duties whatfoever to the faid parfonage belonging.

The defendant of 51. a year in lieu of tithes,

The defendant faid, that Sir Thomas Mauleverer, Bart. was fets up a modus seised, at his death, in see of the messuage called Gatehill, with the appurtenances of several closes lying within the several parishes of Allerton, Mauleverer, and Whixley, and having made a leafe, and being feifed of the reversion and inheritance of the faid premises, expectant upon the determination of the said lease, he died in August 1687; that after his decease Sir Richard Mauleverer, his brother and heir, became seised thereof, who died in May 1689, and the premises descended to Sir Richard, his son and heir, who is now feifed of the said farms; that the said Sir Thomas, by indenture of lease dated the twenty-ninth of March 1681, demised the aforesaid messuage and premises to him, the defendant, for twenty-one years from Lady Day then last past; and by virtue thereof he hath been possessed of the said premises ever fince, and was likewise tenant of the premises to the said Sir Thomas for ten years before making such indenture; that the lands called Gatehill do lie within the rectory, but that the closes called Wormhall Horse Close, and the Garths, or Cow Close, or the greater part thereof, do lie in the parish of Allerton Mauleverer, and not in the rectory of Whixley, and are no parcel of Gatebill grounds, fields, or farm, unless some very fmall part of the faid Garths; that during the time whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary, the faid family of the Mauleverers had used to pay by themselves, or their tenants of Gatebill farm, to the rector of Whixley, at the feast of Saint Mark the Evangelist yearly, or afterwards upon demand, five shillings in lieu of all menner of tithes whatsoever growing, renewing, coming, or yearly happening within or upon Gatebill farm, or any part or parcel thereof.

An iffue directed found for the defendant.

The Court directed an issue to try the modus; and on full to try the medus, and a verdict was given for the defendant.

difmiffed.

The defendant THE COURT therefore ordered, that the faid defendant be dismissed of and from the said bill, and the matters and things therein contained; with cofts.

> EDWARD NEVILL. NICHOL S LECHMERE. JOHN TURTON.

> > BIRCHI SHAW

### BIRCHINSHAW against WILCOCK and Others.

Devonsbire, 12th November 1691.

Mics. Terms 3. WIL. &MAR.

THE plaintiff, as rector of Lydford, in the county of Devon, The rector of exhibited his bill, stating that his predecessors, time out lefters, in Deof mind, or by fome ancient grant, were entitled to all manner tithes of lands of predial and other tithes within the faid parish and the tithe- byingin the sorest able places thereof.

The defendants alledged, that the grounds by them severally The defendants. held do lie within the forest of Dartmore; that the said forest alledge that the was an ancient forest, park, or chace, belonging to THE CROWN; tithes belong to the crown, and that the tithes thereof, and of all afforted and improved grounds can only be therein, were only payable to THE CROWN, and by law no tithes, claimed by mateor any thing in lieu thereof, could be claimed by any person ter of record. for the fame, but only by fuch as claimed by matter of record under the crown.

The defendants' counsel insisted, that the grounds out of which the plaintiff demands tithes, are not within the parish of Lydford, or the titheable places thereof.

THE COURT directed the plaintiff to bring an action against Anisfuedirected the defendants; the issue to be, "Whether the tenements and to try whether the lands in the occupation of the defendants, out of which the lands lie in the parish of se plaintiff demands tithes, do lie within the parish of Lydford, Lydford, or the richeable places thereof, or not?" to be tried by a fpecial jury.

A trial was accordingly had by a special jury out of the north A verdict found grand division of the faid county, and a verdict given for the for the plaint. plaintiff.

The defendants' counsel prayed a new trial; but THE COURT, A new trial refeeing no miscarriage in the jury upon the last trial, nor any sused. just exception to the verdict, refused to grant any further trial.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defend- Tithes in kind ants shall respectively account with and satisfy the plaintiff for decreed. all fuch tithes as they have feverally had in the years 1688 and 1689 (0].

NICH. LECHMERS. John Turton.

JOHN POWELL. (a) See the case of Burnaford v Turrett, post. Hilary Term, 3. Anne.

> DEEDS against LEWIS and Others. Pembrokesbire, 13th February 1691.

HILARY TERM 3. WIL. &MAR.

THE rector of Saint Dogmells, in the county of Pembroke, The rector of Sa Dogmells in Pembroke, Dogmells in Pe claims all tithes, both great and small, yearly arising within brokefire, claims the parish and the titheable places thereof.

tithes of lands in The kind.

DEFDE againft LEWIS AND OTHERS. The defendants mds in queftion were parcel of the abboy of Sr. Dogmells, and difcharged tithes;

The defendants said, that part of their lands was parcel of the possessions of the late dissolved abbey of Saint Dogmells, and enjoyed by the abbots thereof, and within the gate or wall of the abbey, and always in their own manurance; that fome lead, that the part of their lands, which lay out of the close, wall, or precinct of the abbey of Saint Dogmells, doth lie within the faid rectory, and that the tithes have been constantly paid for the same, but that the other parts of the lands lay within the scite, wall, close, or precinct of the said abbey, and for such part thereof no manner of tithes, or any thing in lieu thereof, were ever paid or demanded, but have always been exempted or difcharged from tithes, either by real composition or by some ulage, law, cultom, prescription, or by some other ways or means.

but it appearing that St. Dogmells was one of the kiffer abbeys,

But it appearing that the faid abbey was one of the leffer abbers, and was dissolved by the statute 27. Hen. 8. c. 28.

THE COURT was therefore of opinion, that though it did not appear that the faid lands had for many years past paid any tithes, yet that the faid lands ought, and are by law liable to the payment of tithes to the faid plaintiff as impropriator of the faid parish of Saint Dogmells.

the payment of decreed.

It is thereupon ordered and decreed by the Court; the tithes are that the defendants do severally pay to the plaintiff the value of their tithes arising upon the faid lands lying within the scite; close, wall, or precinct of the faid abbey, for the respective times and values in their faid answers set forth, the faid plaintiff being willing to accept the fame at those values.

HILARY TERM 3 WIL.&. MAR. Edge against Oglander and Others.

Suffer, 4th February 1691.

81.on Michaelmas Day yearly to the

The lands cast- THE bill stated, that the plaintiff; since June 1685, had been ed Kingham . the lawful rector of the rectory of Saint Paucres, in the Farm, in the county of Suffer, and entitled to all tithes, both great and finall, county of Suffex, a pay a modus of belonging thereto.

The defendants denied the plaintiff's title, and believed a rector of St. Mr. Richards to be the lawful rector, but confessed that Oglander Pancras, in the was owner, and the other defendants occupiers of a farm called faid county, in King bam Farm, at eight pounds a-year; and infifted that lieu of all tithes. no tithes in kind ought to be paid for the fame, there being. S. C. Rayn. 71. time out of mind, Nie fum of eight pounds as a modus used S. C. Bunb. 301. and accustomed to be paid vearly at the feast of Saint Michael to the rectors for the time being, in lieu and full fatisfaction of all tithes ariting on the faid farm, and notother fum whatfoever.

The

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides; and on reading the depositions, and on full debate,

Epgs OGLANDER AND OTHERS.

IT is ordered and decreed, by the consent of the plaintiff, that the defendants shall, before Easter next, satisfy and pay to the plaintiff, or his order, forty-eight pounds, being for fix years ending at Michaelmas 1690, for the faid modus of eight pounds a-year for the faid Kingsbam Farm, together with five pounds costs; but in default as aforesaid, then the deputy remembrancer is hereby ordered to tax costs, to be paid by the faid defendants to the faid plaintiff.

> LECHMERE, Baron. Turton, Baron. Powell, Baron.

### CRESSNER against GALLY and Others. Cambridgeshire, 21st June 1602.

TRIN. TERM. 4.WIL & MAR.

THE vicar of Soham, in the county of Cambridge, claims all The marth calfmall tithes in kind in a marsh ground called Soham Meere, in Cambridge-

The defendant stated, that the vicar of Soham had never had fire, pays a mo-- right to any tithes of bay arising from the said meere called Soham

Meere; for that, time out of mind, there hath been yearly paid to
the impropriator of the said parish of Soham things of the said parish of the sai the impropriator of the faid parish of Soham thirteen shillings and Soham, inlieu of fourpence, in lieu and full fatisfaction of all tithes whatfoever, tithes. arifing or happening of and from the faid meere.

A trial at law was directed; the issue to be, " Whether the " fum of thirteen shillings and fourpence, payable yearly to "the impropriator of the faid parish of Soham, be in lieu and " full satisfaction of all the small tithes, as well as great tithes of "the faid meere, called Soham Meere, or not?" Upon which trial the jury gave a verdict for the defendants.

The cause now came on to be heard on the said verdict, and the plaintiff's counsel prayed a new t ial, and MR. BARON TUR-TON having spoke with THE JUDGE who tried the same, and he declaring that he thought the matter proper for a new trial, the Court ordered a new trial to be had upon the former iffue by a special jury, and that the plaintiff shall pay to the defendants costs to be taxed for the last trial.

The cause was again tried at the last affizes, and a verdict was 1316 May 1693. again given for the defendants; that time out of mind the sum of thirteen shillings and fourpence hath been paid to the impropriator of Soham, in lieu and fatisfaction of all tithes arifing on the meere called Sobam Meere.

Vol. I,

The

290

CRESSNER againft GALLY AND OTHERS.

The cause, being continued in the paper of causes, came on again this day to be heard on the verdict, when upon reading both the aforesaid orders, and the poster of the said verdict, the plaintiff's counsel prayed a new trial, but the Court, having formerly granted two trials, did not think proper to grant any more trials.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the defendants be dismissed of and from the faid bill, and the matters and things therein contained, with moderate costs.

> ROB. ATKYNS. NICH. LECHMERE. JOHN TURTON. JOHN POWELL.

TRIN. TERM, A.WIL.& MAR. SHEPHARD against BIRKETT and Others.

Westmoreland, 16th June 1692.

in Westmoreland, called tithe meal ∯lva.

The leffee of the tithes of Kendal, THE bill stated, that for fourteen years last past, the plaintithes of Kendal, in the tiffs had been farmers of the rectory of Kendal, in the demands a cus- county of Westmoreland, by lease made by Sir J. Otway; that tomary payment the defendants have been occupiers of several arable and other lands for one year last past, and ought to have paid to the plaintiffs, in lieu of the tithes of corn, several sums of money, as compositions for the same, viz. the defendant Birkett, one shilling and fivepence; the defendant Steddall, tenpence; and the defendant Barwick, nine shillings and ninepence; which fums they severally refused to pay.

The defendants Lady Day, and

The defendants confessed, that the plaintiffs were farmers of say the plaintiss the rectory, and that for the year 1690 they had severally been lease expired at occupiers of several arable lands therein; that the several sums the demand is of money, in the bill demanded, were yearly due and payable not due till Eaf as a composition in lieu of tithes, and is called tithe meal filver; that the said composition money is, by the custom of the said rectory, payable at Easter, and therefore not due to the plaintiffs, their lease determining at Lady Day 1691, which was about three weeks before Easter Day, and that the same was due to the farmers of the faid rectory, and had been demanded by them.

> It fully appearing that the money was due and payable at the feast of Easter yearly,

The bill difmiff-

THE COURT was of opinion, that the faid composition demanded by the bill was not due to the plaintiffs, being payable after the determination of the leafe.

· IT IS THEREUPON ORDERED, that the faid defendants are difmissed of and from the said bill with costs to be taxed (a).

ag ainst BIRKETT AND OTHERS.

Rob. ATKYNS. NICH. LECHMERE. John Turton. JOHN POWELL.

(a) The tithes of this parish were leased From Lady Day 1691, to one John Lambert who, on the fixteenth of June 1692, filed his bill against Thornborough and other parishioners, for the payment of the tithe meal, flver, which became due at Eafter 1691.

The defendants pleaded that it was due to the leffees of the tithes for the year 1690, but the Court ordered the money to be paid to the plaintiff Lambert, it being in lieu of the tithes of the subsequent crop.

# WALMER against STANFORD and Others.

TRIN. TERM, 4. WIL.& MAR.

Worcesterlbire, 23d June 1692.

THE plaintiff, as rector of Great Comberton, in the county of The rector of Worcester, stated, that he was lawfully instituted to all forts Great Comberton, of tithes whatsoever arising, &c. within the said rectory and claims the tithes the limits, precincts, and titheable places thereof, and also of the parish, and a right of

on on Comberton Hill,

The defendants appeared and confessed, that the tithe of hay The defendants and all small tithes were due to the plaintiff, and that they had confess his right, paid the fame to him for all the time he had been rector there, to small tithes, but they it to but they denied that the tithes of corn and grain are due to him, great tithes, and or that his predecessors ever had or received the same, or that he to the right of hath any right of common on Comberton Hill.

The defendant Dorothy Hanford said, that by virtue of some The defendant grant or legal prescription, her husband and his ancestors had Hanford says, taken and collected, in their own right, a portion of all the that a portion of great tithes of corn and grain within the faid rectory and parish, belong to her, and that she and her farmers have, since his death, yearly re- and the residue ceived the faid portion, and that the other portion of the great to the dean and tithes belongs to the dean and chapter of Westminster, or their chapter of Westlessee, who have also constantly enjoyed the same.

The defendants fet forth the quantities and values of their The defendants tithes, and faid, that Comberton Hill is in the manor of Woolal- is not the parish fball, and not in the parish of Great Comberton, and so no tithes of herbage are due to the plaintiff from Comberton Hill.

is not the parish.

The defendant Cole said that he rented of the defendant D. The defendant Hanford a farm called Westmon's Farm, together with a portion of Cole says he octithes called Hanford's Tithes, and that the plaintiff one year seized Farm, some sheaves of corn that were set out by some of the occupiers, and claimed the fame as rector.

WALMER againf HANFORD AND OTHERS.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witneffes were examined on both fides.

The defendants refule to try

On reading feveral depositions taken in the cause, and on long debate of the matter, the defendants refusing to try at law, whether Comberton Hill be within the faid parish of Great Comberwhether the Hill ton, or not, and submitting to account for the small tithes arising is in the pai.fn. thereon, and other premises and places in their possessions;

Decreed to account for the Imell tithe of Comberton Hill.

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED, that the defendants shall feverally account for and pay to the plaintiff their feveral small tithes and tithes of hay and herbage, which have grown due and arisen upon Comberton Hill aforesaid, and on other the several. and respective lands and premises in their several possessions, being within the faid parish of Great Comberton, and the titheable places thereof; and it is referred to the deputy remembrancer to take the faid account.

The bill dismissed as to the rector's right of com-

And THE COURT not being fatisfied by the proofs in the cause, that the plaintiff hath any right to have common of pasture upon Comberton Hill, it is ordered, that the faid bill, as touching the common of pasture on Comberton Hill, shall be, and is hereby dismissed.

And iffue directed to try the rector's right to the great titbes.

And as touching the tithes of corn and grain arising within the faid parish of Great Comberton, it is ordered by the Court, that it be referred to a trial at law, upon this iffue, "Whether "the plaintiff, as rector of the parish aforesaid, be entitled, " in right of his faid rectory, to the tithes of corn and grain " within the faid parish of Great Comberton and the titheable " places thereof, or to any and what part of the faid tithes, or " not ?"

In pursuance of the above order, a commission issued to examine witnesses touching the faid account, and the deputy made his report, dated the eleventh day of July instant.

Verdict for the ford.

And in further pursuance of the said order a trial was had defendant Han- of the faid iffue, touching the tithes of corn and grain, wherein a verdict passed for the desendants the Hanfords.

151b July 1693.

The cause came on upon the equity reserved; and upon reading the faid decree and report, with an exception taken by the defendant D. Hanford, touching the fourpence charged upon her by the faid report, for her garden and Eafter dues, and also reading the peffea;

Report confirm. ed, except 25 to 4d. for garden.

IT is ordered and decreed, that the faid report shall be, and is hereby ratified and confirmed in every particular, faving the fourpence reported to be due from the defendant D. Hanford, to which she had taken an exception which is hereby allowed, and she is hereby dismissed of and from the said bill with costs.

### DURING THE REIGN OF WILLIAM AND MARY.

AND IT is ORDERED, that the defendants Fort and Sole shall forthwith, upon fight of this order, or a true copy thereof, pay to the plaintiff or his affignee two pounds, eight shillings, so AND OTHERS. reported to be due from them to the plaintiff for tithe herbage D.f. ndants Fort of ground called Comberton Hill.

And IT IS ORDERED, that the defendant Drinkwater pay Drinkwater to the faid plaintiff thirteen shillings, for his small tithes.

And also that the defendant G. Hunford shall pay the one pound, four shillings, so reported due from him, together with moderate costs to be taxed.

And it is further ordered and adjudged, that the faid Bill diffusfied as bill, as touching the tithe of corn and grain, be and is hereby to great tithes. absolutely dismissed.

WATMER against HANFORD and Sole to pay tithe herbage of Comberton Hill. pay his fmall tithes.

### LISTER against CANE and SALMON. Buckingham/bire, 22d June 1692.

THE plaintiff, as rector of Fulmore, in the county of Bucks, The rector of flated, that according to a law, or custom used within the faid parish, and the adjacent parishes there, the tithe of underdemands tithes wood, when felled, ought to be fet forth in loads, half loads, of wood to be fet quarterns, stacks, and bundles, viz. firewood in loads, half out in loads, &c. loads, quarterns, and stacks; and hoops, withes, broom staves, hurdle rods, and other fuch like wood in bundles, to be made up and bound with withes, and fet forth equally in the fame method and manner, as the owner and proprietor of fuch woods, when felled, fets it forth and prepares it for his own use or fale. And that by custom rabbits had always paid tithes to the rectors within the faid parish. That the defendant Cane, between Midsummer 1687 and Michaelmas 1690, had felled great quantities of underwood, and fold the same, and had also cows and calves, for which he paid no tithes to the plaintiff; that the defendant Salmon, for four years past, held and occupied a and the tithes of warren, and killed, fold, and disposed of great quantities of rabbits. rabbits, without paying the tithes thereof, or making any fatisfaction for the same.

TRIN. TERMS 4. WIL & WAR

The defendant Cane confessed, that he had felled, fold, and The defendant disposed of twenty-fix acres of underwood worth fifty shillings says he set out an acre; that he had also cows and calves; and mentioned, that by an ancient custom there used, four pence per annum had been meal, paid to the rector for a cow, and therefore no tithe ought to be paid for milk or calves. He confessed, that he had set forth the tithe of the wood by fingle stick, or stick meal, as by the law he might do, and that the same was a full tenth part of the wood felled by him, and that the plaintiff was contented there-

against. CANE AND · SALMON.

with. He denied, that there was any custom to set forth tithe wood in loads, half loads, &c. as stated in the bill, but that to oblige the plaintiff he had, in some of the years mentioned, caused his wood to be set out in quarterns.

Denies that rab-

The defendant Salmon confessed, that for four years he had pin are titheable. occupied and possessed a warren in Fulmore, and paid for the warren house and the warren, and killed within the said warren about fixteen dozen of rabbits, which he fold for eight shillings a dozen, and said that he had let the plaintiff have several couple of conies, but not as tithes; and he denied that there is any custom for tithing the same.

Upon reading the proofs taken in the cause, and on debate,

The wood de-

THE COURT was of opinion, that the method, infifted upon by creed to be set the defendant Cane for the setting forth of the tithe of his out in loads, &c. wood, is irregular and illegal, as being an unequal and fraudulent way of tithing wood, AND THEREFORE ORDERED AND DECREED, that he shall pay and fatisfy the plaintiff fix pounds for the value of his tithes of wood, calves, and milk, viz. five pounds, fifteen shillings, for the wood; three shillings The tithe of rab- for his calves; and two shillings for his wool; AND THAT the defendant Salmon shall pay to the plaintiff forty shillings for his tithes of rabbits for the faid years; and the deputy remembrancer is to tax the plaintiff his costs.

bits decreed.

TRIN. TERM, 4.Wil.& Mar.

## STUMP against AYLIFF. Wilt/bire, 21/2 June 1692.

The rector of THE plaintiff, as rector of the parish of Foxley, in the county Foxley, in Wilter of Wilter, stated, that he was entitled, as rector, to all dues of Wilts, stated, that he was entitled, as rector, to all dues fire, claims the tithes arising in and tithes whatsoever arising within the parish and the tithethe said parish. able places thereof.

The defendant 13. f. a. and fays the plaintiff accepted of a feand ther f re is not entitled to Foxley. Dyur, 237.

The defendant pleaded, that by the statute 21. Hen. 8. c. 13. pleadsthe statute f. 9. intitled, "Spiritual Persons abridged from having Plurality 1. Hen. 8. c. " of Livings," IT IS ENACTED, " that if any person, " having one benefice with the cure of fouls of the yearly " value of eight pounds or above, accept of any other and be cond benefice in " in possession, that then immediately after such possession had, Sucrem Benger, " the first benefice shall be void." That the rectory of Fouley is a benefice with the cure of fouls, and above the value of the tithes in eight pounds per annum, viz. of fixty pounds per annum, and upwards; that, about the second of August 1689, the plaintiff accepted of a second benefice, to wit, the vicarage of Sutton Benger, in the faid county, being a benefice with the cure of fouls, and was inducted and in possession thereof, without any qualification according to the statute, and therefore the first benefice was void, and the plaintiff had no right to any

tithes of Foxley, fave such as were due to him before his induction into the second benefice; that for that reason he refused to pay the plaintiff any tithes other than what were payable to the plaintiff before his induction into the benefice of Sutton Benger; that he is willing to pay what is due to the plaintiff, and if the Court is against him, prayed that the same might be added to his account.

STUMP against Avlipp.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses The case adwere examined on both fides; and upon reading the proofs in journed to conthe cause, and full debate of the matter in law, arising in the of law. pleadings, touching the plaintiff's accepting a second benefice, and other matters infifted upon by the defendant's counsel, ir IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that this cause be further heard touching the faid matter in law.

THE COURT, on the fifth of December 1692, after hearing The defendant counsel touching the said matter in law, unanimously declared, ordered to pay that the defendant ought to account for and pay to the plaintiff his tithes, the faid tithes and dues demanded by the bill (a).

(a) It feems from the report of this cafe, from the manuscript the lord chief baron, Dodd. Rayner, 72, that the Court determined in favour of the plaintiff, because, though the real value of the rectory of Faxley, was above eight pounds a-year, yet in the king's boobs, (which is the Conclusive rule) it is under that

value; and the same point was determined in the case of Jones, on the demise of Rascaud, v Sambre. 17. Vin. Abr. 362. See also the case of Sharp v. French, 2. Lutw. 1305. Bond v. Tucket, Cro. Eliz. 153. Woolforston v. The Bishop Eliz. 153. of Lincoln, 2. Wil. 195. S. C. 3. Burr.

### BERWICK against SWANTON.

TRIN. TERM, 4.Wil.& Mar.

### Norfolk, 22d June 1692.

THE plaintiff, as vicar of Tofts, otherwise called West Tofts, in the The Court of county of Norfolk, and fequestrator of the vicarage or parish exchequer will church of Stanford, stated, that by his institution and induction not retain a bill filed by a sequestion of the filed by a sequesti into the faid parish church of Tofts, and by virtue of the fequef- trait, to be retration to him granted, of the vicarage of Stanford, two years lieved for nonbefore he filed his bill, he became entitled to all manner of small payment of vitithes and vicarial offerings,

carial tithes.

The defendant appeared and answered; the plaintiff replied; S. C. Bunb. 192. the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both . fides.

S. C. Rayn. 72.

The matter in difference between the plaintiff and the defendant appearing to be only touching the tithes within the parish of Stanford.

THE COURT was of opinion, that the plaintiff, being only fequestrator of the said parish, was not properly relievable in this

BERWICK against SWANTON.

court, touching the tithes arising within the said parish, and therefore ordered the bill to be dismissed; but without costs.

> Nich. Lechmers. JOHN TURTON. JOHN POWELL.

TRIN. TERM, 4.WIL &MAR.

#### WATTS against WATKINS and Others.

Herefordsbire, 22d June 1692.

Morebampion Grange.

The defendants under whom the of tithes.

The rector of Doore, in Here- fordfire, claims THE bill stated, that the plaintiff was, in the year 1676, pre- fordfire, claims fented to the rectory of Doore, in the county of Hereford, the tithes of and was thereby entitled to all tithes arising within the said parish.

The defendants faid, that, fince the plaintiff has been rector fay, that the of the faid parish, they have severally held messuages, farms, Grange was and lands within the grange called Morehampton Grange, within possession of the the said parish; and insisted, that they ought not to pay any abbot of Doore tithes for the same, for that the abbot or prior of the abbey of of the Cifertian Doore was of the Cifertian order, and privileged from the payorder, and came ment of tithes, and was heretofore seised in see of divers lands,
discharged of the distribution of the city payons of the city phony within the seid paying of tithes .to Henry parcel of the demesses of the said abbey, within the said parish of the Eighth, who Doore, and amongst others of the said grange called Morehampton granted them to Grange, which was parcel of the faid abbey, and that the lands in T. Bostervill, the defendants possession were parcel thereof; that the said abbot defendant claims was also possessed of the appropriate rectory of Doore, and, being it, so discharged so seised, in the twentieth year of Henry the Eighth, demised, the faid grange and other lands to T. Baskervill, with all manner of tithes, waifs, estrays, fishing, fowling, and pannage, with other profits and royalties thereto belonging (fuit of court only excepted) for ninety-nine years, at the rent of fifteen pounds, fix shillings, and eightpence a-year, and that he held the same discharged from tithes; that afterwards the faid abbey, and all the lands, rectory, and the tithes thereof, and the fee thereof, became legally vested in King Henry the Eighth, with all the privileges and exemptions thereto belonging, and that he, in the thirtyfecond year of his reign, granted the faid grange, lands, and tithes, so demised to T. Baskervill, to Stephen ap Harry and his heirs, with all the king's hereditaments belonging to the faid grange, or that were in Balkervill's possession, and referved a rent to him and his successors; that no tithes were ever pretended to or demanded as due to his majesty, or successors, or other proprietors of the faid rectory; that the lands, fo granted, are now in the feifin of Sir H. Hofkin, Knight; and that the defendants hold their farms under him, as part of and belonging to Morekampton Grange.

Upon reading the proofs in the cause, and on full debate, it was ordered on the fixth day of June instant that a case should be drawn up and agreed on by counsel on both sides, and that copies should be delivered to THE BARONS for the opinion of the A case directed Court; but the Counsel not agreeing in one case, the Barons to be stated for were attended with cases on both sides, and the cause came on again the twenty-first instant; and upon long debate of the matters of law ariting upon the faid cases, and hearing what could be alledged on each fide, the Court took time to confider of the who take time faid matters till this day; the cause then standing for the judg- to consider of ment of the Court.

WATTE againft AND OTHERS. the opinion of the barons,

the matter;

THE COURT now delivered their opinion feriatim for the and give judg. plaintiff; for, that it appears to this Court, that the faid abbey ment for the was one of the leffer abbies, but under the yearly value of two plaintiff, hundred pounds, and so not within the statute 31. Hen. 8. c. though of the 13.; and though the said abbey was of the Cistertian order, yet it Cistertian order, appears to the Court, that the lands in question were not being under the privileged in the said abbot's hands, in respect of the said yearly value of order from the payment of tithes; and also, that the tithes of 2001.; Morehampton Grange were not conveyed, nor did pass and the said to Thomas Balkervill by the abbot's lease, mentioned in the Grange not being answers and case, nor to Stephen ap Harry by the letters patent, conveyed to Basin the said answers and case mentioned, to be made to him in the thirty-second year of *Henry the Eighth*; and as by none of the ways and means in the answers and case, or by the proofs in the cause, there doth appear any exemption or discharge of the tithes of or for the faid grange, or lands in the defendant's occupation, the same ought to be and are titheable to the plaintiff and this Court being upon the whole matter fully fatisfied shat the plaintiff has a good title to the tithes in question,

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED, that the defendants do ac- the defendants count for and pay to the plaintiff their respective tithes due for thereforedecreed the lands and tenements, in and during the feveral years demanded by the bill, or the value thereof, with costs; and it is referred to the deputy remembrancer to take the faid account, and to tax the costs.

ROB. ATKYNS. Nich. Lechmere. John Turton. John Powell.

WICKHAM against STRODE,

Somersetsbire, 5th December 1692.

MICH. TERM, 4.WIL.& MAR.

HE bill stated, that the plaintiff, for fifteen years past, had The rector of been rector of Badgeworth, in the county of Somerset, and Badgeworth, claims tithes in kind, or 8d, an acre in lieu thereof,

entitled

WICERAM against STRODE.

entitled to all tithes of corn and grain, and of all meadow and pasture grounds, or else to eightpence an acre yearly, or some other composition or customary payment, in lieu thereof.

The defendant flates feveral moduses payable in lieu of tithes;

The defendants infift, that there was, and time out of mind had been, an ancient custom or modus for the payment of tithes for all meadow and pasture land mowed and fed in the said parish, viz. twopence an acre for all meadow ground yearly mowed, and eightpence an acre for depasturing and fatting unprofitable cattle, but that for oxen that labour in the plough, and all young cattle raised for the plough or pail, no tithe had been ever paid; that the rector had the tithe of corn and grain in kind; two shillings a-year for a cow; one shilling and sixpence for a heifer, for the first year; the seventh calf yearly, and no other payment.

but the defendthe parish,

The principal question was, whether the defendant, having a ant living out of house, and keeping servants and a plough within the parish, but dwelling out of the parish, ought to have the same privileges as other inhabitants dwelling within the faid parish?

the Court is of modujes ;

THE COURT was unanimously of opinion, that the defendant opinion, that he was not fuch an inhabitant as was privileged by the custom of is not entitled to the said parish to pay only twopence an acre for his grounds the benefit of the mowed, but that he ought to account and pay as an outdweller;

and he is decreed acre, &c.

It is therefore ordered and decreed, that the defendto pay 8d. an ant shall account with the plaintiff, after the rate of eightpence an acre, for the tithes of all fuch meadow or pasture grounds as have been, by him or his fervants, mowed, fed, or depaftured with any fort of cattle whatfoever, within the parish aforefaid, during the four years in the bill mentioned, or any of them, and shall also account for such corn, grain, or other tithes arifing upon his grounds within the time aforefaid, as have not been by him paid to the plaintiff.

MICH. TERM, 4-W1L&MAR.

### INGRAM and Another against Rees.

Montgomeryshire, 29th November 1692.

The plaintiff, as owner of a por-

THE bill stated, that H. Phillips was seised in see, in common with the vicar of the parish of Llangirrick, in the county of tion of the tithes Montgomery, and in right thereof was entitled to three parts in of Llargirick, in the county of four, to be divided, of all manner of tithes yearly arising, &c. withthe county of in the said parish; that the vicar of the said parish hath been, time mands tithes of out of mind, in right of his church, entitled to the other fourth an inhabitant of part of the faid tithes; that the plaintiff Ingram, by virtue of a Hanybangell, in lease from the said Phellips dated the third of January, in the county of third year of James the Second, became entitled to the said three there field on a common appurtenant to certain cottages which the defendant occupied in Liangirick.

parts for ten years, if Margaret, the wife of the faid Phillips, should so long live; that the plaintiff Williams hath, for the time aforesaid, been vicar of the said parish and entitled to the other fourth part; that the defendant, being an inhabitant in Llanyhangell, in the county of Cardigan, and renting two cottages in Llangierick, hath, in right thereof, for three years past fed and depastured sheep on a common in Llangirrick appurtenant to the faid cottages, from which he had lambs fallen therein, which faid sheep and lambs depasturing there, the defendant in May or June, in each of the said years, conveyed privately into the county of Cardigan to be shorn, on purpose to deprive the plaintiffs of their tithes of wool and lamb, and the faid defendant, in each of the faid years, sheared his said sheep and took away his said lambs without paying the tithes thereof.

INGRAM SENTONA CILA against REE4.

The defendant confessed, that he held a tenement in Llang- The defendant Bangell, and two cottages in Llangirrick; that there are great commons contiguously adjoining, part in the parish of Llanghangell vicinage, and and part in Llangirrick; that for three years he kept on Nant y that he had pur-Gawd, and the faid commons, feveral sheep, which had yearly suant to custom lambs and wool; that his lambs were fallen and sheep shorn in paid his tithes to Llanghangell, and the tithe paid to the vicar there; that, time Llanghangell. out of mind, all those who lived in the tenement he now lives in, and elsewhere in the county of Cardigan adjoining to Montgomery/bire, and held cottages in Montgomery, and depastured sheep in both the counties, where the commons of both the said counties met and adjoined together, have always paid their tithes of wool and lambs in the parishes where they lived in Cardigan; that the inhabitants of Montgomery/bire, whose sheep sometimes depastured in Cardigan, paid their tithes in the parishes where they lived in Montgomerysbire; and that the like customs have been used in Radnor, Cardigan, and Montgomery bire, where the commons of the said counties adjoined. He therefore hoped, that as he had paid his tithes to the vicar of Llanyhangell, he should not be obliged to pay them over again.

To which answer the plaintiffs put in a special replication, The plaintiffedo. and thereby faid, they hoped to prove that the defendant had no right of common by cause of vicinage, or other common whatsoever in Llangirrick, nor any right of common there, save in respect of the said two cottages, and traversed the custom in the defendant's answer set forth;

The defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined; and upon opening the pleadings;

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that the ordered to pay defendant shall pay to the plaintiffs, the value of the tithes of his tithes to the

wool plaintiff.

· INGRAM AND ANOTHER against REES.

wool and lambs for his faid sheep fed and depastured on the commons in Llangirrick.

> NICH. LECHMERE. JOHN TURTON. JOHN POWELL.

MICH. TERM. 4. W 11.& MAR. VERDON against WALLER. Norfolk, 21/t November 1692.

Denkam, in Nosfolk, demands tithes in kind.

The vicar of THE bill stated, that the plaintiff, for several years past, had Dinham, in Not. been vicar of East Dercham, in the county of Norfolk, and entitled to receive from the inhabitants, owners, and occupiers of lands there, all tithes of corn, hay, wool, barren cattle, and all other tithes yearly arising, &c. within the said parish; that the defendant, for two years past, had been owner and occupier of a farm called Yaxham Farm, and had corn thereon, and kept many dry, barren, and unprofitable cattle, and milch cows; that he was also owner and occupier of a ground called Scarlet, for all which the defendant had paid no tithes, nor for his orchard, offerings, nor other dues.

The defendant tithes are payable to the rector, veral moduses.

The defendant confessed, that he held Yaxbam Farm, and the fays the great ground called Scarlet, and stated that the tithes of corn and grain belonged to the parson, and not to the vicar; that as to all. and as to small other tithes (other than for marriages) the same were usually paid eithes, states se- to the vicar at Lammas Day yearly; and that in March last, he paid fifteen shillings, in full satisfaction of all tithes and other duties due from him to that time; that before Lady Day 1689, he hired of the plaintiff certain grounds called the Lounds, in the faid parish, tithe free, and that he kept thereon cows which had calves, and bought some in with calves, and by the custom he ought to have paid but one penny for a cow, and a halfpenny for a calf fallen, in lieu of tithes, if such agreement had not been made; that he fed bullocks, for which, by the custom, fixpencea beaft is payable, in lieu of tithe herbage; that he kept a team of horses for which is a plow penny; that he had fruit and garden herbs, for which he was to pay a loake hen, if demanded, at Christmas; a halfpenny for hearth silver, in lieu of all tithe wood spent for fuel; and fourpence for offerings; and he denied that he had any other titheable matters in the faid parish, except a few hens and turkies, for which a loake hen was paid if demanded.

D difmiffed,

It is ordered that the faid bill stand dismissed this Court.

NICH. LECHMERE. JOHN TURTON. John Powert.

TUFTON

## Tufton against Blincowe.

Northamptonsbire, 26th January 1692.

THE plaintiff Elizabeth Tufton, an infant, by her next friend, The impropriaher husband, set forth, that the liberty of Purston, in the tor of Newbortle county of Northampton, extends itself into the respective parishes and King's Sutof Newbottle and King's Sutton, each parish lying adjacent one ty of Northampto the other, and great part of both lying within the faid liberty; 1011, demands the that the owners of the respective impropriations or rectories ap- tithes in kind of propriate have, time out of mind, of right had the thirtieth cock of all hay, or the third tithe cock of all hay, or of all forts within the liberof grafs made into hay, annually arifing within fo much of each ty of Purfor. other parish, as lieth in the said liberty of Purston, or some rate or composition for the same; that the plaintiffs, or one of them, in right of Elizabeth for three years past, had been and were then feised in see of the said impropriate rectory of Newbottle, and entitled to all tithes thereunto belonging, and particularly to the thirtieth cock of hay, or the third part of all tithe hay of all forts growing upon so much of the lands in the parish of King's Sutton, as lie within the liberty of Purston, which the landholders there ought to have paid, or made a composition for; that the defendant in the said years had been occupier of ground within the liberty of Purston, and parish of King's Sutton, and had trefoil grass, and other for's of sown grass there mowed and made into hay; that he had paid the impropriator of Sutton but two parts in three of the tithe thereof, and yet refuseth to pay the said plaintiff the other third part of the tithe.

The defendant believed that Purston doth lie in the parishes The defendant aforesaid, but denied that the respective impropriators are en- pleads a modes; titled to a third part of the tithe hay, arising in that part of Purston which is not in their own parish, and to two third parts of He admitted, that he holds an estate from the that which is. Civilian Professors of Oxford lying in Purston, within the parish of King's Sutton, but believes that the plaintiffs have not any right to the third part of the tithe hay thereof (though he admits their title to the impropriate rectory of Newbottle), and therefore refused payment of his tithe grass. He said, that during all the time of his memory, there bath been no tithe in kind of any fort paid out of his faid estate to the impropriators of King's Sutton and Newbottle, fave only feven pounds per annum, for all tithes to the impropriators of King's Sutton, and twelve shillings a-year to the vicar of King's Sútton, and five shillings a-year to the impropriators of Newbottle, as a modus for the defendant's faid estate, and that he actually paid the five shillings a-year, for those years charged in the bill, to the plaintiff's tenants

4.WIL.& MAR.

Turton against BLINCOWE. tenants of the said impropriation in Purston, as he had done for many years before to the same persons, as tenants thereof.

and the plainniff not appear-

The plaintiffs replied; the defendants rejoined; and wita neffes being examined on both fides; the cause was set down at the request of the defendant, and came on to be heard this day a and upon reading an affidavit, whereby it appeared that the plaintiffs had been duly served with a subpana to hear judgment, and no counsel attending for the plaintiffs to open their bill :

the media is al-)owed.

It is ordered and adjudged by the Court, that the faid defendant shall be dismissed of and from the said bill, and the matters and things therein contained.

> NICH. LECHMERE. JOHN TURTON. JOHN POWELL.

HILARTTERM, AWIL& MAR. SALMON against DENYER and Another.

Surry, 9th February 1692.

Chert.

The leffee of the THE bill stated, that the parsonage of Frencham, in the sithes of Frencham country of Surry, was formerly appropriated to the archcounty of Surry, was formerly appropriated to the archdemandsthetithe deaconry of Surry; that John, late bishop of Chesser, and archof underwood in deacon of Surry, by indenture of leafe dated, the feventh of the hamlet of February 1682, demised the said parsonage, and all manner of tithes and profits whatfoever to the fame belonging and appertaining, to the plaintiff, his executors, and administrators for three lives; and that by virtue thereof, he became entitled to all and all manner of tithes whatfoever arifing therein; that the defendant, in the year 1600, cut great quantities of underwood in the tithing of Cheart, within the faid parsonage and rectory, and carried the same away without setting forth the tithes thereof, or making any compensation for the same.

The defendants

The defendants said, that in the said year they cut a row of fay they cut alders in Dinnott's Meade, in the faid parish, and about one acre wood in Dimmet's Audient of alder, birch, asp, and withy, in Quinnett's Moore, in all Quinnot: Moore, thirty cords of wood, which they fold, and that they did not in the Wilds of set out the tithes of the said wood, or agree with the plaintiff Surry, which are for the fame, for that the faid meadow and moore do lie within tithe free. the Wild of Surry, in the faid county, and that the place called the Wild of Surry, and in which the wood grew, is, and hath been, time out of mind, free and exempt of and from the payment of all and all manner of tithe wood.

Two iffues di. The Court directed a trial at law in the court of king's bench, rected. upon the following iffues.

FIRST,

FIRST, Whether the tithing of Cheart, in the parish of Frencham, is within the Wild of Surry?

against Whether Cheart is in the Wild. ANDANOTHER

SECONDLY, Whether the Wild of Surry is exempt from the Whether the Wild payment of tithe wood?

is tithe free.

The cause standing in the paper of causes, pursuant to the A new trial order of the seventh instant, it was ordered, on the motion of granted on paycounsel, that upon the plaintiff paying five pounds costs, for ment of costs. his delay in not going to trial, pursuant to the said order, a new trial should be had upon the former issues; the record to be Therecord to be made up in the office of pleas, and no where else by express direction of the Court, the plaintiff's counsel submitting thereto.

The iffues were accordingly tried, and a verdict was found for verdict for the the defendants on both the iffues;

Afterwards at the earnest importunity of the plaintiff another A new trial and trial was directed to be had by a special jury, on which second a second verdice trial a second verdict was found for the defendants upon both for the defendthe faid issues.

Upon hearing counsel on both sides, and reading the order and the postea upon the last trial, and on debate of the matter,

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the bill Bill dismitted. be absolutely dismissed.

### PLATTS against CAWTHORNE and Another. Yorksbire, 20th February 1692.

HILARY TERMS 4. WILL MAR.

THE plaintiff, as vicar of the parish of Topcliffe, in the county Thericar of Topof York, states, that, as vicar of the said parish, he ought to diffe, in Yorkreceive all fmall tithes arising, &c. therein, and for barren and fire, claims as in the pound, unprofitable cattle, from such of the residents of the said parish, and the sum for who agift fuch cattle, the fum of two shillings in the pound, which foreigners or the sum contracted to be paid for the agistment; and from contract to agist foreigners for unprofitable cattle depastured in the said parish, cause.
two shillings in the pound for every pound rent; that the defendants, in the year 1688, being foreigners, farmed several pasture grounds therein, and held the same in their own hands, and depastured them with dry and unprofitable cattle.

The defendants confessed, that they were foreigners; that they The defendants farmed certain pasture grounds called Maiden Bower and Maner say they are so-Wood, and depastured the same with several fat, barren, and regard, and have unprofitable cattle, but kept no account, as they knew not of Maide Bowerard any money due to the plaintiff for any cattle depasturing there, Manor Wood, and

that they ought

by prescription to pay only 20s. a year. faving

PLATTE against CAWTHORNE ANDANOTHER. faving that twenty shillings a-year prescription money hath been always paid to the vicar by Mr. Bickerstaffe and the Duke of Somerset, under whom they claim the said lands, and that he has constantly accepted the same, in lieu of all tithes out of the faid closes, and all other the lands aforefaid.

But the evidence be 40s. a-year.

Upon hearing counsel on both sides, in respect the defendthey produced ants have by their answers insisted on a modus of twenty shillings prove the pre-feription fum to per annum, in lieu of tithes of Maiden Bower and Manor Wood, and have proved a modus of forty shillings per annum for the tithes of the faid lands, and other lands of the Duke of Somerset, in Topcliffe aforesaid;

THE COURT thought fit to decree for the plaintiff.

**Paymentdecreed** accordingly.

AND THERFFORE IT IS ORDERED, AND ADJUDGED, AND DE-CREED, that the defendants do forthwith pay to the plaintiff the tithes for the depasturing of the faid lands, called Maiden Bower and Manor Wood, for the said year 1688, amounting to four pounds according to the rent of the faid lands for that year.

HILARY TERM 4 WIL.& MAR. ERNSTE and Others against WATTS. Herefordsbire, 21st February 1692.

The modes of paying vicarial sheep, perry, lambs, calves, milk, and Easter ofparish of Much Marle, in the ford.

THE plaintiffs, inhabitants and occupiers of land within the parish of Much Marle, in the county of Hereford and the tithes of apples, titheable places of and belonging to the same, filed their bill cyder, against Watts, the vicar of Much Marle, for the purpose of settling and establishing the moduses, for small tithes, arising within the faid parish, viz. FIRST, for such apples and pears as every respective parishioner had gathered and sold, the tenth part of ferings, in the the money for which he sold the same; and for such winter fruit as the parishioner gathered to eat or use in his own house, the county of Here- tithe in kind; for apples or pears made into cyder or perry, the tenth hogihead if so many were made, if not so many as ten, then but twopence for the tithe of every hogshead under ten; if more than ten and under twenty, then twopence for every hogshead above ten and under twenty; if twenty hogsheads be made, then the vicar to have one hogshead more; and so every tenth hogshead that he made, and for what is under or above to pay only twopence a hogshead for the tithe thereof.

> SECONDLY, where sheep are kept within the parish the whole year round, and shorn there, the tithe of the wool is to be paid to the vicar in kind, but where the sheep are not kept within the parish the whole year round, fourpence a score for every month the same are kept within the parish, and no tithe wool in kind to be paid for such sheep.

> > THIRDLY,

THIRDLY, for the tithe of lamb, the tenth lamb in kind, and where there are but seven lambs, the seventh lamb in kind, the vicar paying to the owner three halfpence, but where the owner has not so many lambs as seven in one year, then the owner to pay one halfpenny to the vicar for the tithe of every fuch lamb under seven; the choice of the faid lambs to be thus, the owner or occupier first to choose two, and the vicar the next or third, and afterwards the owner to choose nine more, and the vicar the next, and so forward after the like manner.

ERMSTE AND OTHERS against WATTS.

FOURTHLY, as to the tithe of calves, the vicar to have every tenth calf in kind, and where there are but seven, the vicar to have the seventh calf, paying one shilling and sixpence to the owner, and when under feven, then the vicar to be paid one halfpenny for every calf under seven, which the owner keeps and breeds up for store, and sixpence for every calf under seven which he kills in his own house, or fells, or disposes of.

FIFTHLY, for every colt foaled within the faid parish, fixpence.

SIXTHLY, for geese and pigs the tithe in kind, but where there are but seven geese or seven pigs, then the vicar to have the feventh for tithe.

SEVENTHLY, for every garden within the faid parish one penny, in lieu of tithes arising out of such garden.

EIGHTHLY, for the milk or cow white, for every cow kept within the said parish, one penny.

NINTHLY, for the Easter offering, twopence for every housekeeper; for his wife twopence; and for every child fit to receive the communion twopence; for every man fervant fourpence; and for every maid servant threepence.

The defendant admitted the modules as stated in the bill.

THE COURT therefore confirmed and established the said duties, customs, moduses, and Easter offerings, in such manner as in the faid bill are fet forth.

> Nich, Lechmere. JOHN POWELL.

# WARD and Others against HILDER.

London, 18th May 1693.

THE bill stated, that Queen Elizabeth, being seised in see in The statute 37. right of THE CROWN OF ENGLAND of the impropriate Hen. 8. c. 12.

EASTERTERM, 5. WIL.& MAR.

payment of tithes in London, extends both to lay impropriators and spiritual persons, but the statute 28. and 83. Car. 2, c. 15 for the maintenance of parlons, vicars, and curates, in those parishes which were destroyed by the fire of London, extends to preaching ministers only rectory Vol. I.

for regulating the

WA19 AND OTHERS against Hilder

rectory and church of Baint Lowrence Poultney, in the city of London, and of the vicarage of the faid church, and of all tithes, customary payments in lieu of tithes, lands, and hereditaments thereunto belonging, by her letters patent dated the twelfth of March, in the thirty-third year of her reign, granted to E. Downing and R Rant, the faid rectory with the rights and . appurtenances thereof, and the advowson thereof, and all the lands, tithes, and profits to the faid rectory and vicarage belonging, to hold to them, their heirs, and assigns, under a fee farm rent of four pounds, fix shillings, and ninepence, a-year; that the title and interest of and in the said rectory, vicarage, tithes, and premises, is and are by mesne conveyances come to and vested in the plaintiss, who now are, and for several years past have been duly entitled to, and ought to have and enjoy all tithes, rates, and customary payments in lieu of tithes, whether due by custom or common right, or according to the decree made in the thirty-seventh year of Henry the Eighth for the payment of tithes in London, and all dues and profits to the faid rectory and vicarage belonging; that anciently, and time whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary, or by other good right and title, there hath been due and payable, and till of late hath been paid, and of right ought still to be paid by the owners and proprietors of the faid rectory and vicarage, their farmers or leffees, for, or in lieu, or in the name of tithes, out of and for the ground, whereon, at the time of filing the bill, a large dwelling-house was then standing within the said parish, which then was, and for three years then last past and upwards, had been in the defendant's occupation, and out of and for a yard and wharf adjoining or belonging to the faid house, which was, during the time aforesaid, held by the defendant, the yearly fum of one pound, fixteen shillings, or some such like yearly fum, by four quarterly payments, or in some other manner; that the faid fum had been in arrear for three years, ended the twenty-fifth of March 1692, and ought to have been paid to the plaintiffs, or else the said defendant ought to have paid to the plaintiffs for the premises, according to the said decree, for every ten shillings rent by the year one shilling and sourpence halfpenny; and for every twenty shillings rent by the year two shillings and ninepence, and so above the rate of twenty shillings by the year, ascending from ten shillings to ten shillings, or else the defendant ought to have paid some other rate or customary payment, for or in the name of tithes, for the premises in his own possession for the said three years past, being of the yearly value of fixty pounds and upwards; that the defendant taking advantage of the houses and buildings, which formely stood on the ground in question, having been burnt down in the year 1666, and of the present buildings being on new foundations, pretends that no tithes or rates for tithes are payable for the piemiles, or if any, yet that two shillings and ninepence in the pound are not peyable

payable, but fome other rate. The bill therefore prayed, that the defendant might discover what houses, wharfs, and grounds he hath been possessed of within the said parish for the said three years, and the yearly value thereof, and be compelled to pay the accustomed rates, and may set forth what is due for oblations.

Ward and Uindes agaigh Halbers.

The defendant faid, that he knew nothing of the faid letters patent, or of the decree aforefaid, nor upon what account the plaintiffs can demand tithes; he confessed that he had been an inhabitant in the said parish for nine years, and still was a house-keeper there, and that for some of the said years he had paid one pound, fixteen shillings, rated and affessed upon him for the dwelling house, shop, and ground, for or in lieu of tithes, oblations, and other ecclesissical duties, but for how many years he remembered not; that after he had lived some time in the said parish, he sound he was over-rated, and resused to pay the same: he also confessed that his house was worth forty pounds per annum, and that, as he was over-rated, he had not paid any tithes for the same for the time in the bill mentioned.

The plaintiffs replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined; and after reading the said grant from Queen Elizabeth, and several mesne conveyances;

The defendant's counsel infisted, that the statute 37. Hen. 8. c. 12. extends only to spiritual issues, and not to impropriators, and that, by the statute 22. & 23. Car. 2. c. 15. a new method is prescribed for the recovery and payment of tithes and duties within the several parishes demolished by the great size in London, in the year 1666.

But THE COURT was of opinion, that the 37. Hen. 8. c. 12. extends as well to lay impropriators, as to spiritual persons, and that the 22. & 23. Car. 2. c. 15. extends not to impropriators and their rights, but was passed for the maintenance of preaching ministers; and therefore, that the said desendant is chargeable with, and after the rate of two shillings and ninepence in the pound, unless he can make it appear, that there is some ancient or customary rate or payment in lieu of tithes.

The plaintiffs in this case submitted to accept the said rate of one pound fixteen shillings per annum formerly paid for the house and premises in question, which appeared to be of the yearly value of forty pounds, if the defendant (as he had formerly done) would continue to pay the same, which he, being present in court resuled to do.

IT IS THEREUPON ORDERED AND DECREED, that the defendant shall pay to the plaintiffs or their affigus the arrears of the tithes of the said house and premises in his occupation, for the three years in the bill mentioned, ended twenty-fifth of X 2

WARD AND OTHERS against HILDER.

'March 1692 at two and ninepence in the pound rent, or value of and for his faid house and premises, the same to be rated and valued at and after the rate of thirty pounds per annum, for the yearly rent or value of the said house and premises, during the said three years, which the plaintiffs submit to accept, though proved of a greater value in the cause; which arrears being computed in court do amount yearly to four pound, two shillings, and sixpence, and for the whole three years, ended the twenty-fifth of March 1602, do amount to twelve pounds, feven shillings, and sixpence, according to the said decree made in thirty-seventh year of Henry the Eighth for the payment of tithes in London, which faid fum the faid defendant is hereby ordered and decreed forthwith to pay, to the plaintiffs, or their affigns (a).

> Rob. ATKYNS. John Turton. JOHN POWELL.

(a) See post the cases of Grant v. Cannon; Sawyer v. Mumfort; Towley v. Wilson; and Bennet v. Trepals.

EASTERTERM, 5.Wit.& MAR.

Dewey against Price. Gloucestersbire, 22d May 1693.

leffee for life of the parsonage of

The plaintiff, as THE bill stated, that the plaintiff was seised in freehold for lesse for life of life. &c. by and under the demise of the dean and life, -&c. by and under the demise of the dean and Turke Dean, in chapter of Christ Church, in Oxford, of and in the parsonage of Gloucestersbire, Turke Dean, in the county of Gloucester, and entitled to all complains, that corn and hay to the same belonging; that the vicarage of Turke the vicar, sub-tracted tithes of Dean hath been anciently endowed with the tithes of corn only com, to which of certain lands called Three Yard Lands, and with part of the he claims a right, tithe of hay of some of the several inclosed grounds when mowed; that part of the faid inclosed grounds called Maw Bufb, the Way Longate, the Cow Close, and the Catwells, being no part of the Three Yard Lands, being lately committed to tillage, and the tithes thereof fet forth by the occupier of the faid ground, the faid defendant as vicar carried away the tithe. therefore prayed, that the defendant might fet forth his title to the tithe corn, and what quantity he had taken away, and the value thereof, and also set forth the writings he hath relating thereto, and that the right of the faid tithes might be fettled.

The vicar denies the right, and claims the tithe 309, 310. 3. Bl. Com. 88.

The defendant answered, that the vicarage, time out of mind, was endowed with the tithes of hay of the feveral of the corn under inclosed grounds before mentioned, and that the faid lands the endowment. Were never tilled till lately, but that the grafs thereon was 2. Roll. Abr. usually mowed and made into hay, and the tithe thereof constantly paid to the defendant and his predecessors; that part of the Maw Bush, and also the Way Longate, and an acre in the Cow Close, and an acre in Little Catwell, in the defendant's tithing, have lately been ploughed up, and corn fown thereon in 1690 and 1691; that the tithes of the faid corn belonged to him as

part of the endowment of the faid vicarage, and that in the faid years he did take and carry away the tithes of the faid corn. He confessed, that he has in his custody a terrier, which he set forth in his answer, but no other writing concerning the said vicarage, or the endowment thereof.

DEWIT ag ainft PRICE.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides, and upon reading the proofs, and on debate,

THE COURT is of opinion, that the plaintiff's remedy is at The bill difcommon law, and not in equity, against the said defendant for tiff's remedy bethe faid tithes taken away as aforefaid. It is therefore ordered, ing at common that the faid bill be difmissed out of this Court.

miffed, the plain-

ROB. ATKYNS. Nich. Lechmere. JOHN TURTON.

#### HITCH against Forster and Others.

5. WIL. & MAR.

Northumberland, 29th June 1693.

THE plaintiff, as impropriator of the rectory impropriate of The impropria-Felton, in the county of Northumberland, stated, that for tor of Felion, in feveral years past he had been seised in see of the said rectory claims the great or parsonage impropriate, with the chapelry of Long Framling- tithes of Felton ton belonging thereto, and of all the great and small tithes Forest and Felton arising therein; that the defendants had been occupiers and Common. possessors, for several years past, of lands lying within the said rectory and parith, and particularly of two parcels of land called the Fence, otherwise Felton Forest, and the High Moore, otherwise Felton Common, lying therein, upon which parcels of ground they had corn and grain, which they mowed and carried away without fetting out the tithes thereof, or paying any composition for the same.

The defendants faid, that the vicarage of Felton is endowed The defendants with the great tithes arising out of that part of the said parish say, the said called "the township of Felton;" that the said grounds called the tithes belong and Fence, and the High Moore, were formerly part of a great moor have been paid to the vicar of lying within the faid parish, called Beckengfield Moor, wherein the Felton, because vills and townships adjacent thereto had a right of common; that the said two the faid two parcels of ground upon the divition and inclosure of parcels of ground the faid moor were allotted and fet out to the inhabitants of common, and the township of Felton, in lieu of their right of common, in the upon the incloresidue of the said moor; that one Widrington was formely oc- sure thereof were cupier and possession of the said grounds called the High Moor, allotted to the and the Fence, who refusing to pay tithes for the same, Harrison, township of Fd. the then vicar, brought an action for the same, and obtained a tithes of which verdict against him, and that the vicar, and his successors, have township be. ever fince had and enjoyed the tithes of the faid ground. The longed to the defendants vicur.

RITCE araint FORSTER AND OTHERS.

defendants confessed they were occupiers of the faid grounds, and averred, that they had paid their tithes arising thereon to the vicar of Felton, and intended so to do; infishing that the said grounds, upon the division of the said moor, being allotted to the township of Felton, in lieu of their right of common in the faid moor, and the vicar being entitled to all the great tithes arising within the said township, he is likewise entitled to the tithes arising out of the said new inclosed grounds so allotted to the township as aforesaid.

An iffice directed the faid ground was parcel of the

A trial at law was ordered upon the following issue, viz. to try whether « Whether the grounds in the defendant's possession called the " Fence, otherwise Felton Forest, and the High Moore, otherwise township of Fd. " Felton Common, or either of them, or any and what part of " them, or of either of them, before the inclosure and division " of the faid moor called Beckengfield Moor, belonged to, and . " was, or were part or parcel of the vill or township of Felton, " or not?"

Verdick for the defendants.

A trial was accordingly had, and on full evidence, a verdict was given for the defendants, viz. that the faid grounds called the Fence, otherwise Felton Forest, and the High Moore, otherwise Feltan Common, in the possession of the said desendants before the inclosure and division of the said moor, called Beckenfield Moor, did belong to and were part and parcel of the faid vill or township of Felton.

The bill difmiffet.

Whereupon, no counsel appearing for the plaintiff, IT IS FIA NALLY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, on the fixteenth of November 1693, that the said defendants shall be abfolutely dismissed of and from the said bill, and the matters and things therein contained (a).

THE COURT FULL.

(a) On the fourth of February 1691, in Hilary Term, in the third year of William and Mary, the prefent plaint iff Hitch filed a bill in the exchequer against one Towner, claiming the great tithes of a parcel of ground called Long Dyke Green, or Long Dyke Intack, formerly a part of Becling field Moor. The defendant, by his answer, stated a modes of thirteen shillings and fourpence a year, in lieu of the tithes of corn and hay, and that the faid grounds, on the inclosure of Becking field Meer, about fixteen years before the filing of the bill, had been allotted to the farm of Long Dyke, of which he was in the occupation, in lieu of the right of common which he had on the faid moor; and infifted, that the ground so atlotted to him was included in the modus, as part of his faid farm; but, on being ferved with the subpens, he neglected to attend the hearing of the cause; and

IT WAS ORDERED AND DECREED, that he should pay the tithes for the fixteen years demanded by the bill.-On the ninth of February 1698, Hitch the present plaintiff, filed another bill against Henderson, the vicar of Felton, claiming the tithes of corn and hay of Pelton For of and Felton Common; but the defendant infifted, that the faid tithes belonged to him as vicar, the faid forest and common being within the precincts of the township of Felton, and he, as vicar, being entitled to all tithes within the faid township, except the tithes of calves, lambs, wool, and bees; and he flated the above decree as a proof of his right; and THE COURT, on inspecting the decree, and finding the matter had been tried by an iffue as above stated, dismissed the plaintiss's bill, and lest him to take his remedy at law.

· Nash

## NASH against Pocock. Berk/bire, 22d June 1693.

TRIN. TERM, S.WIL. & MAR.

THE plaintiff, as rector of Binfield, in the county of Berks, The rector of stated, that he had been rector for twenty years past, and five, claims the was entitled to all great and small tithes in the parish; that tithe of hay. the defendant, for four years past, held and occupied meadow and pasture ground, and fed the same with unprofitable cattle, and refused to pay any thing for the tithe herbage; that in the year 1692, he mowed grass and made the same into hay, and carried it away without fetting out the tithes thereof, and in the said years had kept and fed sheep, lambs, horses, cows, &c. and had wool, eggs, milk, &c. the tithes whereof he refused to pay.

The defendant stated, that the plaintiff had received the The defendant greater part of his tithe hay, but had refused to receive the rest, fays, that he see because it was cocked out of the swarthy grass and set out, the plaintiff pretending that the same ought to have been tedded by suffer to earry it the defendant before the tithe was fet out; that before the away because it plaintiff commenced this fuit, he in August 1692 tendered to was the plaintiff one pound, eight shillings, and elevenpence, for to have been the value of his said tithes, which the plaintiff resused to accept tedded. in full, alledging there was more due.

THE COURT decreed the defendant to pay to the plaintiff The defendant five shillings for the value of the tithe grass, which he had ordered to pay mowed in a piece of ground next adjoining to his house, in the the value of the year 1692,, for that the defendant refused to let the plaintiff's tithe grass he mowed in the cart, which was almost loaded with the tithes of other persons, ground adjoining come upon the faid ground to fetch it away that was fet forth; his house; but for the tithe of any other grass or hay which the defendant had in the faid year, in the faid parish, the plaintiff is left to his liberty to take his course for the recovery thereof.

And it is further ordered, that the defendant shall account and to account with and satisfy the plaintiff for the tithe herbage, and other to the tithe herbage, and other the small tithes demanded by his bill, for the said years; the deputy remembrancer to take the faid account, and to certify respecting the tender.

# YARD against Stobell. Devonsbire, 15th July 1693.

TRIM. TRRM, 5. WIL.& MAR.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff, for twenty years last past, The impropriahath been owner of the rectory and parsonage impropriate to of the rectory of Dean Prior, in the county of Deven, and entitled to all tithes in the county of Deven, claims to have the tithes of wheat fet out in fitthes, and not in fleaves, and so have notice of its being to let out.

X 4

whatfoever

YARD azainfi STOBBLL.

whatfoever, whether great or small arising therein, and the titheable places thereof; that, beyond the memory of man, it was always the constant custom of the parishioners, farmers, and justment holders, who had any tithes of corn, grain, grass, hay, wool, and other tithes in the faid parish, or titheable places thereof, either to compound with the plaintiff, or to fet out, for their tithes of grass or hay, every tenth pooke; for the tithe of wheat, every tenth flitch; for the tithes of barley and oats, every tenth scove; and for the tithes of wool, every tenth sleece, and to give notice to the plaintiff, or his agents, when to fetch the same away; that the defendants, from September 1690 to this time, had been owner of arable, pasture, and meadow grounds, and fowed the fame with wheat, rye, barley, &c. and had reaped and carried away the same, and did not set out the wheat in fitches, according to the custom.

The defendant wheat infheaves.

The defendant admitted the custom of tithing grass, hay, says, the custom wool, barley, and oats, to be as stated in the bill, but denied the is to let out the custom of tithing wheat to be by the tenth stitch, and also the custom of giving notice to the plaintiff, or his agents, when to fetch away his tithe. He confessed that he had, in the year 1692, four acres of wheat, in a field called New Park, the tithe of which were set out in sheaves, and notice given to the plaintiff's agent, who neglected to take away the same, the tithes of which were worth fixteen shillings.

The defendant

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses neglects to at were examined; and upon opening the pleadings, and reading tend the hear- an affidavit of the due service of the subpana to hear judgment, and no counsel appearing for him, and on reading his answer, and the depositions taken in the cause;

The Court de -

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED, that the medus of tithing cree the custom wheat within the said parish of Dean Prior is by setting or to be to fer out laying forth every tenth slitch, where there are to the number wheat in flicbes, and as to the tithing of flitches under the numbers by eve- number of ten, that the modus of tithing fuch odd number is by ry tenth forf. fetting or laying forth every tenth sheaf.

> And forafmuch as it appears by the proofs taken in the cause, that the defendant, in the year 1692, fet forth his tithes of wheat in sheaves and not in stitches,

The defendant obtains a rebearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the defendant shall pay to pays cofts, and the plaintiff the value of the tithes of the faid wheat by him reaped in the year 1092; the deputy remembrancer to take the account accordingly, unless the defendant shall shew cause to the contrary, first paying five pounds costs, before he can be , heard.

An iffue directed. The defendant paid the costs of the day; and on the twentieth to my the cut- of November 1693, the Court ordered a trial at law; by a special jury jury upon this issue, viz. Whether the modus of tithing of wheat within the faid parish of Dean Prior is by setting forth of the tithe of wheat in stitches?

., YARD egains STORELL.

A trial was accordingly had, and a verdict passed for the A verdict found plaintiff; that the modus for tithing of wheat growing within the for the plaintiff. parish of Dean Prior hath been, time out of mind, by setting forth the tithe thereof in stitches.

THE COURT accordingly ordered, that the defendant do pay 22d May 1694. the plaintiff fixteen shillings for the tithes of his wheat in 1692, accordingly. being the value confessed in his said answer.

## GRANT against CANNON. London, 20th November 1693.

Mich. Term, 5. WIL. & MAR.

THE plaintiff, being seised in see of and in the impropriate The Red Hart rectory of Saint Dunstan's in the West, within the city of In, in Fernan London, and county of Middlesex, and the hamlets thereto be- the city of Lonlonging, claimed tithes by virtue of the statute 37. Hen. 8. c. 13. don, and

within the liber-

The defendant confessed, that he was owner of the Red Hart ty of TRE Inn, in Fetter-Lane, but denied that any part thereof was in the solls. city of London, and faid that the fame is within the liberty of THE ROLLS, which liberty is exempt from the payment of tithes. He confessed that he held the said inn, and let the other tenements.

On debate of the matter, and reading the depositions taken on behalf of the plaintiff, whereby it plainly appeared that the premises in question, in the defendant's occupation, are within the liberty of the city of London,

THE COURT was of opinion, that the defendant ought to pay - after the rate of two shillings and pinepence in the pound, acsording to the stat. 37. Hen. 8. c. 13.

## KENYON against West. Berkshire, 23th November 1693.

MICH. TERM. 5.WIL. &MAR.

THE vicar of Warfield, in the county of Berks, by his bill The owner of a stated, that in the years 1690 and 1691 the defendants had of common brought up divers calves, which they fold, or killed and con-right, pay the verted to their own use, without paying the plaintiff the tenth tenth part of its part of the value of fuch calves for the tithes thereof, but instead value when tathereof would oblige the plaintiff to accept of the shoulders of cow, in her of fuch calves when killed, without any custom or law so to do.

Řentok

The defendant West confessed that he had one call, which he fold for thirty-five shillings, referving a shoulder for the plain. tiff, which he fent, but that the plaintiff refused to receive it.

The defendant Bowyer faid, that he owed to the plaintiff for the tithes of two calves, one whereof he had weaned from the pail, and the other he had fold, but had referred a shoulder for the plaintiff, which he tendered to him, and that he had refused to accept of it.

The question was, whether of common right a fingle calf is titheable or not; and if titheable, then how and after what manner the tithe thereof ought to be paid?

THE COURT, upon debate of the matter, was of opinion, that one calf is titheable, and that the tenth part of the value thereof, when taken from the cow to be fold or killed, ought to be paid for the tithe thereof.

er. TERM, WIL AMAR. Hodgson against Skelton. Cumberland, 5th December 1693.

tithing corn in Thorntbroaite, in the county of Gumberland.

THE plaintiff demanded the values of the tithes of corn and grain of several lands in the parish of Thornthwaite called the parish of Thornthwaite Demessie, in the county of Cumberland.

> The defendant infifted on a modus of feven bushels of rye, each bushel containing twenty-four gallons, according to a bushel in Carlisle, to be payable in lieu of the tithes of corn for the lands.

> THE COURT, upon opening the bill and answer, and hearing counsel, was of opinion, that the modus set forth in the answer was proper to be tried at law; viz. "Whether, time out of mind, seven bushels of rye, each bushel containing twenty-" four gallons, according to the measure of a bushel in Carlifle, " had been yearly paid on Easter Monday, or so soon after as the " same was demanded, as a modus or prescription in lieu of the "tithes of corn and grain arising on the demesne lands of " Thonrthwaite aforefaid?"

> A trial was had, and upon full evidence, the jury gave a verdict for the defendant.

> THE COURT therefore ordered the defendant to be dismissed of and from the said bill and the matters therein contained.

> > NICH. LECHMERE. JOHN TURTON. JOHN POWELL.

> > > SLAUGHTER

# SLAUGHTER and Others against LEY.

Worcestersbire, 20th February 1593.

CEVERAL of the plaintiffs were owners and occupiers of There are model. lands and tenements in the parish of Daddenbam, in the a of id a hogo. county of Worceffer; the other plaintiffs were owners and oc- head and ad. a county of Worcester; the other plaintins were owners and hoghead of cycupiers of lands in Knightwick; the defendant was rector of the der and percy faid parishes.

The bill stated a custom in the parish of Doddenbam to pay parishes of Doddenbam and one penny a hogshead for all apples and pears there growing Knightwick, in and made into cyder or perry, in lieu of the tithe in kind of all the county of Such apples and pears, and the like custom of twopence a Worcester, in lieu hogshead.

THE COURT, upon reading feveral depositions of witnesses, pears of which cyder and and on full debate, ordered the custom of one penny and two-peny is made. pence aforesaid, for and in lieu of tithes in kind of such apples and pears, for every hogshead of cyder and perry as aforesaid, to be ratified and confirmed.

> PINDAR against JACKSON. Derbylbire, 8th February 1693.

HE bill stated, that the plaintiff, for five years past, had been The rector of owner and proprietor of the impropriate rectory of Harting - Harington, in the ton, within the wapentake of Wirkefworth, in the county of county of Doos, Dorby, and that there is a custom within the said wapentake, is entitled so the that the grovers or miners within the fame shall pay to the lead ore dug in parson or vicar of the respective parishes within the said wapen- the said parish, take a customary duty of lead ore, called the tenth dish, of all in lieu of the lead are gotten within the faid parishes; that more particu-tithes thereps. larly within the faid parish of Hartington and the titheable places thereof, there is a custom that the grovers or minors shall pay to the impropriate rectory of the said parish the sento dift of all fuch lead ore as is gotten within the faid parish and the titheable places thereof; that the defendants were miners and owners, or partners of mines or groves within the faid parish, and had for five years got great quantities of lead ore; but that they had refused to pay the tithes of the same according to fuch cuftom.

The defendants denied, that in the parishes of Matlock and Bradborne, within the said wapentake, there was any custom for the payment of any duty for lead ore to the rector there, and infilted that, in those two parishes, there had been two verdichs at law against the payment of the said duty. They also denied the custom as stated in the pill, or that any tithe or duty was payable for lead ore within the parish of Hartington.

A trial

HILARY TERM 5.WIL.&MAR.

payable in the the apples and

S. WIL. &MAR.

againf JACKSOM.

A trial at law was directed on the following iffue, " Whether "within the parish of Hartington, and the titheable places "thereof, there is, and time out mind hath been a custom "there used, that the grovers and miners there have paid to "the impropriate rector of the faid parish a customary duty " called the tenth dish of the lead ore gotten in the said parish " and titheable places thereof, or not?" to be tried in the county of Nottingham.

A trial was accordingly had upon the faid iffue by a special jury of the city of Nottingham, and a verdict, upon full evidence. was given for the plaintiff.

THE COURT thereupon decreed the defendants to account with the plaintiff for the value of the tenth dish of the lead ore by them respectively gotten within the said impropriate rectory of Hartington during the time in the bill mentioned.

TRIN. TERM, 5. WIL.& MAR.

## WALKER against PORTER. Derbysbire, 6th July 1693.

by fraud and contrivance vàcated.

A deed obtained THE bill stated, that S. Wilson was seised of a messuage and by fraud and diverse lands in Eliciary divers lands in Finderne, in the county of Derby, and of the third part of the tithes of corn and hay growing therein; that about the twenty-fixth of July 1665 he made his will, and devised the same to the plaintiff and to the defendant's son and their heirs, and that they came to them as joint tenants; that the defendant's fon died, at whose decease the whole came to the plaintiff; that upon the death of the defendant's faid son, the defendant got into possession and received the values thereof to his own use; that one moiety thereof belonged to the plaintiff immediately after the testator's death, and the whole after the decease of the defendant's son. The bill therefore prayed, that the defendant George Porter may account for the rents and profits of the faid tithes which he has hitherto received, and deliver up all deeds, &c. in his custody concerning the fame.

> The defendant faid, that he believed that S. Wilson made fuch a will, and that the premises, &c. came to the plaintiffs and to their fon; that they were both infants; that their fon died, and that the meffuage and premises came to the plaintiff; but they conceived that the tithes were not devised by the will, they being purchased by S. Wilson after the making of his will; that if no will had been made the plaintiff would have been entitled to a moiety of the premises as son and heir. They confessed the reception of the tithes since the testator's death, and that he had converted the same to his own use, and a moiety whereof he claimed in right of his wife, one of the fifters of the faid S. Wilson, and obtained a deed from the plaintiff.

THE

THE COURT being fatisfied that the deed of purchase of the tithes in question was gained by the defendants from the plaintiff by fraud and contrivance, and ther-fore ought to be vacated, and the plaintiff let into possession of the said tithes, and that the plaintiff ought to have an account from the defendant of one moiety of the tithes in question, from the death of the said S. Wilson till the death of the defendant's son S. Porter, and fince the death of him for the whole profits of the tithes in question.

WALKER against PORTER.

It is therefore ordered and decreed, that the defendant shall account with the plaintiff for one moiety of the tithes, from the death of the said S. Wilson till the death of S. Porter (the defendant's fon), and for the whole profits of the faid tithes ever fince the death of S. Porter; and that the plaintiff should hold and enjoy the whole tithes in question for the future against the said defendants and all claiming under them, and be relieved against the defendant as aforesaid.

> LECHMERE, Baron. TURTON, Baron. POWELL, Baron.

## CAVE against EARNESBY and Others. Leicestersbire, 14th May 1694.

BASTERTERM, 6. WIL & MAR.

THE bill stated, that about seven years since the plaintiff The plaintiff, as was instituted, &c. into the parish church of Calthorpe, in the rector of Calcounty of Leicester; that he had duly officiated there ever fince; tersire; states. and was entitled to all the glebe lands, tithes, and other profits' that upon enclobelonging thereto; that, time out of mind, and until the year fing certain com-1655, there were in the faid town of Calthorpe three common mon fields, a and open fields, one whereof was called Mill Field, in or near portion thereof, which was a parcel of meadow ground called the Great Meadow, Meadow, was ale belonging to feveral persons, which, time out of mind, and until lotted to the rocthe aforesaid year, was laid yearly for hay, from Candlemas in tor, and that each year until it was inned as the owners of feafons agreed or the fame, permitted, which was usually about Midfummer, and from the which the detime of inning until Candlemas following the same was depastured sendant had in common; that, time out of mind, until the faid year, divers stopped up; parcels of land, meadow and pasture, belonging to the rectory as part of the glebelands thereof, lay dispersed in the said three open fields, and that all former rectors were feifed thereof in right of the faid church; that, in the faid year 1655, the faid three common fields, and the great meadow, were all inclosed, and exchanges were made, and all right of inter-commoning was excluded; that on fuch inclosures a parcel of the faid great meadow, about five acres, was fet out for the rector for ever;

called Parjon's

that

against EARNESBY AND OTHERS.

that the faid parcel of land lay about the middle of the faid great meadow, and was called Parfor's Mandow, which had been enjoyed fince then by the rectors thereof; that, time out of mind, till the faid year, the proprietor of the faid parcel of ground called Parson's Meadow had used and enjoyed a way thereto yearly at all times as they had occasion to use the fame; that, at the time of the faid inclosure, the faid ancient way was stopped up, and had been fince disused, and that upon the said inclosure a way was agreed upon to the faid meadow, but the land having got into the posfession of the defendant Earnesby, he had blocked the same up, and refused the plaintiff his right of way to the said meadow, fo that without the aid of this court the plaintiff was like to be utterly deprived of all benefit of the faid Parson's Meadow, which was utter disinherison to the said church. convenient way therefore prayed, that the plaintiff might be relieved, and the the faid mea- defendants compelled to fet out a fitting way to the faid meadow for the plaintiff, to be by him and his fuccessors used and enjoyed for ever.

and prays that a

The defendant by the rector.

The defendant *Earnefby* denied, that before or about the year denies the right 1655 the owners of the faid Parfor's Meadow had used or enof way claimed joyed, or of right ought to use or enjoy a way, as well a horse way and foot way, as demanded by the bill, or that it was agreed about the time of the inclosure, or affented to by the defendant, that there should be a way; and he set forth the boundaries of his faid lands, and admitted that the faid meadow was inclosed, but what way belonged to it he knew not.

The other defendants also denied the way.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and divers witnesses were examined; and, upon opening the bill, the defendant Eurnesby and others answer; and, the defendant Brown not appearing by counsel, though duly served with a fubpena, as by affidavit appeared; and upon reading his faid anfwer, and the depositions of divers witnesses taken in the cause;

The Court iffue commission to view the premiout a convenient ₩47;

It is ordered and decreed, that a commission shall be forthwith awarded, directed to commissioners, authorising them to view the feveral inclosed grounds of the defendants lying ses, and to set about the said piece of meadow called Parson's Meadow, and set out and allot to the faid plaintiff and his successors, rectors of the faid church, fuch way as may, with least prejudice to the defendants, be most convenient and fit to be used by the faid plaintiff and his fuccessors at all times in the year for carts, carriages, drifts, and all other necessary uses leading from a certain highway in Calthorpe aforefaid called Lillbourne Road, in, by, and through the lands of the defendants, or some of them, unto the close called Parson's Meadow, and back again from the said Parson's Meadow to the said highway; and the said commission-

ers, ,

ers, or any two or more of them, are to make their certificate. thereof to this court, to the end the way so to be allotted and fet out for the plaintiff and his successors by the said com- AND OTHERS. missioners, may by the decree of this Court, be for ever here- and order, the after used and enjoyed by the plaintiff and his successors, rec- commissioners to tors of the faid church, and his and their tenants, farmers, certify the same, scrvants, and agents.

in order that the faid right of way.

And to what concerns the defendant Brown, this order to may be estaoblige him also, unless cause to the contrary be shewed, he first paying five pounds costs before he is heard; but on the twentysecond of May the above order was made absolute against Brown.

In pursuance of which decree, a commission was accordingly The awarded under seal of this Court, directed to commissioners stoners named on the part of the plaintiff and the defendants; who, in accordingly, pursuance of the power to them given by the decree, did, upon the ninth day of April last past, make their certificate to the Court as fet forth in this order.

Upon reading the said decree and order to revive, and also the faid certificate, and no counsel attending on behalf of the defendants to alledge any thing against the said commissioners' certificate,

It is therfupon this day finally ordered and decreed, The way that the aforesaid certificate of the said commissioners shall be, and Parson's Meadow ishereby ratified and confirmed; and that the faid plaintiff and his decreed. fuccessors, rectors of the said church of Calthorpe, his and their tenants, farmers, fervants, and agents, shall for ever hereafter have free liberty at all times in the year to pass and repass with their carts, carriages, drifts, and all other necessary uses, by, through, and over the feveral, aforefaid ways in the certaificate fet out and allotted to be the most convenient and sitway to be by them used as aforesaid, without costs on either fide.

## ABBOTT against HICKS. Gloucestersbire, 25th June 1694

6. WIL. & MAR.

THE bill stated, that about twelve years since the plaintiff The rector of was presented, &c. into the rectory and parish church of Whitembe Mag-Whitcombe Magna, in the county of Gloucester, and entitled to na, in Gloucester, all tithes; that the defendant, being possessed of a wood contithes of beech, taining above half of the parish, and consisting chiefly of beech, hazle, maple, hazle, maple, ash, and fallow, began, about twelve years fince, ash, and fallow to fell the same, and hath continued yearly so to do, the wood wood of which he hath converted, in great quantities, into charcoal,

ABBOTT against Hicks

and fold the rest for fuel and fireing, the tithe whereof was yearly worth one hundred pounds.

The defendant tithe free.

The defendant infifted, that the wood confifted chiefly of clent trees and oak, ash, and beech trees, and was upwards of two hundred used for timber, years old; that the trees grew from the root, and not from any therefore stock or shrub, and were generally converted into and used as timber, and therefore that he ought not to pay the tithe thereof.

An iffue to try confidered tim- c not?"

A trial at law was directed upon this issue, "Whether beech, whether beech is ee within the parish of Whitcombe Magna, is accounted timber, or

Verdict for the Wefendant.

The iffue was accordingly tried by a special jury, and a verdict passed for the defendant.

And, as to beach,

In the month of April 1695 an order was then pronounced, the billdismissed that the bill, as to the plaintiff's demand of beech wood, should be dismissed.

The plaintiff claims tithes of mapie, ash, and fallow.

But the plaintiff's counsel insisted there were great quantities of maple, ash, and fallow, growing in the faid wood, which was titheable to the plaintiff; and therefore an account was directed as to the tithe of the faid maple, ash, and fallow, and other titheable wood growing in the faid wood not discharged of tithes by the verdict.

Cause reheard.

On the thirtieth of October 1696, and before the said order was entered, the cause was ordered to be reheard upon the petition of the plaintiff, but not to impeach the verdict; and on the nineteenth of November 1696, upon opening the pleadings, and reading the depositions of several witnesses on both sides, and after long debate of the matter, it is ordered that the bill be, and is hereby difmissed as to beech wood, unless the plaintiss can shew better cause.

Bill, as to beech, again difmiffed.

> The cause came on the twenty-seventh of November 1696 to be further heard; when, upon hearing counsel, and reading feveral depositions, and on long debate,

IT IS FINALLY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, Bill as to all matters difinist- that the faid bill be, and is hereby difinished as to all and every matters and things therein contained, but without costs.

> EDW. WARD. NICH. LECHMERE. JOHN BLENCOWE. LITTLETON POWIS.

## BIGGS against MARTIN and LETTS. Kent, 18th June 1694.

TRIM. TERM, 6, WIL. &MAR.

HE plaintiff, as farmer and occupier of the rectory or par- The rector of fonage of Bromley, in the county of Kent, stated, that the claims the tithes defendants were inhabitants, and, in the years 1691 and 1692, of broom made occupied feveral farms, and agifted dry and unprofitable cattle, into bavins, of and cut wood and broom, and made the same into bavins, and the lops and tops disposed thereof without setting out the tithes.

of old timber pollards, and of wood growing in the hedge rows.

The defendant Letts set forth several customs within the said The defendants parish payable in lieu of tithes, and averred that he had paid say no tithe is for all his tithes, except four shillings and fourpence for the small things demandtithes for the year 1692; and he denied that any tithes ought ed.

The defendant Martin confessed, that he had not paid his rate tithes, but denied that any tithe was due for the tops or lops of old pollard timber trees or dotards, or for wood growing in hedge rows.

to be paid for broom made into bavins.

THE COURT, upon reading several depositions, and hearing The tithes of the what could be alledged on both fides, ORDERED AND DECREED, faid feveral arthat the defendants do pay to the plaintiff what, upon the account to be taken before the deputy remembrancer, shall appear to be due for the tithe of broom made into bavins; for the tithe of the lops and tops of old pollard timber trees and dotards; and for wood growing in hedge rows, together with fuch customary payments as are in arrear and due for the years aforesaid.

## GRANT against Brown and Another. London, 25th June 1694.

TRIN. TERM. 6. WIL. &MAR.

THE plaintiff stated, that Mrs. Jevan, at her death in the White Friare is vear 1691, and for twenty-five years before, was seised in within the liberfee of the parsonage or rectory of Saint Dunstan in the West, it is of the city of London, and county of Middlesex, and entitled to was so when the tithes, &c.; that she made her will in September 1691, and de- 37. Hen. 8. c. 2. vised the said rectory to the plaintiff, and made him sole exe- was passed; but cutor; that he proved the faid will, and is legally entitled to the it. faid impropriation, and to all arrears of tithes due in her life of the partith of time; that the statute 37. Hen. 8. c. 2. enacts, "that the citizens St. Dunfian in " and inhabitants of the city of London should yearly, without fraud, the West which er pay of every ten shillings rent of all houses, shops, cellars, &c. in in the city of "fixteen pence halfpenny; and for every twenty shillings the London. " fum of two shillings and ninepence;" that the defendants Vol. I.

GRANT
against
BROWN AND
ANOTHER

were for several years in the lifetime of Mrs. Tevan, and also since, tenants, inhabitants, and occupiers of several houses, shops, and cellars, within that part of the parish that is within the city of London. The bill therefore prayed to have an account of what houses the desendants severally held, what time they had occupied the same, and what rents they had paid for the same.

The defendants fet forth and specified the particular houses by them occupied, and the rents and values of the same, and insisted that all the said houses were situate in the precinct called White Friars; that the said precinct was no part of the city of London at the time of making the decree and act of parliament in the thirty-seventh year of Henry the Eighth; but that the same was made part of the liberty of the city of London by the late King James the First, and subjected to the jurisdiction thereof by grants and letters patent, and therefore the said act and decree did not extend to or concern the defendants. They also insisted, that the precinct of White Friars was not within the parish of Saint Dunstan in the West, or the rectory or titheable places thereof, and denied that any rate or modus for the tithe was ever payable or paid by them to the parson of the said parish.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both sides; and, upon reading several depositions on both sides, as also copies of several ancient records and grants from the crown, a trial at law was directed to be had before the Citief Baron (a) upon these two issues, viz.

FIRST, Whether the precinct of White Friars was within the liberty of the city of London at the time when the act was made in the thirty-seventh year of Henry the Eighth?

SECONDLY, Whether the precinct of White Friars be within the parish of Saint Dunstan in the West?

The issues were accordingly tried, and the one issue found for the plaintist, and the other for the defendants; but a new trial upon the said issues was ordered on payment of costs to the defendants; on which trial, before a special jury, it was found upon the sirst issue, "That White Friars was within London at the time the statute was made." But upon the second issue, "That the precinct of White Friars was not within the parish of Saint Dunstan in the West."

The cause came on to be further heard on the third of June 1694; when, upon reading the said orders and postea, and hearing counsel for the defendants, it was ordered that all the defendants should be absolutely dismissed from the said bill; but before the said order was entered, the plaintiff's counsel, on the

eighth.

<sup>(</sup>a) The cause was tried before Mr. Baron Lecemers, the office of Chief Baron being at that time vacant.

eighth of June instant, alledging the cause was brought on sooner than expected, it was ordered to come on this day; and on full debate,

ORANT. against BROWN AND AKOTEER.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid bill be, and is hereby difmiffed.

> EDW. WARD. JOHN TURTON. JOHN POWELL.

## COLLEY against SMITH and Others.

Dorsetsbire, 6th July 1694.

TRIN. TERM, 6. WIL. & MAR.

THE plaintiff, as ector of Hampreston, in the county of The rector of Dorset, claimed all manner of tithes therein, and stated, Hampreston, in Dorsetsbire, claims that the defendant Beibyn, for five years past, had occupied the tune of the closes called the Woods, the Lower Mead, and Garland's Gate; berbage in a close that the defendant Smith, in the year 1692, occupied a close called the Woods, called Butt Close, and that the faid defendants fowed the same and of the efferwith clover, and mowed and made the same into hay twice in math of clover the faid year; and therefore the tithe of the fecond math ought Close, to have been paid to the plaintiff.

The defendants, by their answer, confessed that they jointly The desendants or severally occupied and enjoyed the said several closes in the say the tithes of faid years (excepting the Woods for the year commencing at Lady to the impropri-Day 1692), and that they kept thereon in each of the faid years ator of a portion barren and unprofitable and other cattle; that the tithe of the of the titles; herbage for feeding and depasturing the barren and unprofitable cattle in the closes called the Woods ought to be paid to the impropriator of a portion of tithes within the faid parish, to which the tithes of the Woods belong, and not to the plaintiff.

The defendant Smith confessed, that he moved the said and that there is clover in the Butt Close twice in the faid year, and made the exempts the afsame into hay, and averred that he paid tithe of the first termath math; and that there is a custom in the said parish where the tithe. owner of meadow cuts and makes the first math into grass cocks, and pays the parson the tenth thereof, he is discharged of the tithe of the fecond math.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses But the Court, on hearing the were examined; and, upon reading the depositions, and an inden-evidence, ture made the eighth of April in the thirteenth year of James the of opinion, that First, being a conveyance of a portion of tithes in Hampresson, the tithe of both under which the impropriator claims the faid portion of tithes; the herbage and and on full debate of the matter; forasmuch as it appeared to belong to the the Court that the plaintiff and his predecessors have always plaintiff; quietly had and received fatisfaction for the tithe of the herbage for the feeding and depasturing barren and unprofitable cattle

COLLEY against SMITH AND OTHERS in the faid closes called the Woods; and for that it doth not appear that the impropriator, and those under whom he claims, ever received the tithe herbage in the faid closes, nor any small or other tithes, except corn and hay;

and decrees the fame according-

THE COURT declared, that the tithe of the faid herbage doth of right belong to the plaintiff, as rector of the faid rectory; and that the tithes of the second math of clover grafs in the defendant's answer set forth ought to be paid to the plaintiff.

WHEREUPON IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED, that the defendants shall severally pay to the plaintiff the value of the tithes in arrear and demanded by the bill.

> EDW. WARD. JOHN TURTON.

TRIN. TERM. 6.Wsl.&MAR.

### SAYER against Mumford and Others.

London, 6th July 1694.

Hen. S. c. 12.

The plaintiff, as THE bill flated, that the mafter and scholars of Baliol College under Balege, in Oxford, are seised in see of the rectory or parsonage tiol College, in Oxford, claims impropriate of Saint Lawrence Jury, in the city of London, and from the defendent entitled to all tithes, oblations, ecclefiaftical duties, and all cufand as get in tomary and other payments in lieu of tithes within the the pound on faid parish; that being so seised, they, by indenture dated the their respective twenty-fixth of March 1692, demissed all and singular the said tents in Neu of tithes, pursuant tithes, and other ecclesiastical duties and payments to the to the statute 37. plaintiff for twenty-one years, under the yearly rent of forty pounds, and seven shillings, payable, viz. to the master and scholars, twenty pounds seven shillings a-year; and to the vicar of the church, twenty pounds a-year; by virtue of which leafe, he is entitled to have the faid tithes and other ecclefiastical duties for a year and upwards, or else such payments in lieu of tithes as are by custom or common right, or by the decree made in the thirty-seventh year of Henry the Eighth, due to him; that, time out of mind, there hath been paid by the parishioners, inhabitants and occupiers of houses and other titheable matters within the faid parish, to the proprietors of the faid rectory, a customary pound rate for or in lieu of tithes, or elfe, according to the faid decree, for every ten shillings rent, one shilling and fourpence halfpenny per annum; and for every twenty shillings rent, two shillings and ninepence per annum, and so above that rent, according to the said rate; that the defendants, or some other of the parishioners, have, for fixty years or upwards, been lessees of the said rectory under the faid master and scholars, during which time great alterations were made in the buildings within the faid parish by reason

of the great fire, so that the plaintiff cannot discover what the ancient tithes were, or of whom to demand the same; and they having got into their hands all the ancient books, terriers, AND OTHERS. and writings relating to the faid tithes, do deny to pay the plaintiff any tithes, or any customary or other payments in lieu of tithes, or according to the aforesaid decree of two shillings and ninepence in the pound. The bill therefore prayed, that the defendants might discover what houses or other things titheable in the faid parish they were possessor occupiers of during 1692, and the time they were charged to be in arrear for their tithes, together with the yearly rents and values thereof, and what customary or other sums of money they have paid for, or in lieu of tithes, or have known to be paid by others, and that they may discover and deliver up the ancient books, &c. and pay the plaintiff their tithes or the customary rate pursuant to the decree.

egainst MUMPORD

The defendants, by their answer, confessed the plaintiff's title The defendants to the tithes, but faid they did not know of any customary rates plead the statute for affeffments or payments of tithes, or any fum of money in lieu 22. & 23. Car, thereof, or that any oblations, obventions, or other ecclefiastical duties had been paid, or were payable, other than in the affeifments annexed to their answers, which were made by the Ratute 22. & 23. Car, 2. c. 15. intitled, an " An Act for the 66 better fettlement and maintenance of the parsons, vicars, and curates in the parishes burnt by the late dreadful fire." And the defendants fet forth the yearly rents of their houses, and other things in their possessions, and confessed, that some of the inhabitants in trust for the faid parish have been lesses of the faid tithes upon feveral leafes for the time in the bill mentioned, the last whereof, being made to the defendants Caplin and others, expired at Lady Day 1692; and that the churchwardens had usually collected the faid tithes, and that they are indebted to the plaintiff for the fum rated in the affefiments upon their houses from the end of the said lease, which they were ready to pay.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; but no witneffes were examined; and upon reading an old book relating to the faid tithes in 1643, and feveral old books and writings produced by both fides, and on full debate of the matter,

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED (a), that the defendants shall The defendants respectively account to the plaintiff for the tithes of the several ordered to pay houses and other titheable matters in their possession, after the their tithes purrate of two shillings and ninepence for every pound of the yearly 8. c. 22. rents or values thereof from the time the last parish leafe expired, being at Lady Day 1692, to the time of exhibiting the

agains MUMFORD AND OTHERS.

faid bill; and it is hereby referred to the deputy remembrancer to take the faid account accordingly.

Cufts.

In pursuance of the said decree the deputy remembrancer made his report, dated the fifteenth of October last, and the cause, being in the paper, came on to be heard the twenty-fifth of October last, when upon reading the order and report and hearing counsel on both fides, it was ordered to stand over for the Court to consider of costs.

A rehearing granted.

Upon the fixth of November instant, on an application by the defendant's counsel, and on reading a petition for a rehearing, andthe plaintiff's counsel opposing the same, it was ordered that the cause should be reheard this day, and that the desendants should pay five pounds costs for the rehearing, together with three pounds costs for the last day's attendance.

On the fifteenth of November 1694, upon hearing Counsel; and on reading the faid act of parliament made in the twentyfecond and twenty-third year of Charles the Second; and also on reading the report and on full debate,

The former dc-

It is ordered and decreed by the Lord Chanceltree confirmed. LOR (a) and THE BARONS (b), that the former decree, and also the report, shall be, and are hereby ratified and confirmed, and that the faid defendants respectively pay to the said plaintiff the feveral fums reported due from them, with the plaintiff's costs, to be taxed.

> (a) MONTAGUE, Chancellor. (b) NICH. LECHMERE, JOHN TURTON, and JOHN POWELL.

MICH. TERM, 6. WIL.& MAR. UMFREXILLE against BATCHELOR and Others.

London, 25th October 1694.

The plaintiff, as executrix of her husband, claims according to 37. Hen. 8. c. 12.

THE plaintiff, as executrix of the last will and testament of her late husband deceased, did, in Trinity. Term, in the tithes of Saint twenty-second year of Charles the Second, exhibit her bill against - Botolph Aldgate, the defendants, to have two shillings and ninepence in the pound for tithes due in the life time of her late husband, he being owner and impropriator of the parish of St. Bosolph without Aldgate, part of which parish lieth within the liberties of the city of London, and the other part in the county of Middlesex, and the defendant Batchelor being occupier of several houses within that part of the parish which lieth within · the liberty of the city of London.

The defendant flates the premiss he holds.

The defendant by his answer stated, that for the time demanded by the bill, he had been occupier of one house in Rose and Crown Court, in Houndsditch, and of another house in Covent Garden,

Garden, otherwise called Gravel Lane, within that part of the UMPREVILLE parith which lieth within the liberty of the city of London.

against BATCHELCE AND OTHERS.

. The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined on the part of the plaintiff; and, upon opening the bill, and reading an affidavit of the service of subpæna to hear judgment, and reading the answer, and no counsel appearing for the defendant,

THE COURT (a) ordered, that the defendant shall satisfy the Tithes decreed, plaintiff two fillings and ninepence in the pound, according to flatute 37. Hen. the 37. Hen 8. c. 12. for the house in Rose and Crown Court, 8. c. 12. in Houndsditch, and in Covent Garden, otherwise Gravel Lane, in that part of the faid parish of St. Botolph without Aldgate, which lieth within the liberties of the city of London, for the time demanded by the bill (b).

(a) Montagu, Chancellor, Lechmere,

(b) See Umfreville v. Campion, post. 26th November 1694, p 329.; Holling-worth v. Umfreville, polt. 29th November

1694 p. 332; and the Attorney General v. Brewfter, poft. Eafter Term, '4. Ann. where the question appears to have been finally determined.

#### GARTH against STOKES.

Wiltsbire, 5th December 1694.

THE vicar of Kewell, in the county of Wilts, states, that the The farm called defendant, for fifteen years past, had occupied a farm and Weeke Leafe, in lands called Weeke Lease, in the said parish, and fed and de-in the county of pastured such lands with dry and unprofitable and fat cattle, Wilts, pays a mofor which he ought to have paid agistment tithes of two shil- dus of 13s. 4d. lings in the pound yearly, and that he had, for the same time, a-year, in lieu of all vicarial tithes. mowed eighteen acres yearly of meadow, for which he ought to have paid eightpence an acre for the aftermath or grass, and for the depasturing and feeding of such land.

MICH. TERM, 6.WIL.& MAR.

The defendant said, that about sixteen years since, and before he first rented the said farm, there was a modus of thirteen shillings and fourpence a-year payable to the vicar for all manner of tithes of the faid farm and lands, and that his landlord did undertake and agree with him to answer the same; and he infisted on the faid modus.

Upon reading the proofs taken in the cause, and a receipt under the plaintiff's hand dated in October last, which the plaintiff gave to the defendant's landlord Mr. Blagden;

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, that upon the defendant's paying to the plaintiff the arrears of the modus of thirteen shil-

GARTE against STORES. lings and fourpence now due, the bill, as to the faid farm called Weeke Leafe, shall stand dismissed, with costs.

> NICH. LECHMERE. John Turton. JOHN POWELL.

Micu. Tran, 6.WIL.&MAR.

#### Northleigh against Collard.

Essen, 6th December 1694.

The impropriator of Walthamthe Sale.

HE bill stated, that the plaintiff, for three years last past, had been farmer of the impropriation of Walthamflow, in claims tithe of the county of Effex, and is entitled to the tithes of corn, grain, the wood called hay, and wood, yearly arising, &c. therein; that, in the years 1663 and 1694, the defendants held several acres of woodland and underwoods, and particularly the wood called the Sale, part of which faid wood was felled and cut in the years aforefaid, containing one third part thereof; that feveral trees of hornbeam, maple, willow, fallow, prickwood, and bushes growing within the faid wood, were lopped and topped in the years aforesaid; and that with the wood arising therefrom the defendants made faggots and bavins, and fold the same without setting out the tithe thereof, or making any fatisfaction for the fame.

The defendants fay, that the wood felled is timber, and tithe

The defendants said, that they have known all the said coppices, groves, and wood-grounds several times selled, and never knew any tithes paid for the fame; that they are occupiers of the wood called the Sale, and have cut feveral pollard trees there, and made faggots and bavins thereof, and fold the same without fetting forth the tithes thereof, or paying any fatisfaction for the same, for that no tithe is payable for the same, they not being fubject to pay tithes; and they fet forth their quantities and values.

The tithes, except of the loppings of oak pollard, decreed.

THE COURT declared, the defendants ought to account with the plaintiff for the tithes of the wood in question, except for the lops of oak pollards, and except for the standills called Black Coates, and White Coates, they being timber.

MICH. TERM. 6. Wil. & Mar.

WILBRAHAM against KINGSMAN and Others.

Essen, 6th December 1694.

claims tithes of certain farms.

The rector of THE rector of Febbing, in the country of Lynn, arising therein, and states, that the defendant King sman is owner of three farms called Great Elford, the Oil Mill, and Reedham; and had been owner of a fourth farm called Little Elford; and that, possessing and having possessed the said farms, he refuses to discover his tithes.

The defendants King sman and Newport denied that they were owners of Little Elford farm; but King/man confessed that he was owner of the other three farms, and that, for the year ending at Michaelmas 1689, he had paid the plaintiff his tithes of all the faid farms, and also for the year 1690. They also stated, that fendants confes the faid three farms were overflowed in the month of December occupying the 1690; and they admitted, that in 1691, they put on the lands farms. called Great Elford several unprofitable cattle; and they set forth their tithes; but they disclaimed all title whatsoever to the four farms.

KINGSMAN AND OTHERS.

THE COURT ordered and decreed, that the plaintiff shall be Tithes decreed, paid the tithes of Great Elford, the Oil Mill, and Reedham farms, for the two last years in the bill charged, (the plaintist being willing to abate for the tithes of the faid farms, for the half year ending Lady Day 1691, wherein the inundation happened)

And as to the tithes of the lands in Little Elford for 1691 and 1692, the deputy remembrancer is to report, whether the defendant Saunders occupied the same in the said years.

The deputy remembrancer accordingly made his report, The fum reports dated the thirteenth instant, to which the defendants King sman ed due, mitigate and Newport put in exceptions, and upon reading the decree, the report, the exceptions, and the depositions, and on full debate, the Court mitigated the fum of ten pounds, ten shillings, reported due to the plaintiff, and payable by the faid defendants, to the sum of seven pounds, ten shillings; and declared, that as the defendant Saunders was a trespasser upon the lands of Little One defendant, Elford farm, no tithes ought to be paid by him for the fame (a). being a trespessor

on one of the farms, is difmiff-

IT IS THEREUPON ORDERED AND DECREED, that the defendants King sman and Newport, or one of them, shall and do forthwith pay to the plaintiff the fum of seven pounds, ten shillings, for their tithes, with moderate costs to be taxed; and that Saunders be dismissed without costs.

> NICH. LECHMERE. JOHN TURTON. John Powell.

(as See this point of the case reported by Rayner 76. from Lord Chief Baren Donn's manuscript.

> Umfreville against Campion. Middlesex, 26th November 1604.

MICH. TERM, 6. WIL. & MAR.

HE plaintiff, as executrix of her late husband, filed her There is a module bill in the thirty-second year of Charles the Second against of 51. a quar-

ter, payable for

Hooker's Rents, in Nightingale Lane and Sun Yard, in the county of Middlesen.

the

against CAMPION. the defendants to have an account of feveral tithes due in the lifetime of her late husband, he being impropriator of Saint Botolph without Aldgate, part in the city of London, and part in the county of Middlesen, and the defendants being occupiers of houses within the part which lieth in the county of Middlesex.

The defendants faid that the feveral houses are part of Hooker's Rents, fituated in Sun Yard, in the faid parish.

The cause came on to be heard the twenty-fifth of October last, when an account was directed to be taken before the deputy remembrancer, but before the decree was entered, the defendants moved for a rebearing on the seventh day of November instant, and the same being ordered, the cause came on this day to be reheard.

Upon reading the depositions, and several ancient tithe books and accounts of collectors of tithes of the said parish, it appeared that there is a modus of twenty pounds a-year for Hooker's Rents, in Nightingale Lane and Sun Yard, in the faid parish, viz. five pounds quarterly.

And upon reading a deed, dated the fecond of March 1630, made between C. Godfrey and E. Hooker; and several receipts under the hand of S. E. Rawlinson, formerly collector of the tithes of the said parish, and on long debate, counsel insisting on a trial at law, but the Court not thinking fit to grant the fame ;

It is ordered and decreed, that the former decree be ratified and confirmed, and that it be referred to the deputy remembrancer to take the faid account, according to the faid modus in lieu of tithes for the faid premises, for the time the faid defendants have feverally and respectively held the same, at five pounds a quarter, in the lifetime of the faid plaintiff's husband, and as charged in the bill.

MICH. TERM, 6 WIL. &. MAR. LAYFIELD against Cowper.

Southampton, 15th December 1694.

Euriton, in the

The rector of THE bill stated, that the plaintiff, for four years last past, had been rector of the parish of Buriton, in the county of Hants, county of Hants, and entitled to all tithes both great and small; that the declaims the tithes and the tithes of wood felled fendant was an inhabitant, owner, and occupier of wood, wood-in Ditchum Park, land, and woodground, therein, and had felled, cut down, and and the Hangers. fold at least one hundred and fifty acres of great value, without fetting out the tithes thereof, which were worth yearly twenty pounds.

The

The defendant said, that he is owner of several woodlands and wood grounds called Ditcham Park, and the Hangers, in which there grew many great oak, beech, and ash trees,
which he cut down, out of which was cut several ton of timber,
and the rest he converted into cord wood, and the lops and
of wood felled, tops into faggots; that in the year 1690, he cut down feveral and the use to trees, and after the timber lengths were cut off, he converted which it was apthe remainder into cord wood and faggots, and fold the plied. fame, and in the faid year fold several hundred of faggots, and also cut down several hedge-rows, and fold the same.

LAYFIELD

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED, that the defendant shall The tithes of the pay to the plaintiff tithes for the hedgerows, willows, maples, midruned dehazels, and all other underwood by him felled and cut down femmers to be in the faid years, or the value thereof; and as to the stemmers to be reported. felled and cut down by the defendant in the faid years, the deputy remembrancer is to report the fame specially, with the values of the tithes of the same, that in case such stemmers shall be adjudged titheable, the plaintiff may receive latisfaction for the fame.

In pursuance to the said decree, the deputy made his report; The report exto which report the defendant put in exceptions, as the faid cepted to. deputy had made the fame ex parte.

Upon reading the decree and report, and opening the exceptions, and also reading several depositions, and upon long and ferious'debate, and due confideration had of all the faid matters;

THIS COURT is of opinion, and doth declare, that no tithe The Court of oought to be paid to the plaintiff of the Great Beech Wood cut pinion, that they by the defendant in the said years, the same appearing to the and timber, and Court to be maiden trees, and not stemmers, and that they therefore, with are timber and useable for timber uses, and that therefore the their lops and bodies and lops and tops of fuch trees are privileged by law tops, tithe free, from the payment of tithes.

But it appearing also to the Court, that the defendant had Costs. cut some underwood in the said several years, the tithes whereof were worth about three pounds, this Court doth further order and decree, that the faid report be, and is hereby fet aside, and that the said defendant do pay to the plaintist twenty pounds, as well in full fatisfaction and discharge of all the tithes of the small underwood in question, as of all costs and charges payable to the plaintiff, without prejudice to, or including any part of the defendants tithes of the faid Great Beech Wood therein.

EDWARD WARD.

HOLLING-

Micn. Tram, 6.WIL.&.MAR. Hollingworth against Umfreville, Knt.

London, 29th November 1694.

the parish of St. and also to 40s. and wine. neral v. Brewfter, Eafter Term, 4. Anne.

The chaplain of THE bill stated, that the rectory and church of Saint Botolph without Aldgate, in London, and the tithes thereto belong-Betolph Aldgate, ing, were formerly part of the lands and possessions of the London, is en. priory of the church of the Bishop of London, and, being improtitled to a pen- priated upon the diffolution of the priory, came to and was fion of 81.a-year, vested in THE CROWN; that previous to the said diffolution, yearly, for bread there was a yearly fum of ten pounds paid by the prior to a chaplain, as a pension or stipend, to celebrate divine service See the case of in the said church, and to provide bread and wine yearly there; the Attorney Ge- that subsequent to such dissolution, the several kings and queens of this realm did, under their great seal, appoint a chaplain accordingly to officiate in the faid church, who of right had, and was entitled to the said ten pounds; that King James the First, by his letters patent dated the twenty-fourth of May, in the seventh year of his reign, granted to F. Morris and F. Phillips, and their heirs, the faid rectory and tithes, let to G. Puttenham at twenty-two pounds a-year, exclusive of the said ten pounds; that in the faid grant, the advowson, donation, and right of patronage of all churches, vicarages, and other ecclesiastical benefices incident or belonging to any part of the premises, was excepted and reserved to the king and his fucceffors, to hold to them and their heirs, as of the manor of East Greenwich by fealty only, in free and common soccage, under the yearly rent of twenty-two pounds, payable at Michaelmas and Lady Day equally; that the faid Morris and Phillips thereby covenanted to pay yearly the sum of eight pounds for a chaplain to officiate in the faid church, and also forty shillings for bread and wine and other necessaries; that the faid rectory and tithes were fince, by melne conveyances, vested in the defendant; that the office of chaplain or curate of the said church being void, and the disposal thereof belonging to the late King Charles the Second, he, by his letters patent dated the eighteenth of August, in the thirty-third year of his reign, granted the same to the plaintiff for his life, with all the profits thereunto belonging, by which grant the plaintiff became entitled to the faid office, and ought to have received the faid eight pounds and forty shillings yearly, he having duly ferved the cure there, and found bread and wine, and that the defendant ought to have paid the same yearly, and all arrears thereof; but that he refused to pay the same, and disputed the king's right to appoint a curate to the faid church,

> The defendant admitted that King James the First was fo feifed and made fuch grant, but faid, that according to the intention of the faid letters patents, the faid exception did not

not affect the rectory of Saint Botolph, but did relate to Other churches, and that there was no advowson or right of Patronage, the faid rectory being impropriate; that there never was any vicar, for vicarage, or advowfon of any vicarage, within the faid church, but that the chaplains, who celebrated divine ferwice there, have been bare stipendaries, put in by the owners of the fame, and removed at the owner's pleasure; and that by such exception the right of putting in a chaplain there was never referved THE CROWN, but belonged to the patentees, and others claiming under them. He further stated, that he was seised of the faid rectory during the time in the bill mentioned, and as he conceived, might, by reason thereof, put in or remove any chaplain, qualified to officiate in the faid church, at his pleasure. He denied, that King Charles the Second had any lawful power to grant the faid curacy to the plaintiff, or that the plaintiff had ever any good title thereto, he not being nominated thereto by the defendant; that he, the defendant, had the right, and had appointed another to officiate, and had defired the plaintiff to deliver the same up and to sorbear officiating further therein, which herefused to do; that thereupon he had brought an ejectment for recovery of the possession of the said church, as part of the glebe of the faid rectory, and obtained judgment thereon, and he infifted that he ought to be at liberty to retain the possession, in order to place another chaplain there. He believed the eight pounds per annum, were duly paid to fuch chaplains as had been rightfully placed there, but infifted that of right it was not payable to a mere intruder, as he conceived the plaintiff was, and is, but that, to avoid trouble, he had paid the faid fum to the plaintiff from the time of his first officiating there, until Lady Day 1688; and he confessed to have paid the forty shillings per annum for bread and wine until 1690. He denied, that he had any vouchers to evidence the payment of the faid fums, except the churchwardens receipts; he faid, that ten pounds per annum had been allowed for a stipend to a chaplain, and for bread and wine, which did not import that the whole ten pounds per annum was paid to the chaplain, or if it did, that it only related to what was done by the former leffee of the crown, whereas he could only be charged by the words of the faid letters patent, for the then future time, which did not appoint the payment thereof to the chaplain as advised, and knew not that at any time whatfoever ten pounds was paid to the chaplain to celebrate divine service in the said church, and for providing bread and wine. He confessed, that he claimed the rectory by and under the grant and title to Norris and Phillips, and refused to set forth the mesne conveyances under which he claimed. He faid, that he knew not whether the plaintiff ever provided bread and wine or other necessaries for the faid church, or did any thing more than fay divine fervice there.

The

Holling-Worth againfi Umfreville. The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both sides; and upon hearing counsel fully on both sides, and reading the aforesaid grant to the plaintiff in Charles the Second's time of the said office of chaplain or curate of Saint Botolph's, Aldgate, and the answer, and several depositions of witnesses taken in the said cause,

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that the defendant shall forthwith satisfy and pay to the plaintiff all the arrears of the said yearly pension of eight pounds per annum due and in arrear to the plaintiff from Lady Day 1688 to Michaelmas now last past, being six years and a half, amounting to sifty-two pounds, together with costs, to be taxed by the deputy remembrancer of this Court.

HILARY TERM ROTHWELL against GRATWICK and ROTHWELL, 6. WIL. 3.

BISHOPP against ROTHWELL.

Suffex, 4th February 1694.

The vicar of Hinfield, in Saffex, is entitled to the great tithes of Eaton's Farm, and to the small tithes of Catlands and Hollands, and to a load of hay, a quarter of wheat, and the running of ahorse yearly in Park Linds, or to fix pounds a-year instead thereof,

The vicar of Henfield, in Saffex, is entitled to the great tithes of Eaton's Farm, in the faid parish, for five years past, which lands had been occupied by the defendant Gratwick.

and to the small tithes of Catlands and Hollands, and to a load of hay, that the great tithes arising therefrom, if payable, belonged to a quarter of the bishop of Chichester, or to the other defendants the Bishops, we want, and the running of ahorse yearly in Park

The plaintiff also demanded of the other defendants the fmall instead thereof, tithes in kind arising out of certain lands called Catlands and in lieu of tithes. Hollands, and also a load of hay, one quarter of wheat, and the running of a gelding or mare yearly in certain lands called Park Lands, in the said defendant Bishopp's possession, or six pounds in money yearly for Park Lands, in lieu thereof, and to have satisfaction for the same, as well in the life-time of the the defendant Bishopp's ancestors, as for the duties arising in the times they or any of them were possessions, to the time of the filing of the present bill.

The defendants Sir Cecil Bishopp and C. Bishopp by their answers admitted, that the said Henry and Edward Bishopp, deceased, had been occupiers of the last mentioned lands for the time in the bill mentioned; but denied the plaintiff's right to the tithes, or to any recompence for the same; and confessed affets.

Uron

Upon opening the bill, and reading the answer of the defendant Bishopp, and no counsel appearing for the defendant Gratwick, and upon reading an order whereby he undertook to appear gratis, and also his answer, and an ancient terrier, made in the year 1685, whereby the great tithes, and fmall tithes in their proper kinds, are faid to belong to the vicar of Henfield for the time being; and also on reading several depositions taken on the part of the plaintiff, and hearing what could be alledged by counsel on both fides;

ROTHWELL againft GRATWICE AND ROTHWELL, AND BISHOPS ag ainfi ROTHWELL.

THE COURT (a) was of opinion, that the great tithes of Eaton's Farm, in the defendant Gratwick's possession, ought to be paid to the plaintiff Rothwell, as vicar of Henfield, and that the faid tithes did not belong to the Bifbop of Chichefter, or his farmers or leffees; and that the small tithes of the lands called Catlands and Hollands, and the faid hay, and quarter of wheat, and running of a gelding or mare in the faid lands called the Park Lands, or fix pounds in money yearly for the same, ought to have been paid or allowed to the plaintiff by the other defendants, the Bishopp's, or one of them, for the time demanded by the bill.

(a) The Court confisted of LECHrons; the office of Chief Baron being at MERE, TURTON, and POWELL, Bathis time vacant.

#### DIXIE against DEACON and Others...

Leicestershire, 11th February 1694.

HILARY TERM 6. WIL. 3.

THE rector of the village of Barlflon, in the parish of Market The land hold-Bosworth, in the county of Leicester, claimed tithes both ers of the village great and imall for the year 1602.

The defendants infifted, that tithes in kind were not payable a medus of 71d. for their lands in Barlston, but that a modus of sevenpence three an acre yearsy to the rector of farthings an acre had been yearly, time out of mind, paid to the Market Bofwerth, rector of Market Bosworth, in lieu of all tithes arising or happen. in the aid couning within the faid township of Barlston.

An issue was directed to try, by a special jury, the modus as of their said above stated; on which trial a verdict passed for the desendants.

THE COURT therefore ordered and decreed, that the defendants do pay to the plaintiff the faid modus of seven pence three farthings an acre for every acre of land they occupied in 1692 within the parish of Market Bosworth in lieu of all tithes, and that the plaintiff do pay to the faid defendants their costs, to be taxed by Tobias Eden, Esq. the deputy remembrancer, which faid modus, so as aforesaid due from the said defendants to the plaintiff for the faid year, is to be deducted out of the faid costs.

> NICH. LECHMERE. John Turton. JOHN POWELL. Pocock

of Barlfton, in Leicestersbire, pay ty, in lieu of all tithes iffuing out

HILARY TERM 6. WIL. 3.

Pocock against Coles.

Devensbire, 20th February 1604.

The rector of Cheriton, in the county of Deven, claims tithes in kind.

THE rector of Cheriton Bishopp, in the county of Devon, claimed the tithes thereof.

dufes payable.and paid to vicar.

The defendants . The defendants infifted on feveral modules in lieu of their flate several me- tithes in kind; viz. that twopence an acre for grass mown and made into hay is payable to the vicar in lieu of the tithes of the faid hay; and also twopence yearly for each renewed cow in lieu of the tithe of milk of fuch cow; and sixpence for each calf in lieu of tithe calves; also for every tenement, in lieu of the tithes of apples, pears, and all other garden stuff and fruit, growing and renewing yearly within the faid parish, one penny only; also an hearth penny payable for each tenement yearly for all wood, coppice, and underwood, cut down within the said parish. They said, that before this suit began, they feverally tendered, and by their answer tender the plaintiff for all their tithes and customary payments as they are set forth and unpaid.

bill dif-

Upon long debate of the matter, forafmuch as it appears by the defendants' proofs that the feveral and respective modules and customary payments insisted on by the defendants' answers have been always and constantly paid to the vicars of the said parish of Cheriton Bishopp for the time being, and by them accepted in lieu and full fatisfaction of the said several and respective titheable matters aforesaid; and for that the plaintiff could not produce any evidence that any of the faid titheable matters were ever paid in kind to any vicar of the faid parish;

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, that the faid defendants shall be, and hereby are dismissed of and from the said bill, and the matters and things therein contained, with moderate costs to be taxed by the deputy remembrancer, who is empowered to tax the fame.

> TURTON, Baron. Powell, Baron.

HILARTTERM 6. WIL. 3.

Morgan against Holt.

Radnersbire, 11th and 18th February 1694.

The rector of THE scope of the bill was to have a discovery of what corn, grain, and other titheable matters, the defendant Votor, in Rad had within the parish of Lanbaderne Vowr, in the county of sorfbire, claims Radnor.

The

The defendants said, that they had paid all tithes due from them to the plaintiff, except the tithe of cows, for which there was and had been a custom to pay twelvepence yearly for every milch cow, and fixpence yearly for every barren cow, in lieu The defendants of all tithes ariting from or by reason of the said cows, which they are ready to pay according to the faid custom.

a barren cow, in lieu of tithes.

The plaintiff's counsel insisted, that eighteen cheeses were The plaintiff inof right due and accustomed to be paid yearly by every inhabi- fifts that 18 tant within the faid parish, that kept a cow or cows within the cheeses are due faid parish, to the rector, his farmer, or tenants, in lieu of the cows. tithe of all cow or cows.

MORGAN against HOLT.

fet up a modus of 12d. for a much cow, and 6d.for

The Court ordered a trial at law on the following iffue, "Whe- Aniffue directed et ther a modus of twelvepence for every milch, and fixpence for to try the modus ee every barren cow, is, and for time out of mind hath been fet up by the dese accustomed to be paid yearly by every inhabitant of the parish

es of Lanbaderne Vawr to the rector of the said parish, his te-

es nants, or farmers of the tithes for the time being, in lieu of 46 all tithes arising from or by reason of the said cows, or not?"

In pursuance of which order, a trial was had upon the afore. Verdict for the faid iffue, and a verdict therein passed for the plaintiff.

The cause coming on to be heard upon the postea,

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED, upon reading the faid order The defendants and postea, that the desendants shall likewise rest ely account decreed to pay with, satisfy, and pay to the plaintiff the value of eignteen cheeses in lieu of tithes. from each of them due for the years aforefaid.

WARD, Chief Baron. LECHMERE, Baron. Turton, Baron. Powis, Baron.

# SMELTER against BRIDGES. Kent, 7th February 1694.

HILARYTERM 6. WIL. 3.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff, for twenty-two years last The owners of past, had been vicar of Saint Nicholas Atwade, in the meadow and pait, had been vicar of saim evicences arrange, in the parture lands in county of Kent, and entitled to all manner of small and vicarial the parish of St. tithes, and all customary payments belonging thereto; that the Nicholas Arenade defendant Bridges, for twenty-one years past, had been occupier in the county of and possession of a messuage, with the appurtenances, and several and possession of a messuage, with the appurtenances, and several acres of upland and marsh land, and had great numbers and marsh or upquantities of titheable matters, the tithes whereof were worth lands, pay 4d. an twenty pounds a-year; that the defendant Phillpot had been acre to the vicar occupier for feveral years of upland and marsh land in the said in lieu of the parish, and had paid no tithes. Vol. I. The

again# BRIDGES.

The defendants infifted, that, time out of mind, the plaintiff and his predecessors had received for marsh lands, pasture, and meadow lands in the faid parish, the yearly sum of fourpence an acre, in lieu of all manner of vicarial tithes; that if any thing more had been paid, it had been paid out of kindness; that in the nineteenth year of Charles the Second, Mr. Cheavney, vicar of the faid parish, filed his bill in this court against several of the parishioners for several sorts of tithes, and the defendants, by their answer, insisted on the said custom of fourpence an acre, in lieu of tithes; that the cause was heard in Michaelmas 1661, and the bill was dismissed.

It appearing to the Court that fourpence for every acre of marsh land, pasture, and meadow, within the said parish, had, time out of mind, been paid by the parishioners and accepted by the several vicars for the time being of the said parish, in lieu and full satisfaction of and for all small and vicarial tithes whatfoever arifing and happening not only within and upon the faid marsh lands, pasture, and meadow, but also in and upon the uplands and elsewhere within the said parish.

IT is ordered, that the faid bill be, and the fame is hereby dismissed without costs; but if the plaintiff shall hereaster file any more bills, he is to pay the taxed costs of this suit.

> LECHMERE, Baron. TURTON, Baron. Powell, Baron.

HILARYTERM, 6. WIL. 3.

GOODALL against PERKINS.

Berkshire, 4th February 1694.

Berkfbire, claims faggots.

The rector of Padworth, in the county of Berks, charged Padworth, in the defendant for several years not had occupied and that the defendant, for several years past, had occupied and the tithes of al- possessed twenty acres of coppice wood and five hundred poles of derne poles and bedge-rows, and had cut and carriesd away about eighty loads of the wood growing there; the tithes whereof were worth eight pounds, which the defendant refused to pay.

The defendant to be burnt in his house.

The defendant confessed that he had been for several years past says the alderne owner and occupier of a coppice wood called Brickerest, and poles are above 20 years growth, certain lands called Wallingford Lands, and of fome hedge-rows and accounted within the faid parish; that in the years 1687 and 1688 he cut timber, and that and carried away from the faid coppice alderne poles; and he fet the faggots were forth the quantities and values for feveral years following; that he had cut several hundred of faggots from the same coppice, which he used for fire wood in his own house; and he infifted that he was not obliged to pay any tithes, either for the alderne poles or for the faggots, for that the alderne poles were all above twenty years growth, and of that age and quality have been, and are -muslly

usually reckoned and esteemed as timber, and measured by timber measure; and the said faggots were not titheable, in regard they were part thereof burnt, and the rest intended to be burnt in his own dwelling-house, and that for wood burnt in the houses of the owners tithes were not due.

Qx2

生产

1.50

女

**67.** #-노

i 📥

3

, EZ.

TE 瘎

1 2

ä.

C

¥.

1. ŧ,

3

£

GOODALL against PERKINS.

THE COURT declared their opinion, that alderne poles were The Court of onot timber, but were titheable to the plaintiff.

pinion, that alderne poles are in the parish in

And in regard it appeared to the Court, that the defendant not timber; had not any house of husbandry within the said parish of Pdd- and the saggots, worth, but that the faid underwood and hedge-rows, made not being used into faggots, were carried to the defendant's house, being out husbandry, of the faid parish, and there burnt, the Court did also declare, that tithes were due to the plaintiff for the same.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED AND DECREED, that the the tithes of both defendant shall account with and satisfy the plaintiff for the are decreed. value of the tithes of all the faid alderne poles and underwood by him felled and cut within the said parish of Padworth demanded by the bill.

# CUTLOVE against CAPON and Others.

Suffolk, 7th May 1695.

EASTER TERM. 7. WIL. 3.

THE bill stated, that ever since the year 1677 the plaintiff The plaintiff, as had been elected and settled flipendary minister of the parish flipendary munifier church of Saint Mary Tower, in Ipswich, and had faithfully of Saint Mary discharged the duty thereof, whereby, for all the time, he had been entitled to, and ought to have received the perquision of sol. fites and profits appertaining thereto; that a statute made in made pursuant the thirteenth year of Queen Elizabeth recited, "That there to the statuters. es were divers parishes within the said town and suburbs not en- Eliz. c. 14-"dowed, or so poorly endowed as not to be able to maintain "convenient ministers;" that the said parish of Saint Mary Tower was one of the parishes wherein provision was made by the faid act, there being no fettled endowment for the minister thereof (except some customary payments for seats and galleries in the faid church, and certain gifts thereto, about twenty pounds per annum, collected by the churchwardens for the use of the faid minister); that about 1695, there being some divifions in the faid parish, and the plaintiff, fearing that he should lose his voluntary contributions, applied to the bailiffs and portmen to establish a settled stipend on him pursuant to the faid act, which act they put in force, and appointed a stipend yearly to be paid, by equal portions, according to the faid act; that the rate amounted to ninety pounds a-year, and was entered down in a book kept for that purpose, together with the several names of the persons rated and the sums payable by them; , Z 2 which

CUTLOVE against CAPON AND OTHERS. which rate book was subscribed by the then bailists, and portmen, and inhabitants present, and that the same hath ever since been kept as a standing rate for the succeeding churchwardens to gather and collect by, and as evidence for the better ascertaining of the yearly stipend to the said minister; that it was then ordered and entered down in that book, at the bottom of such rate, that eighty pounds a-year out of that rate, with the faid customary payments for scats and gifs, should be allotted and paid to the minister for his yearly maintenance; that such rate and tax being still in force, the churchwardens for the time being, fince 1685, having taken upon them the collecting thereof, and also the customary payments for seats and perquisites to the said church, and had paid and satisfied to the plaintiff the yearly stipend of eighty pounds for some years, till the year 1688, after which time, till about 1602, the defendants, being churchwardens, failed in collecting the rate, and refused to take the distresses and to use the other ways and means given them by the faid act to enforce the payment of the faid rate. The bill therefore prayed, that the defendants might fet forth and discover what they had received yearly from the inhabitants for their feats and gifts belonging to the church, and for the rate for fix years past, and be compelled to pay all arrears of the eighty pounds per annum out of such collections, and if any overplus, to pay the same over to the succeeding churchwardens.

The defendants, churchwardens of Ipfwich, fay, they paid the overplus of what . the plaintiff.

The defendants, by their answers, confessed the rate, and that they were, in the feveral years, churchwardens, and had collected the feveral fums of money by the rate as stated, and for the feats and galleries and gifts belonging to the church, and had they sollected to paid the fame towards the necessary reparations of the church and chancel, and other charges; and that after fuch their disbursements, they had paid the overplus that remained in their hands to the plaintiff towards his yearly stipend.

> The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined; and upon reading the faid act, and the rate made in 1685 pursuant thereto, and on full debate,

> THE COURT declared the rate, made by the then bailiffs, portmen, and inhabitants in 1685, was well made pursuant to the said act of parliament, and that the same is yet in force, and that the several desendants ought to have collected, gathered, and levied the feveral fums therein mentioned, and out of the monies so collected and levied, together with the perquisites for feats, galleries, and gifts belonging to the faid church, in the first place, to have paid and satisfied the plaintiff the yearly stipend of eighty pounds.

The defendants ordered to pay the arrears.

And thereupon it is ordered and decreed, that it be referred to the deputy remembrancer to take the faid account accordingly, and that the said bill be dismissed as against the defendant Fendant Long, he having paid the faid stipend during the time he was churchwarden, with his proportionable costs.

CUTLOVE against CAPON

The cause came on upon the report the twenty-fifth of Novem- AND OTHERS. Ber, when it was referred back for the deputy to review his report; and upon reading the faid decree and report, with exceptions, and now hearing counsel, it is ordered by the Court, that the faid report be ratified and confirmed, and that the faid feveral desendants do respectively pay to the said plaintiff what is so reported due, with costs to be taxed.

> EDW. WARD. NICH. LECHMERE. John Turton. LITTLETON POWIS

# SWAINE against PERN. Cambridgesbire, 29th April 1695.

· EASTER TERM 7. WIL. 3

THE plaintiffs, as parishioners and inhabitants of the parish of The manner in Leverington, in the Isle of Ely, for and on behalf as well of which themselves as of the rest of the parish, filed their bill against the defendant and others, stating, that within the said parish there are the following customs of ticking are for all constants. the following customs of tithing, viz. for all grounds mown be- Ist of Eig. tween Sea Dike and Cattle Dike, twopence an acre; Flane Field, one penny halfpenny; and betwixt High Fenn Dike and Cattle Dike, one penny: a foal, one penny: a milch cow, twopence: a heckforth, that has had but one calf, one penny: calves in kind to be delivered at the will of the owner, after they are three weeks old, and at fuch time of the year as the owner can best spare them, not hindering his breed, and if the parfon delay fetching, he is to pay for keeping: lambs in kind, to be delivered on the first of May, and if under feven to pay for every lamb a halfpenny, and if feven, and under ten, to pay a lamb, allowing, for every lamb wanting of ten, an halfpenny, and so likewise of calves; but if any person has under seven calves, and sell any of them to the butcher, he is to pay the tenth part of the money: pigs to be delivered at the will of the owner after they are nine days old, and if the parson delay setching, he is to pay for keeping: geese in kind, to be delivered before Midfummer; and if any one have under feven pigs or geefe, to pay one halfpenny for every one; and if feven and under ten to pay one, and to be allowed what is wanting of ten, one halfpenny a-piece at Easter: that every householder, being a married man, is to pay, in lieu of all other things whatfoever paid in other places and not customable here in the name of an offering, fourpence; and for every fon and daughter, or other fervant not taking wages, one halfpenny; and for every fervant taking wages, twopence, if they receive the communion: bees, for every stock smothered

SWAINE against Pern.

or driven, whereof profit is taken, twopence: that the parson, or his farmer, or deputy, shall prepare on the Thursday before Easter a drinking or maundy for the parishioners presently after evening prayer, at the parsonage house, where they are to have bread, cakes, beer, almonds, raisins, sigs, stewed prunes, and such like : that the parson shall find a bull and a boar for the service of the township, and, if not, he is to be abridged of calves and pigs, unless he make allowance as it costs the party: wool the tenth. stone presently after the sheep is clipt, and if any sell their sheep out of the township after Candlemas Day before they are clipt, they are to pay for each sheep one penny: corn if bound, the tenth sheaf; if loose the tenth shock: hemp and semble the tenth sheaf when it is pulled, weathered, and thrashed: rape feed the tenth bushel ready dreffed, the parson allowing one penny for dreffing: wood the tenth tree when felled, except it be of twenty years growth: flax the tenth pottle when watered and bleached: that any stranger, occupying or feeding any ground, is to pay acreage after the custom of the field, as the inhabitants pay for mown ground, the fall at the parson's election: that if any person sow half a pound of onion seed, or above, the parson is to have the tenth bed, and if less, nothing : reed ground, for every year it is looked, cropped, or mown, one penny an acre; eggs at Easter, for every hen or duck, two eggs; for every cock or drake, three. The bill stated, that the said customs of tithing had been constantly used, as by the several reckoning books' of former parsons, and other evidence, appeared; that about the year 1621 divers differences about the faid customs happened, and by the mediation of the judge of the Isle of Ely and several justices, they were accommodated and reduced into writing and figned, by which the above customs appeared; that about 1681 the defendant Pern became rector, and received the faid rates and payments, until the year 1686, when he endeavoured to overthrow the same, and brought several vexatious suits in the bishop's court, and in this court, but which were accommodated; that the defendant Pern and the parishioners, about the nineteenth of April 1688, came to a further agreement of tithing over and besides the former customs, viz. that the inhabitants were to pay for every acre of fed ground in the parish of Thockonholt (not included) for herbage one penny an acre, or the fall at the parson's election; grounds sowed with clover or such like for feeding, to be accounted as feeding land, and not otherwise; and that if the parson neglected the fall in such year he was not to have the penny an acre, neither in the old grounds or in the new; that the faid agreement was reduced into writing; that shortly after, the defendant intending to avoid as well the customs as the agreement, demanded tithes in kind, and brought his bill in this court for that purpose against Swaine and others, which in Michaelmas Term 1691 came to a hearing, and the bill was difmissed with costs, and the said customs

SWAINE against PERN.

and agreement, after feveral evidences being read to prove the fame, were allowed and approved of; that Pern petitioned for a re-hearing, declaring that he did not intend to fet afide the cuftoms or agreement, but to have some matters explained; which zehearing was granted, and the cause was reheard in Hilary Term following, when the court ordered the plaintiff Swaine to account and pay for some sheep according to the modes; to pay for clover as feeding ground; and to confider the fall mentioned in the additional agreement to be of lambs, wool, and calves, and to account for the same accordingly; that the plaintiff Stvaine complied with the faid decree, and paid him his due for 1691, and hath his receipt; yet he, notwithstanding, again attempted, in the year following, to break through the customs, &c. and to get tithes in kind, and fued the above plaintiffs in the bishop's court, and obtained a judge ment for the treble value of tithes in kind (a). Therefore for relief the plaintiffs prayed the aid and affiftance of this court.

The defendant Pern, by his answer, said, that in 1602 he was inducted into the faid parish of Leverington; that he had in his possession a parchment writing, but that it is lost, or in the plaintiff's hands, but that it is not of sufficient force to establish those rates to be customs so as to debar him from claiming tithes in kind of milk and hay, and that there are several other rates not set forth in the parchment. He denied that the customs had been secretly sued for; but he admitted that the court dismissed his bill in 1691, and that it was reheard, and that he brought his action, &c. as in the bill is stated, and obtained judgment, not by furprife, but on a demurrer; and faid that the plaintiffs had brought a writ of error against the judgment.

The defendant Putter put in his answer, and said he believed the facts, &c. as the other defendant did.

The plaintiff replied to the defendant Pern's answer; and he rejoined; and divers witnesses were examined on both sides.

Upon opening the bill and answers, and upon hearing what could be alledged on both fides, and on long debate thereon, and reading the proofs and exhibits taken in the cause, and also the afore-mentioned cause, and the instrument and parchment writing, dated the twenty-ninth of April 1691, entitled a proscription of the accustomed payments of tithes to the parson of the faid parish, or to his farmer, or deputy, which have been continued time out of memory of man, and now renewed, confented unto, and agreed upon by the parson and parishioners of the faid town, dated as aforefaid,

IT IT HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED, that cause, Hil. 50 the faid ancient prescription and modus mentioned in the parch- Fountain.

See another Anne, Pern 🕶 SWAINE agairst Pern.

ment writing, dated the twenty-ninth of April 1621 aforesaid, and the additional agreement, dated the nineteenth of April 1688, do and shall stand ratified and confirmed, and be for ever established by the authority and decree of this Court, with these alterations and explanations following: that the modus of twopence, three halfpence, and one penny an acre, mentioned in the faid parchment writing for all mown grounds, is for and in lieu of all hay growing and cut within the faid places; that the twopence to be paid for every milch cow, and one penny tor every heifer, is for and in lieu of milk and all profits arifing by fach cow and heifer, except her calf kept within the said parish; that tithe lambs shall be paid in kind, as well those that fall after as before the first of May, respect being always had to the number of lambs, according and pursuant to the said prescription, modus, or parchment writing, to be delivered as therein. mentioned, fave those that fall after May Day, to be kept by the owner until a month old, and if longer, to be paid for keeping; that the fourpence to be paid every Easter by every householder or married man, is in lieu of all things what soever paid in other places, and not customable in Leverington, and shall be hereafter paid and received as an offering only; that all other persons, inhabiting within the faid parish of the age of sixteen and upwards, shall pay twopence every Easter as an offering, whether they receive the facrament or not; that the maundy or drinking, heretofore kept and provided by the minister of the faid parish for the parishioners on the Thursday before Easter, shall hereafter be had, kept, and provided for them upon the Thursday after Easter, at which time the parishioners are to reckon and account with the parson, for the time being, for their several moduses and dues for the preceding year, and then to have receipts, for what they so pay, if they require the same; that the tithes of cole seed, mustard feed, and turnip feed, upon lands tilled, ploughed, and fowed, or ordered to that purpose, shall be paid in the same manner and proportion as rape feed is by the ancient prescription mode, or parchment writing, faid to be payable; that madder, being a new improvement, shall be paid in kind; that for every mill for the grinding of corn within the faid parish, such modus or payment shall be paid as is and has been heretofore paid for the same; that due notice shall be given to the minister to take his tithes before any corn or grain be carried off the premises; that the weathering and thrashing of hemp and femble shall be construed, deemed, and taken to be for and in lieu of the seed; that the tithe of wood shall be paid by the tenth tree if felled of twenty years growth or under, which twenty years, if never felled before, is to be reckoned from the first planting, but if felled before, from the last felling thereof; that the woodfall mentioned in the additional agreement, dated 1688, shall be meant and intended the profits of wool, lambs, and calves, which the incumbent may take as above, abating the penny an acre for acreage

acreage of lands depastured and fed, whether with profitable or unprofitable cattle; but if the one penny an acre exceed the fall, or fuch profits, the incumbent may take the one penny an acre, and quit the fall or profits, viz. he may take which he will, but not both; that clover shall be paid for by the parishioners to the parson as feeding ground, and not otherwise, or in any other manner; that marriages, churchings, and mortuaries, shall be paid for as hitherto hath been used and accustomed: with which alterations and explanations the faid prescription or ancient modus specified in the writing, dated in 1621, and the faid additional agreement, dated in 1088, are hereby ordered, adjudged, and decreed, to be for ever hereafter observed, kept, and performed by all parties interested and concerned therein, according to the tenor and true meaning thereof.

SWAINE againfl

AND IT IS ALSO ORDERED, that the defendant Pern do and shall acquit and discharge the plaintiffs from the aforesaid mentioned judgments obtained against them by default, in regard it appears, that according to the ancient modus and customary tithing. nothing is due from them to the defendant; and for that purpose he shall sorthwith execute warrants of attorney to the plaintiff to acknowledge fatisfaction upon record of the judgments obtained; and that the faid defendants shall also deliver up to the plaintiff Swaine the bond given by him to the defendant Pulter to be cancelled; and that the fums of forty-four pounds, and forty pounds, brought into court by the plaintiffs, be forthwith paid to them, or to those whom they shall appoint.

> NICH. LECHMERE. John Turton. John Powell.

# BOOTHOUSE against HAYWARD.

Derbyshire, 20th June 1695.

THE plaintiff, as rector of Carlington, in the county of Derby, The rector of claims all the tithes of hay, &c. yearly arising therein.

7. WIL. 3.

TRIN. TERM.

Carfington, in the county of Derby. demands the tithe of herbage, or agistment for barren cattle.

The defendant admitted the plaintiff's right to all the tithes The defendant of hay, or to a rate for the same; but as to the feeding and fets up a modus depafturing of about one hundred and twenty acres of pafture milch cow, and ground, he insisted, that within the said parish there is a custom, id. for every that all occupiers and landholders dwelling within the faid parish barren cow; and thall pay to the rectors for the tithe of every milch cow and calf, that the payment three halfpence; and for every ftrapper or barren cow, one penny, of the tithe of com in kind exwith other dues yearly at Eafter; and that every landholder empts the landusing husbandry and getting corn within the said parish, for holders from the which they pay tithes in kind, have been freed and discharged tithe of agist-

BOSTHOUSE azainst HATWARD.

from payment of any tithe heritage or agistment for lands there depastured.

Upon debate of the matter, and it being infifted upon by the defendant's counsel, that by the custom within the parish aforefaid one penny had been paid for every strapper or barren cow, in lieu of tithe thereof.

The modus does barren cattle.

THE COURT doth declare, that the faid custom doth not exnot extend to tend to barren cattle bought in to be fed within the faid parish; and that the pretended custom, in the answer alledged, to be difcharged of tithe herbage was void.

The tithesof hay and the depafturing of barren cattle decreed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED AND DECREED, that the defendant shall satisfy the plaintiff for the value of the tithes of the yearly value of the several lands by the defendant depastured with barren and unprofitable cattle, and also for the value of the tithe: of the hay by him mowed and gotten in.

> EDW. WARD. Nich. Lechmere. LITTLETON POWIS. TOHN BLENCOWE.

TRIN. TERM, 7. WIL. 3.

ESTOPP and Others against PORTMAN; AND

PORTMAN against Moreton and Others.

Worcestersbire, 22d June 1695.

of the parish of of tithe fruit.

The inhabitants THE original bill flated, that the plaintiffs are feverally feifed of the parish of and perfectled of mellinges, lands, and bereditaments and possessed of messuages, lands, and hereditaments Ligh, in the lying in the parish of Leigh, in the county of Worcester, whereupon effer, file their are fruit trees of all forts growing; that in the faid parish there bil against the is a custom that the parishioners and occupiers of lands, who had sector, to esta- any fruit trees upon the lands they enjoyed in the faid parish, blish certain meshall yearly pay twopence, as a modus, in lieu and satisfaction of
dujes, and partieularly a modus of the tithe of all fruit growing upon the lands in their respective 2d. 2 year in lieu possessions, which payment is called Leighton money; that the tithes of the village of Brainsford, being part of the faid parish, are paid to the lord of the manor; that the faid modus of twopence hath been accepted by the rector in fatisfaction for tithe fruit; that tithe fruit hath never been paid in kind in the faid parish, or any satisfaction made for the same, other than by the faid medus called Leighton money; and that the defendant denies the existence of any such a modus. The bill therefore prayed, that the defendant may answer whether he hath not received the faid modus, and why he refuses the same; and that the plaintiffs may examine their witnesses in perpetuam ra memoriam.

The

The defendant infifted, that he ought to have tithe fruit in kind, and denied the existence of the modes, as stated in the bill, ABD OTHERS or of any other medas or fum of money in lieu of tithes in kind; that Leighton money is only in lieu of the tithe of fuel and gardens, and, as fuch, hath been received by the former rectors, and by the defendant, and not otherwise; that the planting of a very large number of the apple trees growing within the parish hath been within the memory of man, and therefore there can be no fuch modus; and although no tithe fruit hath been paid in kind nies the exist to the rectors, he denied, that there is any modus in lieu thereof, ence of any module. or that he or his predecessors did ever receive twopence, or any due, and infile other fum of money, or other thing, in lieu of fruit; and there. on tithes inkind. fore he refused to accept, and hoped he should not be compelled to accept, of the same.

ESTOFF. agains . PORTMAN; AND PORTMAN against MORETON

The defendant, by his cross bill, also stated, that he is entitled The rector files. to the great tithes of Brockman, a vill in the faid parish, and to all a cross bill, and manner of tithe of fruit, flax, hemp, hops, wood, bees, cows, the is entitled to all tithes, calves, lambs, wool, fuel, and garden stuff, which two last tithes except in Brainfare called Leighton money, and all small tithes within the rectory ford. (except in the township of Brainsford); that the defendants Moreton and Nott refused to pay the tithe of fruit, flax, and hemp in kind, and withhold the fame, with other tithes, on a pretence that there is a modus for the same.

The plaintiffs to the original bill, Moreton and Nott, by their The inhabitants answer insisted upon the several moduses, viz. the said twopence, set out the sevecalled Leighton money, in lieu of tithe fruit; one penny called ral moduses. the fmoke penny, in lieu of all tithe wood; one penny called garden penny, in lieu of things growing in the garden; one penny for each cow, in lieu of tithe milk; four shillings for a calf; and where there are not seven, or more, one halfpenny for a calf reared; eighteenpence for a calf fold; two shillings for a tithe lamb, and where there are not seven or more, a halfpenny for each lamb; and for a sheep fold unshorn between Candlemas and the time of fliearing, one penny.

The defendant Nott faid, that twopence is due for the fall of a colt, and infifted upon a modus of fourpence for the tithe of a pigeon-house.

The defendants said, that they had paid all their tithes and One of the dedues, fave the modus of twopence in lieu of tithe fruit, which fendants admits they tendered to the plaintiff, who refused the same, and also certain except what the defendant Moreton by his answer confessed due. was due from him to the plaintiff, viz. for the tithe of flax, one shilling; for hemp, fixpence; for a calf reared, one halfpenny; and for a sheep sold unshorn between Candlemas and the time of sheering, one penny; which he offered, by his answer, . to pay.

ESTOPP ND OTHERS against PORTMAN; AND PORTMAN against MORETON AND OTHERS. pinion, that a except

The plaintiffs and defendants replied and rejoined in both causes, and witnesses were examined; and upon opening the pleadings, and hearing counsel on both sides, and reading the proofs taken on both fides in these causes, and hearing what was alledged by counsel for all parties, it appeared to the Court, that there was within the faid parish a modus of a smoke penny payable in lieu of tithe for all wood burnt, and that there was no wood fold by the defendants: it also appeared, that there were The Court of o- fuch other moduses and customs in the said parish as the defendfmoke penny is ants have by their answers insisted upon : and it also appeared, payable in lieu that the faid Morton and Nott had duly paid the faid Portman of wood burnt; all their small tithes and dues, except the tithe of fruit, for which and that the dea they infifted that a modus was payable as aforefaid; and that they had had tendered the fame, which the Court thought proper for a paid their tithes, trial at law; and also except the said tithes confessed by the deshole fendant Moreton in his answer as aforesaid.

The cross bill as to tithe fruit.

confessed.

IT WAS THEREUPON ORDERED by the Court, that the cross bill dismissed, except of the said Portman be, and is hereby dismissed as to all other matters and things therein contained, except as to the tithe of

The tithes confeffed ordered to be paid.

And as to what is confessed by the defendant Moreton's answer to be due to Portman, the defendant Moreton is hereby ordered to pay, according to his offer in his answer, the said Portman having made no proof of any more tithe due to him from the faid Moreton than is confessed by his answer as aforesaid.

An issue directed to try the modus as to tithe fruit.

And as to the modus of twopence, called Leighton money, infifted on by the plaintiffs in the original cause to be payable in lieu of tithe fruit, it is ordered by the Court, that it be referred to a trial at law, the iffue to be, "Whether there is, and time " out of mind hath been, a custom within the said parish of Leigh, that the respective occupiers of lands within the said " parish have paid twopence yearly, called Leighton money, to " the rector of the faid parish for the time being, in discharge " of all tithe fruit growing upon the lands of fuch respective cupiers, or not?"

And it is further ordered, that the Lord Viscount Hereford, 2 defendant to the cross bill, be, and is hereby dismissed.

plaintiff The account of a defest in the issue.

These causes came on upon the equity reserved, when it was non-fuited, on infifted upon by the counsel for the plaintiffs Estopp and others, that at the trial an exception was taken, that the issue, as it was directed by the order, and drawn up, was against the plaintiffs Estopp and others, as it included all the tithes of the village of Brainsford, and the titheable places thereof, being within the faid parish of Leigh, which were payable to the Lord Viscount Hereford (or his tenants), who is lord of the manor of Leigh, and not to

the rector, by reason whereof the plaintiffs were forced to become nonfuited: whereupon, and on hearing counsel, and what AND OTHERS could be alledged on both fides, the issue was ordered to be amended, and a new trial had upon this issue, "Whether there is, and time out of mind hath been, a custom within the see faid parish of Leigh (except within the village or hamlet of Brainsford, and the titheable places thereof), that the respective occupiers of lands, titheable to the rector within the faid parish, have, time out of mind, paid, and used to pay, two- granted on an pence yearly, called Leighton money, to the rector of the said amended issue, parish for the time being, in discharge of all tithe fruit growing upon the lands of the faid respective occupiers titheable to the see faid rector, or not?" the nonfuit upon the former trial not to be given in evidence; and the confideration of the defendant Portman's costs for the said nonsuit to be reserved until the further hearing upon the equity referved, the Court declaring that the plaintiff shall not have costs for the same.

ESTOPP egainst PORTMAN; AND PORTMAN against MORRTON AND OTHERS.

A new trial was accordingly had; and upon hearing the causes A verdict in saon the eleventh of July 1696 upon the equity referved, it was your of the moinfifted by the plaintiff's counsel, that they had obtained a dur. verdict against the defendant Portman upon full evidence; whereupon, and upon hearing what was infifted upon by the defendant's counsel, and upon reading the record and posten of the faid trial, whereby it appeared, that the jury had found the custom as stated in the issue;

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that the de- The 2d. 2-year fendant Portman and his successors, rectors of the parish of Leigh decreed to be for the time being, do receive from the respective occupiers of the tithe of lands titheable to the said rector of the same parish, the yearly fruit; fum of twopence, called Leighton money, in lieu and fatisfaction of all tithe fruit growing upon the lands of the faid respective occupiers; that the faid cuitom or modus of twopence yearly, called and the faid me-Leighton money, payable in lieu of tithe fruit, be established, rati- dus confirmed. fied, and confirmed; that the cross bill do stand absolutely dismissed as to tithe fruit; and, by consent of all parties, that The rector to the defendant Portman shall pay to the plaintiffs forty pounds pay 401. costs. for the costs of these causes.

EDW. WARD. N. LECHMERE. LITTLETON Powis.

PHILLBRIDGE against ORD.

Northumberland, 20th June 1695.

TRIN. TERM, 7. WIL. 3.

HE vicar of Chatton, in the county of Northumberland, claimed The landholders tithes in kind.

of Chatton, in Northumberland,

pay to the vicar a modus of 5s, a-year in lieu of tithe hay, and another modus of 5s, a-year in lieu of all other vicarial tithes.

The

350

PRILLERIDGE against ORD.

The defendants infifted on two modufes.

A trial at law was directed on these two issues:

FIRST, Whether there be a modus of five shillings payable yearly in full fatisfaction of all tithe hay for the grounds occupied by the defendants within the faid parish?

SECONDLY, Whether there be a modus of five shillings payable yearly in full of all finall tithes due from the defendants to the plaintiff?

A verdict was given for the defendants on both the iffues; and upon reading the postea, and hearing counsel for the defendants; and the plaintiff's counsel praying a rehearing upon affidavit; and on full debate of the matter;

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED, on the fixth of December 1695, that the defendant Ord (the other defendant being dead) shall pay to the plaintiff three pounds, five shillings, in full of the modus of five shillings a-year for thirteen years, in lieu of tithe hay of his lands held within the faid parish, due at the time when the bill was filed; and also three pounds, five shillings, being in full of the modus of five shillings in lieu of his other and finall tithes for the faid time; and that the plaintiff do pay to the defendant moderate costs, to be taxed by the deputy remem-brancer.

> Edw. WARD. NICH. LECHMERE. John Powell. LITTLETON: Powis.

TRIN. TERM, 7. WIL. 3.

BEVAN against WILLIAMS.

Glamorgansbire, 20th June 1695.

The leffees of THE leffees of H. Bowers, vicar of Cadoxton, in the county of the vicarage of Glamorgan, claim tithes in kind. Cadexson, in Glamorganstire, claim the tithes of calves in kind.

The defendant he had tendered.

The defendant faid, that he had accounted with the plaintiffs, says, that he had as farmers of the said vicarage, and paid them all their demands only fix calves, for all manner of tithes and duties due to the vicar, except for and that the the tithe of fix calves which he had in the year 1691, and which, a halfpenny for by the custom of the said parish, was threepence, viz. a halfeach calf, which penny for each calf; which fum he had tendered to the plaintiffs; and denied that any more was due; that he occupied three tenements which were heretofore held by three persons; and that, by the custom of the parish, the calf is titheable where it falls, and not where it is weaned, and also the lambs; that tithes of cheefe,

check, and the profits of cows, are due to the parson, and not to the vicar; and that he had ten mileh cows, for which he paid tithes to the impropriators, to whom the same belong.

BRVAN against WILLIAMS.

The plaintiffs replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses The modus dewere examined on both fides; and upon reading the depositions clared good, and of several witnesses, it appeared to the Court, that the defendant the bill vexatihad duly paid all his small tithes to the plaintiff, except for the faid fix calves, and that for the tithes of them were only the faid customary payment of a halfpenny for each calf, which the defendant had tendered to the plaintiffs before the filing of their bill; and this being the only matter the plaintiff's counsel infifted upon, it was thought fo minute and frivolous, that the Court declared the bill to be vexatious; and ordered, that the bill be The bill dismissdifmiffed with good costs for the unjust vexation.

ed, with good

EDW. WARD. JOHN TURTON. John Powell.

#### RODD and Others against BISHOPP.

TRIN. TERM. 7. WIL. 3.

### Devonsbire, 22d June 1695.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiffs have been, and then were Several meduja feifed of and interested in divers lands and tenements in the parish of within the parish of Stoake Cannon, in the county of Devon; that within the parish and vicarage there are, and, from the time Devon, establishwhereof the memory of man is not to the contrary, have been, ed, in lieu of several manners of tithing, viz. for the tithes of milk and other vicatial tithes. dues (except for the calf) of every cow within the faid vicarage yearly, fourpence; for every calf yearly, fourpence; for every heifer, steer, and every barren bullock, yearly, twopence; for the tithes of every garden, and the herbs, fruit, and garden stuff therein growing yearly, one penny; and for the tithes of wood cut and felled within the faid parish, by every owner of fuch wood, one penny yearly; that the defendant, for twenty years past, hath been vicar there, and during all that time hath accepted the faid customs; that the plaintiff's witnesses, being dead, old, and infirm, the defendant demands tithes in kind, and endeavours to destroy the customs. The bill therefore prayed, that the plaintiff might have a difcovery of the customs aforesaid, and that the manner of tithing within the said vicarage, might be established by the decree of this court.

The defendant admitted a modus of one penny payable for the tithes of every garden, and the pot-herbs and roots growing therein, and used in the houses only; and also twopence to be payable yearly for every heifer, vewer, and barren cow, within the said vicarage or curacy endowed; and all

Rops AND OTHERS against BISHOPP.

other the customs as in the bill stated, to be payable in lieu of the titheable matters in the bill fet-forth; and stated that twenty years fince he became vicar or curate of the faid parish by gift of the dean and chapter of Exeter.

It is ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court, upon hearing counsel on both fides, and reading depositions for the plaintiffs, that the faid moduses of one penny and twopence, as admitted by the defendant's answer, and the said several other and respective customs and manner of tithing due and payable for the feveral and respective titheable matters, as in the bill mentioned, are good, and shall be, and are hereby ratified and confirmed.

> WARD, Chief Baron. TURTON, Baron. Powell, Baron.

TRIM. TRRM. 7. WIL. 3.

# Ansell against Adman.

Kent, 6th June 1695.

ancient mill.

No tithes are THE bill charged, that the defendant, for fourteen years past, due for corn had held and occupied two water corn mills within the ground at an parish of Stowling, in the county of Kent, where he yearly ground corn and grain; for which mills the plaintiff, as rector, claimed tithes to be due to him for and in respect of corn and grain there ground.

> The defendant faid, that during the faid time he had held and used an ancient water mill, wherein he ground wheat and other grain, and also a new mill for the grinding of oats, malt, and barley; and that the tithes of the faid new mill were not worth above five shillings; and he denied that any tithe is due for the ancient mill.

> The only question was, Whether tithes were due for the ancient mill?

THE COURT, upon debate of the matter, and reading the depositions of several witnesses examined on both sides, was of opinion, that tithes were not due to the plaintiff for the faid ancient mill.

It is ordered and decreed, that the defendant shall pay to the plaintiff five shillings for the tithes of the new mill; and as to the tithes of the faid ancient mill, the bill is dismissed.

MITCHELL

# MITCHELL against Brogden. Yorksbire, 22d June 1695.

7. WIL. 3.

THE bill stated, that B. Walmesley being seised in see, or of The impropriasome other good estate of inheritance in see simple, of and tor of the recin the rectory impropriate of Gillkirke, with the appurtenances, in the county of York, by indenture dated the fivel of Only in the county of in the county of York, by indenture dated the fixth of October, in Took, the fourth year of James the Second, demised the said rectory, tithes in kind. with the appurtenances, to the plaintiff, to hold for nine years, at fifty-five pounds a-year; that immediately after making the faid lease, the plaintiff entered, and became possessed of the said rectory and premises, and was thereby entitled to all the tithes yearly arising, &c. within the said rectory and premises; and that the fame had been duly paid to the plaintiff, except for the two years last past; that the defendant, for the said years, was an inhabitant within the precincts of the faid rectory, and was occupier of arable land, which was fown with wheat, rye, barley, oats, peas, and other grain, the tithes whereof were worth, in each year, ten pounds, and ought to have been fet out and paid in kind; but that the defendant refused to set out the same, pretending that the faid lands were part of the possessions of Kirstall Abbey. The bill further stated, that the defendant was and is only lessee for a year, or at will, of the lands he held, upon the demife or contract of one Clegg; that, in the year 1666, the defendant refusing to pay his tithes for the said lands, an action was brought against him on the statute, by Mr. Marsden, the then farmer of the faid rectory, for subtracting his tithes; that a verdict was obtained against him; and that from that time he had acquiesced until the aforesaid two years.

The defendant said, that he and his predecessors had, for The defendant thirty years past, been seised in see of thirty acres of arable, says, that his meadow, and pasture ground, in the manor of Bernoldswicke, lands lie in the within the said rectory; that the same were, and since the first moldswicke, with Lateran council had been, exempted from the payment of tithes, in the faid recthe lands having been heretofore part of the inheritance of tory; and that Henry De Lacey, then Earl of Lincoln, who, in the year 1147, the faid manor fettled the same on the abbey of Kirstall for ever; which abbey, from tithes, as being of the yearly value of three hundred and twenty-nine having belonged pounds, twelve shillings, and elevenpence, was not dissolved till to the abbey of the statute of 31. Hen. 8.; that about the year 1150, the said Kirfall. monastery, being of the Cistertian order, was, by Pope Adrian the Fourth, exempted from the payment of tithes, and continued so exempt until it came, so discharged of tithes, to the hands of King Henry the Eighth; that the said lands, so exempted from all payment of tithes, came to the defendant by mefne conveyances from THE CROWN; and for that reason he had resused to pay the tithes thereof; that he knew nothing of the lease mentioned in the Vol. I, bill,

MITCHELL against Brogden.

bill, or that the plaintiff was entitled to all tithes of the rectory; that there are in the faid rectory several messuages and lands exempted from the payment of tithes; and that, for the space of two years before the bill was filed, he hath been an inhabitant in Bernoldswicke, within the precincts of the said rectory; and he set forth the quantity and values of his tithes in the faid two years; but refused to pay tithes in kind for any of the lands he was so seised and possessed of within the faid rectory, for the reason asoresaid. The answer also stated, that the said lands were not in THE CROWN before the thirty-first year of Henry the Eighth; that they were not any part or parcel of the duchy of Lancaster; that he had not any ancient grants, evidences, or trials at law, whereby it appeared, that his faid lands were never in the abbey; but that, on the contrary, it appeared, by certain grants, when and at what time the lands came to the abbey. He denied that he had rented any other lands, nor did he remember the action in the bill mentioned at the fuit of Marsden; but said, that if there was any such verdict, it was got by furprize. He further faid, that feveral actions had been brought against him and others for tithes, and that notice of trial was given, but that they were in general tried by furprize.

fall;

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and divers evidence it ap- witnesses were examined, and records proved on both sides; and peared, that the manor of Berof Aberlands and evidences on the part midjevicke was of the defendant, and of the plaintiff, it appeared to the Court. parcel of the that Henry the Sinth, in the twenty-third year of his reign, ducby of Lancas- by a deed under the duchy seal, reciting, that the said manor of ter, and never Bernoldswicke was parcel of the duchy of Lancaster, granted the belonged to the Bernoldswicke was parcel of the Archistan of Canterbury and abbey of Kir. fame, amongst other things, to the Archbifbop of Canterbury and York, and others, and their heirs, which grant was afterwards, in the twenty-fourth year of the faid king, confirmed by act of parliament, and declared to be for the performance of the king's will: it also appeared, that both the said grant and the confirmation were afterwards, in the first year of Edward the Fourth. by an act of refumption, avoided and repealed, whereby the faid manor of Bernoldswicke was vested in Edward the Fourth, in right of his duchy of Lancaster: it likewise appeared, by the minister's accounts for the faid duchy, that pursuant to the act of refumption the fum of fixty pounds, fix shillings, and eightpence rent is accounted for as due for the manor of Bernoldswicke for one year, beginning at Michaelmas, in the first year of Edward the Fourth, and ending at Michaelmas, in the fecond year of the faid king, upon a lease for twenty-one years, made by Richard Nevill, then Earl of Warwick, chief steward of the faid duchy, to Sir John Huddleston, Knt.; and that in divers other subsequent years of the fame king, the like account is made for the faid manor of Bernoldswicke; whereby, and by other proofs on the plaintiff's part, it appeared to the Court, that although anciently the faid rectory of Gillkirke might be parcel of the possessions of the

the faid abbey, yet that the faid manor of Bernoldswicke never belonged to the faid abbey, but was parcel of the possessions of the ducky of Lancaster; and that long before the diffolution of abbies in King Henry the Eighth's reign, the said manor had been, by feveral kings before that time, granted to divers persons, by the name and as parcel of the possessions of the ducky of Lanenfler, rendering the yearly rent, sometimes of fixty pounds, and sometimes of eighty pounds and upwards, with several increases of rents. And upon long debate of the matter, and hearing what could be alledged on both fides,

MITCHILL agains Brogger.

It is ordered, adjudged, and decreed, that the defendant and do account for and pay to the plaintiff his arrears of tithes due tithes of the faid for the lands and tenements in question, for and during the said creed. two years demanded by the bill, or the value thereof, with costs; and it is referred to the deputy remembrancer to take the faid account, and report the same.

Edw. WARD. JOHN TURTON. JOHN POWELL.

#### WILKINSON against Newsom and Others. Yorksbire, 20th February 1695.

HILARY TERM 7. WIL. 3.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff was impropriator of the The impropriatithes of corn, grain, and hay, within the township of Lang- tor of Kirkly thorpe, being part of the impropriate rectory of Kirkby Super the county of Montem, in the county of York; and that the tithes within the York, claims the faid township had constantly, for many years, been paid to him tithes of the in kind, and to several others under whom he claimed the said township tithes.

The defendants infifted, that they ought not to pay tithes for The defendants their lands in Langthorpe, for that the abbot or prior of New- fay, their lands, brough was scised in see, in right of the monastery, of and in the cel of the molands in the possession of the defendants, as parcel of the possession nastery of Newfions of the faid monastery, until the diffolution of the said brough, are tithe abbey; that the faid abbot and all his predecessors, and the convent thereof, were of the Ciftertian order; and that therefore the faid lands were freed and discharged from the payment of all tithes growing thereon, as long as they were in the owner's hands, and not to let to farm & that the lands, and other the possessions of the said abbey (being one of the greater abbeys) came to THE CROWN, by the furrender of the abbot, before the statute 31. Hen. 8.; and that, by force of the said statute, the defendants, claiming their lands under the crown, and having the fame in their own hands, ought to enjoy the faid lands discharged of tithes, in as ample a manner as the late abbot or prior enjoyed the fame at the time of the faid diffolution, as long as the fame are kept in their own hands,

Aaq

Upon

356

WILKINSON against NEWSOM AND OTHERS.

But it appearing that tithes had for many years Langtterpe,

Upon reading a copy of the furrender of the said abbey or priory of Newbrough dated the twenty-second of January, in the thirtieth year of Henry the Fighth; and also a copy of the minifter's accounts of the possessions of the said abbey or priory, in the thirty-first year of the reign of the said king; and also upon reading the depositions of divers witnesses taken on the been paid for plaintiff's part, whereby it appeared, that tithes in kind h.d, for the lands in many years, been paid to the plaintiff, and to those under whom he claimed, for the said defendant's lands in Langthorpe, as well when in the hands of the owners as when in the hands of the tenants thereof;

tithes in kind are decreed.

THE COURT declared, that tithes in kind were due for the faid defendant's lands, and that the same ought to have been paid to the plaintiff for the time demanded by the bill; and decreed the fame accordingly.

HILARY TERM 7. WIL. 3.

#### HAWKINS against CHITTLE.

Berkshire, 20th February 1695.

The impropriator of Thacham and Henroick, in Berksbire, claims tithes in kind.

THE plaintiff, as farmer and owner of the tithes of corn and hay, glebe lands, profits, and emoluments belonging to the impropriate rectory or parsonage of Thacham and Henwick, in the county of Berks, stated, that for three years past he had been, and then was, farmer and owner of the tithes aforefaid, and entitled to receive the fame; that the defendant, for the faid years, was occupier of arable and meadow land, and had therefrom corn, grafs. and hay, for which he ought to have paid tithes to the plaintiff, or some composition for the same, but that he refused so to do.

The defendant fays, that the lands out of which tithes are claimed are parlands belonging so the vicar, and therefore propriator.

The defendant said, that neither the proprietor nor farmer of the parsonage is entitled to any tithes of the vicar's glebe lands, nor to the tithes of any kind of blades or feeds fown in orchards, cel of the glebe curtilages, or gardens in the faid parish; but he believed that the farmers and owners of the faid parith have, for many years past, been entitled to, and ought to receive from all occupiers of lands subject to pay and tenements therein, all tithes of corn and hay, except the tithes to the im- vicar's tithes as aforefaid; which glebe lands, whether in the hands of the vicar or his farmers, have been, time out of mind, exempt from payment of any tithes whatfoever to the parson or his farmers, by prescription or ancient composition, the said lands being allotted for the maintenance of the vicar; that during the faid years he was occupier of a croft of arable land in Henwick, called High Close, parcel of the vicar's glebe lands; and therefore he did not fet out the tithes thereof.

> The only question was, Whether tithes were due to the plaintiff for the faid High Close, parcel of the glebe belonging to the vicarage of Thackam, the same being let out to a tenant, and not in the vicar's own hands?

> > THE

THE COURT, upon debate, took time to confider thereof, being of opinion, that the vicar was endowed, not only with the faid glebe land, but also with the tithes thereof.

HAWKING against CHITTLE.

It appeared by the proofs in the cause, that part of the vicar's glebe was formerly in a tenant's hands, and that no tithes were then paid to the impropriator for the same.

THE COURT thereupon ordered, that the faid bill be absolutely The Court of odismissed, but without costs, as to the demand for the tithes of pinion, that the **the** glebe land (a).

vicar is endowed with the tithes as well as the land.

But the defendant's counsel insisting, that the plaintiff had, by his bill, also demanded tithes out of other the defendant's lands, and put the defendant to charges to prove the payment. thereof,

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the defendant, as to the faid Coffs. other matters by the bill demanded against him, shall have his cofts.

(a) See Blinko v. Barksdale, Cro. Eliz. 578. Harris v Cotton, Hob. 188. Saunders v. Ryall, Rayner, 71. and the above case of Hawkins v. Chittle, Rayn. 77. in which it is faid, "that because 66 it appeared that the glebe had been

" before leafed, and that the leffre had 44 not paid tithes, the Court prefumed, " that the non-payment was evidence " that the land was discharged of tithes " by the endowment; and therefore " dismissed the bill."

# Stephens against Martin and Others.

#### Cambridgesbire, 20th February 1693.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff is, and hath been ever since The vicar of Lynton, in the the year 1693, vicar of Lynton, in the county of Cambridge, county of Camand is thereby entitled to the tithes of carrot roots, turnips fowed bridge, and howed, parsnips, apples, pears, plumbs, calves, sheep, lambs, tithes of peas, wool, milk, and to all other small tithes whatsoever; that the carrots, and turdefendants, in the year 1694, were severally inhabitants, owners, and occupiers of feveral parcels of lands and grounds which were S. C. Rayn. 82. plowed, fowed, and hoed with peafe, turnips, carrot roots, and other garden stuff, the tithe whereof did of right belong to the faid vicar.

The defendants denied that he, as vicar, ought to enjoy the fay, the peas, tithes of carrot roots, turnips, or peafe, or any other tithes, fave carrots, and turwhat are expressed in the endowment; and said, that the master nips, were sowand scholars of Pembroke Hall, in Cambridge, were the proprietors ed in the comof the rectory impropriate of Lynton; that in 1473, some con-mon fields, and troversies arising between the master and scholars of the said and therefore the college and one T. Green, then vicar of the faid vicarage, touching tithes thereof do the mansion-house and some tithes then supposed to belong to not belong to the faid vicarage, they, by the affent of the then bishop, for the vicar, but to quieting and appearing those differences, and declaring and fet-

HILARY TERM . 7. WIL. 3.

Aag

STEPRENS

againft

MARTIN

AND OTHERS

tling the rights of the vicar for the future, did mutually execute certain indentures, dated the eighteenth of June 1473, as in therein mentioned; that no carrot roots, turnips, or garden peafe, till within fixty years last past, were ever fowed or hoed in any of the closes or common field lands within the faid parish; that one Mayo was the first man that sowed the same; which being found very profitable in husbandry, the example was followed by others in the faid parish; that the proprietors, or their farmers, of the faid rectory did collect and receive tithes thereof, or some composition for the same; that for several years last past, and in the faid year 1694, there have been, in the closes and common fields within the faid parish, many acres sowed and hoed with carrots, garden or hasting peas, and turnips; which lands always before that time have, or ought to have paid tithes to the rector or farmers of the faid rectory, and make up a confiderable part of the titheable lands of the faid parish. The defendants further fet forth their quantities of tithes, and the several things for which they had paid tithes; and infifted, that they used to pay the farmer of the rectory of Lynton after the rate of ten shillings for every acre fowed with peas and turnips in the same year; fix shillings an acre for what was sowed with peas only; four shillings for turnips; and four shillings for carrots; which rates they believed were fometimes more than the worth of the tithes; and faid, that they have not paid the same as yet, but that they are willing to pay them to whom the Court shall adjudge the fame of right to be due and payable.

and infift on a

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both sides; and upon reading the composition between the master and scholars and the said Green dated the eighteenth of June 1673 before mentioned, and also a confirmation thereof by the then Bishop of Ely as ordinary; and likewise several proofs taken in the cause, and upon mature and deliberate debate of the whole;

The Court of opinion, that peas managed with the hoe belong to the vicar.

THE COURT is of opinion, and doth declare, that the tithes of garden or hasting peas, whether set or sown, which are managed with the hoe, do belong to the vicar, and not to the impropriator (v); and that the tithes of carrot roots and turnips do also belong to the vicar there, and not to the impropriator or his farmer; wherefore

The tithes of the peas, carrots, and turnips, decreed accordingly.

It is ordered and decreed, that the defendants shall pay to the plaintiff, vicar of Lynton aforesaid, the tithes of all such garden or hasting peas that have been by them, or by their order, set or sowed, and managed by the hoe as aforesaid, in the said parish and the titheable places thereof; and that the defendants do

the case of Grimley w Birt, Bunb. 170. and post, Trinity Term, 11. Geo. 1.

feverally

<sup>(</sup>a) See the case of Nicholas v. Elliot, Bunb. 19. and post. Hilary Term, 19. Anne, and Hilary, 4. Geo. 1.; and

severally account for the tithes of such pease; and also for the tithes of turnips and carrot roots, and all other titheable matters and things due to the plaintiff from the said defendants for 1694, according to their answer and the proofs taken in the cause; which said account is hereby directed to be taken by the deputy remembrancer, who is to report the same; and the said defendants shall pey moderate costs throughout; the costs to be taxed by the faid deputy.

STEPRENS against MARTIN AND OTHERS.

EDW. WARD. NICH. LECHMERE. LITTLETON POWIS.

# BOWYER against GIBBS; et è Contra. Surry, 21st February 1695.

HILARY TERM 7. WIL. 3.

"HE bill stated, that the plaintiff, for three years last past, had The impropriabeen, and still is, impropriator of the rectory and parsonage tor, of Camberof Camberwell, in the county of Surry, and entitled to the tithes county of Surry, of corn and wood arising therein; that the defendant, for three is entitled to the years past, held two hundred acres of woodland in the said parish, tithe of titheable and had cut and carried away the wood and underwood growing wood felled in a therein, the tithes whereof were worth eighty pounds; that he wood called Pecknan's Wood, ought to have paid the same to the plaintiff, his right and title part of the mathereto having been decreed in this court by a decree to which nor of Dulwich, the defendant was a party; but that he had refused to pay the and belonging to faid tithes.

Dulwich College,

The defendant faid, that, about the year 1691, he bought of the of corn, &c. College of Dulwich a wood called Peckman's Wood, within the lands where such rectory and parish aforesaid, containing about fifty acres; that he wood formerly cut down wood therein growing, at two falls, in the years 1692 grew. and 1603, and made the fame into bavins and flack wood, and fold and disposed thereof, without setting out the tithes, or making any fatisfaction for the fame; that he could not fet forth the quantities, but believed the tithe thereof was worth fourteen shillings an acre; that Peckman's Wood was part of the possessions of the abbey of Bermond/ey, which was one of the greater abbeys dissolved by the statute 31. Hen. 8. c. 13. and that by virtue thereof, or by unity, privilege, or other legal discharge, the same is exempted from the payment of tithes; that a great part of the said wood consisted of runts, standards, and stadles of twenty, thirty, and forty years growth; and that the faggots and stackwood made of them were not titheable.

The defendant Gibbs, and the master, warden, fellows, poor The college file brothers, fifters, and scholars of God's Gift College, in Dulwich, a cross bill, filed their cross bill against the plaintiff Bowyer, stating, that there was fuch a bill exhibited against them by the plaintist; that they put in an answer thereto, and insisted upon an exemption and A 2 4

Bowven
againft
Gines;
at à Contra.

discharge from the payment of tithes; that the said master, &c. infifted that their woods are tithe free; and to the end that the exemption might be determined by a trial at law, and the college and their tenants quieted therein, they exhibited their bill, alledging, that the faid woods were formerly part of the abbey of Bermondsey, and in the abbot's hands at the time of the diffolution; that this abbey was one of the greater abbies, and was vested in the crown by the statute 31. Hen. 8. c. 13.; that the faid king, in the thirty-fixth year of his reign, granted the manor of Dulwich to T. Calton and his heirs; that his heir in the fourth year of James the First, granted the same to E. Allen; that E. Allen, in the seventeenth year of the said King James, sounded the faid college; that the mafter. &c. of the faid college having fold Peckman's Wood to the faid Gibbs, he, in the year 1693, felled and converted the same to his own use, without setting out the tithes thereof, inalmuch as the usage of the payment or nonpayment of tithes fince the diffolution is that which evidences the right to the tithes in demand. The cross bill then proceeded to alledge, that fince the diffolution of the faid abbey, while the faid wood remained in the crown, and while T. Calton and his heir were owners thereof, until about the latter end of the reign of James the First, a period of about eighty years, no tithes were paid or claimed for the college woods, and that the enjoyment of the faid exemption co-operating with the statute was a sufficient discharge; that the payment of the tithes, or the compofitions for the same, which had been since made, were made and paid in an illegal manner, and in consequence of sorce and violence used by the said Bowyer and his ancestors to gain a possession, or by colour of certain orders of the court of wards, or by particular agreements between the faid Bowyer's ancestors and the college, in all which orders and agreements the right of exemption was always faved; that Edward Allen, the founder of the college, having grubbed up fome of the college woods, Sir E. Bowyer, the now impropriator's ancestor, demanded tithes of the corn fown thereon; that the faid matter was referred to the then attorney general; but that both of them died before any thing was done upon the faid reference; that after the death of Sir E. Bowyer, informations were exhibited in this Court to compel the tenants of the manor of Dulwich to pay tithes to the committee of the ward, young Sir Edmund Bowyer, and orders and injunctions were made against M. Allen, then master of the college, for payment of tithe wood, but in fuch orders the right of the college was faved as to the tithe wood; and that if, upon a trial, it should appear to be in the college, the tenants were to have a recompence; that in order to have such their rights tried, the master, &c. of the said college, in the year 1637, exhibited their bill in chancery against Sir E. Bowyer, who answered, and witnesses were examined, and the cause heard, and an issue at law directed to try the exemption, but that no fuch iffue was ever tried;

tried; that fince that period they had made application to the now defendant Bowyer for a trial, the statutes of their college requiring it; and that as they have no other way of being defended in their right but by the protection of this court, they hope the Court will direct a trial at law. The faid Gibbs and the college therefore, by their cross bill, prayed, that the defendant Bowyer might answer the premises, and discover what wood was carried away for tithe, and what papers and writings he had in his custody concerning the tithes in question, and particularly an affidavit of F. Calton, sworn in chancery, the twentythird of November 1632; and that a trial at law be directed.

BOWTER against GIBBE ; et è Contra

Bowyer answered and said, that he is impropriator of the The answer. rectory of Camberwell, and that he had exhibited his bill in this court as above alledged, which is yet depending; but denied that the college had till of late claimed the faid woods to be tithe free, and averred that they had paid tithes or made composition for the same, as he had proved in a former suit in this court against the said Gibbs and others, wherein he had a decree for the payment of the tithes now demanded; that as long as he could remember the college had paid a composition for the said tithes to his father, and fince to him; that Dr. John Allen becoming mafter of the faid college, he, about the year 1678. caused some of the tenants to dispute the tithes; and thereupon he had brought an action upon the statute against one Starkey, a tenant of the college, and upon full evidence obtained a verdict for the tithes of the wood land; that thereupon the said John Allen obtained a lease of the tithes from him the faid Bowyer at an annual rent; that afterwards the present master of the said college again litigated the right, but that he the faid Bowyer had obtained a decree, which he hoped would have fatisfied him, especially as the faid decree had been affirmed upon a bill of review, and the money paid. Bowyer confessed, that the woods were part of the possessions of the abbey of Bermondsey; but averred, that they were not in the abbot's hands at the diffolution; that he knows not by what statute they were diffolved, nor how they came to the Caltons or to the college, but believed that Edward Allen founded the college; that the college leased the woods to the plaintiff Gibbs; and that the same were not tithe free in the hands of the abbot. or of the crown, or of the Caltons, but that tithes were constantly paid, or some composition made for the same, in the same manner as for the rest of the manor of Dulwich, for which tithes have been constantly paid; that Gibbs had agreed with the said Bowyer's agent about setting out the tithes of wood taken away, and that an allowance had been made for it in the decree; that he believed the tithes taken by his ancestors was without violence, and that he knew not what orders were obtained in the court of wards, nor what transactions were between Sir E. Bowyer and the founder of the college, nor what reference

Bowter against Gibbs; et à Contra. was ever made respecting the tithes; neither hath he any agreement or copy thereof, nor other papers, or affidavit that evidence the exemption; but that, on the contrary, he hath many papers that manifest the payment of the tithes; that he believed there was a suit in chancery, and that witnesses were examined therein, which proved the payment of tithes for forty years before 1637, which he made use of at the last hearing in this court; that the plaintiss did treat with him about a composition for the tithes of the college woods; and that he made them reasonable offers; which they not accepting of, he unwillingly sued them and recovered; but that notwithstanding such recovery they will not pay their tithes.

The plaintiffs in both causes replied; the defendants rejoined; and divers witnesses were examined; and on the fourth day of January last an order was obtained, that both causes should come on together, and for reading several depositions, orders, and proceedings in the different causes, and the depositions taken therein, and for the desendants to appear gratis.

Upon hearing counsel for all parties, and the defendants, the college, infifting, that the faid Peckman's Wood, out of which the faid plaintiff demands tithe wood, and all other the woods of the faid college, were part of the demesnes of the abbey of Bermondsey, and in the abbot's hands at the diffolution, and were therefore exempt from payment of tithes, the said abbey being one of the greater abbeys; and upon reading the defendant's proofs for making out the faid exemption, that is to fay, an indenture, dated the fixth of May, in the thirty-eighth year of Henry the Eighth, made by the abbot of Bermondsey to J. Scott, wherein there was an exception of "Dulwich common woods;" and a copy of a particular made in the thirty-fixth year of Henry the Eighth, remaining in the augmentation office, upon the purchase made by Calten of the crown of the manor of Dulwich; and the account of T. R. Woodward of the faid King Henry the Eighth, in the thirtyfixth year of his reign; and a bill and answer in the court of wards, in the fixth year of Charles the First, at the fuit of Sir W. Pre against Fox and others; and an order in the said cause made the fixteenth of May, in the seventeenth year of Charles the First; and a writing, dated the twenty-ninth of April 1026, figured by E. Bowser and E. Allen, the master of the said college, whereby certain matters then in difference between them, touching the tithes of three parcels of grubbed land called Coker's, were reterred; and the depositions of divers witnesses taken in chancery in 1638 in a cause, The College of Dulwich v. Bowyer; and an order made in chancery in the same cause, the twenty-fixth of January 1638, directing a trial at law touching the tithes of woodlands and other lands then in question; also on reading articles of agreement, dated the ninth of May 1642, made between

between Sir E. Bowyer and the college, whereby the college agreed to pay five pounds a-year for the tithes of the woods and other lands therein mentioned; and also the depositions of divers witnesses examined in the cause formerly depending in this court, of Bowyer v. Barrett, touching tithe wood of woodlands belonging to the said college; and also the decree made in the above cause, dated the fifth of December, in the sourth year of this king (a); also the depositions examined in this cause; and also the depositions taken in the court of chancery mentioned aforesaid; and after long debate of the matter, and hearing what was alledged by counsel on either side, the counsel for the defendant Gibbs and the college prayed a trial at law upon the said exemption.

Bowren
agai of
Ginite;
at & Coutra,

But for that it appeared to the Court, by the said order of the court of wards of the fixteenth of May, in the seventh year of Charles the First, that the tithe of corn was paid for the demesse lands of the said abbey; and the said college, having accepted of a lease made in 1642, at the rent of five pounds a-year, then yielded up the right of the said tithe wood, and the payment having gone accordingly till 1680, and there having been a trial at law since, in an action brought by the now plaintist against J. Starkey, for the tithes of corn growing on the college land, which was formerly woodland, and grubbed up, wherein, upon a full evidence, a verdict passed for the plaintist; and the then master, Dr. J. Allen, having thereupon taken a lease of the tithes of the college woods, at a rent, of the plaintist, and paid the rent accordingly. The matter not appearing doubtful to the Court, so as to direct any trial at law herein,

IT IS THEREUPON FINALLY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED, that the faid defendant Gibbs shall account with and fatisfy the plaintiff Bowner for the tithes of the wood by him selled and cut in the said wood called Peckman's Wood, in the several years in the bill mentioned, together with moderate costs to be taxed for the said plaintiff.

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, by consent of both parties, that the tithes of the said wood felled by the said defendant in

(a) This cause came on 4th February 1691, in Hilary Term, 3. Will. & Mary. The object of the bill was to discover what wood the desendant Earret had selled from the wood grounds and coppices in the parish of Camberwell, and what quantities of saggets, stackwood, and runts, he had made of the same, and to have the tithes thereof. The desendant consessed, that he had selled the wood called the Fifty Acres, which he had bought of the college; and insisted, that it was part of the abbey of Bermendiry.

and therefore tithe free. The Court, however, on reading, among other evidences, the proceedings in a fixit in chancery between the college and the prefent plaintiff's father, concerning the faid wood; and also a verdict at law in a cause of Bowyer v. Starkey; and the lease made by the college in J and 1681; were fully satisfied, that the t ighes of the wood in question were due to the plaintiff, and decreed the same go be paid accordingly.

19eckman's

BOWYER agrainst GIBBS ; et è Contra.

Peckman's Wood, and the tithe of all other the woods felled by the defendant in the college woods for all the time fince the last decree, shall be computed after the rate of fix pounds per annum; which, for all the faid time from the making the faid last decree to this time, amount to thirty pounds; the same is, by the like consent, ordered and decreed to be by the said defendant Gibbs forthwith paid to the plaintiff Bowyer.

And it is further ordered by the Court, that the cross bill of the defendant Gibbs and the college be dismissed, but without

And it being proposed by the Court, for the final determination of all differences between the plaintiff Bowyer and the faid college, that the plaintiff, who declared himself only tenant for life, should make the college a lease for years, determinable upon his death, of the tithes of all the college woods comprised in the lease to Dr. J. Allen, at the rent of fix pounds per annum, the fame was agreed to by the plaintiff, and is, by confent, ordered 'accordingly.

> EDW. WARD. NICH. LECHMERE. JOHN TURTON. LITTLETON POWIS.

EASTERTERM, .8. WIL. 3.

SANDYS against EASTMOND and Others.

Somersetsbire, 26th May 1696.

mersetsbire, claims tithes for the aren cattle.

The rector of THE bill stated, that for fifteen years past the plaintiff had Yeariston, in So- Deep rector of the rectory and parish of Yeariston, in the been rector of the rectory and parish of Yeovilton, in the county of Somerset, and intitled to all the great and small tithes giftment of bar. arising therein; that the defendants, from the year 1677 to this time, yearly held meadow and pasture ground, whereon they S. C. Rayn. 78. yearly fed dry and unprofitable cattle, and fed, fatted, depastured, and agisted oxen and other cattle, the tithe herbage and agistment whereof they ought to have paid to the plaintiff; which they refused to do.

The defendants

The defendants confessed, that H. Eastmond, in his life-time, confess posses- held and enjoyed several acres of arable, meadow, and pasture fion of the land; ground within the faid parish.

and fay, that they nsed the cattle them.

The defendant Nayle said, that neither the plaintiff or his predecessors having, until lately, demanded tithe herbage ploughing for the fatting of oxen, he did refuse to pay the same, for that he the land, and usually ploughed, eared, and manured his arable land yearly then fed and fold with his faid oxen, or with some of them; and that after he had so manured his land, he turned off his oxen to be grazed and fatted, and bought in others.

The

The defendant Eastmond admitted affets sufficient, and that the faid H. Eastmond held several acres of meadow and pasture ground, on which he in his life-time, and the faid defendant fince his death, fed and depastured several cows, sheep, and cattle for the pail and plough, and oxen, and dry and unprofitable cattle; and that he used the said oxen in his plough, and manured his lands therewith, as the other defendant had done. And they fet forth the quantity of their cattle.

against EASTMOND AND OTHERS.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and several witnesses were examined; and upon debate of the matter,

IT IS ORDERED, that the defendant Nayle shall pay to the The Court deplaintiff the value of his tithe herbage for the feeding and depaf- crees tithe to be plaintiff the value of his fifthe herbage for the feeding and depaid paid during the turing his oxen and unprofitable cattle not by him used for the time the cattle plough within the faid parish for and during the time they were were fed for fo fed and depastured in the faid parish in the years in the bill sale; charged; and also the value of the tithe herbage for the feeding and depasturing the said defendant's oxen and unprofitable cattle which were by him used for the plough, and were afterwards by him turned off, and not used for the plough, and were fatted and fold, for all the time they were fo fed and depastured within the said parish in the pastures there, but not in the after- but not while math or grafs, after they were turned off, and not used for the they were deplough within the faid parish in every of the faid years in the aftergrass. bill mentioned; and that the defendant Eastmond shall account, in like manner, for himself, and as executor to H. Eastmond; and it is referred to the deputy remembrancer to take the faid account, and to report the fame (a).

EDW. WARD. NICH. LECHMERE. LITTLETON Powis,

(a) This decree was affirmed upon an appeal to the House of Lords, Shower P. C. 192. in the report of which it is faid, that this was a fettled and allowed difference in the exchequer, that while the oxen are working no tithe shall be paid for their feeding, because there are tithes of other things arising by the labour of fuch cattle; but when they do no work, and are turned off to be fatted. and are grazed, there tithes shall be paid for the herbage which they eat, they being no way beneficial to the parson in any other tithes; and many cases in the exchequer cited to warrant this distinction.

# HARDING against Golding. Wiltsbire, 8th May 1606.

EASTER TERM 8. WIL. 3.

THE bill stated, that William Hicks, rector and incumbent of In the parish of Broughton Gifford, in the county of Wilts, did leafe and Broughton Gifdemise to the plaintiff the great and small tithes arising therein; ford, in and that he had been legal farmer of the faid tithes, and fo there is a custom entitled to the same, from the twenty-fifth of March 1693 to to pay 8d. in licu the time of filing the bill.

of a tithe calt.

The

HARDING ag einft GOLDING.

The defendant put in his answer; the plaintiffs replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined; and upon hearing counsel, and reading the proofs,

Tithes shall be paid of apples fallen as well as of apples gather-

IT IS ORDERED, that the defendant shall account with and pay to the plaintiff the value of his tithe fruit for the two years demanded by the bill, and the tithe of all fallen apples as well as other apples, and the value of the tithe hay of the half acre of land, and of the cock of tithe hay taken away by the defendant, and eightpence a calf, according to the custom of the said parish, for the tithe of every calf which he had fallen within the faid parish during the said time, and the value of the tithe lambs which had fallen within the faid parish, and the tithe wool of the sheep which he shore therein, and had not paid to the plaintiffs, and for the value of the tithes of the other things titheable demanded by the faid bill; and it is referred to the deputy remembrancer to take the faid account, and report the fame.

TRIN. TERM, 8. WIL. 3.

# GODDARD against MANN.

Berksbire, 2d July 1696.

The vicar of Beenbam, in Berk. tithes of wood felled in Six Acre

THE plaintiff, as vicar of Beenbam, in the county of Berks, exhibited his bill to have a discovery of the quantities of unfire, claims the derwood and other wood growing in coppices and hedgerows, in the faid parish, which the defendant had cut and carried away. Coppies, Comfill Coppies, Strubwood Coppies, and the Hedgerown belonging to Reenbem Farm.

The defendant infalts that the faid farm was and tithe free.

The defendant by his answer confessed, that he occupied a farm called Beenham Farm, and three several coppices thereunparcel of the ab. to belonging, containing about eighteen acres, and fet forth the bey of Reading, several quantities of wood by him felled and cut in and upon the faid three coppices, and the shawes and hedgerows of the faid farm, but infifted that the faid farm and coppices were heretofore parcel of the possessions of the abbey of Reading, in the faid county of Berks, which was one of the greater abbies, and came to the crown by the statute 31. Hen. 8, c. 13, and that the abbot, at the time of the dissolution of the said abbey, held the premises discharged from the payment of tithe wood.

It appears by the evidence that the faid farm and paid,

Upon debate of the matter, and on reading the depositions, and the record of the letters patent, granted to H. Norris and woods were par- his wife, dated the twenty-fixth of August, in the thirty-fixth cel of Reading year of Henry the Eighth, it appeared that' the premises were Abby, but that part of the possessions of the abbey of Reading, which was one of the vicerial tithes the greater abbies; and on reading the plaintiff's proofs, by which ing of bey, have it also appeared, that the tithes had been paid to the plaintiff's confiantly been predeceilors, for the coppice woods in question, and that the tithes of beans, peafe, and vetches, and other small tithes arising upon the faid farm called Beenham Farm, had been constantly paid

to the plaintiff, and to the former vicars, but that no tithe hav was paid for the faid farm to the plaintiff, although he had the tithe hay in the rest of the parish.

GODDARD against MANN.

THE COURT directed a trial at law on the following iffue, Aniffue directed Whether the three coppies called Six Acres Consider to try the pre-Whether the three coppices called Sin Acres Coppice, to try the pre-66 Cowsbill Coppice, and Shrubwood Coppice, and the hedgerows tion, and a verof the said farm be discharged from the payment of tithe dist for the vicar. wood, or not?" and a verdict on the faid trial being found for the plaintiff,

THE COURT ordered and decreed, that the defendant The tithes of the shall pay to the plaintiff the value of the tithes of the said coppices defaid woods.

# PRIAULE against Stone and Patching. Sussex, 18th June 1696.

TRIN. TERM, 8. WIL. 3.

THE rector of Rusper, in the county of Sussex, claimed tithes The rector of from the defendant Stone, from Michaelmas 1685 to Rufper, in Suffex, Michaelmas 1694, of a farm called the Nunnery, in the said claims titles in parish of Rusper, and from the defendant Patching, for four kind of lands years, of a farm called Langburft.

called Langburfs

The defendants by their answers said, that the plaintiff was The defendants not legally rector of Rusper, or lawfully instituted or inducted say, the plaint of therein, for that he took institution and induction when he is not lawful recwas under the age of twenty-three years; and, after confessing tor, because he possession of the said farm, insisted on a modus of forty shillings and industrial a-year to be paid by the owners and occupiers of the faid lands, while under 23 in lieu of all tithes, to the rector of Rusper aforesaid. They years of age, and confessed that they gave the plaintiff's predecessor five pounds infist on a modus 2-year as a free gift for his extraordinary pains in the of 40s. a-year. ministry, and continued the same for five years.

Upon reading a verdict in an action of debt brought by the An iffue directplaintiff against the defendant Stone, upon the statute of Edw. ed, and on two 6. for not setting out tithes in kind, a trial at law was directed trials verdicts for upon two iffues, to try the modus as above stated, with respect the defendants. to the two farms; on which trial the jury found verdicts for the defendants, but they being to the diffatisfaction of the judge who tried the causes, a new trial was ordered to be had upon payment of the costs of the former trials, and that there be two records; and on the faid trials the jury found and gave their verdicts for the faid defendants, in affirmance of the faid modus.

IT WAS ACCORDINGLY ORDERED AND DECREED on the The defendant fixth of May 1697, that the defendant Stone shall forthwith Stone ordered to

pay the arrears

of the modus, and cofts for controverting the plaintiff's title. fatisfy

#### DECREES IN TITHE CAUSES

PRIAULE against STONE AND PATCHING. fatisfy and pay to the plaintiff eight pounds of the arrears of the faid modus of forty shillings a-year, for the faid farm called the Nunnery, for four years, ending at Michaelmas 1694, the faid defendant Stone to have his costs taxed, but no costs to be allowed him for the proceedings which litigated the plaintiff's title, as to his being under the age of twenty-three years at the time of his institution and induction into the said rectory of Rusper.

The defendant of the modu, without cofts on either fide.

And on the twenty-fifth of June 1697, IT WAS ORDERED AND Patching ordered DECREED, that the defendant Patching shall forthwith satisfy to paythe arrears and pay to the plaintiff eight pounds for the arrears of the faid modus of forty shillings a-year, for the said farm called Langhurst, for four years, ending at Michaelmas 1694, without costs on either side; the said defendant to pay for entering the decree.

> EDW. WARD. LITTLETON POWIS. JOHN BLENCOWE.

TRIN. TERM. 8. WIL. 3.

Snow against Hewitt and Others; et è Contra.

Somersetsbire, 11th July 1696.

Staple, in Somer*ferficire*, claims tithes in kind.

The rector of THE plaintiff, as rector of the parish church of Staple Fitz Payne cum Bicknell, in the county of Somerfet, demanded tithes in kind.

The defendants ricty of modules.

The defendants infifted on the following ancient cuffoms, infift on a va- First, That of all corn and grain there shall be paid the tenth sheaf, stooke, or stitch according to the condition such corn and grain was in when the owners carried away the nine parts (except of peas and vetches) and of peas and vetches the tenth rood, would, or mock, in lieu of the tithes thereof, and of the odd stitches, sheafs, wodds, or mocks under ten, no tithe shall be paid. Secondly, the rate of twopence 2 cow for the tithe of the milk thereof, and three halfpence for the milk of a heifer yearly kept in the said parish and the titheable places thereof, and fixpence in lieu of the tithe of every calf fallen there, fo as the parson there, for the time being, keep a bull within the faid parish for the bulling of their cows, or allow the owner of the faid bull fixpence, or so much as the bulling of the cow shall cost. Thirdly, one penny for every colt fallen, in lieu of tithes thereof. Fourthly, one penny for the tithes of a garden within the faid parish. FIFTHLY, an halfpenny for every lamb found within the faid parish, or titheable places thereof, on Saint Mark's Day, if the number belonging to one person be under seven, and if seven and under ten, then the seventh lamb in kind for the tithe thereof, the parson paying the owners of such lambs one halfpenny for CACLA

Show
againft
HEWITT
AND OTHERS 3
et & contra.

every one that hath been wanting of ten, and if ten then the senth in kind, and after that rate for a greater number of lambs than ten; fuch tithe lambs to be fetched away on Saint Mark's Day. SIXTHLY, The tithe of wool shorn, in kind, except of Lamb tow, of which no tithe is due; but if any sheep hath been kept, and no tithe of the wool or lamb thereof hath been paid to the parson, then he shall have fourpence for every score of sheep depastured, in lieu of the tithes, and after that rate for a greater or leffer number than twenty. SEVENTHLY, that if any person in the said parish, or in the titheable places thereof, have any lands or tenements of his own, and rents other lands there of a less yearly value than his own, he shall pay tithes for such rented lands as if they had been his own, and no otherwife; but if he rent lands of a greater yearly value than his own, then the tenant shall pay either tithes and customary payments as if his own, or agistment, at the rate of twentypence in the pound, for every pound that the rent thereof amounts to, at the parson's election, but with this, that if the parson hath chosen to receive such agistments for such rented lands, then such agistments shall be in full of all tithes and customary payments due, as well out of such tenant's own lands, as of his rented lands, and nothing else shall be paid for the tithes of the same; and if such tenant, at a rack and improved rent, hath no lands there of his own, he shall pay for agistments after the rate of twentypence in the pound of his rent for the same, except that he shall pay the tithes of the house belonging thereto as if the lands had been his own, but at the parson's election; yet if the tenant hath rented the lands for more than one year, the parson is to take for the remainder of the years as he chose for the first. Eighthly, the tithe of pigs shall be taken according to the manner of tithing of lambs, provided the parson hath kept a boar in the parish for the boaring of the fows, or in default thereof hath allowed what such boaring cost the owner. NINTHLY, apples and pears are titheable in kind, the owner giving notice at the parfonage house when he intends to gather them. TENTHLY, That for hay made of common grass or clover, and for wood cut either in coppices or otherwise, for fuel, there hath been, time out of mind, stint sums of money for every ancient tenement and other lands there, to wit, one for hay, and one for wood, clover grown to feed being accounted within the stint or modus for hay, and nothing else ever paid. ELE-VENTHLY, that where a tenement hath been divided into two or more parts, and one part, with the house, hath been enjoyed by one person, and the other by another person, the tenant, enjoying that part of which the house is parcel, hath usually paid those modules and stints for hay and wood. TWELFTHLY, That every inhabitant within the faid parish fit to receive the sacrament, hath at Easter paid to the parson twopence for offerings. THIRTEENTHLY, that of eggs no tithes are due. And the Vol. I. defendants

SNOW ay .in# HEWITT AND OTHERS

at & Contra.

Hare infifts on a

defendants faid, that the plaintiff or his farmers, for the faid first eight years, have received such compositions as aforefaid.

The defendant Hare said, that for the year 1601 he rented a The defendant tenement of Mrs. Hampton, and some grounds, parcel of Street's modus for hay as Tenement; and that there is a modus in the faid parish, that the to Street's tens. occupiers of that tenement have, time out of mind, paid one shilling per annum in lieu of tithe hay cut, and twopence per annum for wood felled thereon, and no more; that the occupiers of Street's Tenement have paid ten shillings for hay cut, which ought to be paid by the occupiers of the dwelling-house on that tenement, and twopence, and no more, yearly for tithe wood cut for fire.

The defendant Hervitt pleads a modus for his

The defendant Hewitt said, that in the said year he had of his own a meffinge, and fome meadow and pasture land and coppice, own tenement, and rented some more; that he cut grass and clover grass, and made faggots of wood felled. As to the hay and wood, he pleads the custom aforesaid, viz. for his own tenement, eightpence for hay, and twopence for wood for fuel; and for that which he rented, two shillings and twopence for hay, and threepence for tithe wood cut for fuel; which was paid by the tenant of the farmhouse and the rest of the said tenement.

The defendant Pool infifts on a modus for Terry's, Main's, and Beely's.

The defendant Poole faid, that in the faid year she enjoyed three tenements of her own; one called Terry's, another called Main's, and another called Booby's; to which belonged meadow, pasture, and coppice ground; that she mowed grass and clover grass, and cut faggots, but insisted on the moduses for hay and wood, viz. for Terry's and Main's tenements, fixpence each for tithe hay, and twopence for wood cut for fuel, and no more; and for Booby's, for hay one shilling and sixpence, and for wood threepence, and no more, in lieu of all tithes for hay and wood.

The defendant O. Hare pleads a modus.

The defendant O. Hare faid, that he had a house and ground of his own; and that threepence was due to the plaintiff for the modus for hay, and twopence for wood.

All the defendants state the values.

And all the defendants fet forth the quantities and values of quantities and the titheable matters which they had respectively in the said year, and faid, that they had paid part of their tithes, and by their answers tendered to pay the residue, according to the moduses and customary payments aforesaid. They denied, that they enjoyed any lands or tenements in Bicknell, or that they had any titheable matters therein.

The defendant

The cross bill set forth, that the plaintiff Portman is, and for Postman files a many years last past hath been, seised of a certain park, farm, and initit on certain lands, called Staple Park; and that the rest of the plaintiffs are m. d. jis, except feiled and pollefied of and in cortain meffuages, tenements, and m Signa Park. lands in Staplefitzpaine, and the titheable places thereof; that,

for time whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary, there bath been many ancient, laudable, and reasonable customs concerning tithes, payable in the faid parish (except in Staple Park and the lands therewith used), and others of distinct moduses for particular lands and tenements, for grounds moved and woods cut on their tenements, which hath been constantly received by the rectors of Staplefitzpains cum Bickvell, viz. in lieu of all tithes within Staple Park, and the farm and lands therewith used, yearly, three pounds, nine shillings, and sixpence; and the same customs and manner of tithing and fetting forth of corn, grain, and payment of agiftments and of tithes, for and in lieu of milk, colts, garden, lambs, wool, and pigs (except Staple Park and the lands therewith used), as the same are set forth in the desendant's answer to the original bill; that by the custom of the said parish, the plaintiffs (except the plaintiff Portman) have paid, and ought to pay, the feveral fums of money, for and in lieu of tithe hay, and tithe wood cut on their respective tenements in the said parish and the titheable places thereof; and he set forth the several payments. The bill therefore prayed, that the faid defendant might discover the customs, usages, customary payments, moduses, stints, and manner of tithing within the said parish and the titheable places thereof; and that the same may be established by the decree of this court.

Swaw agains) HEWITT ED OTHERS e à Gerea.

The defendant denied the modes in lieu of tithes arising The rector dowithin the park farm lands called Staple Pork, and of tithing corn nies the modules and lambs, and paying of agistments, to be as in the bill is and the excepcharged. He also denied the custom touching the tithes of calves; but faid, that he hath heard there is one penny for the tithe of a garden; and confessed the custom for the milk of cows Admits the moand heifers, the paying tithe wool, and the depasturing of sheep, das as to milk, to be as in the bill is stated; but knew not of any such customs cows, and heifor tithing of pigs, nor any fuch customs or stints in lieu of tithe hay and wood, as are therein fot forth.

The plaintiffs replied; the defendants rejoined in both causes; and witnesses were examined; and upon reading the depositions of several witnesses taken on both sides, and upon long debate of the matter.

THE COURT declared that the pretended modules (except as Module void. thereafter) fet up by the parishioners were void moduses.

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED, that the defendants Hewitt, Certain of the Peole, and Hare, shall respectively account with and pay to the medules declared plaintiff Snow the value of their, and each and every of their good. tithes in kind, due from them to him for the year 1692, except for the tithes of milk, cows, heifers, colts, and gardens; the feveral customs of twopence a cow, and three halfpence for a heifer in lieu of tithe milk, and one penny for a colt, and a garden penny, being hereby established; and that B b 2

SNOW againfl HEWITT AND OTHERS; es è Gentre.

the faid defendants shall account with and pay to the faid plaintiff for the tithes aforesaid, to wit, each one for his and her own tithes due and unpaid in the faid year, according to the faid customs established as aforesaid; and for the tithe of hay and wood for his time, by consent, according to the stints and moduser fet forth in the answer, without prejudice to the said plaintiff, or the right and title which he may have to the tithe in kind; and it is hereby referred to the deputy remembrancer to take and report the account.

The question concerning tithe bay and wood referred to Colonel Palmer.

And it is further ordered and adjudged by consent of all parties, that as to the difference concerning tithe hay and wood, it shall be referred to Colonel Palmer to settle the same; and in case he cannot settle the same, then to make his report how he finds the fame.

Cofts

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the defendant O. Hare shall be dismissed with moderate costs.

And it is further ordered, that the faid defendants Hewitt, Poole, and W. Hare, shall pay to the plaintiff Snow his costs of the original cause, to be taxed by the deputy remembrancer; that the consideration of costs in the cross cause shall be referved till after coming in of the award or report; and that the faid cross bill be, and hereby is dismissed as to all things, except the customs decreed aforesaid, and the tither of hay and wood referred as aforefaid.

Palmer declines the reference.

Pursuant to the above order, the said Colonel Palmer made his certificate, dated the tenth of October last, whereby he certified he had been attended by all the parties; but by reason of the difficulties arising therein, he did not think himself a competent judge; therefore submitted the same to the judgment of the court. And upon hearing of counsel on both sides, and reading the faid certificate, and the depolitions of divers witnesses on The matter re- both fides, for a fmuch as it appeared to the Court, that the plaintiffs in the cross bill had fer forth several and distinct stints or refuse to grant moduses for the several tenements, being above forty in number, in lieu of tithe hay and wood arising upon their said several tenements in Staplefitzpaine aforefaid, and several of them varying from the plaintiff's answer to the original bill,

quiring fo many iffues, the Court any trial.

> This Court doth not think fit to direct fo many feveral trials at law.

The rector difcross bill,

IT IS THEREUPON ORDERED by the Court, that the faid demiffed from the fendant Snow be, and he is hereby difmiffed from the cross bill, without prejudice to the faid pretended modafes for hay and wood, if any fuch there be, and with moderate costs.

remem -The deputy remembrancer made his report dated the eleventh brancer's of November instant; and upon reading the said decree and pest. report,

report, without exceptions, it is ordered, that the faid report be ratified and confirmed; and that the faid defendants Hewitt and Poole shall severally pay to the said plaintiff the respective AND OTHERS; sums reported due, viz. three pounds, seventeen shillings, and fourpence, and three pounds, eleven shillings, and threepence, for the tithes.

SNOW against et è Contra.

EDW. WARD. NICH. LECHMERE. LITTLETON POWIS.

# LEACH against DEACON and WATTS. Bedfordsbire, 8th July 1696.

TRIM. TERM. 8. WIL, 3.

THE plaintiff, as rector of the rectory and parish-church of The manner of Overstand, in the county of Bedford, stated, that by constant paying tithes to usage in the said parish, time out of mind, tithes in kind, toge- the rector of ther with fuch compositions as follow, were paid by the inhathe county of
bitants and other occupiers of lands within the said parish, and
Bidsed, estaaccordingly enjoyed by the plaintiff and his predecessors, rectors blined. of the said parish: First, Corn and grain by the sheaf and cock, viz. every tenth sheaf and cock; the hay to be tithed in grafs cocks.—Secondly, Tithe wool at shearing day, for all sheep kept within the parish from shearing day to shearing day; and fourpence a score for every month till shearing day, for all sheep bought and brought into the said parish before shearing day, and shorn within the said parish, for the growth of fuch wool, in lieu of the tithe thereof; and also fourpence a month for every score of sheep kept within the said parish, and fold before shearing day, to be accounted from the shear day next before; and so proportionably for a greater or lesser number of sheep and space of time, in lieu of the tithe wool thereof; for every lamb yeaned within the faid parish, threepence, payable on every Saint Mark's Day yearly, both by farmers and cottagers within the faid parish, in lieu of all tithe lambs.— THIRDLY, Tithe pigs in kind payable at three weeks old; and if, upon notice, the rector should refuse or neglect to receive them in kind, then the owner thereof to pay twelvepence, in lieu of all fuch tithe pigs.—Fourthly, And for the tithes of calves fold to be killed, the tenth penny for which fuch calf is fold, payable at the time of the fale thereof; and if any killed by the owner thereof, the right shoulder of such calf so killed hath always been delivered to the rector there, in lieu of the tithe thereof; and for every calf weaned for store, one halfpenny payable at the time of fuch weaning; and no more tithes to be paid for fuch calves, or other young cattle, till they give milk or be fatted.—FIFTHLY, Tithe pigeons in kind.—SIXTHLY, And in lieu of tithe eggs and young fowls, three eggs for every cock and drake, and two eggs for every hen and duck; and in like manner

LEACH
againfi
Deacon and
Watte.

manner for geefe and turkeys .- SEVENTELLY, For every milch cow, threepence, in lieu of tithe milk.—RIGHTHLY, For every fatting beaft, threepence, in lieu of the tithes thereof .- NINTHLY, One penny, called a fmoke penny, payable yearly by every householder inhabiting within the faid parish, in lieu of tithe wood by them cut and burnt, or spent within the said parish; and if any fuch inhabitants have bought or had any wood which grew within the said parish of any person or persons inhabiting in any other parish; and spent the same in the parish of Overstanden aforesaid, the person or persons of whom such wood hath been bought or received hath always paid the tithe thereof in kind.— TENTHLY, And one penny, called a garden penny, in lieu of herbs and garden fruits, payable at Eafter yearly; and tithes in kind of all other fruits, payable at the time of the gathering thereof.-ELEVENTHLY, And in lieu of tithe honey, the tenth penny for which all bees are fold within the faid parish, to be paid at the time of the felling thereof.—That the faid defendants, being well satisfied that the rate tithes were due, about Easter 1695 came to an account with the plaintiff, and adjusted the fame; and there remained due to the plaintiff from the defendant Deacon five pounds, ten shillings, and from the defendant Watts eight shillings, which they promifed to pay.

The defendant *Deacon* said, that about *Lady Day* 1693 he rented a farm in the said parish, and paid the plaintist all tithes of corn, grain, hay, wool, pigeons, and fruit, ever since, and was always ready to pay all his other tithes and dues, according to the ancient usage in the said parish, if the plaintist would have received the same according to the rates set forth in the bill. He confessed that about *Easter* 1695, he came to an account with the plaintist for all manner of tithes due to him before and at *Easter*, and that the balance, as stated in the bill, was due, which he agreed, and is ready to pay with a legal discharge, and to observe the ancient usage of tithing, as in the bill is alledged,

The defendant Watts said, he was under-tenant to the other defendants of a tenement, and was ready and willing to pay the said rates and dues; and confessed the balance due to the plaintiff as in the bill, and said, that he is ready and willing to pay the same, and all suture tithes and rates.

The cause came on to be heard upon bill and answer, pursuant to an order, dated the twenty-seventh of *June* last; and upon opening the pleadings, and hearing counsel, and on debate of the matter,

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED, that the defendants shall forthwith pay to the plaintiss the said sums of sive pounds, ten shillings, and eight shillings, as in the bill is alledged to be due, but without costs; and that the defendants shall, for

the future, continue the payment of all their tithes, according to the rates fet forth in the bill and answers.

LEACE against DEACON AND WATTE,

EDW. WARD. NICH. LECHMERE. LITTLETON POWIS.

# FIFIELD against Squire and his Wife.

HILARY TERM 8. W11. 3.

Natingham/bire, 11th February 1696.

THE bill stated, that for twenty years past the plaintiff was The demesse instituted and inducted into the vicarage of East Drayton lands of cum Membris, in the county of Nottingbam, whereof Askbam is archbishop of York, lying in a member, and had duly performed the cure of both places, the parish of and by reason thereof was legally entitled to all tithes of flax African, in the line feed, rape feed, hops, milk, fruit, roots, pasturage tithes, and county of Notall other small tithes, oblations, obventions, offerings, dues, fired from the duties, and customary payments, arising within the said parish of payment of Askban, and the titheable places thereof; that W. Sandy, late in all titles to of Askbam, deceased, and the defendant Margaret, his daughter the war of and executrix, and the defendant Squire and his wife, fince their Dragion can African and executrix, and the defendant Squire and his wife, fince their bom, in the field intermarriage, were occupiers of feveral lands and grounds within the liberties of Askbam for thirteen years past, and had several titheable matters therein, the tithes of which ought to have been paid to the plaintiff.

The defendants confessed the plaintiff to be lawful vicar of East Drayton cum Membris, as in the bill is stated, and said, that the faid W. Sandys, in his life-time, was an inhabitant and occupier of a messuage and lands in Ast bam, and that the same, for the time whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary, have been part of the possessions and demesnes of the Archbishop of Tork, and that he, his leffees, and tenants, and their undertenants and farmers, have always held and enjoyed the premises, freed and discharged from the payment of all manner of tithes to the vicar of Dragton or Afkham.

An issue was directed to try, "Whether the demesse lands of et the Archbisbop of York, lying in Askbam, be discharged from " the payment of all small tithes to the vicar of Drayton cum Ask-" bam, or not?"

An order of the ninth of February instant was made, that one R. Stannyland, a witness examined on behalf of the plaintiff, should attend, at the hearing on this cause, to explain his depofition to the ninth interrogatory concerning the value of the tithe of the hops; who, being now fworn in court, deposeth, that the tithe of the hops were worth between three or four pounds a-year for feven years.

FIFIRLE against SQUIRE AND HIS WIFE.

In pursuance of the above order a trial was had, and a verdict found for the defendants, viz. that the lands in the defendant's possession were exempt from payment of tithes, and everything in lieu thereof, to the vicar of Drayton cum Askbam.

THE COURT accordingly, on the fixth of May 1696, ordered and adjudged, that the faid hill be dismissed.

> EDW. WARD. Nich. Lechmere. LITTLETON Powis. JOHN BLENCOWE.

HILARYTERM 8. WIL. 3.

Marwood against Lowther and Others.

York/bire, 19th February 1696.

rector of Great Ayeon, in Yorkfbire, and as chief lord of the county, claims, as rector, 6s.8d. guill, and, as chief lord, 6s. a-year for quit Tept,

The plaintiff, THE bill stated, that the plaintiff, for eighteen years past, had been seised in see of the rectory impropriate and church of Great Ayton, in the county of York; that during the faid time, and before, there hath been a quater corn mill, whereof the defendlordship of Mar- ant Lowther is owner; and that fix shillings and eightpence ought ton, in the faid to be paid at Easter, or some other day, yearly, as a modus in lieu of the tithes of the mulcture of the faid mill; that about fix a-year, in lieu years ago the defendant demised the faid mill to the defendants of the muleture Austin and Maston, who held and enjoyed the same, and ground of a water corn corn and grain, and ought to have paid the said modus of six shillings and eightpence yearly in lieu of tithes. The bill further stated, that the plaintiff, for the same time, had been seised in fee of the hundred or wapentake of Langburgh, as chief lord thereof; and that the lordship or township of Marton within the faid hundred, or fome lands therein held and enjoyed by the defendant Lowther, have been held of the lords of the faid hundred or wapentake, by fuit of court, and by the annual rent of fix shillings payable to the lord at Michaelmas, or some other time in the year, at one payment. The bill therefore prayed, that the defendants may account for the tithes of the mulcture of the said milk, or pay fix shillings and eightpence a-year for the time they had held the same; and that the defendant Lowther may also account for the faid fix shillings a-year for his lands in Marton.

The defendant ence of the awday, and of the chief rent.

The defendant Lowther confessed the plaintiff to be seised of denies the exist- the said rectory and wapentake; and that he had been seised, as in the bill is stated, of an ancient water corn mill in the said parish, and had either occupied or let the fame ever fince; and that the other defendants have farmed the fame for about four years past; but he denied that he ever knew that fix shillings and eightpence was due or paid as a modus for the tithe of the mulcture of the The defendants all confessed, that the plaintiff is seised of the manor of Marton, and hath for several years received

the

the rents thereof; but denied that they knew of any yearly rent of fix shillings due as a chief rent to the plaintiff out of the manor of Marton.

MARWOOD againft LOWTHER AND OTHERS

The defendants Austin and Maston confessed, that the plaintist is owner of the faid rectory, and thereby intitled to tithes, but said, that they had never heard of any tithes paid for the mulcture of the faid mill, or fix shillings and eightpence in lieu thereof.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides; and upon reading the proofs, and on full debate,

IT IS ORDERED, that the faid bill, as to the demand of fix The bill dif. shillings for the manor of Marton, shall be and is hereby dif-chiefrent; missed.

And as to the plaintiff's demand of fix shillings and eight- and an issue dipence for the tithes of the defendant Lowther's mill lying in reded to try the Great Ayton aforesaid, an issue was directed to try, "Whether trial of which "there is, and time out of mind hath been, a modus or customary the modus grayment of fix shillings and eightpence due and payable to the provedse plaintiff, as owner and impropriator of the rectory of Great Ayton, for the tithes of the mulcture of the water corn mill of "the defendant Lowther lying in the faid rectory, or not?" Upon which trial the jury found, and gave their verdict for the plaintiff, in affirmance of the faid modus.

It is ordered, on the twenty-fixth of January 1698, that it and the paybe referred to the deputy remembrancer (a) to compute the ment of it dearrears of the faid modus of fix shillings and eightpence for the time demanded by the bill, and to report the same, and to tak the costs of the plaintiff.

(a) ROBERT BARKER, Deputy Remembrancer.

#### BELL and Others against Curson.

HILARY TRRM. 8. WIL. 1.

Norfolk, 19th February 1696.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff N. Bell is lawful impropria. The manner in tor, and feifed in fee of the impropriation of Oldtin, other- which the vicawife Oldton, in the county of Norfolk, excepting fuch part as is parish of Olden after mentioned; that he is also seised in fee of several lands and in the county of tenements in Oldton; that the rest of the plaintiffs are likewise Norfolk, shall be feised in fee of several lands and tenements there, and are im- Paid. propriators or owners of the great tithes, and of the tithe of hay therein; that the defendant, as vicar of Oldton, is only entitled to small tithes, excepting the tithe of hay; that the said vicar exhibited

BELL
AND OTHERS

ogainst

Gurson.

exhibited his bill in this court against N, Bell (a), and amongst other things claimed tithe of hay arising within the said parish; to which bill N. Bell answered, and denied, that the vicar was entitled to tithe hay; and infifted upon feveral customs or manners of tithing used therein time out of mind; which customs are as follow: First, That all the inhabitants of the faid parish of Oldton, having ten calves in one year, have used, and ought to pay to the vicar one calf; and fuch as have seven to pay one calf; and in such case, the vicar is to pay the owner sourpence halfpenny; and fuch as have under feven in one year, to pay the vicars three halfpence for every fuch cow and calf, and no more, in full fatisfaction and recompence of and for the herbage, tithes, and other duties for the same; which money so payable the vicars for the time being have taken and accepted in full fatisfaction for herbage tithe, and other duties; secondly, that all the inhabitants therein depasturing heifers, steers, or bullocks, fallow cows, colts, and foals, or having any garden or orchard planted with fruit, or having any wood growing or felled for firing, have used to pay yearly to the vicar for the time being, upon the first day of August in every year, if the same were then demanded, at the house of such inhabitant, or afterwards upon request, in full fatisfaction for all tithe herbage and other duties which might be claimed for the same, viz. for every such heifer, or steer, or bullock, twopence; for every fallow cow, twopence; for a colt, twopence; for a foal, one penny; for an orchard or garden, fivepence; and for fire wood, one penny; all which fums of money have been, from time to time, accepted and taken by the vicar in full fatisfaction for the tithes and dues claimed by him; THIRDLY, that, time out of mind, tithe wool and lamb hath been paid to the vicar for such sheep only as were shorn in the faid parish: all which customs and tithings the plaintiff Bell fully proved in the faid cause, and hoped the desendant would not have claimed tithe hay, for that upon hearing the faid cause the bill of the said Curson, as to the demands of tithe hay, was difinified; that forafmuch as the witnesses, that were examined on the part of Bell did fully prove the customs as before fet forth, are dead, and the plaintiffs cannot have the benefit of the depositions taken in the said cause as to tithe hay and customs,

(a) This bill came on to be heard on 20th Pebruary 1690, Hilary Term, a. Will. & Mary. The vicar claimed from the impropriator an annual penfian of three pounds, and the tithes of hay, wool, lamb, herbage, fruit, Eafter offerings, and other duties, which the impropriator had taken and received. The impropriator denied the vicar's right to the pention, but faid it had been paid to him in lieu of small tithes: he also denied his right to the tithe of hay, but ad-

mitted there were several customary payments due to him in lieu of small tithes, which the vicar had refused to accept, without having an allowance also for the said pension. The Court decreed, that the impropriator should, for the stuture, pay the said pension to the vicars of Oldson, and likewise account for the customary payments according to his answer; but as to the claim of tithe hays the vicar's hill was districted.

nor preferve the testimony of their witnesses that are yet living in perpetuam rei memoriam, nor establish the customs of the parish, or fettle the right of the impropriators and vicar for the prevention of future disturbances, but by the aid of this court, the bill therefore prayed that the defendant may answer whether he did not exhibit fuch bill for tithe hay, &c. and if not dismissed at the hearing as to the tithe hay? and, whether the customs of the faid town of Oldion be not the fame as before fet forth? or, what are the customs and manner of tithing within the said parish, as to cows, calves, heisers, steers, bullocks, fallow cows, colts, foals, sheep, lambs, wool, orchards, gardens, firewood, or any of them, or other titheable matters payable to the vicar of the faid parish; and also set forth the several rights belonging to the impropriator and vicar; and that the plaintiffs may be relieved as to tithe hay, and the custom and manner of tithing be settled by the decree of this court.

BELL And Oterba agains Curson.

The defendant infifted that, as vicar of the faid parish, he was entitled to the tithe of hay, and to all other small tithes.

The plaintiffs replied; the defendants rejoined; and feveral witnesses were examined; and upon reading several depositions of witnesses taken in the cause, and on great debate, the demant's counsel insisting that the bill ought to stand dismissed as to tithe hay, in regard there is no pretence that the desendant occupied any lands for which hay was titheable to the plaintiss.

It is ordered and decreed, that the feveral customs and manner of paying small tithes in the bill mentioned (except that as to the payment of wool and lamb, concerning which the Court thinkesth not fit to make any decree), be and are hereby established, by the decree of this court, to be for ever hereaster observed by the inhabitants and vicar of the said parish of Oldton for the time being.

And as to the payment of the tithe hay, an issue was directed to try. "Whether the tithes of hay arising within the said parish of "Oldton do belong to the plaintiffs, as impropriators of the recurs impropriate of Oldton, or not?" All parties to be bound by such trial. On which trial a verdict was given for the defendant.

THE COURT accordingly ordered, on the twenty-first of February 1698, that the bill (so far forth as it relates to the payment of tithe hay to the plaintists, as impropriators of the said impropriate rectory of Oldson) shall stand dismissed this court with costs.

EDW. WARD. LITTLETON POWIL HEN. HATSELL.

PEIRSON

TRIN. TERM, 9. WIL. 3.

#### Peirson against Hoskerton.

Lincolnsbire, 21st June 1697.

in Lincolnsbire,

The impropriate rectory of Holter of beach, in the county of Lincoln, claimed the tithes of wool chaims tithe of and lamb arising therein; and stated, that the lambs yeared and lambs, and in- brought forth in the faid parish are not, according to the usual fifts, that they course of husbandry there, fit to be weaned and taken from their shall be taken dams before the first day of August yearly, or near about that from the dams before Lemmas time; that the defendant, fince the twenty-ninth of March 1601, occupied and enjoyed meadow and pasture ground, and kept sheep thereupon, from which he had yearly lambs, and from which he clipped and shore wool, for which he ought to have paid tithes to the plaintiff at the usual time of tithing thereof.

The defendant fays, that by the custom of the parish the tithe of lamb ought to be paid about Midfammer Day.

The defendant faid, that, for many years before the plaintiff was farmer, the parishioners generally compounded for their tithes, and therefore the customary and ancient way of tithing of lambs had been greatly neglected and altered; that the ancient customary time of setting out and delivering tithe lambs. there was on or about May Day; and that they were usually paid at clipping day, which is generally about Midsummer Day. ..

The plaintiffreplies, it ought to be at Lammas Deg.

The plaintiff replied, and thereby abridged the demands of his bill, and only proceeded for the tithes of the defendant's lambs in the year 1695, which he insisted ought, by the custom of the faid parish, to be set out and paid at Lammas Day, and not at spearing day, or any other time before LammanDay; and difcharged the defendant of all other demands in the bill.

The defendant fays, at clipping time.

The defendant rejoined, and faid, that tithe lambs were not, by custom of the said parish, to be set out at Lammas Day, but at clipping time.

An iffue directther the tithe Upon reading several depositions on both sides, and on debate,

A verdict for the desendant.

An issue was directed to try, "Whether there be a custom ed to try, whe- es within the parish of Holbeach that tithe lambs ought to be set ought to be paid 4 out and paid at Lammas Day, and not before?" to be tried by on Lammas Day, a special jury; and if any impropriator be returned upon the and not before. faid jury, it is to be allowed a just cause of challenge.

On the faid trial, a verdict was, upon full and long evidence, given for the defendant, "That there is not, nor time out of of mind has been, a custom within the parish of Holbeach, that " tithe lambs arising, renewing, and happening within the said " parish, should be set forth and paid for upon the first day " of August called Lammas Day, and not before the same g day."

THE-COURT accordingly ordered and decreed the defendant to pay to the plaintiff fourteen shillings for the value of the two lambs in question, according to the defendant's tender in his answer a but as to all the other demands, and the custom alledged and mentioned in the faid bill, it is further ordered, that the faid bill shall be dismissed, with costs to be taxed by the deputy remembrancer of this court (a).

PRIRION againfi HOSERR TON-

EDW. WARD. N. LECHMERE. LITTLETON Powis. I. BLENCOWE.

(a) See other causes, Mich. Term, 5. Geo. z.; Trinity Term, 7. Geo. 1. Mich. Term, 9. Geo. 1.; and Hilas Term, 11. Geo. 3.

WHARTON against Turberville and Others. Berksbire, 2d July 1697.

TRIN. TRAMA 9. WIL. 3.

HE bill stated, that Queen Flizabeth, being seised, in the right The plaintiff, = of the crown, of the manor of Cookham, in the county of grantee of the Berks, by her letters patent dated the fourth of May, in the crown of the thirty-ninth year of her reign, granted the agistment of pasture in bam, in the certain grounds, part of the faid manor called Withybrook and county of Berk, Cookham Marsh, to R. Bird, R. Deane, and T. Dodson, for their claims certain lives and the life of the longest liver of them, under the rent of rates for agifttwo pounds, one shilling, a-year; that the said grant was made in in certain trust for the advantage of the parishioners and inhabitants of the grounds called parish of Cookbam, for the depasturing of their commonable Withproof and cattle therein; that the said parishioners, by virtue of such CockMarsh withgrant, agisted in their turn in the said ground, called Withybrook, in the said maeighty-two head of cattle, paying yearly fixpence for each head, which made up the fum of two pounds, one shilling; that King James the First, by his letters patent dated the twentieth of November, in the twenty-first year of his reign, granted to Sir Henry Vane the said agistment of pasture in the said grounds for thirty-one years, to commence from the determination of the preceding grant, at the faid rent; that the faid Sir Henry Vane's interest to the said agistment of pasture in the said grounds so granted, by divers mesne assignments of the said term, being vested in one W. Tayleur, the faid W. Tayleur did furrender up the faid letters patent to his late majesty Charles the Second, who, by his letters patent under seal of his court of exchequer dated the seventeenth of February, in the twenty-fixth year of his reign, granted, demised, and to farm let to W. Herbert all those lands and closes of pasture called Withybrook and Cookham Marsh, otherwise Cock Mar/b, parcel, or reputed parcel of the faid manor of Cookham, with all agistments, pastures, profits, commodities, and appurtenances, to the faid lands, &c. to have and to hold for thirty-

PHAR TON گونموي. Turberville. AND OTHERS.

thirty-one years, which were so made and granted at the petition of and in trust for the faid W. Tayleur; that the faid W. Herbert, in performance of the faid trust, by deed dated the fifteenth of April, in the thirtieth year of his faid majefty's reign, affigued the said premises, and all his estate therein, to the said W. Tayleur and Frances his wife, for the refidue of the faid term, who, by indenture dated the fifteenth of April, in the thirty-fourth year of Charles the Second, for the confideration therein mentioned, affigned the faid premises, &c. to S. Gwillin; which last recited indenture was made to and in the name of the faid S. Gwillin, in trust for the plaintiff; that S. Gwillin being dead, the defendant Elizabeth Gwillin, his executrix, had combined with the other defendants, who pretend to a right of common in the faid premises, to deseat the plaintist of the profits thereof. The bill therefore prayed to be relieved in the premises.

Some of the defendants claim right of common Day to Lent.

The defendants Ray, Lee, Bennett, and Binefield, by their answers, confessed the substance of the bill to be true; but said, they claimed a right to put their cattle into the ground called In Withprook they claimed a right to put their cattle into the ground called from Lammas Wishybrook from Lammas Day until the first Sunday in Lent; and that they were willing to fubmit to fuch decree as the Court shall make to raise the monies for the plaintiff.

The other defendants claim the same right of common in Get Marsh.

The defendants Towers, Flatt, Read, Dolfon, and Turberville, infifted upon their right of common in the faid premises in this manner, viz. that, time out of mind, the faid ground, called Cack Marfb, had been common to the parish of Cookbam for the whole year, and that Withybrook had been yearly severalled from the first Sunday in Lent until the third of May; that the cattle of the inhabitants of Cookbam have been taken into the faid Withybrook to pasture at these rates, viz. for every cow, fixpence; for every ox or horse, eightpence; for every bullock and colt, fourpence; which have continued there, at the will of the owners, until Lammas Day; and from Lammas Day the faid ground, called Withybrook, had been, time out of mind, common to all the inhabitants of Cookham all the year after.

The bill dismis. fed with costs.

The defendant Elizabeth Gwillin confessed the charges in the bill to be true.

The plaintiff replied to the answers of Towers, Flatt, Read, Dodfon, and Turberville; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides; and upon full debate,

IT IS ORDERED, that the bill shall be dismissed with costs as against the defendants Turberville, Towers, Flatt, Read, and Dodson, to be taxed by the deputy remembrancer of this court.

> WARD, Chief Baron. Powis, Boron. BLENCOWE, Baron.

#### Layfield against Enticknapp.

Surry, 3d February 1697.

THE rector of Chiddinfold, in the county of Surry, claimed A custom to tithes of sheep and lambs.

The defendant infifted on a custom to pay threepence a piece and fold before for all lambs yeared and fallen before the feast of Saint Mark that were fold before the faid feast day, and to pay tithe in kind in kind for fo for fuch as were not fold before that day; but in case there be many as were but seven, then to pay the seventh; but where there are under not fold before feven, then to pay threepence a-piece.

The cause was heard on the eighteenth of November last, and an iffue directed to try the custom; but the plaintiff, being unwilling to try the same, moved, on the thirtieth of November, for a rehearing, which came on this day; when

THE COURT unanimously agreed, that the said custom was not a good one, and that there ought to be no trial at law.

> EDW. WARD. N. LECHMERE LITTLETON POWIS. HEN. HATSELL.

# SILATER against MARSHALL.

Cambridgeshire, 30th May 1698.

THE rector and vicar of Gamlingay, in the county of Cam- The personage bridge, stated, that the defendant, ever fince the year 1696, Close near Warhad been owner of a close of pasture near Warresty Church, for resty church, in the parish of which a rate tithe of one shilling and sixpence a-year had been Genlinging, paye formerly paid (when tithe in kind was not paid).

The defendant confessed, that he held the faid close called recter of Gam-Parsonage Close, but denied that it was in the parish of Gamlingay, lingay. or the titheable places thereof, or that any rate tithe of one shilling and fixpence a-year, or any other rate or composition. was payable for the same to the rector of Gamlingay.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides.

THE COURT decreed the defendant to pay the rate timbe of one shilling and fixpence, in lieu of tithes, for the faid close, for the time in the bill mentioned, amounting to eighteen shillings

> Edw. WARD. N. Lechmere. LITTLETON POWIS. HENRY HATSELL. HAYWARD

HILARY TERM 9. W12. 3.

pay 3d. for every lamb yeaned St. Mark's Day and to pay titlies that day, is bad.

EASTER TERM. 20. WIL 3.

a tithe of 18d.

Easten Term, 20. WIL. 3.

HAYWARD against Archer and Others;

OVERBURY and Others against HAYWARD.

Warwicksbire, 30th May 1698.

The manner of tithing in the fettled by the athe Court.

THE object of these bilks was to have the manner of tithing within the rectory and parish of Barton upon the Heath parish of Bar- (whereof the plaintist was rector) settled and established by a am, in the county of Warwick, decree of this court.

THE COURT (for the mutual quiet and ease of all parties) probert Abyns, and posed, that all matters in difference should be referred to Sir Robert confirmed by Atkyns, Knt. (the late Lord Chief Baron) he being a neighbour of the faid parties, finally to end and determine the fame; and the proposal being accepted and agreed to on both fides, and ordered by the Court, and Sir Robert Athyns, Knt. having been attended, and having heard the parties on both fides concerned, upon the eighth day of August last, made his award in writing, under his hand and seal, as follows: First, That there was no modus or custom of tithing as in the answers is alledged; but that all tithes are to be paid in kind; and particularly that the tithes of all furze fold are due and payable to the rector. Secondly, That for three breeders which the defendants by their answer alledge to be tithe free, tithes ought to be paid for the same, unless they are bred for the plough or pail. THIRDLY, That for the depasturing and feeding of dry beasts there ought to be paid to the rector two shillings in the pound of the yearly rent or value of the grounds and lands upon which the faid beafts are depastured and fed, deducting and allowing, in proportion to the profitable cattle fed upon the said grounds, if any profitable cattle shall be there depastured and fed. FOURTHLY, That all tithes for sheep and lambs which are depastured and kept within the parish are of right due and payable in kind to the rector. FIFTHLY, To prevent future differences between the said rector and his parishioners, and for a final end to be made between the faid parties, the defendants Archer, Williams, Paxford, Lambert, and Spicer, shall pay to the rector, for tithes by them feverally due from the first of November 1694 to the twenty-fourth of March 1695, being the time mentioned in the bill, the feveral sums of money hereafter mentioned, that is to fay, the defendants Archer, two pounds, eleven shillings; Williams, one pound, ten shillings, and tenpence; Parford, ten shillings; Lambert, one pound, ten shillings, and fixpence; and Spicer one pound and fixpence 4. and that the defendant Disson do and shall satisfy and pay to the faid plaintiff ten pounds, fixteen shillings, for the tithes due by him for the time mentioned in the bill. SIXTHLY, That the defendants shall pay to the restor, for costs and charges

charges of the faid fuit wherein they are defendants and the rector plaintiff, the fum of forty-four pounds in money. SE-VENTHLY, That the cross bill be dismissed; and that the plaintiffs shall pay to the defendant twenty-fix pounds in money; and EIGHTHLY, that the rector, upon the receipt of the feveral fums of money ordered to be paid to him for tithes and costs of suit, shall give to them respectively acquittances and R. ATKYNS. discharges.

HATWARD against ARCHER AND Overbury against HAYWARD

Upon reading the order of the thirtieth of May last, and the faid award; also several affidavits of the desendants endeavouring to impeach the award;

It is ordered and decreed, that the faid award be and do The award constand ratified and confirmed by the authority of this court, and street. that the same shall be observed, executed, and performed by all parties; and that the faid defendants do forthwith severally pay to the plaintiff the several sums appointed to be paid in and by the faid award.

Edw. WARD. N. LECHMERE. LITTLETON Powis. HEN. HATSELL,

## CALLOW against VINES and Powell.

Wilthire, 7th July 1698.

TRIN. TERM. 10. Wil. 3.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff, since December 1693, had The lands called been, and then was rector of the parish-church and rectory Mouditt's Park of Somerford Parva, in the county of Wilts, and entitled to all parish of Little tithes in kind; that the defendant Vines was, in 1694 and 1695, Somerford, in the occupier of a farm and lands called Mauditt's Park Farm, and county of Wiles, also of two closes called Sandey Lease and Fearney Lease, and other pay a medus of lands which were no part of the faid park; and that upon the the rector, in faid farm and lands the defendant had yearly cut hay, and lieu of tithes, corn, and had cows, sheep, calves, lambs, wool, and other tithes of great value; that the defendant Powell was owner of the farm and lands aforesaid, and had set up a modus. The bill therefore prayed a discovery of the modus, and of the quantities, qualities, and values of the tithes, and for an account,

Farm, in the

The defendants faid, that the owners and occupiers of Maudit's Park Farm have, time out of mind, been discharged from the payment of tithes in kind, and have paid forty shillings only in lieu thereof; and that the defendant Powell, and all those whose estate he and his trustees now have therein, have been freed from the payment of tithes in kind, or any thing in lieu thereof,

Vol. I, fave

CALLOW against VINES AND ANOTHER.

fave on'y the faid forty shillings a-year; and insisted to be discharged thereof.

The defendant Vines confessed, that he occupied Sandey Lease, and believed the two closes were part of Mauditt's Farm, and not a late addition thereto.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined.

The defendant Vines died, and left his fon executor, who filed a bill of revivor, and admitted affets; and by an order made the ninth of June last, the proceedings were revived.

On reading the proofs on both fides touching the modus infifted upon by the defendants in their answer, an issue was directed to try, whether there be a modiu of forty shillings a-year payable to the rector of Somerford Parva, for and in lieu of the tithes of Mauditt's Park Farm, or not? and on the trial a verdict was found for the defendants; but Mr. BARON HATSELL having, according to the order of the Court, on the fixth of this instant May, spoken with the judge of assize who tried the faid cause, and reporting that the said judge declared that the fame was proper for re-examination, a new trial was ordered to be had, on costs, upon the same issue, only adding " or any and what part thereof, or not?" But the plaintiff not baving complied with the above order for the new trial, by not paying costs, the cause was put in the paper of causes for further directions at the defendant's request, and no counsel appearing for the plaintiff,

It was now, on the twenty-seventh of November 1699, finally ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, that the defendants stand absolutely dismissed this Court from the said bills, viz. the original bill and the bill of revivor.

> EDW. WARD. LITTLETON POWIS. HEN. HATSELL.

MICH. TERM, 10. WIL. 3.

GEE against PEARCH.

Kent, 17th November 1698.

tithing hope in Orpington, in the

The manner of THE plaintiff, as farmer of the rectory and parsonage of Ortiking hops in the source of First Accord that the definition pington, in the county of Kent, stated, that the defendant the parish of had, for five years last past, been occupier of a great farm, concounty of Kent. fifting of arable land, hop-grounds, wood, and underwoods, which he had ploughed and fown with grain of all forts, and planted with hops, and from which he had felled divers acres of underwood, alder trees, and ash trees, under twenty years growth,

growth, and had barked and flawed great quantities of bark from the faid trees, and had paid no tithes for the fame. Grr *againf* Prancu.

The defendant said, that the tithes of hops or wood belonged to the vicar; that he had several times peeled, barked, and slawed several alger trees for hop poles, but that the bark was of small profit; that as to hops, there was a modus to pay ten shillings an acre in lieu of the tithes thereof; that tithes of the toppings of the timber trees were not due, nor for the stackwood used in his family; that he had grubbed several quantities of woodland which he had sown with corn, and also several roods of barren ground which yielded no profit, and had sowed the same with pease; and that no tithes ought to be paid for such lands by the statute 2. & 3. Edw. 6. c. 13. s. f. 5. for seven years.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witneffes were examined on both fides.

THE COURT declared, that the custom of paying ten shillings an acre for the tithe of hops is a void custom and not warranted by law; and therefore decreed, that the defendant ought to account for the same, according to the value of the tenth part of the said hops, when the same were pulled from the bine or stem, at which time the tenth part is severable from the ninth parts, and the tithes by law payable. That the reason assigned for the non-payment of tithes of corn sowed upon grubbed ground, is no good discharge, but that tithes in kind, or some composition ought to be paid to the plaintiff for the same. That tithes ought to be paid for the hop-poles growing upon the premises, which he used in poleing his hops. That the tithes of the bark of the alder-poles and hurdle-rods and of woad or woald, as offered by the answer, are to be paid in kind, and delivered by the defendant upon the plaintiff sending for them.

IT IS ORDERED by the Court, that the faid defendant shall and do forthwith pay to the faid plaintiff the sum of ten pounds in full of his tithes of hops, hurdle rods, bark of alder trees, cords of wood and pease, and in full discharge of the sum of eleven pounds, seven shillings, and threepence, reported due (a).

Edw. Ward. Nich. Lechmere. Littleton Powis. Hen. Hatsell.

<sup>(</sup>a) See the case, and the opinion of Barons, Gee v. Pearch, post, 11th May 1704, Easter Term, 3. Ann. Rayn. 89.

<sup>97.</sup> Greenaway v. Earl of Kent, Rayn. 161. and post. Hilary Term, 4. Anne.

TRIN. TERM, 11. WIL. 3.

Burges against Reeve.

Suffex, 5th July 1699.

The rector of Withiam, in Suffer, demands the tendon Lodge.

HE plaintiff stated, that for five years last he had been rector of the parish church of Withiam, in the county of Suffex, and entitled to all predial, personal, and mixed tithes, tithes of Whit- and duties arifing, within the faid parish, and the titheable places thereof; that the defendant, during the faid time, was and is occupier of a house, farm, and land, from which he had carried away the titheable matters, and converted them to his own use.

The defendant Lodge was formerlypartof.Afbparishof Buxton, and are tithe of the crown,

The defendant answered, that he occupied a house called fays, that the faid Whittendon Lodge, with several acres of land, heretofore part of Ashdown Forest, otherwise called Manchester Great Park, and had down Fores, and been informed, that the said house is within the parish of that part of the Withiam, but what part of the lands do lie in the faid parish, lands lie in the and what in the parish of Buxton, he knows not, but he hoped to prove that they were tithe free; for that the faid messuage free, as having and farm were part of Afbdown Forest, otherwise called Manchester been the demejous Great Park, and were part of the demejones of the kings of England, and by them enjoyed until the civil wars, and then were disaforested, disparked, and inclosed, by the propietors who claimed the fame; that by a decree of the dutchy chamber, made the eighth of July 1683, the faid forest was divided between the proprietors, and the inclosures settled, and the commoners had their common allotted to them; that until the faid disaforestation and disparking, the kings and queens of England, and their tenants and farmers did enjoy their lands and tenements within the said forest and park, time out of mind, freed and discharged from the payment of tithes; that fince the faid disaforestation and disparking of the said forest or park, the tenants have all enjoyed the premises tithe free; that he hoped to prove that the lands in question before the inclosures and improvements, and all the time, were fuch barren heath and waste ground, that it ought to have the privilege of the statute 2 & 3. Edw. 6. c. 13. s. not to pay tithes until the end of feven years after the improvement.

Or barren lands.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and feveral witnesses were examined on both sides; and on reading the depositions, and an inspeximus of a record, in the third year of James the First.

The defendants as is with in the parish of Withiam .

IT is ordered and decreed by the Court, that the dedecreed to pay fendant shall pay to the plaintiff the tithes of the farm and tithefor somuch lands in his occupation, which are part of Ashdown Forest, and do lie within the parish of Withiam, for all the time demanded by the bill, and also account for Easter offerings.

Burges avainst Rieve.

A commission was directed to ascertain what lands in the de- A commission fendant's occupation, in the Forest of Asbdown, do lie within the issued to ascerparish of Withiam, and what in the parish of Buxton.

The faid commissioners, having examined several witnesses The commission thereon, certified that the faid lands in the defendant's occu- fioners certify, pation in the Forest of Ashdown, for four years ending Michaelmas in Buxton, and 1697, contained in the whole about one thousand five hundred 1440 acres in acres; that about fixty acres lie in the parish of Bunton; and William. the residue in Withiam.

The deputy remembrancer made his report, dated the fifteenth instant, and upon reading the said order and report without exceptions, and no counsel appearing for the defendant,

IT IS ORDERED on the twentieth of February 1700, that the Certificate and faid report be ratified and confirmed, and that the faid defend- report confirmant do forthwith pay nineteen pounds, thirteen shillings, and ed. fixpence, fo reported due, with costs to be taxed.

EDW. WARD. LITTLETON POWIS. HEN. HATSELL.

## HASSEL against Bewley. Cumberland, 8th July 1699.

TRIN. TREM. 11. WfL. 3. 4

THE plaintiff, as leffee under the Dean and Chapter of Carlifle, The farm called demands the tithes of corn and hay in Great Braithwaite Townbead, and and Little Braithwaite, in the county of Cumberland.

THE COURT, upon reading the depositions, and also an township award made in the year 1619 by John Lowther and John Dud-Gumberland, pay, ley, Esqrs. touching the tithes of the faid townships, and also in lieu of tithes, by the proofs taken in the canse, were satisfied, that there had the sirts a mode been constantly paid to the farmers of the said tithes several of 3s.8d. a-year, moduses, in lieu of the tithe corn of two tenements in the and the fecond defendant's possession, lying within the faid townships, the one bushels of oats; called Townbead Tenement, and the other called Fellcroft, viz. and one bushed three shillings and eightpence a year for the first tenement, of bigg, and for the last tenement two bushels or measures containing nineteengallons, nincteen gallons of oats, and one bushel or measure of the like yearly. quantity of bigg.

the farm called Fellcroft, in the Braitbevaite, in

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED, that the defendant shall account with and pay to the plaintiff the said moduses, in lieu of the tithes of corn of the faid two tenements, for the time demanded by the bill.

SPICER

C c 3

HILARY TERM 21. WIL. 3.

#### SPICER against Pocock and Others.

Berksbire, 19th February 1699.

vicar of Cheveley, of Cheveley.

The plaintiff, as THE plaintiff, as vicar of the parish church of Cheveley Lockbampstead and Winterborn, and rector of Oare Curridge and and rector of Snelmore, in the county of Berks, stated, that he was lawfully Beriffire, claims inducted into the faid vicarages and rectories, and ought to the tithesofcorn enjoy all finall and privy tithes, and also the tithes of corn and and hay on new hay yearly arising on new grubbed ground within the said pagrupped ground rish of Cheveley, and the titheable places thereof; that the defendants, the Pococks, in the years 1697 and 1698, occupied arable, meadow, and pasture land in the said parish, and had hay, oats, and peafe, and took and carried away the fame without fetting out the tithes, and refused to pay the same.

The defendant rector of Cheveley, and holds a and that the faid been parcel of the poffession of the monastery of Abingdon.

The defendant Mary Pocock admitted the plaintiff to be vicar Mary Pacet as in the bill is stated, but said, that she was lawfully entitled to fays, that she is the rectory or parsonage impropriate of Cheveley, and the several parcels of glebe thereto belonging, and also to the tithes of corn close called Pit- belonging to the faid rectory. She confessed, that she held the falls, which is close called Pitfalls in the years 1697 and 1698, and mowed and part of the glebe, fed the same, but that the said close being part of the glebe, no rectory is tithe tithes were due or payable for the fame. She denied, that the free, as having plaintiff, by any ancient custom, endowment, composition, or other means whatfoever was entitled to, or ought to have tithes of corn, grain, or hay, or other tithes whatfoever of or from the glebe, or other lands of the faid rectory, or any thing in lieuthereof, or that the same had been paid to the plaintiff or his predecessors; and infifted, that the faid impropriate rectory was heretofore parcel of the possessions of the late dissolved monastery of Abingdon, and that at the time of the diffolution, and long before, was in the hands of the abbot, and discharged of the payment of all tithes whatfoever, and so continued in the hands of King Henry the Eighth, his fuccessors, or patentees, under whom she claims; and she averred that it had continued fo freed and discharged from That the also tithes, and so ought to be. She confessed, that the close called holds a close cal- Brooms was fown with oats and peafe, and that she took the led Browns, as tithes thereof as belonging to her faid impropriate rectory besonging to nex of Cheveley, and that the tithes belonged to her and not to rectory of Cheese the plaintiff. She faid that she knew not whether the said close was new grubbed ground or not; but that it formerly had a house in the centre of it, and had been an orchard; and that she plowed and sowed the same with oats and peale, and took the tithes as aforesaid; and she insisted, that the ought to hold and enjoy the meffuage and lands belonging to the rectory impropriate of Cheveley, discharged from the payment of any tithes whatfoever, great or small, to the vicar, and that all the tithes of corn within the tithing of Cheveler, properly fo called (distinct from all other tithes within the faid

Ly.

Taid parish of Cheveley), of common right belong to the rector, and ought to be paid to her as rector thereof.

SPICER against Pocock AND OTHERS. The defendant James

The defendant 7. Pocock admitted the plaintiff to be vicar, as in the bill stated, and believed him to be such rector, and that as fuch he ought to enjoy the tithes and profits as his predeceffors admits the plainhad done; that he believed fuch vicar and rector ought to receive tiff's right to the privy tithes, and all the tithes of corn and hay on new grubbed tithes claimed. ground within the faid parish of Cheveley; that he in 1607 occupied, possessed, plowed, and sowed the close called Brooms, about forty luggs of which were new broke ground, and the tithes belonged to the vicar; but that the other defendant Mary affirmed, that it belonged to her, as rector impropriate, and that he was provailed upon by her to pay to her for the tithes thereof, one shilling.

The plaintiff replied to the defendant Mary's answer; and she The Court read rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both sides; and on the proceedings of a cause of Sylvester vester depositions in a former cause, wherein Silvester, clerk, the and the endowplaintiff's predecessor, was plaintiff, and Rebecca Pocock, impro- ment; priatrix of Cheveley, the defendant; also an endowment out of the register book of the bishop of Sarum, dated the sourteenth of Fuly 1314;

THE COURT was of opinion, that the plaintiff had no right and decree, that to any tithes of corn and grain in the faid impropriate rectory the plaintiff has of Cheveley, of which the defendant Mary is impropriatrix.

no right to the tithes of the corn of Pitfulk:

IT IS ORDERED, that the faid bill, as to his demand of the tithes of the close called *Pitfalls*, be absolutely dismissed, and that the Ciefe, the bill is bill, as to his demand of the tithes of the close called Brooms, diffin fied withbe dismissed without prejudice, but with costs for both, to be out prejudice. taxed.

Edw. Ward. LITTLETON POWIS. HEN. HATSELL.

HUNT against Codrington and Others.

TRIN. TERM, 7. WIL. 3.

Somersetshire, 26th June 1700.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff, as lessee under the Dean Teasels, having and Chapter of Wells, had for feveral years rented the rec- been first planttory or parsonage of Congresbury, in the county of Somerset, and ed in gardene, was entitled to all tithes, and particularly to the tithes of teazels, are a small tithe. fown or planted within the faid rectory; that, time out of mind, the tithes of teazels had been paid to the owners or farmers of the faid parsonage, but that the defendant Codring-Cc4

Hunt
agains
Codeinston
And Others.

ton, being vicar of the said parish, pretends some right to the tithes thereof, and has forbid the parishioners to pay the said plaintiff the tithes, and has received and taken the tithes thereof; that the other defendants had in the years 1696 and 1697, a great quantity of teazels, but they pretend that the same are small tithes, and so belong to the vicar, and not to the impropriator.

Three of the defendants answered, and admitted the plaintiff to be farmer of the rectory, and entitled to all tithes belonging thereto, but denied his right to the tithes of teazels.

The defendant Codrington said, that he had for several years claimed the tithes of teazels, as vicar of the said parish, for that they are small tithes, and that the vicarage is endowed with all small tithes.

The defendants Boucher and Wollin said, they had teazels in the said years, and they set forth the quantities and values, and insisted that the tithes belonged to the defendant Codrington.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both sides; and on reading the proofs in the cause; and also a copy of an endowment of the vicarage, whereby it appeared that the said vicarage is endowed with all small tithes, and that the vicar has been paid all small tithes;

THE COURT took time to confider of the matter, and having so done they this day declared, that the tithes of *teazels* belong to the defendant *Codrington*, as vicar of the vicarage, they being first planted in the parish in gardens (a).

IT IS THEREUPON ORDERED by the Court, that the faid bill be dismissed, with costs to be taxed, but that the said defendants are not to prosecute the plaintiss for the same until the Court make further order therein.

> Edw. Ward. Littleton Powis. Hen. Hatsell.

(a) Teazel is a plant used by clothiers; it appeared in the evidence, that it had been first planted in the parish of Congression about fifty years before the filing of the bill, and that it had been planted in other parishes immemorially; that the tithe of it, during the said fifty years, had been uninterruptedly paid to the im-

propriator; and that four successive vicars had quietly acquiefeed in such payments; but as the vicar was endowed with all the small tithet of this parish, and the plant being, in the opinion of the Court, clearly a small tithe, the bill was dismissed. S. C. Rayn. 94 See also Twiss v. Brazen-Nose College, Hard. 328.

#### GLASSE against GLASSE. Wiltsbire, 3d June 1700.

Trin. Term, 12. WIL. 3.

HE bill stated, that, from December 1696, the plaintiff had The rector of been rector and incumbent of Winterbourne Baffet, in the county of Wilts, and entitled to all great and small tithes and fire, claimstithes to all customary payments in lieu thereof.

Baffet, in Willin kind.

The defendant infifted upon the modules following: threepence The defendant a cow, payable in lieu of tithe milk; fixpence a calf, in lieu pleads modufer as of tithe calves fallen within the faid parish; and set forth an ac- to the tithes of count of several other titheable matters he had. He confessed, he and says, that also held several acres of arable, meadow, and pasture ground, his lands called lying in Stanmere, whereupon he had growing divers quantities Stanmere are not of corn and grass, the particular values of which he set forth; but within Winterinfifted, that none of his meadow or pasture grounds in Stanmere were ever reputed to be within the rectory or parish theyare tithe free, aforefaid; neither did any former rector or incumbent ever as having been receive any tithes, moduses, or other fatisfaction in lieu of tithes, parcel of the free for any of his lands lying in Stanmere, for that they were former-bampion, ly parcel of the possessions of the free chapel of Beckhampton, in the parish of Arcberry, which were formerly in the crown, and by grants and conveyances are vested in the defendant, and therefore there was not any tithes due for the faid lands to the plaintiff, although he had lately taken fome of the tithes of the faid lands, for which the defendant had brought his action against him, in order to try his title thereto.

chapel of Beck-

An iffue was directed to try, " Whether any and what part of Aniffue directed the defendant's lands, lying in Stanmere, are liable to pay any to try, whether " and what tithes to the rector of the rectory and parish-church Stammere of Winterbourne Baffet?" the defendant to admit that Stanmere payable to the is within the rectory and parish of Winterbourne Basset.

rector of Winter-

And as for all other lands, not in Stanmere, which are held by the defendant, and lie within the faid parish and rectory, IT is milk and calves DECREED BY THE COURT, that the defendant shall account allowed. with and fatisfy the plaintiff the values of the tithes therefrom arising, and the modules set forth in the answer to be payable in lieu of tithe milk and calves, and the values of the small tithes of the things titheable which the faid defendant had within the faid parish during the time in the bill charged.

The moduses as to

The iffue was tried and found for the plaintiff, viz. that A verdict for the all the defendant's land, lying in Stanmere (except as to twenty plaintiff except acres called the Glebe), were liable and ought to pay all tithes acres of glebe thereout arising, to the rector of the rectory and parish-church lands of Winterbourne Baffet.

GLASSE agains GLASSE.

On the nineteenth of November instant the defendant moved for a new trial, but MR. BARON HATSELL acquainting the Court, that the judge who tried the same was not distatisfied with the verdict, the motion was refused.

Tithes of Stancordingly.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid defendant mere decreed ac- shall account with and satisfy the said plaintiff the values of all the tithes arising out of his estate in Stanmere, except for the tithes of the twenty acres of glebe land.

> EDW. WARD. HEN. HATSELL. R. TRACY.

TRIN. TERM, 12. WIL. 3.

LEIGH and Another against STREATFIELD.

Kent, 28th July 1700.

field, near Biacounty of Kent.

No part of the THE plaintiffs, as farmers of the rectory of Eaton Bridge, in the county of Kent, stated, that the defendant was occuficad, are within pier of a piece of land called Highfield, which had been divided the parish of Ea- into two parts, eight acres whereof lie in Eaton Bridge, and ton Bridge, in the have been usually rated to the church and poor of that parish, the tithes of which ought to have been paid to the plaintiffs,

> The defendant faid, that the faid land, called Highfield, lies in the parish of Brastead, and not in the parish of Eaton Bridge.

> An issue was directed to try, whether Highfield, or any and what part thereof, lieth in Eaton Bridge, or not? and on the trial a verdict was given for the defendant.

THE COURT therefore ordered the bill to be dismissed.

TRIN. TERM, STAUGHTON and Others against HIDE and Another. 12. WIL. 3. Berksbire, 27th June 1700.

A cuftom that after grafs is put rake together the void

THE bill stated, that the plaintiffs Staughton and Morris were proprietors, trustees, and farmers of the rectory or parsonage into cocks, the impropriate of Shinfield, in the county of Berks, and entitled to owner is not to the tithes of corn, grain, hay, withies, ofiers, and the other grass round the tithes and dues belonging to the faid rectory, in trust for the said cocks, is plaintiff Mary Jones.

> The defendants said, that after the grass is put into cocks, it is the custom of the said parish, that the parishioners are not to rake together the grass round the said cocks.

> THE COURT declared, that the custom, insisted upon by the defendants not to rake up their grass into cocks in order to Letting

fetting out the full tithes thereof, is a void custom, and that the STAUGHTON defendant ought to account for the tithes of the faid hay, and AND OTHERS also for the tithes and duties demanded by the bill.

again/t HIDE AND ANOTHER.

Morse against Fitzjames and Others. Wiltsbire, 8th June 1700.

TRIN. TERM. 12. WIL. 3.

THE vicar of Presbutt, in the county of Wilts, claimed, The vicar of by virtue of some ancient endowment, all tithes, both Profint, in Win. great and fmall, yearly arising within the tithing or hamlet of tithes of the Clatford, being within and parcel of the faid parish.

hamlet of Clar-

The defendants faid, that no tithes of corn, &c. arising in Clat- The defendants, ford, or Clatford Park, within the tithing of Clatford, ought to as to the demojor be paid in kind, for that all the demessee lands of the manor of lands, pkad a Clatford were freed and discharged from the payment of all modula of an acre of wheat, and tithes in kind, or anything in lieu thereof other than the modus 200s. on There paid by the owners or tenants, viz. "one acre of wheat at Day yearly. harvest, to be elected and chosen by the vicar of Presbutt, for the time being, or his leffee, growing upon some part of the demefre lands then fowed with wheat, and twenty shillings on Easter Day yearly." The answer further stated, that the plaintiff, for the years for which he feeks relief, had let all his tithes (except the twenty shillings) to one F. Holmes, and that he had taken the faid acre of wheat in each of the faid years, and that the other tithes were paid in kind for the tenantry land; and they fet forth their tithes, and what they had paid to the leffee, and what tithes they admitted to be due to the plaintiff, they averred that they had tendered him.

Upon reading the proofs for the defendants for proving the On reading the modus infifted on by the answer, to be payable in lieu of the evidence. tithes for the faid deinesne lands, and an ancient deed made in 1249, being a composition made by the prior of Clatford, and the rector of Presbutt; also a writing, intitled, "An Exemplar of a Composition, entered in a Register Book of " the Bishop of Sarum, in 1487;" also the depositions for the plaintiff, and an order made the twenty-third of February 1698;

IT IS DECREED, that the faid defendants shall severally ac- The Court decount with the plaintiff for the tithes of all such titheable mat- cree tithes to ters and things as have encreased, arisen, or happened upon the said be paid for the ters and things as have encreased, arisen, or nappened upon the rate tenantry lands and tenantry commons, inclosed or not inclosed, included in the not being comprehended under the faid modus, which they re- midus, fpectively occupied, used, or enjoyed, during the time in the bill charged, in Clatford aforefaid; and that they shall severally fatisfy and pay to the said plaintiff what shall appear due to him from them respectively upon the account.

And

Morer againft FITZJAMES AND OTHERS.

And as to the tender infifted on by the defendant, the same is to be reported specially.

TRIN. TERM. 22. WIL. 3.

THORNGATE and Another against WALLOP, Widow.

Southampton, 26th June 1700.

hay, and corn,

The lefter of THE plaintiffs, farmers of the parsonage of Hursburne and Bursburne and Saint Many Russe in the country of Hants, appropriated to Saint Mary Burne, in the county of Hants, appropriated to St. Mary Burne, the hospital of Saint Cross, claimed all tithes both great and claims tithes of imall, in kind.

and wood, in kind. S.C. Rayn. 95.

The defendant pleads a modus

The defendant confessed, that she took the hay away without paying any tithes, no tithes having ever been paid in kind for enhay and corn; her meadow ground; that in fatisfaction of all tithes of hay belonging to her the grass growing on four acres of meadow, lying in Hacey Mead and Stoke Mead, within the faid parish, hath, time out of mind, been always received and taken by the bishop of Winchester, his farmers, or agents, as a customary payment, in lieu of all tithes grown on the faid meadows; and that no tithes in kind had ever been demanded until within eighteen months past, the plaintiffs pretended to the same: and as to wood The defendant also confessed, that she had carried away the an exemption underwood by her felled and cut, without fetting out the tithes; for that the underwoods in her possession were formerly part or parcel of the lands belonging to the priory of Hursburne, and being so vested in the church, the same is exempted from the payment of tithes.

from tithes.

The plaintiffs replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides.

On reading the evidence,

On reading the proofs taken, and the minister's accounts from the thirty-third to the thirty-fourth year of Henry the Eighth; and a grant of the manor of Hursburne from Philip and Mary, in the fixth year of their reign, upon the attainder of Sir John Gate to Sir Robert Oxenbridge, whereby it appeared, that the manor of Hursburne Priors was parcel of the abbey of Saint Swithin in Winchester, which was one of the greater monasteries, and diffolved by the statute of 31. Hen. 8. and it appearing by all the proofs taken in the cause, that no tithe of buy or wood had been ever paid in the memory of man, and the plaintiffs declining to try it at law,

the bill difmiffed,

THE COURT were of opinion, that the plaintiffs ought to have no relief in this cause, for the said tithes of hay and wood demanded

manded by the bill; and therefore ordered, that the bill be dif- TRORNGATE. miffed with moderate costs.

AND ANOTHER against WALLOP.

EDW. WARD. LITTLETON POWIS. Hen. Hatsell.

ELSTONE against Sowden and Others. Devonshire, 26th June 1700 .

TRIN. TERM, 12. W1L. 3.

THE plaintiff demanded tithes in kind of all wood felled in a A modus of 1d, wood, called West Stoney Field Wood, lying within the parish a-year is payaof Chawley, in the county of Deven, and fold within ten years of Charoley, in last past. lieu of the tithes of all wood cut in the lands called West Stoney Field Wood, in the faid county.

Devensbire,

The defendants admitted, that the defendant Sowden, just before the filing of the bill, purchased a tenement called West' Stoney Field, whereof the faid wood is part, containing about feventeen acres, which wood not having been cut for thirty years past, there were feveral timber trees, and most of the coppice-wood fit to be used as such, and that the said Sowden sold the said wood and underwood (except thirty trees on every acre) to the other defendants the Reeds, who have fince fold one third part of it to the defendant Heywood; and they infifted, that no tithe was payable for any tenement within the faid parish, and particularly for the wood cut on the faid tenement, other than a modus, which hath been time out of mind paid, viz. that the occupier of the faid tenement, called West Stoney Field, pay or ought to pay yearly one penny to the rector of the faid parish, in lieu of tithes of all wood, underwood, and coppice-wood

An issue was directed to try, by a special jury, " Whether the occupier or possessor of West Stoney Field Tenement 46 have, time out of mind, paid or ought to pay one penny yearly, in lieu of tithes of all wood grown, cut, and felled 44 upon the faid tenement, or not?" on which trial the jury found a verdict for the defendants.

grown, cut, and felled upon the faid tenement and wood.

THE COURT therefore ordered, the faid bill to be dismissed with costs, both at law and in equity, to be taxed.

> Edw. Ward. HEN. HATSELL. R. TRACY. THO. BURY.

BULWER against NEWMAN and Others. Norfolk, 1st July 1700.

TRIN, TREM. 12. WIL. 3.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff, for twenty years past, The vicar of had been seised in see of the rectory impropriate of Grist- Gristwick, wick, in the county of Norfolk, and entitled to the tithes of Norfolk, claims kind of lands called the Great Furr Clofes, the Callas Clofes, the Milkers Meadow, and the Greengate Lands.

the tithes in

RULWER agan.ft NEWMAN AND OTHERS. corn, hay, and other predial tihes; that the defendant Bircham, for three years past, had occupied ground called the Great Furr Closes; that the defendant Bullen also had occupied ground called Callas Closes, and also a meadow called Milker's Meadow; that the defendant Athill also had occupied ground called Greengate Lands, and that they severally sowed the same with corn, wheat, rye, &c. and reaped it, and cut the grass thereof, and made the same into hay, the tithe of all which belonged to the plaintiff, as impropriator.

The defendants with corn.

The defendants infifted on several moduses payable to the infift on a modus vicar in lieu of all tithes of corn, hav, herbage, and all other for each of the tithes arising from the laid lands, excepting only the close faid lands, ex- called the Four Acres, parcel of Greengate Lands, when fowed cepting a piece with come wire the defendant Rischam for the Green Furn Chees called the Four with corn; viz. the defendant Bircham, for the Great Furr Closes, Acres, parcels of four shillings; the defendant Bullen, for the Callas Closes, thir-Greengate Lands, teen shillings and fourpence, and for Milker's Meadow, fourfowed pence; and the defendant Athill, for the Greengate Lands, twelve shillings and fourpence.

Iffues directed,

On reading the proofs in this cause, and the depositions in a and verdices for cause of Bulwer v. Athell (a), whereby it appeared that J. the defendants. Ring and W. Steward, two of the defendant's witnesses, contradicted what they had fworn in the former cause, and on great debate, the Court directed issues to try the said moduses; and on the trials verdicts were found with the defendants.

The bill difmiffed without prejudice.

THE COURT therefore ordered the bill to stand dismissed without prejudice, with costs to be taxed for the defendants, both at law and in equity.

EDW. WARD. R. TRACY. THO. BURY.

(a) Trinity Term, 1698.

HILARYTERM 12. W1L. 3.

#### TREWIN against Bond.

Devousbire, 20th February 1700.

THE plaintiff claimed the tithes of corn and other grain as A custom to set lessee of the rectory of Woodbury (a), in the county of corn in sticks of Devon, from and under the custos and college of vicars of the twelve sheaves, choir of the cathederal church of Saint Peter, in Exeter.

out the tithe of or stitches of ten sheaves, and to number ten, is void,

The defendants infifted on an immemorial custom to set up pay no tithes their corn and grain there grown and reaped, in sticks, being odd twelve sheaves placed in a row, fix sheaves against fix sheaves; theaves under or in stitches, being ten sheaves placed in a row, five sheaves

> (a) See the case of Heathsteld v Trosse, post. 11. Dec. 1769, Mich. Term, 10. Geo. 3.

against

against five sheaves; and that if there happen, upon the whole quantity of corn, to be any flick or flicks, flitch or flitches, not amounting to the number of ten, no tithe is paid of fuch under the number of ten.

TREWIN ayainfl

THE COURT declared, that the faid pretended custom is a void custom; and therefore ordered, that the defendants shall. pay to the plaintiff the tithes of all the wheat, barley, and other corn; particularly for the tenth part of all the odd sticks or stiches of wheat, barley, or other corn, not amounting to the number of ten, which they respectively had in every field or inclosure within the faid parish.

#### GRAHAM, Esq. against DAWES and Others, Westmoreland, 18th July 1701.

Micn. Tram, 13. WIL. 3.

THE rector of Crosby Ravenside, otherwise Ravensworth, A modes of 31. otherwise Crosby Ravenswith, in the county of Westmore- id. a-year is land, stated, that the defendant Dawes was owner, and the payable for Reother defendants were occupiers of a farm called Regill; a close 6d. a-year for called Bayliffe Field; and a tenement called Addisons, and that Addison's Tenethey had depattured a number of sheep thereon, and had lambs ment, to the imand wool, and also corn and grain from the same; and prayed propriator an account of corn, wool, and lamb.

gill Grange, and propriator of Ravenjawath, in Westmoreland, in of corn, grain,

The defendant Dawes confessed, that he was seised in fee of lieu of the tithes Regill and of Addisons, and that the other defendants were wool, and lamb. farmers and possessors thereof; but he insisted that no tithe in kind of corn, grain, wool, or lamb, ought to be paid for the grange called Addisons, or for Bayliffe Field, but a modus of three shillings and sevenpence yearly at the feast of Saint Lawrence; viz. three shillings and one penny for Regill Grange, and sixpence for Addison's Tenement, and Bayliffe Field, to the impropriator; and that there is yearly pavable to the vicar twelve shillings for tithe hay and other tithes.

Issues were directed to try, first, "Whether there be a 66 modus of three shillings and one penny payable by the owners and occupiers in lieu of all tithes of corn, grain, wool, and 66 lamb for Regill Grange to the impropriator of Crofby Ravens-" worth, &c.;" SECONDLY, " Whether there be another modus of s sixpence payable to the impropriator in lieu of all tithes for " Addison's Tenement, and Bayliffe Field, belonging to the defend-" ant Dawes;" and in both of the faid issues verdicts were found for the defendants.

THE COURT ordered (the plaintiff not appearing by counsel) that the bill be difmiffed with costs of suit to be taxed by the deputy remembrancer.

> EDW. WARD. CONYERS

TRIN. TERM, 13. WIL. 3.

Convers against Sweetland and Leate.

Devenshire, 30th June 1701.

The inhabitants of East Budleigh, thead for cyder ; ad. an acre for wood, and 4d. offcrings.

THE vicar of East Budleigh, in the county of Devon, with the chapel of Withercomb Rawleigh annexed, claimed the Devensire, customary rates in lieu of the tithes of cyder, hay, calves, pay 4d. a hog- garden stuff, fire wood, herbage, and Easter offerings.

The defendants faid, that the plaintiff was an alien, born in hay; 4d. for e- France, and not made a denizen, and submitted to the Court, very calf; 2d. whether they should pay him tithes, or whether he had any a-year for gar-dens; id. a- right until he was made free by act of parliament?

Year for fire The plaintiff replied the defendants rejoined.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witneffes 2-year for Eafter were examined on both fides; and upon debate of the matter, and reading the depositions, it appeared, by the plaintiff's proofs, that the modus or customary payment for cycler was fourpence a hogshead, and not threepence only, as was set forth in the defendant's answer; for grass mowed and made into hay, fourpence an acre; for every calf, fourpence; for his garden, one penny a-year; for fire wood, a bearth penny yearly; and for Eafter offerings, as confessed by the answer, sourpence for man and wife.

> And THE COURT decreed the same to be paid by the desendants accordingly.

HILARYTERM 33. W11. 3.

COE against SMITH.

Suffolk, 19th February 1701.

and of cattle. wood converted into charcoal.

The rector of THE rector of Elmsett, in the country of Suffolk, claimed the Elmset, in Suftithe of log trees, and the loppings and toppings of other folk, claims trees in kind, and for the agistment of barren and unprofitable

The defendant

The defendant admitted that the plaintiff was rector, and says, he neither entitled to all tithes belonging to the faid rectory, and stated, inhabits or oc- that he was an inhabitant of the parish of Hadleigh; that he Eliminist but neither inhabited or occupied or owned any lands or tenements that he bought whatsoever in the parish of Elmsett; but he confessed that he wood had bought logg trees and loppings and toppings for four there, and made pounds ten shillings, and had felled and converted them into and also fent charcoal, the tithe of which, if titheable, were worth twenty bullocks to pas- shillings. He also confessed, that in one year he had put ten bullocks to pasture for five weeks with an owner or occupier of lands in the parish of Elmsett, and averred that the said owner or occupier had paid the tithes of his lands in the faid parish,

Thetithes of the charcoal and the herbage degreed.

ture there.

THE COURT was of opinion, that tithes in kind are due for wood converted into charcoal, and also for the tithe herbage the value of which tithes the parties, by confent, admitted to amount to fix pounds, twelve shillings, and sixpence.

Cor againfi SMITE.

TRIN. TERM,

13. WIL. 3

the River Park.

in the parish of

Lurgafball, in

year to the rec-

It was accordingly decreed, that the defendant do fatisfy and pay to the plaintiff the faid fum for the value of the faid tithes, together with costs of suit, to be taxed by the deputy remembrancer of the court.

> WARD, Chief Baron. HATSELL, Baron. TRACY, Baron. BURY, Baron.

#### Cobden against Bridger.

Sussex, 14th July 1701.

HE rector of Lurgasball, in the county of Sussex, claimed The lands called tithes in kind of the lands called River Park, the property of Lord Montagu, and in the occupation of the defendant.

The defendants infifted upon a modus of five pounds a-year the county of suffex, pay a modus of 51. afor the park lands.

An issue was directed to try, whether the modus of five pounds tor, in heu of per annum be an ancient modus, payable in lieu of tithes in kind tithes in kind. for the River Park Lands, or not. But the plaintiff declining to try the issue,

IT IS ORDERED, that the bill be dismissed, as to the demand of the tithes for River Park Lands in kind, with costs, and that the arrears of the faid modus, being an ancient modus, in lieu of tithes in kind for River Park Lands, shall be paid to the plaintiff by such of the defendants as are occupiers of the said lands.

> EDW. WARD. HEN. HATSELL. R. TRACY. THO. BURY.

# CROCKAT against HARRINGTON.

Effex, 8th July 1701.

THE vicar of Horindon on the Hill, in the county of Essen, The vicar of Heclaimed vicarial tithes in kind from certain lands called rindon on the Hill, Dukes Land, in the said parish.

The defendant confessed that he held a farm called Bargesse. The detendant otherwise Dukes Land, in the parishes of Horindon on the Hill says, the said and Orfett; but faid that he did not know, nor could it be dif-

Malgraves, for which there is a modes of 23s. 4d. a-year, in lieu of tithes, Yol. L Dα tinguished

TRIN. TERM.

in Effex, claims rithes of Dukes Farm.

of a farm called

13. WIL. 3.

CROCKAT egains HARRINGTON.

tinguished, what part lies in the one parish and what in the other; that the faid farm, from time immemorial, until the year 1660, had been part of a manor called Malgraves, and that no small tithes ought to be paid to the plaintiff for Bargeffe Lands, for that the owners of the faid manor had immemorially paid to the vicar thirteen shillings and fourpence a-year as a modus for the small tithes of Malgraves Farm, and therefore the said Bargesse Lands, being formerly part of Malgraves, were discharged, by the said modus, from the payment of small tithes.

The tithes of erced.

THE COURT, on reading several old deeds produced by the Duke Form de- defendant, was of opinion, that small tithes ought to be paid for that part of the farm called Bargeffe Lands, which lies within the parish of Horindon; and decreed an account for the same accordingly.

HEN. HATSELL. R. TRACY. THO. BURY.

# COLLECTION

# DECREES

BY

THE COURT OF EXCHEQUER

# TITHE - CAUSES,

DURING

#### THE REIGN OF QUEEN ANNE.

Werndley against Seymour and Another. Buckinghamsbire, 6th May 1702.

THE plaintiff, as vicar of Wyrardsbury, with the chapel of The vicar of Langley annexed, in the county of Buckingham, stated, that the wicarage, ever fince the year 1258, had been a vicarage endowed; of Langley, in the that the vicar was entitled to the whole alterage of the faid church county of Buckand chapel, and particularly, by endowment, to the tithe of ingham, annexhay arising within Langley; that he was, in the year 1689, in-ed, is not entiducted into the faid vicarage, and entitled to all the rights and tled to the tithe profits to the fame belonging; that the dean and canons of parish of Lang-Windsor were the impropriators of the said parish; that they by, but the same had demised to the defendant Seymour so much of the great belongs to the tithes, belonging to the faid parsonage, as did arise within the dean and canons of Windsor. chapelry of Langley; that Seymour had let the same to the defendant Ball, who had prevented the plaintiff from taking the faid tithe of hay in Langley.

The defendants stated, that the dean and chapter of the king's free chapel of Saint George, within the castle of Windsor, being owners of the parsonage of Langley, and entitled to the great tithes, and to the tithe of hay, had, by their indenture under their common seal, dated the ninth of January 1697, demised D d 2

WERNDLEY against SETMOUR ANDANOTHER. demised the same to the defendant Seymour, for twenty-one years, who had let an under-lease thereof to the defendant Ball, by virtue whereof he claimed thetithe of hay. They denied that the plaintiff, or any former vicar, had ever received the tithe of hay arising in Langley, and averred that the same had been constantly received by the dean and canons of Windfor, impropriators of the parfonage of Langley, or by their leffee, and not by the vicar. They also insisted, that by the endowment of the said vicarage the plaintiff had not any right to the tithe hay of Langley.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides; and on reading the depositions, and an ancient parchment, purporting to be a valuation of the faid vicarage in the year 1258; and also the faid lease, made in the year 1697,

THE COURT ordered the bill to be dismissed with costs.

TRIN. TERM, I. Q. ANNE.

#### Burrell against Greenacres.

Norfolk, 15th June 1702.

logs house, and unand derwood thorns used for repairing the eithes.

Timber trece THE plaintiff, as rector of Woollerton, in the county of North folk, stated, that, by common right, the tithe of wood made into bil- ought to be paid in kind; and that the defendant had occupied lets and faggots several acres of wood land, and had cut down and converted and burnt in the several loads of wood to his own use; the tithes whereof were worth forty shillings a-year.

The defendant denied that the plaintiff was entitled, either fences, pay no by common right or immemorial custom, to the tithe of wood. for that the wood and trees, which he had cut down within the faid parish, were in their nature grosse boys, or timber, except fuch as grew in the hedge-rows, and which he had applied with the thorns for repairing the hedge rows, and for the better improvement of the tithes and herbage of the inclosures in the faid parish; that the trees were all above twenty years growth; that the underwood and thorns, growing in the faid hedgerows, had, for the greater part, been used in repairing the fences, and the remainder made into faggots and billets, and burnt in his house; that in the said parish there is a custom immemorial, that if the farmers or occupiers of the lands cut any underwood or thorns growing upon ditches and inclosures, and employ the fame in mending or new fencing within their houses, or on their farms in the said parish, no tithes shall be paid for the fame, but that the tithes of the hay, corn, and grass so improved shall be taken in full satisfaction of the underwood and thorns growing upon fuch ditches.

The

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides.

against GERRAGEES.

THE COURT ordered the bill to be dismissed.

DENT, D. D. against Buck, Bart. and Others. Lincolnsbire, 6th July 1702.

THE vicar of Lenton, otherwife Lavington, in the county of The vicar of La-Lincoln, claimed all vicarial tithes in kind, particularly in wington, in Lin-Hanbeck, otherwise called Hanby Grange, and the lands and tithe- compline, claims able places thereof, in Lawington; that the defendant Buck, and the tithes of Hanby Gronge is. the other defendants did, in the years 1697, 1698, and 1699, kind and still do occupy and enjoy lands in *Hanby Grange*, and pretend S. C. s. Eq. that there is a *modus* of twenty pounds payable to the vicar of Abr. 489. Lawington by the defendant Buck in lieu of his tithes; that in the S. C. 4 Viner year 1689 he exhibited his bill against the said Buck for the Abr. 535; tithes of Hanby Grange, who then infifted, by his answer, on and states, that the faid modus of twenty pounds a-year, and pretended that he the defendant, the laid modus of twenty pounds a-year, and pretended that he deceifully pre-had found a record, in the time of Queen Elizabeth, in a fuit of tending to him Fuller, then tenant of part of the faid grange, against Dale, then that there was a vicar of Lavington, wherein Dale impleaded Fuller in the arches modes of 201. acourt for the tithes of wool and lamb, and the feeding of barren year in lieu of cattle in the faid grange; that Fuller thereupon applied to the faid grange, king's bench, and fet forth the faid modus of twenty pounds, and proved the same; that the said Buck also pretended that he has a copy of the exemplification of the record thereof; that the plaintiff applied to Buck to produce the same, which he did, and proposed to allow the said plaintiff eleven pounds induced him to a-year for his life, if he would give the receipt for twenty fign an agree. pounds; and that they entered into articles accordingly, dated ment to take the fourth of December 1690; but that afterwards he was his life in lieu advised that the church was not to be bound by the said thereof, articles of agreement, and therefore he brought his bill to be relieved against the said agreement, and to recover the tithes in kind, and to have the faid agreement cancelled. The bill also stated, that in the parish of Lavington there is a lordship called Grange Lea, in the Manor of Lenton, distinct from the lordship of Hunby Grange, the manor of in which there is a piece of pasture ground called Grange Lees, Livington, and which the defendant Buck held in the years 1689 and 1692, and no part of Heatthereon depastured several forts of cattle, but of which he refused to pay any tithes, on a pretence, that the same is part of Hanby Grange, whereas the same is in the manor of Lenton, and is held thereof, and has always paid tithes to the vicar of Lavington, and is taxed to Lavington, distinct from the said grange; and that the defendants Garland, Lacy, and Rastall occupied the said Grange Lees, and had depastured the same with sheep and cows without paying any tithes for the same. Dd 3

TRIN. TREM. L Q. ANNE.

the tithes of the

He also claims the tithe of leg Grange.

DENT, D. D. against Buck, Bart. AND OTHERS. The defendant relies upon the to take 111. ayear for his life in lieu of tithes, fraud.

The defendant Buck admitted the plaintiff to be vical of Lavington, and entitled to the glebes and profits of the faid vicarage; but denied that he was entitled to receive the finall tithes in kind arising out of the lordship of Hanby Grange, or the titheable places thereof, in Lavington; and he fet up the faid agreement made modus of twenty pounds a-year, which he averred had been by the plaintiff paid by him and his ancestors, time out of mind, to the vicars, either in specie, or by permitting them to hold some part of the grange; and that by the statute 2. & 3. Edw. 6. c. 13. no perand denies all fon shall be compelled to pay tithes for any manor, lands, and tenements, which by any previlege or prescription are not chargeable with the payment of tithes, or discharged by any composition real; and that by the said payment of twenty pounds the owners and tenants are discharged. stated, that the plaintiff drew up and signed the agreement in the bill mentioned, but he denied that he had ever defired, or that the plaintiff had thereby agreed to give acquittances for the twenty pounds modus; and said, that the plaintiff had given divers receipts, and therefore ought not to impeach the and infifts that faid agreement, the same being made at his request; upon which Grange Lea is agreement the defendant doth infift; and that Grange Lees is part of the manor of Hanby Demessies, and no parcel of the manor of Lenton.

part of Hanby Grange.

> The other defendants faid they were occupiers of feveral parcels of land, and insist on the said modus.

The bill, as to difmiffed without prejudice.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witneffes the tithes of were examined on both fides; and the cause came on to be Hanby Grange, heard the twenty-first of February last; when it was ordered that the bill should be dismissed, as to the tithes of Hanby Grange, without prejudice.

An iffue direct-Les.

And an iffue was directed to try, whether Grange Lees be ed as to Grange part of Hanby Grange, or not?

rehearing A granted.

On the ninth of July 1701 the plaintiff moved for a rehearing, which was granted, and the cause came on to be heard on the fixth of December following; when

The Court of ment.

THE COURT declared, that as to the tithes of Hanby Grange, opinion, hatthe the plaintiff was not relievable against his own agreement; and plaintiff is not they did abide by the judgment given upon their former relievable against hearing, and saw no cause to depart from the same; and the plaintiff then present in court, refusing to go to trial according to the former directions, and wholly waving the same,

IT WAS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, that the bill should be The bill difabsolutely dismissed with costs, without prejudice to the plainmisted. tiff's demand of eleven pounds a-year, payable to him by the defendant Buck according to their agreement.

After

Buck, Bart.

appeals to the

house of lords,

But the order

being informal,

Afterwards the plaintiff appealed to the House of Lords, which DENT, D. D. came on the nineteenth of March last; when

IT WAS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, that the faid decree, and AND OTHERS. the affirmation thereof, should be, and they were thereby re- The versed, and that the agreement should be set aside.

The plaintiff afterwards, on the twenty-fourth of the faid and the decree March, petitioned the lords in parliament, complaining that the minutes taken before their lordships were, " that the said "decree, and the affirmation thereof, should be reversed, and "the agreement fet aside;" but that it was therein omitted, that the court of exchequer should proceed to relieve " the plaintiff."

Upon reading the petition, it was ordered that the plaintiff the plaintiff, and the defendant Buck should be heard by counsel each, and titioned, notice be given to the defendant; and counsel being heard upon the faid petition on the twenty-seventh of the faid March,

It was ordered by the lords spiritual and temporal in parlia- and the lords ment affembled, that the following words should be added to order the court the judgment of the house pursuant to the order of the nine-teenth instant; viz. "that the court of exchequer do proceed mine the cause. 66 to hear and determine the cause, as to the right of the tithes in question, notwithstanding the agreement.

The cause came on to be reheard this day in pursuance of An issue diregathe orders of the house of lords of the nineteenth and twenty- ed to try the vafeventh of March last 1701; and on reading the faid orders, and lighty of the athe proofs taken in the cause, and the several receipts of the whether Grange vicars of Lavington, a trial at law, by a special jury, was directed Les is part of upon this issue, "Whether there be a modus of twenty pounds Handy Grange. es payable to the vicar of Lavington, in lieu of the tithes of Hanby "Grange, and the lands thereto belonging, or not?" and " Whether Grange Lees be part of Hanby Grange?" the equity of the faid cause to be reserved to the court till the said trial shall be had.

The trial was accordingly had by a special jury, and a verdict Verdict in safound for the plaintiff; but upon reading the order and the vour of the viverdict, whereby it appeared that the modus of twenty pounds car. a-year was invalid, and of no effect, and on mature deliberate debate of the matter,

THE COURT declared their opinions feriatim, and ordered and Tithes in kinder decreed, that the defendants shall account for their tithes in kind Hanby Grange. for Hanby Grange and the lands thereunto belonging for the years aforesaid; and that tithes in kind for the future shall be paid to the vicar of Lavington, for the time being, for Hanby Grange and the lands thereunto belonging; and it is referred to the D d 4

DENT, D. D. against Buck, Bart. AND OTHERS. deputy remembrancer to take and report the said account; the costs to be reserved.

In pursuance of the said order, the deputy made his report the eighteenth of May last; and upon reading the said order and report, without exceptions, and hearing counsel on both fides, and on full debate, it is ordered, on the twelfth of July 1704, that the faid report be confirmed, and that the faid defendants shall pay to the plaintiff the several sums of money fo reported due from them respectively for their several tithes in kind for Hanby Grange aforesaid, and the lands thereunto belonging, together with moderate costs to be taxed.

> EDW. WARD. THO. BURY. Ro. PRICE. J. SMITH.

Micn. Tram, 1 Q. Anne.

## MALLABAR against Young and Others.

Cambridgesbire, 9th December 1702.

of Ely, claims Doles Fen.

The leffee of the THE bill stated, that the archdeacons of Ely, having been rectory of Wil. feised of the impropriation or rectory of Wilberton, in the berton, in the Isle of Ely, in the county of Cambridge, in right of the archthe tithes of deaconry, or as a corps annexed thereto, have used to let the Linears Fin and same for three lives, or twenty-one years; that W. Saywell, Doles Fin. D. D. and archdeacon of Ely, being seised of the said rectory, did, in his lifetime, by indenture, dated the twenty-fixth of March 1700, made between him and the plaintiff, demise to the plaintiff, his heirs and affigns, all the faid rectory, with all houses, lands, rents, woods, liberties, and tithes thereto belonging, to have and to hold the same to him and his heirs for three lives, paying yearly to the faid Wm. Saywell and his fucceffors thirty-four pounds, at two payments, and also paying yearly to such as should serve the cure there fifty pounds; that there are within the faid rectory two fenns, called Leizure Fen and Doles Fen, which, for two years past, have been possessed and enjoyed by the defendants; and that the said Fens are very rich, and bear great crops, and have been used in tillage, mowing, and feeding of cattle.

The defendants Doles Fee.

The defendants admitted, that within Wilberton there are two fay, that two fens, called Leizure Fen and Doles Fen; and that in the faid parcels of lard, years they severally held certain pieces of fen ground in Deler called Pale Pine years they feverally held certain pieces of fen ground in Deler and Butti Way, Fen, and also certain pieces of fen ground in Leizure Ren; that were formerly they mowed the grass of the lands in Doles Fen, and made the given to the same into hay, and ploughed part of the land in Leizure Fen, and owner of the fame into nay, and ploughed part of the land in Leizure Fen, and fedthe federy in lieu fowed the fame with cole feed and oats, and fed the other part of the tithes of with cows and horses; that they have not paid any tithes in kind,

or made any composition with the plaintiff for the lands in MALLABAR, Doles Fen, for that certain parcels of lands now or late in the plaintiff's occupation, called Pale Piece and Butts Way, about nine acres, lying near to the parsonage-house, and other lands in Doles Fen, which were, upon the draining thereof by an act of parliament in the fifteenth year of Charles the Second, entitled "An Act for draining Bedford Levell," taken in by the adventures from the owners of the rectory of Wilberton, were formerly, beyond the memory of man, exchanged by some former owners thereof with fuch persons as were then owners of the faid rectory to each other's fatisfaction, in lieu of all tithes for ever after, on account of the faid Doles Fen; that Leizure Fen and that a cerwas formerly part of the waste ground belonging to some tain moveable former lord or owner of the manor of Wilberton, and was, time lot or portion of Linewe For was immemorial till the late division thereof by the said act, used in also assigned, by the following manner, viz. from Candlemas to Lammas yearly the lord of the it was laid to be mown by way of moveable lots, being fome- manor of Williams times more and fometimes less (except the lords of the faid manor's ton, to the reclot, which was always in a place certain), and afterwards converted parith, in lieu of into hay or fodder for wintering the owners cattle of the faid the tithes of the several lots; and from Lammas to Candlemas it was fed with the aid Far. cattle of fuch as had right thereto, who were the lord, or owner, and his or their tenants, which priviledge anciently began, as is conceived, by the grant of some such former lord cr owner of the faid manor, for their under tenants' better support in the mamagement of the lands by them held; that, by the like grant, the owners and farmers of the impropriation or rectory had a confiderable moveable lot or lots in Leizure Fen in lieu of all tithes, both great and small, for ever after yearly arising from all persons on account of the said Fen, for which reason the faid Fen has been taken to be freed from the payment of all tithes whatever to the said rectory; and that when the said Fen was divided by the faid act, the rector had a confiderable lot to hold to him and his fuccessors in lieu of all tithes, and the same has been so held in severalty by the rector and his successors, and is at present so held by the plaintiff; that the several lots of other persons have been held tithe free, and that no part thereof, before the faid division, ever paid any tithes, except fome fmall matter that might probably be paid in kind by fome persons who were influenced thereto by the plaintiff, or some others, the predecessors of the plaintiff in the said rectory.

An issue was directed to try, "Whether the lands in the de- An issue directer fendant's answer mentioned, or any and which of them have ed to try, whebeen held and enjoyed, and ought to be held and enjoyed by ther the lands were so affigued in lieu of the beston, in the Isle of Ely, in the county of Cambridge, for the "time being, and their leffees or farmers, in lieu and fatis-

" faction of all tithes, both great and small, arising, happening,

MALLABAR, agains Young AND OTHERS.

defendants.

The bill dismissed with cofts.

" and being upon the two fens called Doles Fen and Leizure Fen, "within the faid rectory of Wilberton, or not?" to be tried by a Cambridgesbire special jury, but no inhabitants in the Isle of Ely, nor any owner, occupier, or tenant of any lands within the Verdict for the titheable places thereof, to be on the jury; the judge to indorfe on the postea any thing special. The iffue was accordingly tried, and a verdict thereupon given for the defendants.

> THE COURT therefore ordered the defendants to be difmissed from the said bill, and their costs to be taxed by the deputy remembrancer of this court.

> > EDW. WARD. THO. BURY. Ro. Price. J. SMITH.

Mich. Tram, S. Q ANNE.

#### PIDSLEY against CAREW. Devonsbire, 30th November 1702.

of the parish of establish

Calves, . Meifers,

Garden fluff,

dow hay,

hay,

Cyder,

The inhabitants THE plaintiffs, inhabitants and owners of feveral lands, teof the parish of parish of parish of the parish of nements, and estates lying within the rectory and parish of Rickley, in the county of Devon, as well for themselves on their county of Devon, file their bill to own behalf, as on behalf of all others the inhabitants, and owners, and occupiers of lands and estates within the said recconfirm several tory and the titheable places thereof, filed their bill against the modules for the rector of the faid rectory and parish church of Rickley and the rectorial tithesof leffee of the tithes under the faid rector, to establish and con-Cows and milk, firm the feveral immemorial customs following: First, to pay one shilling yearly, in lieu of the tithe of the milk of every milch cow depastured, and the calf of such milch cow calved or fallen, and for the whole product of such cow yearly.—Secondly, fixpence for every milch heifer depastured, for all the milk yearly of fuch heifer, and for her calf, and for the whole product of fuch heifer in the year wherein she had her first calf.—THIRDLY, one penny, called a garden penny, in lieu of all tithes, herbs, and garden stuff, and other garden fruits arising yearly within such Wood and furze garden. - FOURTHLY, one penny, in lieu of the tithes of all wood and furze cut and felled on the faid lands and tenements, Ancient mea- coppice woods or underwoods.—FIFTHLY, fourpence an acre for every acre of ancient meadow, when the grass of such ancient meadow has been mowed and made into hay, in lieu of the New meadow tithes of the hay thereof.—Sixthly, fixpence an acre, when the grass grown on such lands hath been cut, mowed, and made into hay, for and in lieu of the tithes of every acre of fuch their feveral lands (not ancient meadow) so cut and made into hay.—Seventhly, fourpence a hogshead for every hogshead of cyder which has been made of apples yearly, when fuch apples have been converted into cyder, for and in lieu of all

all such apples so converted into cyder.—Eighthly, one penny yearly, for and in lieu of the tithes of all apples not converted into cyder. In case there be no cyder made of any apples grown on fuch orchards and lands, then the owners, occupiers, and possessor fuch lands have been accustomed to pay one penny only, in lieu of the tithes of all fuch apples.

PIDELET againf CAREW.

The defendant Carew denied the modules, and confessed, that by deed, dated the twelfth of March 1700, and duly executed, he did grant the said rectory, glebe lands, and tithes to the defendant Oliver for five years.

An issue was directed to try the customs or moduses in the An issue direct bill fet forth; and it was found that there were fuch customs or ed, and a wermoduses as above set forth.

det in fupport of the customs.

The Court accordingly ordered, Adjudged, and Decreed, The modules com. that the said customs and modules shall be, and hereby are esta- firmed and established, ratified, and confirmed.

EDW. WARD. THO. BURY. Ro. Price. J. Smith.

Holwell against Woolston. Devonsbire, 30th November 1702.

I. Q. AHHEL

HE rector of Torbryan, in the county of Devon, claimed The rector of tithes in kind.

Torbryan, in Devonfbire, claims tithes in kind. cyder,

The defendants insisted on the following customary pay- The defendant ments: viz. for every inhabitant, above fixteen years of age, infifts on medific twopence, for Easter offerings; for wood and furze burnt in lieu of the and used within the said parish, one penny yearly, called an officings, arehearth penny; for the tithe of gardens, one penny, called a wood, garden garden penny; for all calves fold, two shillings out of every stuff, twenty shillings; for every other calf kept for store, one half-milch penny; for every milch cow, fourpence; for a new milch cow, lambs, and frue. calving after Midsummer, twopence; for every ten ewes milked, twopence halfpenny, and fo proportionably for a greater or lesser number; for every hogshead of cyder, twopence, in lieu not only of apples, but of pears and all orchard fruit; and they insisted on a custom to tithe lambs on Saint Mark's Day, and, on refusal, to put the tithe lambs under a yew tree in the church-yard; but they denied that any thing is due for the agistment of horses and oxen.

THE COURT, on reading the depositions of the witnesses on The Court deboth sides, ordered the defendants to pay to the plaintiff for cree the medijes

ftuff, milch cows, and fire wood; and that all other tithes shall be paid in kind.

HOLWELL exains WOOLSTON.

the feveral years demanded by the bill, for the tithe of gardens, one penny yearly, called a garden penny, in lieu of all herbs and roots there growing; for every milch cow, fourpence yearly; and for every milked ewe, one farthing; and one penny yearly, for and in lieu of all wood and furze burnt in the faid parish, called an hearth penny, according to the said moduses set forth in the answer; and that the said defendants shall account for the value of the tithes of all woods and furze, not used or burnt in the faid parish; for the tithe of all wheat, barley, oats, beans, peas, and other grain demanded by the bill; for the value of tithe hay, and the tithes of the wool of lambs, hops, calves, pasturage of sheep, barren cattle, colts, oxen, horses, or other cattle agifted and not used for the plough or pail; and for apples, pears, fruit, turkeys, ducks, cocks, hens, eggs, honey, wax, and all other titheable matters and things not comprehended under the feveral modufes aforefaid.

Micn. Tann, z. Q. Anne.

# GREY against JENKINS and Others.

Durham, 11th December 1702.

Vermouth, in Rivebop.

The rector of the THE bill stated, that for forty years past the plaintiff had been rector of the parish of Bishop's Wearmouth, in the the county of Durham, by virtue whereof he became, and is legally Durbam, is enti- entitled to several closes called the Parson's Closes, parcel of the tled to one en- glebe land belonging to the faid rectory, and to all manner of tire sum of 14h tithes, prescriptions, and ancient payments for tithes, happening 13s. 4d. a-year within the faid parish; that within the parish there is a certain and occupiers of manor, lordship, village, hamlet, or place commonly called the lordship of Barnes, consisting of a capital messuage, &c. of four hundred Bane, within pounds a-year, whereof one Bowes was seised in see when the said parish, the plaintiff was inducted, who pretended that he was seised exclusive of the yearly value of of all tithes of corn and grain within the town or village of the closes called Rivebop, and infifted that he and his tenants were exempt from she Parfer's Closes, the payment of all tithes in kind for Barnes upon paying which are part an ancient medus of fourteen pounds, thirteen fhillings, and four-Her of the tithes pence yearly at Michaelmas; that the faid Bowes, fo long as he of the said lord- continued owner of the said closes, paid the same yearly; and sip of Barnes; that the plaintiff believing the said sum to be an ancient modus, but he is not never demanded tithes in kind for the faid estates; that the entitled to the never demanded tithes in kind for the faid estates; that the tithes of corn of same sum was also paid by William Haddock so long as he conthe village of tinued owner thereof; that Haddock and his wife fold part of the corn tithe of Rivebop to W. Ettick, and the other part to A. Read and others, but the faid Haddock, after the fale, con-Rantly paid the fourteen pounds, thirteen shillings, and fourpence for Barnes only, and afterwards fold part of Barnes, being two hundred pounds per annum, to J. Jenkins, and the other part to the faid Ettick, and that an allowance was made to them out of the purchase money for the said fourteen pounds, thirteen hillings

GRET
agains
JENKINS
AND OTESSE

Chillings, and fourpence, and that they during their lives constantly paid the said modus to the plaintiff; that upon Jenkins's death his part descended to the desendant Jenkins, who with the said Ettick continued the payment thereof; that afterwards the faid Ettick died, leaving his part to the defendant Ettick, who entered; that the said modus became due at Michaelmas 1700; and that the rector is well entitled to the said corn tithe of Riveley; and that if the faid annual fum be not a modus, then the plaintiff is well entitled to tithes in kind; that the defendants, the tenants for a year ending at Michaelmas 1700, ought to have fet out their tithes; that the defendants Jenkins and Ettick alledged that the ancient prescription due to the plaintiff was seventeen pounds, thirteen shillings, and fourpence; and that the portion thereof for Barnes ought to be only fix pounds, thirteen shillings, and fourpence, and no more; and that the owners of the corn tithe of Rivelop ought to pay yearly eleven pounds, part thereof; that the other defendants, who claimed to be owners of Rivelop corn tithe, pretended, that they ought not to pay any money in lieu thereof, either as part of the fourteen pounds, thirteen shillings, and fourpence, or otherwise; but that the plaintiff enjoyed the faid Parson's Closes, for and in lieu of the said corn tithe; that the faid Parson's Closes are, and have been time out of mind, part of the ancient glebe lands of the faid rectory; and on two trials at law in 1657 and 1658, between the then rector and some of the owners of Barnes or Rivelop, the only matter in issue being, whether the said Parson's Closes were part of the ancient glebe of the rectory, or held in lieu of any tithes or money payable in lieu of tithes, two several verdicts were given for the then rector. The bill therefore prayed, that the faid defendants may be compelled to pay the arrears now and in future of the faid annual fum, or otherwise their tithes in kind, and to make a full discovery.

The defendant Ettick by his answer, as owner of a moiety, denied the modus of fourteen pounds, thirteen shillings, and fourpence, and infifted, that fix pounds, thirteen shillings, and fourpence, and no more, was the true modus, payable at Michaelmas yearly to the rector, in lieu of all manner of tithes whatever for Barnes; and he set forth several acquittances given by the Several rectors or their agents betwixt 1560 and 1646, thereby so make it appear that seventeen pounds, thirteen shillings, and fourpence, was the ancient and entire prescriptive rent or modus both for the manor of Barnes and the corn tithe of Rivelop, which were during that time enjoyed together by Bowes, the owner thereof, and that fix pounds, thirteen shillings, and fourpence thereof was by the faid acquittances distinguished to be for Barnes, and eleven pounds for Rivehop; and that the said rectors were permitted by the owner of Barnes to enjoy the said Parson's Close at three pounds per annum rent, in part of fatisGrrt oganst Jeneins and Others.

fatisfaction of the faid entire modus; that the faid yearly payment of fourteen pounds, thirteen shillings, and fourpence was afterwards paid only to complete the faid entire medus of seventeen pounds, thirteen shillings, and sourpence, the rent of the faid Parson's Close being thereout deducted. The answer also flated a judgment obtained upon a prohibition in 1633 or 1634, for establishing the modus of eleven pounds per annum for Rivebop corn tithe, and several acquittances taken notice of in the breviat of that cause to be found in the evidence room at Ask, formerly the estate of the said Bowes; that William Bowes the son died an infant about 1662, and that the wrong payment during his infancy cannot deftroy the validity of the said modus, or the infant's title to the Parson's Closes, or his right in the corn tithe of Rivebop; that Haddock, who became entitled to the said estate in right of his wife, as heir to the said W. Bowes the infant, fold a moiety of Barnes to the defendant Jenkins in 1673, and fold the other moiety, together with part of the corn tithe of Rivebop, to the defendant Ettick's father, who conveyed to the faid defendant that moiety of Barnes, with the Parfor's Closes, subject to the payment of a moiety of the modus of fix pounds, thirteen shillings, and fourpence; and that he is entitled to the faid Parson's Closes, as parcel of the faid manor of Barnes; that in 1692 he fearched the deeds of the purchase of the said manor, and found among them all the letters, briefs, pleadings, and ancient acquittances before fet forth, and a rental for 1646 of the said manor and corn tithes, wherein Parson's Closes are inserted as part thereof. He admitted, that the plaintiff never received tithes in kind of W. Bowes or his tenants; and believed, that W. Haddock continued the payment of fourteen pounds, thirteen shillings, and fourpence at Michaelmas yearly, and permitted the plaintiff to keep Parson's Closes. He faid also, that he believed his father did pay a moiety of the faid fourteen pounds, thirteen shillings, and sourpence, till Michaelmas 1700; that he had tendered his part of the fix pounds, thirteen shillings, and fourpence, and is ready to pay the same; and that he had refused to permit the plaintiff to take tithes in kind, or to pay him the faid fourteen pounds, thirteen shillings, and fourpence; but that he claimed no right to the corn tithes of Rivebop.

The defendant Jenkins, as the owner of the other moiety of the manor of Barnes, together, with the other defendants, as tenants or occupiers under him, also insisted upon the said six pounds, thirteen shillings, and sourpence, being the ancient and true modus for Barnes, and eleven pounds for Rivebop; and that in lieu of part of the said modus the said rector enjoyed the said Parson's Closes; and he said, that he believed that Haddock's son continued the payment of sourteen pounds, thirteen shillings, and sourpence, and that, being illiterate, he had permitted the plaintiff to hold the Parson's Closes.

The other defendants put in their answers as tenants, and disclaimed all title, but were willing to act as the Court should direct.

GRRY
against
JRNRINS
AND OTHERS

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witneffes were examined on both fides; and upon reading the proofs taken in the cause, and the several acquittances as set forth in the answer and proofs, and other acquittances produced by the desendants, and on long debate of the matter,

It is ordered and decreed by the Court, that the faid plaintiff is legally entitled to the faid feveral closes called Parson's Closes, as parcel of the glebe lands belonging to the rectory of Bishops Wearmouth; and that the said place called Barnes, with the appurtenances, is separately and of itself chargeable with the payment of the ancient modus or prefcript rent of fourteen pounds, thirteen shillings, and fourpence, yearly, to the rector of Bishops Wearmouth, and his succeffors rectors of the said church, at or upon the feast of Saint Michael the Archangel, for and in lieu of all manner of tithes whatfoever, coming, growing, arifing, renewing, or increasing out of or within all and every the messuages, lands, and tenements, lying and being within the faid manor, lordship, village, hamlet, or place, called Barnes aforesaid; and that the faid defendants Jenkins and Ettick, and the other defendants, the tenants and occupiers of the several messuages, lands, and tenements within the faid manor of Barnes, shall and do immediately upon request made to them, or any of them, pay to the faid plaintiff, or his affigns, fourteen pounds, thirteen shillings, and fourpence, for the said ancient modus or prescriptive rent due to him at the feast of Saint Michael the Archangel 1700, the same being in arrear at the time of filing the bill; without costs; and that the owners and proprietors of Barnes aforesaid, and their tenants and leffees, shall for ever hereafter yearly pay the fame to the faid plaintiff and his fucceffors.

And as to the faid other defendants, the tenants and occupiers of the corn tithes of *Rivebop*, and the lands and tenements within the faid township,

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED and adjudged by the Court, that the faid bill shall and do stand dismissed, but without any costs to be paid by the plaintiff to the said desendants, or any of them.

Edw. WARD.
Tho. Bury.
Ro. Price.
J. Smith.

TWITTIE

HILARY TERM L Q. ANNL

# TWITTIE against EWIN and Others.

Wiltshre, 11th February 1702.

of the parish of Drawott Folliatt. in the county of dus of ad. a cow in lieu of tithe

The inhabitants THE rector of Draycott Folliatt, in Wiltsbire, claimed all tithes in kind, both great and fmall, arifing in the faid parish.

The defendant Ewin infifted on a modus of two pence a With, pay a mo- cow in lieu of tithe milk; and that a verdict at law had been obtained on that point against the plaintiff, in the twenty-third year of Charles the Second: The other defendants faid, they were occupiers of farms in the faid parish; and they set forth their tithes, and the values of the same, and made a tender to the amount; and also insisted on the said modus of two pence a cow in lieu of tithe milk.

> THE COURT, on reading the record of a verdict obtained against the plaintiff, then defendant, in a prohibition out of the court of king's bench, on a trial at law, in the twenty-third year of Charles the Second, on this single point, "Whether a modus of twopence a cow, in lieu of tithe milk in kind, was payable in et the said parish of Draycott?" ORDERED AND DECREED, that as the modus had been found by the faid verdict at law, the faid bill, as to tithe milk, shall stand dismissed.

KASTERTERM, 24 Q. Anne.

## EKINS against BRIDGES and Others.

Northamptonsbire, 26th April 1703.

Barten Seagrave. lembe.

out 17th May.

valid

The rector of THE bill stated, that the plaintiff, being rector of the parishchurch of Barton Seagrave, in the county of Northampton, ought to have the great and small tithes arising therein; that the fire, claims tithe of hay and herb. defendants held feveral lands in Barton aforesaid from January age, milk and 1698; fome part of which they had fowed with grain; and had depastured the other part with cows and sheep, and received great benefit by agifting barren cattle; that they had a number of colts, calves, lambs, fleeces of wool, and milk; and did mow other ground, and had carried away the hay; of all which tithes ought to have Lambs to be fet been paid to the plaintiff; that the tithe of lamb ought to be marked and fet out the seventeenth of May yearly, and run with their dams till the first of August, the rector paying twopence a That an agree- lamb to the parishioner; all which the defendants had refused to ment made to pay, pretending some agreement of two shillings in the pound for take 28. in the pound, in lieu the tithes of hay, wool, and lamb, and other dues, which they have of tithe hay and paid to the twenty-fifth of March 1699, and infifted to continue herbage, is in- the same, not having had due notice; that the said articles were not to be obligatory till a commission, therein mentioned, had been executed, at the joint charge of the plaintiff and the defendant Bridges, for the perpetuating the testimony of witnesses;

and that the defendants refused to join in the same, and therefore the articles are of no force; that the defendants had three months notice of the plaintiff's intentions to take his tithes in kind before the twenty-fifth of March 1699; yet, for quietness Take, he was willing to begin to take the same from 1700; and that the defendants ought to pay two shillings in the pound for .the year 1699; that the defendants ought to pay the tithe of wool in kind for all sheep shorn in the parish of Barton, though the same be brought into the parish after New Year's Day and before shearing day; and two shillings in the pound for the agistment of barren and unprofitable cattle; that the tithe of milk Milk, how to be arifing within the faid parish ought to be brought to the dwelling- Paid. house of the rector, or to a place called the Cross, in the said parish, which was not done for the year 1700, for which the plaintiff demands satisfaction. The bill therefore prayed a discovery of the defendant's tithes for the faid years, and a full fatisfaction for the same.

against BRIDGES AND OTHERS,

The defendant Bridges admitted the plaintiff to be lawful The defendant rector of the parish, and intitled to the great and small Bridges insists on tithes, and he infifted on the articles made between him and the agreement. the plaintiff, whereby it was agreed, that the plaintiff should take, during their joint lives, two shillings in the pound after the pound rent thereof, in lieu of all tithes of any lands of the defendant which he or his tenants should hold or occupy therein during all fuch time as fuch land shall be cut for hay, grazed, or depastured, the tithe whereof, when ploughed or fown, to be paid in kind; and therefore he hoped that he and his tenants ought not to pay tithe in kind for his grazing grounds.

The other defendants, as his tenants, infifted upon the benefit of the faid articles as to such lands as they rented of the defendant Bridges; and all the defendants who were occupiers of lands within the said parish insisted upon the parol agreement made between the plaintiff and them; and that the same ought to continue till Michaelmas 1700, for that they had not timely notice of the plaintiff's intention to take his tithes in kind: and they set forth the grounds they held in the said parish, and the titheable matters arising therefrom since Michaelmas 1700: and they all said, that they did not know of any particular place where tithe milk ought to be fet out and paid; but have heard, that it is not due all the year, but begins to be payable the ninth night and tenth morning after Easter, and continues payable until the first lamb shall be yeared after New Year's Day; and that the same ought to be paid where it is milked; that the tithe of wool is to be paid in kind for all fuch sheep as are brought into Barton parish before New Year's Day, and there shorn, and a halfpenny a sheep for all brought into the parish after New Year's Day, and before shearing day; that tithes of lambs are to be fet out about the middle of May, to be kept on the grounds and to run with the dam till the Vol. I.

ERING egais# BRIDGES AND OTHERS.

first of August following, the incumbent to pay twopence a lamb to the occupier of the ground; that two shillings in the pound are due to the rector of the faid parish for the agistment of the cattle of strangers which are agisted within the faid parish; but that the two shillings ought not to be paid for the herbage of barren cattle, which are the proper stock of the landlord or tenant, but the fum of twopence halfpenny for every beast.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides; and upon long debate of the matter, and hearing what counfel could alledge, and reading the faid articles made between the plaintiff and the defendant Bridges and his tenants, dated the twenty-fifth of April 1689, and the depositions of divers witnesses taken on both sides,

The agreement etablified.

THE COURT declared, they saw no cause to set aside the articles made between the plaintiff and the defendant Bridges in the bill and answers mentioned.

And as to the feveral customs and usages of paying tithes within the faid parish,

Tithes of lambs and milk decreed according to the suftom.

Herbage,

Sheep.

Barren cattle.

THE COURT declared they were fatisfied, FIRST, That the tithe of lambs ought to be fet out in every year on the feventeenth of May, and that the lamb ought to run with the dam on the ground where the same is yeared until the first of August sollowing, the incumbent to pay twopence to the occupier of fuch ground, at the delivery of such lamb on the first of August.-Secondly, That tithe milk ought to be paid in kind every ninth night and tenth morning after Eafter Monday until the first lamb is yeared alive in the said parish after New Year's Day; and that such tithe milk ought to be brought to the Cross, near the court yard gate of the defendant Bridges, in the faid parish.—THIRDLY, That the tithe of herbage ought to be paid for barren cattle, which are the proper stock of the occupiers of land within the said parish, as well as those of strangers.—Fourthly, That tithe wool in kind of all sheep shorn in the said parish ought to be paid to the plaintiff.—FIFTHLY, That the pretended custom of twopence halfpenny a beaft for the tithe of barren cattle, being the proper stock of the occupier, and the pretended custom for the payment of a halfpenny a sheep for the tithe wool of such sheep as are brought into the parish after New Year's Day, and infisted upon by the defendants, ought to be rejected.

It is thereupon ordered and decreed by the Court, that the defendants do account with the plaintiff for the lands they respectively held within the said parish from Lady Day 1699 to the filing of the bill, pursuant to the said articles in the answer mentioned; and that they do pay to the plaintiff so much money as shall appear to be due to him upon such account; and that

the feveral customs for the fetting out of tithe lambs on the feventeenth day of May every year, and that the lamb shall run with the dam on the ground where the same was yeared till the first of AND OTHERS. August, the incumbent to pay two pence to the occupier of such ground for every fuch lamb; and the faid custom of paying tithe milk in kind every ninth night and tenth morning after Easter Monday until the first lamb yeared alive in the said parish after New Year's Day, and for bringing and delivering the milk at the place, called the Cross, near the defendant Bridges's court yard gate, in the faid parish, by the parishioners, to be there taken by the rector or his fervants for the time being, shall be, and are by the decree of this court ratified, established, and confirmed.

ERING against BRIDGES

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the defendants Danty, Hunt, and Palmer, do respectively account with and pay to the plaintiff a composition after the rate of two shillings in the pound for their tithes due for 1600 and to Michaelmas 1700, according to the feveral agreements infifted upon by their answers; and they are likewise to account with and pay to the plaintiff for tithe milk, wool, and barren cattle, and all other tithes arising on the lands which they respectively held and enjoyed within the faid parish from Michaelmas 1700 to the time of filing the bill (excepting for fuch lands as they held in the parish as tenants to the defendant Bridges, for which they are to account with the plaintiff after the rate of two shillings in the pound rent according to the faid articles); and it is referred to the deputy remembrancer to take the account, and make his report herein. And, by confent (other than the defendant Bridges). the faid defendants are to pay the plaintiff forty pounds costs in this cause.

> EDW. WARD. THO. BURY. Ro. Price. J. SMITH.

#### Tonge against Cole and Others.

Durham, 29th June 1703.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff, in 1695, was presented, &c. The rector of into the rectory of Branspeth, in the county of Durham, Branspeth, in the and entitled to all manner of tithes, dues, and duties arising county of Burtherein; that the defendant Cole is seised of several grounds to a modus of 13L within the faid parish, called the East and West Parks, formerly a-year, in lieu of part of the possessions of Charles, late Earl of Westmorland, and the tithes of the which came to THE CROWN by his attainder of high treason; lands called the that the faid grounds, at the time of his attainder, were Parks. imparked and replenished with deer and other wild animals, and

TRIN. TERM, 2. Q Anns.

Tongr againfi Cole and Others.

so continued for several years afterwards, during which time no tithes did arise or were yielded or paid for the same; that the faid parks afterwards coming into the hands of farmers or purchasors of the crown, they paid to the plaintiff's predecessor for feveral years, for the tithe hay of the faid parks, forty shillings a-year, being the full value of the tithe hay at that time; that the tithes of the faid parks were afterwards improved and advanced to three pounds a-year, which was then the full value thereof, and which was paid for several years; that the said parks were purchased by R. Cole, grandsather of the defendant, who, by ploughing and other husbandry, improved the same; that the tithes thereof, after two variations in 1637, came to the sum of thirteen pounds a-year; which fum, or some such sum, was paid to the plaintiff's predecessors until 1644 by the said defendant Cole, as a composition and satisfaction for the tithes of the said parks; which compositions were as much as the tithes of the faid parks were worth, or near the value thereof; that about 1644, Dr. Cowin, the plaintiff's predecessor, by reason of the distraction in the kingdom, was removed from the said living, and Mr. H. Lever possessed and enjoyed the same; and the tillage increasing the value of the tithes, he then demanded tithes in kind, but was informed by the defendant's grandfather, that three pounds were due as a prescription, and ten pounds as a gratuity; and he was induced, by fuch mifrepresentation, to accept thirteen pounds a-year for some time, as a composition for the faid tithes, but gave acquittances for three pounds as a prescriptive rent, and ten pounds as a gratuity; that afterwards the faid Mr. Lever, upon better information, infifted on and received the tithes of the faid parks in kind; that in the year 1661, Dr. Wishard being rector of the said parish for one year, being deluded by the acquittances given by Lever, accepted from the agents of Sir N. Cole the faid thirteen pounds; that in the year 1662, Dr. Brevint being rector of the faid parish, was, for fome time, perfuaded by the agents of the faid Sir N. Cole to accept thirteen pounds in lieu of the tithes in kind for the parks, until 1674, when he inspected into the revenues of the said rectory; and finding some papers which discovered the misinformation of the owners of the said parks, did insist upon and demand tithes in kind for the faid parks, and brought his action at law for the recovery thereof against one Hutchinson, farmer of part of the said parks; which coming to trial at Durbam affixes, where Sir R. Cole was a man of great power and interest, several of the jurymen being his tenants, a verdict passed against the said Dr. Brevint, contrary to the evidence and the direction of the Judge; that afterwards the faid Dr. Brevint filed his bill in this court for the recovery of his tithes, but being very old, he died before the fuit was proceeded in; that upon his death, in 1695, the plaintiff was instituted, &c. into the said rectory, and entitled to all tithes, great and small, within the said parish, and particularly

of the East and West Parks; that the defendant Cole, and the other defendants as his tenants, for three years past, did use part of the said parks, and had corn and hay, and other tithes, growing thereon, which they carried away without setting out the tithes of the same, insisting on the said modus of thirteen pounds in discharge of tithes in kind; whereas tithes in kind are due for the said lands, and were several times paid and answered in kind to Dr. Cosins and Mr. Lever, and other the plaintiff's predecessors; and the sums paid by composition in lieu of tithes did several times vary, according to the values of the tithes arising thereupon. The bill therefore prayed a discovery of and satisfaction for the titheable matters which the defendants had in the said years.

Tones
againft
Cols
AND OTHERS.

The defendants said, that they had used several lands, part of the said parks, and had several titheable matters arising thereon, and set forth the quantities and values of the said tithes; but insisted, that no tithes ought to be paid in kind for the said East and West Parks; but that there is, and time out of mind has been, a sum or modus of thirteen pounds a-year paid to the rectors of the said parish, for all tithes arising on the said lands; and that the said modus was paid and accepted by the said Dr. Cosins and Dr. Brevint; and they stated the action, and said, that they had offered and were ready to paythe plaintiff the said modus; and insisted, that no tithes in kind were due for the said lands,

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and several witnesses were examined on both sides.

The Court directed an issue to try, "Whether the sum of thirteen pounds per annum has been paid, time out of mind, by the owners and occupiers of the said East and West Parks, to the rector of the said parish of Branspeth for the time being, in the tenants and sarmers, in lieu, satisfaction, and discharge of all tithes arising in the said East and West Parks?" A trial was accordingly had by a special jury of the said county; and after long evidence given on both sides in the said cause, the said jury gave a privy verdict; and afterwards coming into court to affirm the same, the said plaintist, being called, did not appear, but suffered a nonsuit.

The cause now, on the eighteenth of November 1703, coming on to be heard on the equity reserved, the plaintiff's counsel prayed a new trial; but on long debate of the matter, the Court resused the motion;

AND THEREUPON ORDERED AND DECREED, that the defendants do pay to the plaintiff the faid modus of thirteen pounds per annum for the several years demanded by bill, with his costs to be taxed by the deputy remembrancer.

EDW. WARD, Tho. Bury. Ro. Price, J. Smith.

KIDLEY

TRIN. TERM, 2. Q. ANNE.

## KIDLEY against BEACON.

Somersetsbire, 25th June 1703.

The vicar of THE vicar of the parish of Queen Camell, in the county Queen Camel, in Somerlet, claims the tithes of the said vicarage in of Somerset, claims the tithes of the said vicarage in Somer jetsbire, claims tithes in kind. kind.

The defendant

The defendant Beacon admitted that he held several acres of Beacon infifts on a motient meadow, parcel of certain lands called the Parks; but acre for his lands infifted, that the owners and occupiers of the faid lands had, called the Parks. time out of mind, paid the impropriator of the rectory of the faid parish eightpence an acre, and no more, in discharge of all manner of tithes issuing thereout; and that no tithe in kind, or any thing in lieu thereof (fave the eightpence an acre), was ever paid for the faid parks; and that neither the plaintiff, nor any other vicar of the faid church, ever had, or ever demanded any tithes therefrom before the year 1600.

The defendant tie fame modus.

The defendant Martin said, that for the years in the said bill Martin infifts on mentioned he had held a small cottage, and eight acres of meadow, and no more, part of the lands called the Parks; and infifted on the faid modus of eightpence an acre for his cottage and meadow.

Tire bill difmiffcd.

THE COURT, upon reading the depositions taken in the cause, and on full debate, ordered the bill to be dismissed without costs; but that if the plaintiff give the defendants any further trouble, he is to pay to them their costs, to be taxed by the deputy remembrancer of this court.

> EDW. WARD. THO. BURY.

TRIN. TERM, 2. Q. ANNE.

LISTER against Fox.

Dorsetsbire, 1st June 1703.

and East. 23. Geo., 3.

The vicar of THE bill stated, that the vicarage of Buckland Abbas, otherwise Buckland Abbas, The plaintiff Newton, in the county of Dorset, being void, the plaintiff in Dorfetshire, claims tithes in was, in the year 1690, lawfully instituted and inducted thereunto, and ought to receive all small tithes and other duties therein, See other eauses, and in the tithings of Buckland, Knowle, Brockhampton, Dunkish, Trin. 12. Ann. Mineterne Parva, and Plush, thereto belonging.

The defendant modu∫cs.

The defendant Foy stated the custom of tithing in the said infifts on several parish to be as follows: First, That any inhabitant having under seven calves or lambs fallen ought to pay to the vicar one halfpenny for each calf or lamb; if feven or above, and not ten, to pay one, the vicar paying the inhabitant, if not above feven, three halfpence; if eight, then one penny; and if nine, then a halfpenny.—SECONDLY, For a calf killed, to be spent in the in-

LISTER

habitant's own house, the vicar to have the best shoulder, if the Parties have not that year calves enough to make the number of feven.—Thirdly, For every calffold, where the party hath not enough, with the calf fold, to make up feven, the tenth penny for what it is fold is paid.—Fourthly, One penny for every colt foaled, paid at Lammas Day, whether afterwards reared or fold, and no greater fum for the pasturage of fuch colt.—FIFTHLY, That after tithes paid for calves as aforefaid, no further confideration is, by custom, to be given in lieu of tithes for them for any year after till such calf be reared and used for plough or pail; and nothing paid for the tithe of pasturage of any calf, heifer, or sheep, or colt intended for plough or pail; nor for any plough cattle used about managing arable land out of which the vicar or parson have tithe corn.—Sixthly, That the custom in Brockhampton and Dunlish tithing and Clinger is, for every inhabitant, betwixt Saint Mark's Day and All Saints Day yearly, to pay the tenth ordinary cheese, to be collected when stiff, or every fortnight or three weeks.—Seventhly, That the custom of tithing in Mineterne Parva and Plush (except Clinger) is to pay twopence per annum for each cow, and three halfpence for each heifer, in full of tithe of cow white; and in Henley, threepence each milk cow, and twopence each milk heifer; and in Huntwell farm, fourpence a cow, and threepence a heifer; and in Knowle tithing the like, and twopence for every barren cow. milked, in full for tithe of cow white.—EIGHTHLY, That the inhabitants ought to be free from payment of tithes of locks of wool.—MINTHLY, That calves ought to be paid for at the end of a month after their fall.—TENTHLY, That tithe apples and pears ought to be paid (except fallings)—And, ELEVENTHLY, One penny for a garden, and twopence for every communicant.

The defendant Hopkins also insisted on the said moduses.

To which answers the plaintiff put in a special replication, and thereby admitted to have received several tithes and titheable matters from the defendants, arising within the said parish and the several tithings thereof for the time demanded by the bill; but faid, that the defendants had several other tithes and titheable matters charged in the bill within the faid parish and the titheable places thereof, for which they have not paid tithes, but ought to pay the same.

The defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides; and upon full debate of the matter,

THE COURT declared, that the custom set forth in the de- The modus fendant's answer, for every inhabitant in Breckhampton and to the tithe of Dunlish hamlets and Clinger, betwixt Saint Mark's Day and cheese declared All Saints Day yearly, to pay the tenth ordinary cheefe, or tenth days milk once .fkimmed and made into cheefe, in full for cow white, the cheefe to be collected when stiff, or every fortnight or three weeks, to be a void custom.

And

LISTER against For.

And as for the custom of tithing of lambs on Saint Mark's Day,

The modus as lambs allowed.

THE COURT doth allow the custom, that for such lambs as to the tithe of are able to subsist without the ewes on Saint Mark's Day, are to be tithed; but that fuch other lambs as are not able to subsist without the ewes on Saint Mark's Day, are to be tithed when they are able to subsist without the ewes.

Tithes of locks accounted for.

IT IS THEREUPON ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid of wool not to be defendant shall account with and pay to the plaintiff the value of their several and respective tithes due and in arrear from them to the time of the bill (except for locks of wool, for which they are not to account). But that they are to account for all fleece wool whatever.

> AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that they shall account for the tithes of fuch apples as fall from the trees.

EDW. WARD. THO. BURY. Ro. PRICE. J. Smith.

TRIN. TERM, 2. Q. Anne.

Horron against Higginbottom and Others. Somersetsbire, 22d June 1703.

The impropriator of Bedminfter, in Somerfetfoire, claims tithe in kind. S. C. Rayn. 95. App. 104. S. C. 1. Bro. P. C. 140.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff's father, for twenty years then last past, had been impropriator or owner of the tithes of corn, grain, and hay, arifing within Bedminster, Redcliffe, and Ley, and the titheable places and precincts thereof, under a lease, granted, in trust for himself, by F. Horton, formerly a prebendary of Bedminster and Redcliffe, belonging to the cathedral church of Salistury, to which prebend the faid tithes, as well as the manor and demesne lands, of Bedminster belong, as a body, and had been usually leased for lives or years; that the plaintiff then was farmer, impropriator, or owner of the faid rectory, under a lease granted to R. Cexeter, in trust for the said F. Horton, and ought, by virtue of the said leafe, and as executor to his father, to have had and received all manner of tithes of corn, grain, and hay, arising, &c. within the faid parishes within twenty years last past or some composition in lieu thereof; that the tenants and occupiers of all lands and tenements there, ought to have fet out the fame in due manner; that the defendants having within fixty or eighty years inclosed their common fields, and turned the fame into meadow, whereby the profits of their lands are now by hay which formerly were by tillage, pretend there are moduses, &c.

The defendant andufes,

The defendants faid, that it might be true that the plaintiff infifts on feveral and his father had been owners of the faid rectory of Bedminster, Redcliffe,

Redcliffe, and Ley, for twenty years last past, but whether the plaintiff was entitled in the lifetime of his father they knew not. They believed that the plaintiff and his father, as rectors and impropriators, ever fince the death of Sir H. Smith, twenty years AND OTHERS. ago, had been entitled to the tithes of corn and grain within the rectory of Bedminster, as belonging to the prebend, for they had received the fame in kind or compounded for it; but they denied that they, or any former impropriator, ever received or demanded tithe hay, until it was demanded by the prefent bill, or ever knew it fet out or taken by any former owner of the faid rectory; and that there had been several immemorial modules in the said rectory, to pay yearly a small fum of money for each tenement, for all grounds mowed for hay on each respective tenement, in lieu of the said tithe hay, which they faid they were ready to pay, but the same was never demanded; that they never knew or heard that any owner of lands there ever paid any tithe hay in kind, or composition for the same, otherwise than by paying the small moduses, and' believed, that Sir H. Smith and his ancestors, who were former impropriators thereof, for about one hundred years, would have glaimed the faid tithes if they had been due, the same being of the value of one hundred pounds per annum, but they never pretended to any other payment than the faid medules of one penny and twopence an acre.

against HIGGINBOT-TOM

The defendant Higginbottom said, that for twenty years past, he had occupied feveral grounds within the faid parish, and so enumerates them, and which tillage land was turned into pafture, and also sets forth the small modules for each lands, and that he did not fet out his tithes in kind of hay, for that he infifted no tithes in kind of hay was due.

All the other defendants fet forth their lands and the faid . moduses, and infifted that no tithe hay was due; they denied, that they pretended to any other modus, or that the rector of the faid rectory had any part of the parsonage moor, in lieu of tithe hay, or any other composition whatever, and annexed a roll of the modus of the parish of Bedminster, and insisted that the modus was collected thereby.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides; and upon hearing what could be infifted on by counfel on both fides, and upon reading the leafe of the faid prebend and rectory of Bedminster, and several exhibits, and divers proofs on both fides,

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that all the The Court dedefendants shall severally account with and satisfy the plaintiff, crees thede fend. for the value of the tithes of hay of all the lands they feverally ants to account held for such years, as they have respectively held the same, for their tithes in binds. fince the plaintiff's title commenced until the time of filing the bill

HORTOM again/t HIGGIN. BOTTOM AND OTHERS.

And forasmuch as the desendants have not made proof of the feveral moduses, by them insisted on in their answers, to the satisfaction of the Court, nevertheless in regard the plaintiff hath made little proof of the payment of tithe hay in kind; and forasmuch as no tithe in kind was demanded, nor any suit commenced for the same for twenty years last past;

But without prejudice.

This Court doth further order and decree, that the fame shall be without prejudice to the said defendants for the future, as to the said moduses, so insisted on by them.

The taking of the account was referred to the deputy remembrancer, who, in pursuance, made his report, dated the fifth of August last, which, on the fixth of December 1704, the Court ratified and confirmed, and ordered that the defendant Mackrill do forthwith pay to the plaintiff two pounds, eight shillings, and the defendant Wiltsbire one hundred and three pounds, seven shillings, and sixpence, and the defendant Ratcliffe twelve pounds, nine shillings, and sixpence, so reported due for their tithe hay.

The descendant and the fuit rewived against executor,

The defendant Higginbottom died before the deputy remem-Higginbottomdies, brancer made his report against him, and the plaintiff filed his bill of revivor against the defendant Harrington, who appeared, Harrington, his and answered, and admitted affets, and on the seventeenth of December last, the deputy was ordered to review his report, who accordingly did so on the sourteenth of February last, to which the defendants put in exceptions, and upon reading the orders, report, and exceptions, and on full debate, the Court overruled the first exception, and allowed the second, and conwho is decreed firmed the faid report as to it, and ordered that the faid defendant do forthwith pay to the faid plaintiff forty three pounds, so reported due to him.

to account.

But the defendthe house of lords, and the ed.

And an issue directed to try the moduses.

But the faid fuit was afterwards revived by an appeal to the ants appeal to lords in parliament, from the faid decretal order, to which appeal the plaintiff put in his answer; and upon hearing coundecree is revers- sel on both sides on the said appeal, the fourth of February 1706, it was then ordered and adjudged by the lords, that the faid decree should be so far reversed, as that several issues should be tried in the proper county at the next affizes, "Whether the several moduses " infifted upon by the defendants in their answers, who were ap-" pellants, had been, time out of mind, paid and payable for " and in lieu of tithe hay in kind," and that the court of exchequer should proceed upon the issues directed, as should be just, and if any difference should arise between the parties in fettling the issues, it was ordered, that they should apply to Mr. BARON PRICE to settle the issues so to be tried.

Verdict for the defendants.

Pursuant to which order the several issues were tried, and a verdict given for the defendants, the appellants.

The

The cause now, on the ninth of December 1707, came on upon the faid order and verdict, and upon reading the decree, the order of the house of lords, and the postea, and on hearing counsel on both sides;

HORTON against HIGGIN-BOTTOM AND OTHERS.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendants shall The defendants feverally account with, and that the defendants Mackrill and decreed to ac-Ratcliffe, and the defendant Harrington, out of the affets of count for the arthe faid Higginbottom's estate, shall severally fatisfy and pay the rears of the moplaintiff the arrears of the modules, fet forth in the answers, for the tithe hay of the lands therein-mentioned to be comprehended under fuch modules, for such years as they and the said Higginbottom feverally held fuch lands, in the time in the bill mentioned, and shall in like manner account with and satisfy the plaintiff the value of the tithe hay of the rest of the lands not comprehended under the faid modules, as they and the faid Higginbottom respectively held within the said rectory and parishes, for such years as they feverally held fuch lands, in the time in the bill charged, and it is referred to the deputy remembrancer to take and report the faid account. Costs reserved.

EDW. WARD. THO. BURY.

## HEATH against SANDFORD. Surry, 30th June 1703.

. TRIN. TERMS 2. Q. Anne.

THE bill stated, that, for several years past, the plaintiff had The rector of been rector of Saint Mary Magdalen, Bermondfey, in the Surry, is entitled county of Surry, and entitled to all tithes within the faid parish, to 52. a year, in that the defendant Sandford, for a house situate at or near Dock- lieu of tithes of bead, which he had occupied fince Michaelmas 1696, ought to certain houses at pay to the plaintiff quarterly five shillings, in lieu of tithes; that Dockbead. the defendant Thomas ought to pay, for a house there, four shillings a quarter to the plaintiff.

The defendants infifted that their faid houses were built upon ground belonging to the late diffolved monastery and abbey of Bermondsey, which was one of the greater monasteries, and that the grounds belonging to the fame are exempted and privileged from the payment of tithes by the laws and statutes of this kingdom, no tithes having ever been paid for the same; and they infifted they were tithe free.

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that the defendants Sandford and Thomas shall forthwith respectively pay to the plaintiff the yearly sum of five shillings for the said tithes.

OSBORNE

Micn. Term, 2. Q. ANNE.

OSBORNE against DUNSTALL and Others (a).

Suffex, 7th December 1703.

being patron of of Newtimber Farm.

plaintiff THE bill flated, that the plaintiff was patron of the parish of Newtimber, in the county of Suffex, and lord of the manor, parish- and owner of Newtimber Farm, and proprietor of the tithes of church of New-sinber, in the Sadlescomb, in the said parish, that the tithes of Sadlescomb lying county of Suffex, more convenient to collect than the tithes of Newtimber Farm, ford and owner it was heretofore agreed between the rector of the faid parish of Newtimber and the owner of Newtimber Farm, and proprietor of the tithes Farm, and proprietor of the of Sadlescomb Farm, that the rector of the faid parish should take eithes of Sadles the tithes of Sadlescomb Farm, in lieu of the other, and that the much, states, that occupiers of Newtimber Farm should hold the same tithe free; is was agreed, that the several writings concerning the same, were signed, that the rector and the exchange of the said tithes were continued by several of Newimber, and the exchange of the land titles were continued by leveral should take the incumbents, whereupon the owners did let the same tithe free; tithes of Sadlef that the faid church of Newtimber, being void in the year 1687, comb Farm, in the plaintiff presented the defendant Dunsfall to the said living, New of the tithes who is still incumbent, and that fince his induction, he was well fatisfied, that the tithes of Sadlescomb, did belong to the plaintiff, and continued the faid exchange as his predeceifors had done, until three years ago; that the owners of Newtimber Farm let the same tithe free; that the tithes being worth more than the other farm, the owners made the parson some small allowance, but of late the tithes of Sadlescomb have been of more worth than the tithes of Newtimber; that the tithes of Sadlefcomb Farm, being a portion of tithes, did heretofore belong to the priory of Saint Pancras, in Lewes, upon the diffolution whereof the same came to THE CROWN, and on the third of Mar, in the second year of Queen Elizabeth, was granted to E. and T. Middleton, and their heirs, and by feveral conveyances the fame are now come to the plaintiff by purchase, and that ever since until lately, the faid Dunftall and his predecessors have continued the said exchange, and the occupiers of Newtimber Farm paid no tithes for the same, until of late the defendant Dunstall did exhibit his bill in this court, pretending title to the tithes of both the faid farms, to which bill the plaintiff put in his answer, and feveral witnesses were further examined, and upon the hearing, the Court directed an issue at law, to try who had right to the tithes to Sadlescomb Farm, but which he refused to try, and afterwards preferred another bill in this Court against the defendant Friend, who neglecting to examine proper witnesses, the Court decreed against him to account for the tithes of Sadlefcomb Farm; that the parsons of Newtimber parish have, by way

<sup>(</sup>a) See another cause between the same parties, Trin. 12. Wm. 3. Also a long contested cause, Hil. 13. Wil. 3.

of exchange, taken the tithes of Sadlescomb Farm, in lieu of the other farm, and not as a rectorial right, and then the occupiers of Newtimber Farm paid no tithes; that the faid Dunstall continued the faid exchange till three years ago, and then waived it, and hath fince taken the tithes of Newtimber Farm, and preferred his bill against the defendant Friend, the occupier of Sadlescomb Farm, as before stated; that the plaintiff purchased the same with the manor and sarm of Newtimber, for a valuable confideration, and to induce him thereto, a leafe of the faid tithes was produced and accepted of by the then incumbent. The bill therefore prayed, that the plaintiff may examine his witnesses to perpetuate their testimony, and be quieted in the posfession of his portion of tithes, arising upon Sadlescomb Farm, by the decree of this Court, and that the defendant Friend may account with the plaintiff for the faid tithes.

OSEORNE. against DUNSTALL AND OTHERS.

The defendant Dunstall, by his answer, said, that the plaintiff The rector of is patron of the parish of Newtimber, and lord of the said manor, Newtimberdenies and owner of Newtimber Farm, but denied that he had any the plaintiff's right to the tithes of Sadlescomb Farm, and insisted that all right to the tithes of Sadlescomb. the tithes of the faid parish belonged to the rector.

The defendant Friend confessed, that he was occupier of Sadles. The occupier of comb Farm, and had held the same by several leases from Lord sadisfomb Farm [ays, that the tithes thereof him by the name of "the fite of the manor of Sadlescomb," that were exchanged when he entered thereon, the parson of Newtimber, then ac- in lieu of the knowledged to him, that the tithes of the faid farm did belong tithes of Newto the owners of Newtimber, and that he claimed the tithes timber Farm. thereof by way of exchange, in lieu of the tithes of Newtimber Farm, and that the tenants of it did not pay any tithes until of late, and that the defendant Dunfiall waived the faid exchange.

The defendant the Lord Bishop of Chickester said, that he is The ordinary of ordinary of the parish of Newtimber, and knew nothing of the the church of matter in difference, and hoped the Court would do nothing in fwers as ordinaprejudice of the church.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witneffes were examined on the part of the plaintiff O/borne and defendant Dunstall.

Upon opening the bill, and all the answers, except that of the The Court of o. defendant Dunstall, and reading an affidavit of due service of pinion that the fubpæna to hear judgment, and no counsel appearing for him, plaintiff is entiand reading his answer; it appearing, that the plaintiff hath tithes of S. diefright to all tithes arising upon Sadlescomb Farm aforesaid, being comb Farm. a purchaser for a valuable consideration of the same, and that the portion of tithes do not belong to or are part of the rectory of Newtimber aforesaid.

OSBORN'S against AND OTHERS. and grant an inhim in the enjoyment of the fame against the simber.

It is therefore, this seventh of December 1703, ordered and decreed, that the plaintiff's right and title to the tithes arising upon and from Sadlescomb Farm aforesaid, be and are hereby ratified and established by this Court, and that the said plaintiff junction to quiet Ofborne and his heirs shall be quieted in the possession thereof, by the injunction of this Court, and shall hold and enjoy the fame against the defendant Dunstall, and his successors, rectors rector of New of Newtimber aforesaid, and all claiming by, from, or under him, or them.

> AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the defendant Friend shall account with and satisfy the plaintiff for the value of the tithes of the faid Sadlescomb Farm, for the time demanded by the bill, and it is referred to the deputy remembrancer to take the faid account, and report the fame; and the faid defendant Friend, as to the tithes, is to be indemnified and faved harmless by this decree against the defendant Dunstall, and all persons claiming by, from, or under him, unless the said defendant Dunftall do shew good cause to the contrary, he first paying five pounds, costs of the day, before he be heard.

The cause reheard,

The above canse came on to be heard again on the twentyseventh day of January last, before the right honourable HENRY BOYLE, Esq. Chancellor, and under treasurer of this Court, and SIR E. WARD, Knt. Lord Chief Baron, and the rest of the barons, and upon hearing whereof, and upon reading of feveral proofs, and another issue and on long debate of the matter, THE COURT thought the matter proper for a trial at law

directed

But the defendant Dunstall defired time to confider thereof, and a proposal of a reference or agreement being made, but not taking effect,

to try what por-

The cause came on the twenty-first of February 1703, for tion of tithes the further directions, and upon confideration had of the matter, passitur is en-titled to out of the tried by a special jury, whether the Sadlescomb Farm. plaintiff be entitled to a portion of tithes arising upon or out of Sadlescomb Farm, or any part thereof in the parish of Newtimber, or not, and what that portion is. The depositions taken in the former cause of Dunstall v. Osborne, to be made use of as well as those taken in this cause.

plaintiff a new trial grants ed.

A trial was accordingly had, and the plaintiff became nonnon-fuited, and fuited, for want of producing a fine, levied in the court of common pleas, between the now plaintiff and Perry Cuft, Esq. and others, and therefore, on the twenty-third of November 1704, a new trial was ordered to be had at the next affizes upon the former issue, upon paying the defendant's costs out of pocket, for the former trial, and also the costs of this day to be taxed, and also upon payment of the composition for the plaintiff's tithe; for this present year, without any deduction, other than what

what the plaintiff hath already paid in part thereof to the de-Fendant, for taxes or otherwise, which costs and composition are to be paid in a fortnight's time, after they are ascertained, AND OTHERS. otherwise the bill to be dismissed.

OSBORNE, against DUNSTALL

In pursuance of the above order a new trial was had, and the The jury find jury found that the said Thomas Ofberne is entitled to one pound, plaintiff entitled fix shillings, and eightpence, yearly, and no more, being the a year out of faid parcel or portion of tithes within mentioned, arising Saddefcomb Farm. upon or out of the farm called Sadlescomb Farm, or any part thereof, in manner and form as the said Ofborne against the said Dunstall hath declared, and give fixpence damages and forty thillings cofts.

THE COURT therefore, on the fourth of May 1705, seeing no cause to relieve the plaintiff, ordered and decreed, that the faid bill shall stand dismissed.

> EDW. WARD. THO. BURY. Ro. PRICE. J. SMITH.

## NICHOLLS against Dudley.

Buckinghamsbire, 9th December 1703.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff, for forty years past, had The landholders been and then was rector of Great Woolfton, in the county of the parish of of Buckingham, and lawfully entitled to all glebe lands and tithes Great Woolfton, in of all forts, and all customary and other rates and payments the county of Buckingbam, pay, due and payable in lieu thereof; that about the feventh of by agreement, to January, in the thirty-fixth year of Charles the Second, W. the rector 461. a-Dudley, and other freeholders, owners, and occupiers of lands year, in lieu of and tenements within the faid parish, being defirous of having the tithes of certain inclosed their lands inclosed, but that by reason of the intermixture lands, of several parcels of each others lands, and of the glebe and other lands belonging to the faid rectory, it could not be effected without the plaintiff's consent, they, the said freeholders and landholders, by articles, dated as aforesaid, did covenant and agree for the inclosure of the same, and that no wrong might be done to the plaintiff or his fuccessors, did also further agree that the portions and compositions for their tithes due to the plaintiff and his successors, should be settled and made payable; and by indenture, dated the fourth of January, in the twenty-seventh year of Charles the Second, did direct and appoint, that the rector and incumbent of Great Woolston, for the time being, should from time to time, and at all times, thereafter receive, in lieu of all tithes and tenths whatfoever arifing therein, the annual fum of forty-fix pounds, to

Mich. Tram, 2. Q. Anne.

Nicholls

against

Dubles.

be paid quarterly; that the defendant, thinking his proportion of the faid forty-fix pounds to be too much, did, by indenture dated the fifth of January, in the twenty-seventh year of Charles the Second, agree to pay eighteen pounds a year, quarterly, for his share, which he had constantly paid till three quarters of a-year past; that the sum of thirteen pounds and ten shillings for the said three quarters of a year is still due and owing to the plaintiff; and that the defendant, though often requested, resuses to pay the same.

The defendant set forth, that he is a stranger to the agreements for the inclosure pretended by the bill, but believed that such agreement and inclosure and such deeds were made, and a fine acknowledged; that he hath for four years last past occupied all the lands and estate which his late father held, at the time for the composition for and in lieu of tithes and glebe in the bill mentioned.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witneffes were examined on both fides, and upon reading several depositions, and the plaintiff proving the contents of several writings that were burnt, relating to the said agreement, dated the twentieth of November 1704, purporting a mutual agreement for the dividing of their lands, whereby it was mutually agreed and concluded by all the parties therein concerned, that provision should be made for the parson for the great tithes of Woolfton, according to the determination and discretion by H. Whithread, and R. Gilpin, to whom it was thereby wholly referred, and the will of G. Dudley, senior, grandfather of the said defendant, and upon debate of the whole matter, and hearing what could be alledged by the counsel for both sides;

THIS COURT doth order, adjudge, and decree, that the said articles, agreements, and compositions for the said tithes, are hereby for ever established, ratisfied, and confirmed for the suture, and that the said desendant shall forthwith satisfy and pay to the said plaintiss, eighty-one pounds, being the arrears of the eighteen pounds a-year, till Michaelmas 1703, and that the said desendant, his heirs and assigns, owners and occupiers of the said lands, shall for the suture continue the payment of the said eighteen pounds a-year, for and in lieu and satisfaction of the tithes of all the lands of the desendant in Great Woolson asoresaid, to the plaintiss, rector of the said parish, or to his lessee or assigns, or his successors, their lessees or assigns, for ever, with costs, to be taxed by the deputy remembrancer of this Court.

EDW. WARD.
THO. BURY.
Ro. PRICE.
J. SMITH.
GODFREY

#### Godfrey against Trinder and Others. Oxfordsbire, 21st February 1703.

HILARYTERM. a Q. Anne.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff was seised in see of the rectory of Holwell, in the county of Oxford, and of all The rector of tithes, both great and small, thereunto belonging; that the Holwell, in Oxdefendant, H. Trinder, was owner of all the lands there, except fordbire, files his bill to obtain one yard land, which the plaintiff had for a long term of years; specific performthat there having been several suits between them, both in law ance of certain and equity, the plaintiff consented to an inclosure; for which articles respects purpose articles were entered into the twenty-third of May 1693, ing his tithes. as stated in the bill; but that the defendant had not complied with the faid articles. The bill therefore prayed, that the defendants may, for the future, fow four hundred acres, or pay two shillings an acre for what is wanting, pursuant to the articles; that the acres may be measured; that the defendants may pay tithes of the green peafe and turnips; that they may maintain the mound between the two lordships; and that the agreement may be performed, the tithes paid, and the plaintiff quieted in his possession.

The defendants put in their answers and plea, and pleaded an original and cross bill, and all the proceedings therein in the The defendants court of chancery; and answered as to part, and set forth their plead a fuit and quantities, and faid, that tithes of turnips were not due to the decree in the plaintiff, for that Holewell is within the parish of Breadwell, court of chanwhere there is a vicarage endowed, whereto belongs all fmall subject; tithes (all but corn and hay cut at harvest); and that the defendant Charles Trinder had compounded with the vicar of Broadwell.

To which plea and answer of the defendants Henry and Charles Trinder, and also to the two answers of the defendant Bolt, the plaintiff replied; and the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both sides.

Upon opening the pleadings, and reading the depositions of several witnesses examined on both sides in the cause, and upon and it appearing reading several of the proceedings in the causes lately depending the matter was in the court of chancery between the now plaintiff and Henry fettled in chanand Charles Trinder, and which were pleaded by the faid defendants in this cause; and, upon long debate of the matter, it appearing to the Court that the plaintiff had replied to the faid plea, and that there are fuch decrees, orders, and other proceedings in the court of chancery as in the faid defendant's plea are fet forth, and that the faid matters pleaded to as aforefaid are fettled and determined by the high court of chancery,

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid defendants be, the bill is difand are hereby difmiffed of and from the faid bill, and the miffed, matters and things therein contained,

Vol. I.

Wickham

HILARYTERN. S. Q. ANNE.

WICKHAM against DUFFIELD and Another.

Yorksbire, 23d February 1703.

prebendary of Penton, in York-

The lessee of the THE bill stated, that the plaintiff was, by virtue of a lease dated the twenty-third of June 1699, made, by R. Allbam, fire, claims the D. D. prebendary of Fenton, in the county of York, seised of small titbes of the the prebend of Fenton, and all the rectory, lands, tithes, obparish of Sher- lations, and other duties thereunto belonging (except the preburne, except fentations and nominations of and to the respective vicarages of tofts and crofts. Sherburne and Fenton, and a meffuage in the close of the church of Saint Peter's in York); and being so seised, ought to receive and enjoy the faid rectory of Sherburne, and all tithes belonging to the faid prebend of Fenton, and in particular the tithes of rape, hay, and lamb throughout the whole parish, except what grows on tofts and crofts; that the defendant Duffield was vicar of Sherburne, and had, from Michaelmas 1700 till now, occupied feveral lands and closes, arable and pasture, within the faid parish, not being toft or croft, whereon he fowed corn and rape feed, and depastured several cattle and sheep, and had lambs fallen, the tithes whereof ought to have been paid to the plaintiff; that the defendant Butler likewise occupied several lands, and had corn and rape, the tithes whereof he refused to pay to the plaintiff, pretending that the same are due to the defendant Duffield, as vicar of the said parish of Sherburne; whereas, by ancient endowment, the faid vicar is not to have the tithes of wool, lamb, or other tithes that proceed from agriculture, except the tithes of ancient tofts and crofts.

The vicar of all the fmall tithes, particurape seed.

The defendant Duffield faid, that he was vicar of Sherburm, Sherburme claims and had been presented thereto by the said prebendary of Fenton; and that, in right of the faid vicarage, he was entitled to all larly the tithe of manner of small tithes in kind, except wool and lamb, and had received the tithes of rape feed, as well in open fields as in inclosures, without a claim having been laid thereto by the prebendary of Fenton, except what the plaintiff and his late father had done some sew years ago, who nevertheless permitted the former vicar to receive half the tithe of the rape feed; and he denied the endowment as stated in the bill, and the plaintiff's right to any of the tithes of rape feed.

On reading the endowment,

On reading feveral depositions, and an endowment of the vicarage of Fenton in the year 1240, and on full debate of the matter,

the bill is dif-It is ordered by the Court, that the faid bill shall be, miffed. and the same is hereby dismissed, but without costs.

DEAN AND CHAPTER OF WELLS against DURSTON EASTERTERMA and Another. 3. Q. Anna.

#### Somersetsbire, 22d May 1704.

THE bill stated, that the defendant was installed prebendary of stall wages of the prebend of Scamford, otherwise Shalford, founded in 40s. a year are the cathedral church of Wells, about the fixteenth of August payable to the 1684, and that out of such prebend there is yearly payable, by prebendsof Sbalthe prebendary thereof, to the vicars choral of the said cathe- suffice. dral, or to the plaintiffs, a certain fum called fall wages; viz. as many prebendaries as there are vicars choral are to pay their fall wages to the vicars choral, and the vicar choral who serves the stall of either prebendary, ought to be paid by fuch prebendary only; that there being more prebendaries and stalls than vicars choral, the insupplied ones are termed vacant stalls, the stall wages whereof ought to be paid to the plaintiffs, for the use of the fabrick of the church; that out of such stall wages, whether possessed by vicars or vacant, there are tenths paid to THE CROWN, the vicars choral pay for fuch as they possess, and the plaintiffs, the dean and chapter, for the vacant stalls; that the prebendary of Scamford, at the time of the defendant Durston's instalment, was, and still is a vacant stall, the stall wages whereof belong to the plaintiffs, who, from his instalment, have paid the tenths for it to THE CROWN; that, time out of mind, there hath been yearly payable out of Scamford prebend forty shillings to the dean and chapter for the use of the sabrick of the faid church, for which there was due to the plaintiffs thirtyfour pounds ten shillings at Michaelmas before filing this bill. which the defendant ought to pay; that the parsonage of

, in the county of Effex, doth belong to the faid prebend, the chief rent whereof is fix pounds, twelve shillings, and fourpence, payable to the prebendary and his fuccessors, and also forty shillings a-year to the vicars choral of the said cathedral for fall wages, which hath constantly been demised for lives by the prebendaries of the faid prebend, at and under the faid yearly rents, and in the leases thereof there is and ought to be a covenant for the payment of fuch fums to the prebends and to the vicars choral; that the defendant Hinton was, and for divers years had been entitled to the faid parfonage by virtue of some deeds to him made by the defendant Durston, who refuses to pay the said forty shillings per annum stall wages and the arrears thereof, and that the defendant Hinton refuses and keeps back the payment thereof, pretending, that he is only account. able to the prebend, and not to the plaintiffs. The plaintiffs, therefore, prayed to be relieved.

The defendant Durston confessed his instalment into the said . stall, and that there are duties called fall wages payable to the Ff 2 vicars

WELLS azainst ANOTHER,

THEDEANAND vicars choral out of the prebends to which they belong; that in his lease of the prebend to the defendant Hinton, there is forty shillings reserved and made payable to the vicars choral by the Duston And name of fall wages, but believed, that it belonged to him, no vicar choral being fitting in or belonging to the faid stall, and faid, that he is willing to act as the Court should direct.

> The defendant Hinton, by his answer, believed that there had been forty shillings a-year paid out of the said prebend for stall wages, and that he claimed the said prebend under a lease from the defendant Durfton, dated the eleventh of January 1699, at fix pounds, twelve shillings, and fourpence a-year, and the forty shillings per annum for stall wages aforesaid, both of which are paid to Michaelmas 1699. He confessed that there is due from him, for rent and stall wages at Lady Day 1702, twenty-one pounds, ten shillings, and tenpence, and submitted to pay the plaintiffs forty shillings per annum, and the arrears out of the money in his hands, and said that he was willing to act as he should be directed.

The plaintiffs replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined.

IT IS ORDERED, upon reading the proofs in the cause, that the defendant Durston shall account with and fatisfy the plaintiffs the arrears of the faid forty shillings per annum from the time he was installed to Michaelmas 1699, and that from thence the defendants do account for the arrears, and do continue the payment of the faid forty shillings a-year as the same shall accrue due for the future, so long as their instalment in the said prebend shall continue, and the said shall shall remain vacant; and it is referred to the deputy remembrancer to take the faid account, but the defendant Hinton is not to pay any costs, and is to be indemnified against the defendant Durston for what he shall so pay to the plaintiffs in obedience to this decree.

BASTER TERM 3. Q. Anne.

GEE against Perch. Kent, 11th May 1704.

rectory of Orclaims the tithes .S. C. Rayn. 97.

The lefter of the THE plaintiff, as administrator, with the will annexed, of rectory of Or-Mary Gee, widow, deceased, stated, that the said Mary ngion, in Kent, Gee, for two years ending at Michaelmas 1698, was leffice of the of wood, ash rectory of Orpington, in the county of Kent, and that the plainpoles, and hope. tiff, for two years ending at Michaelmas 1702, was, and now is leffee of the said rectory; that by virtue thereof the said Mary Gee and the plaintiff were, for those years respectively, entitled to all tithes of corn, hops, wood, and other things; that the defendant used a wood called Clay-wood, containing twenty acres, and another wood adjoining to Crawton Heath, containing fix acres, and divers other woods in the faid parish from

PERCE.

from which, in the said years, he did fell, grub, cut down, and carry away quantities of wood, the tithes whereof were of great value; that the said defendant did, in the third year, hold and enjoy several acres of hop grounds in the said parish, upon which he had grown several quantities of hops, which he gathered and carried away, the tithes whereof ought to have been duly set out as they became due; that the defendant did not fet out his tithe wood in fuch manner as he ought to have done, he leaving only some loose ranges or heaps of wood for his tithes, without ingrain, binding, or making up the same, as by the law, custom, and manner of tithing used in the said parish he ought to have done; that the defendant did not, in the said years, fet out his tithe hops as he ought to have done, but left, as he pretends, the tenth hill or pole without picking, in lieu of tithes; and that the defendant ought to have picked his hops from the bines or poles before he fet out the tithes thereof.

The defendant faid, that for the years in the bill mentioned The defendant he had held and occupied in the said parish about one hundred says, acres of coppice wood, and particularly Clay Wood, containing and poles were twenty acres, and the wood also adjoining to Crawton Heath, of husbandry. four acres; that in 1698 he cut Crawton Heath wood, and in 1701 and 1702 Clay wood, but that before he cut down and carried away any of the faid wood, he applied to the plaintiffs to fee the tithes of the faid woods fet out and separated from the nine parts as followeth; viz. when his fervants had cut down a That he fet out small parcel they piled it up in ten several heaps of equal fize his tithe wood and goodness, and, to prevent disputes about the tithes, he had in piles, withthe faid ten heaps viewed by indifferent persons, and caused it, or making it. the best of the said ten heaps to be left for Mrs. Gee and the up. plaintiff's tithes, and then the defendant's workmen proceeded in the same method from place to place, until the tithes of both woods were duly and fully fet forth; and he faid, that he believed that the like method of paying tithe wood is, and was the only method used in the said parish, and that the faid wood was not to be fize bound, or made up at the defendant's charge into a marketable ware; that the wood adjoining to Crawton Heath, when cut down, was not worth above forty shillings an acre, and Clay wood three pounds an acre, to be fold, and that, after the tithes fet out, the faid woods cost him more than the remaining nine parts of the same were worth; that notwithstanding he set out the full tenth part of Crawton Heath wood, wherein he had for his nine parts but three thoufand fix hundred and seventy bavins; that he had used three thoufand four hundred and twenty-three of the fame for necessary firing upon his farm, for which he need not to have paid any tithes; that in 1702 he cut down and carried away one load and a half of ashen trees, of about fifty shillings value, without setting out his tithes, he having occasion to use them to make and mend ploughs, harrows, and other utenfils of husbandry about his Ff 3

Gzz againf PERCH.

That he fet out his hops, not by the tenth hill, firipped from the poles to pick.

farm, and fold no part thereof; for which reasons, and because they were about twenty years growth, no tithes were due for the fame. The defendant also stated, that in 1698 and 1702 he had three acres of hop ground, and being informed that there was a composition between the former farmer of the said recbut by the tenth tory and his farm, that it should pay ten shillings an acre to part of the ten the rector for every acre of hop ground, whether hops or no hops grew thereon, he, for the year ending at Michaelmas and left in a heap 1698, did pick and carry away the faid three acres of hops for the plaintiff without fetting out the tithe, but before he picked the faid hops he went to the defendant, and defired him to take his tithe hops in kind, or ten shillings an acre, which he refused, until the court had decided whether he should pay his hops in kind or ten shillings an acre; that before this suit was commenced, he tendered to the said Mary Gee, or to the plaintiff, ten shillings an acre for the tithe of his said hops for the said year, which was as much as they were worth before they were picked and dried; that all the hops growing on the three acres that year were not worth above fifteen pounds, and the tithes thereof, if taken in kind, not worth above thirty shillings, which he is ready to pay; that for the three acres of hops growing in 1702 he gave the plaintiff notice when he intended to pick them, that he might fee the tithes thereof duly fet out, and which he did fet out; viz. the defendant's fervants separated from the freehold ten hills at a time, and then set forth all, not the tenth hill, but the tenth part of the ten hills stripped from the poles, for the plaintiff's tithes of the said ten hills, and so left the same in a heap for the plaintiff; and so the defendant's workmen, upon cutting every ten hills, fet forth the full tenth part of fuch ten hills in an heap, until the plaintiff's tithe of the faid hop ground was fet out, which faid heaps were rather more than the tenth part of the defendant's faid hops; and he faid, that all the hops he had on the faid three acres in the faid year for his nine parts did not amount to fifty hundred weight, and were not worth above twenty pounds, and which he kept for his own use; and he denied that the occupiers of hop grounds ought to pick their hops before they fet out their tithes.

> The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witneffes were examined on both fides; and upon reading the depositions, and hearing what could be alledged by counsel on both sides, and on long debate of the matter,

The Court of 9wood ought to be bound up;

THE COURT are of opinion, and do declare, that the way pinion, that the and method used by the defendant in setting out his tithe wood by loose heaps, in boughs, is a good way of setting out his tithe wood; but that it appears by the proofs taken in the cause, that the usage and manner of tithing of wood in the parish is, and time out of mind has been, for the occupiers to bind up the

the wood before the tithes thereof are fet out, and that no fuch manner of tithing by loose heaps or ranges was ever known in the parish.

Grr against PERCH.

THE COURT do also declare, that the method insisted on by and that hope the defendant for fetting out his tithe hops, by ftripping the od and gathered bines from the poles and leaving the same in loose heaps before from the bind the hops are picked and gathered, is no good fetting out of before they are the tithe of his hops, but that the hops ought to be picked tithed. and gathered from the bines before the same are titheable.

It is therefore ordered and decreed, that the defend. They decree the ant do pay to the plaintiff for all the tithes of the woods in the defendant to acbill mentioned; To WIT, for Clay Wood, and the wood lying near Crawton Heath, according to their respective values thereof when the wood is cut and bound, as usually has been done by the occupiers.

It is also further ordered and decreed, that the defendant do account for the tithe of his hops in the years in the bill mentioned, according to the value of the defendant's hops in the faid years respectively when picked and gathered from the bines.

WARD, Chief Baron, said, that if wood has been used to be bound by the parishioners, the tithes of the said woods ought also to be bound up. That as to hops, the tithes of them cannot be paid till they are picked; and that ash poles, not fit for timber, are to pay tithes.

BURY, Baron, said, that the method set forth by the defendant for fetting out the tithes of wood in heaps is not good. That ash poles are titheable though they are of twenty years growth, and, as to hops, that the defendant's parlance was not good.

PRICE, Baron, as to the tithe of hops, was of the same opinion, and that the defendant do account. But as to the ash poles used in husbandry, he thought they were not titheable. And as to wood, that the defendant had rightly fet it out, and that the plaintiff ought to have no account.

SMITH, Baron, faid, that as to ash poles, he was of opinion that the defendant is not to account; but that, as for the tithe of hops, the defendant's parlance was not good, he having not rightly fet out the tithes thereof. And that he ought to account for the tithe of wood, the plaintiff having proved the wage.

Note. The plaintiff relinquished his claim as to the ash poles.

TRIN. TERM, 3. Q. ANNE.

## HILL against WYATT. Gloucestersbire, 11th July 1704.

Gloucestersbire, is great and fmall tithes of fo much Southfield Hill.

The vicar of THE vicar of Loughborough, in the county of Gloucefler, claimed Laughborough, in all tithes, both great and small, arising within the manor all tithes, both great and small, arising within the manor entitled to the of Southfield, lying within the faid parish.

The defendant confessed the plaintiff to be vicar of Loughland as lies ridge borough, but denied that the vicarage was endowed with the tithes and furrow on of the manor of Southfield, or that the plaintiff was entitled thereto; and faid, that he believed that Sir C. Shuckburgh, his landlord, was entitled to the tithes of all the lands held by him; that the plaintiff's predecessors (except Mr. Marton) never reecivedtithes of the lands; and that he knew not or believed that any other tithe was paid, fave that the plaintiff's fervants took fome corn and hay from off Southfield Hill without the defendant's consent.

> Upon reading several deeds and depositions, and the answer of the plaintiff Hill to a bill exhibited against him in this court by Sir C. Shuckburgh, and the depositions of witnesses taken in the faid cause, and on full debate,

> IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendant shall account with and fatisfy the plaintiff for the value of the tithes of the lands in question, lying ridge and furrow, by them respectively occupied on Southfield Hill demanded by the bill,

3. Q. Anne.

TRIM. TERM, DEAN AND CHAPTER OF LITCHFIELD against Wood-ROFFE.

Stafford/bire, 26th June 1704.

ries of Sandiacre, feld.

See Cro. Car. 1. Jones, 435. March, 31.

The prebenda. THE bill stated, that by the ancient custom and local statutes ries of Sandiacre. of the cathedral church of Litchfield, every prebendary in Staffordfire, whose stall is not filled with a vicar choral is to pay 20s. a-year to pay 201. a year the plaintiffs towards the repairs of the church; that in 1678 for fall wages to the dean and the defendant was collated to the prebend of Sandiacre, in the chapter of Litch- faid church, and installed, and had ever fince continued therein.

Upon opening the bill, and reading an order made the eighth of May last, whereby the defendant undertook to appear grain at the hearing, and reading the answer, and no counsel appearing for the defendant,

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED, that the defendant shall account with the plaintiffs for the arrears of the faid yearly payment of twenty shillings per annum for vacant stall wages from the time of the last payment, and continue the yearly payment for the future, fo long as he shall continue prebendary of the faid prebend of Sandiacre.

DANVERS

## DANVERS against Wood. Esfex, 12th July 1704.

TRIM. TERM. 3. Q. Anne.

HE bill stated, that 7. Scambler, clerk, rector of Shenfield, The rector of in the county of Esex, did, about the twentieth of March Shanfeld, in Es-1696, demife all tithes whatsoever arising in the faid parish and in kind. the titheable places thereof, to the plaintiffs, his executors, &c. for feven years; that the defendant, for years past, hath occupied lands and tenements therein, and hath withheld from him the tithes thereof.

The defendant admitted, that J. Scambler might be rector, The defendant and make fuch lease; but denied, that he ever held any lands or fays, he is a temements within the faid parish of Shenfield; and faid, that he who inhabits a mow dwells, and for seventeen years past has dwelt, in a little cottage on a cottage of the value of between thirty and forty shillings a-year, common near near Brentwood, in the parish of Southweale, held of the manor of Brentwood, Cosled, in the parish of Southweale, and that he never held any the parish of Southweale, and lands in the faid parish, but only the said cottage; and set forth, has two ewes that he kept two ewes and a fow, which fed on the common, but and a fow which could not fet forth the value, for that he never knew or heard run on the comthat any tithes were paid or demanded by either the rectors or nies having any their leffees of the parishes of Southweale or Shonfield for any sheep land in Shon or cattle going on the commons, until lately the plaintiff demand-field. ed of the defendant tithes for the defendant's sheep, he being only a day-labouring man.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides; and on reading feveral depositions of witnesses in the said cause on both sides, and upon debate of the matter,

THE COURT do not think fit to relieve the plaintiff on his The bill dismisfaid bill; and thereupon order, that the bill be difmiffed, with full full costs for the defendant, to be taxed by the deputy remembrancer.

Wentworth, Bart. against The Archeishop of Trim. Tram. York and Another.

Yorksbire, 17th July 1704.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff is, and for several years last The lord of the past has been, seised of the manor of Crawind, with the manor of Craappurtenances, in the county of York; that the defendant, the county of York; Archbishop of York, and his predecessors, have, time immemorial, states, that he been seised in see, in right of the said archbishopric, of the exchanged Day

Farm with the

vicar of Gravind, in lieu of his vicarial tithes, &cc. rectory

SHOP OF YORK

entworth rectory appropriate of Crawind, and of the advowson of the vicarage of Crawind, within the diocese of York; that the vicarage being endowed, the vicars thereof, for the time afore-ANDANOTHER, faid, have yearly enjoyed and received the tithes in kind of hay and corn yearly growing upon thirty-two ox-gangs of arable and meadow ground which lie dispersed in several parcels in and throughout the common fields called by the feveral names of Robberfield, Sadlandfield, and Bawnfield, which, together with all other tithes of hay and corn in the faid township, belonging to the faid vicarage, are usually computed to be worth annually twenty-five pounds, out of which the parliamentary taxes and other affessments generally come to three pounds, five shillings a-year; that the faid vicars for the time being have yearly had and enjoyed two cowgates and one oxgate for fixteen theep and the depasturing of two cows, one ox, and fix sheep, in certain grounds of Crawind aforesaid, called the Moor, which were usually valued at twenty-one shillings a-year; that the said vicars have yearly had and enjoyed the depasturing in averidge time and the winter eatage of and in the common fields and pastures of and belonging to Crawind, for the faid three gates, viz. for two cows and one ox, and also the liberty and advantage of depasturing in averidge time in the faid last-mentioned common fields for all the calves the vicar usually bred, the value of which was about seven shillings a-year; and also a horsegate in the Inges of Crawind from Lady Day to May Day, at two shillings and sixpence a-year. or fome yearly rent or composition in lieu of all the faid premifes; that the plaintiff being so seised of the said lordship and lands out of which the tithes and other profits were issuing, and the defendant Wyke being the present vicar, the plaintiff and the said Wyke, about June last past, came to an agreement, that the plaintiff and his heirs should for ever afterwards peaceably and quietly hold and enjoy all the aforesaid tithes, beast gates, and cattle gates, or right of common, and other the annual profits aforesaid, and other the predial tithes within the said township belonging to the said vicarage, without the let, suit, trouble, or disturbance of the defendant Wyke and his successors, vicars of Crawind; and that the plaintiff should hold and enjoy the premises out of which the said tithes and profits should arise and accrue absolutely discharged from payment of all tithes to be claimed by the faid Wyke and his fuccessors vicars there; that the plaintiff being seised in see of the farm, closes, and grounds in Barugh, otherwise Bargh, called Day Farm, of fixty pounds a-year, the faid plaintiff, in exchange, compensation, or compefition for the faid tithes and premises aforesaid, agreed for ever afterwards to pay to the defendant Wyke and his fuccessors one clear yearly annuity of twenty-seven pounds, to be iffuing out of the farm, closes, and grounds in Bargh aforesaid, payable quarterly, by even portions, without deduction for any taxes, impositions, or affefiments whatfoever; and that it should be lawful for

For the defendant Wyke and his fucceffors to enter and diffrain, Wentworth in case any of the said quarterly payments should be in arrear THE ARCHEST twenty days; and that the defendant Wyke and his fuccessors snor or York should for ever after quietly hold and enjoy the eighth part of ANDANOTHER. all those several parcels of ground, called the Oakcliffs, with the Rakes thereunto belonging; the eighth part of the Liskew and of the Flatts in Crawind, as the same are now divided from the rest of the premises; that the said annuity and eighth part of the grounds before mentioned are of a greater value, and will be more advantageous to the faid vicars, than the tithes and matters aforefaid, and will free the defendant Wyke and his fucceffors from the yearly charge by keeping and maintaining fervants and eattle for gathering and collecting the faid tithes, and also from all taxes which are paid for the same; that the defendant the Archbishop of York being patron and ordinary of the vicarage church, was fatisfied that the faid agreement was for the benefit of the vicarage; that the plaintiff hath all along paid, and still is willing to perform the faid agreement, and to abide fuch decree as the Court shall make therein, or do or execute any act or deed for securing the said annuity and premiles to the defendant Wyke and his fucceffors, so that he perform the said agreement, and that the archbishop confirm the The bill therefore prayed, that the defendant Wyke may fet forth, whether he did not come to fuch an agreement, and whèther the faid annuity and premises be not more advantageous to the vicarage than the faid tithes; that the defendants may perform and confirm the faid agreement; and that it may be established by the decree of this court.

The defendant the Archbishop of York by his answer said, The archbishop that true it is that he now is, and his predecessors have been of York, as pas feised in fee, in right of the said archbishopric, of the rectory tron and ordina-appropriate aforesaid, and of the advowson of the vicar-the said exage; that the defendant Wyke now is vicar there, and change. came to such agreement; that there are several tithes of corn, hay, wool, and other tithes, belonging to this defendant, in right of the faid rectory, which are distinct, and no part of the faid tithes belonging to the faid vicarage; that the defendant being patron and ordinary as aforefaid, and being fatisfied that the faid bargain and exchange is for the benefit of the faid vicarage, is content and willing to ratify and confirm the same, and to do and abide as the Court shall decree therein, so as the same do not prejudice his right to any of the tithes in Crawind aforefaid, belonging to the defendant or to the faid rectory, whereto the faid defendant is entitled as aforefaid.

The defendant Wyke by his answer said, he believed the The vicar conplaintiff is, and for several years has been owner of the lordship sesses the of Crawind, and other the premises in the bill mentioned; that change. he, the faid Wyke, is, and for several years past has been, vicar of

Wartworth the faid vicarage, and that he and his predeceffors have received the tithes of corn and hay arising out of the lands in the bill menenor or Your tioned, or some satisfaction for the same, together with the several ANDAROTHER. other profits, cattle gates, and beast gates. He confessed, that he and the plaintiff came to such agreement as in the bill is stated; and believed the faid annuity and eighth part of the other premises are of a greater yearly value, and will be more advantageous to the vicarage than the tithes in kind and other profits and beast gates were or are in respect of the affeilments and charge of collecting fuch tithes and duties. He admitted, that the archbishop is patron and ordinary of the said vicarage, and that the plaintiff and himself hath hitherto performed the said agreement and premises; and agrees to abide such decree as the Court shall make therein.

The cause came on to be heard on the bill and answers.

The faid exchange ratified, confirmed, and eftablifhed.

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED BY THIS COURT, that the faid agreement shall be and is hereby ratified and confirmed; and pursuant thereto, the said plaintiff is to hold and enjoy all the faid tithes, beaft gates, cattle gates, and other profits belonging to the faid vicarage against the defendant Wite and his fucceffors, vicars of Crowind, for ever; and also to hold and enjoy the premifes out of which the faid tithes and profits shall arise and accrue, absolutely discharged from the payment of all tithes to be at any time hereafter claimed by the defendant Wyke, or his fuccessors, vicars of Crawind; and in compensation thereof, the said plaintiff and his heirs is and are to pay the defendant, and his fucceffors, vicars of Crawind, one yearly annuity of twenty-seven pounds, to be iffuing out of the said farm called Day Farm, and to be paid quarterly, by equal portions, for ever, without deduction of any taxes whatfoever; the first payment to be made from the twenty-ninth day of September next; and the faid farm, called Day Farm, to stand charged with the faid rent or annual fum to the defendant Wile and his fucceffors, to be paid as aforefaid; and the faid agreement to be mutually performed by the parties on both fides, their respective heirs and successors, in all times to come. this Court doth declare, that this shall be without prejudice to the defendant, his grace the lord archbishop of York and his fucceffors, as to any tithes due and belonging to the faid defendant and his fuccessors in right of the rectory of Crawind.

> EDW. WARD. THO. BURY. Ro. Price. J. SMITH.

> > Witherington

#### WITHERINGTON against HARRIS.

MICH. TERM, 3. Q. Anne.

Effex, 5th December 1704.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff, for five years past, had been The improprialeffee and farmer of the great tithes, and particularly of hay tor of Thorse, and wood, arising within the parish and parsonage impropriate tithes of wheat, of Thorpe, in the county of Essen, which he took by indenture, clover grass, and for a term of years, from the owner of the faid impropriate par- wood, sonage, by virtue whereof he was entitled to the said tithes; that the defendant, for two years past, had been occupier of several lands therein, whereon he had wheat by him sowed and cut, and also hay and clover grass, which he cut and fold, without fetting out the tithes thereof.

The defendant confessed the plaintiff to be farmer, and that in the defendant are well as the least state of the control of th the year 1701 he had cut clover grass twice, and set out out the tithes of the tithes of both the first and second crop in swathes, according the first crop to the custom used in the said parish; that in the said year he of clover in cut fix acres of grafs, three acres of which he fet out in grafs fwather; that cocks, which the plaintiff accepted, and the other three in for the fecond fwather; and in that year he had wheat, the tithe of which being crop, nor for the duly fet out, the plaintiff accepted. He confessed, that in 1702 firewood sold, it he mowed a fecond crop of the clover grafs, but did not fet out being the lopthe tithes thereof, infifting, that no tithe was due, or ever had Boulings. been paid, for the second crop of clover grass. He also confessed, that in the faid years he had cut and fold two loads of firewood, being the loppings of Old Bowlings, for which, he insisted, no tithes were due.

no tithes are due

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides; and upon reading the proofs taken in the cause,

THE COURT disallowed the custom insisted upon by the The setting out defendant in his answer for setting out his tithes in swathes, the clover in swathers disallowed endered the description and setting the disallowed the custom insisted upon by the The setting out the description and setting the disallowed the custom insisted upon by the The setting out the description and description and setting the description and setting the description and setting the description and descript and ordered the defendant to account for and fatisfy the lowed; plaintiff the value of the tithes of his first and second crop of and the tithes of clover grass, and of his other grass, and for his wheat, and for the second crop, the wood by him cut and fold for which no tithes had been and of the wood, paid.

paid.

THE

Mich. Trem, THE ARCHBISHOP OF YORK against THE DUKE OF 3. Q. Annz. NEWCASTLE.

#### Yorksbire, 9th November 1704

Kilburne, in the claims tithes in worth's, S. C. 2. Salk. **6**56. S. C. Rayn. 99,

300.

The rector of THE bill stated, that the Archbishop of York was seised in see, Kilberne, in the in right of the archbishopsic of York, of and in the rectory in right of the archbithopric of York, of and in the rectory county of York, and parsonage of Kilburne, in the county of York, and of all tithes, kind of Row great and imall, yearly renewing within the parsonage of Killends, Essen burne and titheable places thereof; that R. Sterne, doctor in May divinity, deceased, being, in the year 1670, Archbishop of York, mard's, and Las's and seised in see of the said rectory and the tithes, by lease, dated the thirtieth of September, in the twenty-second year of Charles the Second, demised the same to C. Barnes, the plaintiff Barnes brother, fince deceased, for three lives; by virtue whereof the faid C. Barnes was proprietor thereof under the faid Archbiffs of York; that Lord Hollis, fince deceased, being then also seised in fee of feveral lands and farms within the faid parish, then in the occupation of feveral tenants, who refused to pay tithes in kind to the faid C. Barnes, he fued them in the ecclefiastical court of York; that the faid tenants, to flay proceedings, fet forth some modus in lieu of tithes, and thereupon obtained prohibitions; but they not being proved within the time limited by the statute, the said Barnes obtained costs against them; that the faid tenants, about the twenty-fixth and twenty-feventh years of Charles the Second, exhibited their bill in this court, to which Lord Hollis was made a party plaintiff, against the said C. Barnes, setting forth, that the said Lord Hollis was seised in fee of the late dissolved monastery of Bella Landa, otherwise Byland, in the said county; that the lands held by his tenants were parcel of the possessions thereof; that there had been feveral immemorial payments in lieu of tithes in kind; and that they held the same, so discharged of tithes, at the time of the dissolution of the said monastery (a); that the said C. Barnes put in his answer, and denied the several moduses and prescriptions; and the cause being at issue, and witnesses examined, it came on to a hearing on the twenty-eighth of June 1678, when a trial at law was directed upon the feveral iffues following: FIRST, Whether Rowland's Farm paid eight fleeces of wool and four shillings in lieu of tithes; for the proof was different from what had been alledged in the bill, viz. eighteen shillings in money only. SECONDLY, Whether Bosomworth Farm paid ten fleeces of wool and two lambs and a half; which was also different from the bill, which alledged one shilling and sixpence in money. THIRDLY, Whether Maynard's Farm paid one

fixpence; Maynard, one shilling and fivepence; and Lee in a nea decimenda.

<sup>(</sup>a) Vin. Rosoland, eighteen shillings; Beforeworth, ten fleeces of wool, two lambs and a half, and one shilling and

Thilling and fivepence, in lieu of all tithes? But that neither the THE ARENfaid Lord Hollis, nor his tenants, did ever try the said issues, nor could ever prove any modus by them infifted on (a); that the Taid Lord Hollis died seised of the said lands, and the same came Taz Duzz or to F. Lord Hollis, upon whose death part of the same descended Newcastle. to the defendant the Duke of Newcastle, and the rest vested in the defendants the Earl of Chesterfield, J. Hales, and P. Le Neve; that the said C. Barnes, by will, dated the sixteenth of March 1694, devised the rectory and tithes to the plaintiff W. Baines during the three lives in the said lease mentioned; and that the faid three persons are all living; that the plaintiff Barnes being thereby seised of the said rectory and tithes, about the seventeenth of October 1695, furrendered the same to the plaintiff, the Archbishop of York, who, by indenture dated the same day, demised the same to the plaintiff Barnes for three lives; and that being entitled to the faid tithes, he ought to receive the Same in kind; that the defendants Scaife and several others were occupiers of land within the faid parish of Kilburne, and some of them possessed of the four old farms, viz. Rowland's, Bosomworth's, Maynard's, and Lee's; and that all of them had tithes, both great and small, since the plaintiff Barnes had been farmer; but that they refused to pay such tithes, or to make any fatisfaction for the same.

TO TORELE YORK against

(a) On the 8th June 1676, Trinity Term, 28. Car. 2. Lord Hollis, as tenant in fee fimple of the fcite of Ryland Abbey; John Rowland, as tenant of Oldfiead Farm : K. Bosomworth, as tenant of Great Camb; and Maynard, as tenant of certain lands in Oldstead; filed their bill in this court against Barnes, the rector of Kilburne, to establish a modus of eighteen Inillings a year, payable at Michaelmas, in lieu of all tithes of Oldstead Farm; and ten fleeces of wool and two lambs and a half at Midjummer, and one shilling and fixpence in money, in lieu of tithes of Great Camb; and one shilling and fivepence a-year, in lieu of tithes of Maynard's Farm. The defendant denied the existence of the moduses; stated his title under the Arebbifoop of York; and admitted that he had cited the plaintiffs to the ecclefiaftical court, and libelled against them there for not setting forth their tithes. And on reading the depofitions, it not appearing that there are any fuch prescriptions for discharging the faid meffuages, lands, and tenements, the court of exchequer dismissed the bill as against the plaintiffs Rosoland, Maynard, and Beforeworth. But as to fuch part of it as concerned Lord Hollis and his leffee the plaintiff Lee, an iffue was directed to try, whether the meffuage and lands in Lee's possession are discharged from the payment of tithes; but no trial appears to have been had. On the 18th of June 1678, Trinity Term, 30. Car. 2. the faid plaintiff filed another bill against Barnes, infisting on a modus of eight fleeces of wool and four shillings in money for Rowland's Farm; ten flueces of wool and two lambs and a half for Bosomworth's Farm; and one shilling and fivepence for Maynard's Farm. The rector faid, that he believed that ten fleeces of wool and two lambs and a half had been, for many years last past, paid for Bosoneverth's Farm, in heu of the tithes of wool and lamb only, and denied any other prescription or modus in lieu of tithes. Three issues were directed to try the three several moduses, as stated in the bill, with respect to Rowland's, Maynard's, and Bosomworth's farms. But it does not appear by the exchequer books that any further proceeding was had on the bill.

The

TEE AREN-BISHOP OF YORK against Byland Abbey. benefit.

The Duke of Newcastle, the Earl of Chestersield, and the other defendants Hales and Le Neve, admitted Barnes's title to the rectory and tithes; and after severally setting forth their THE DURE OF respective titles to the scite of the dissolved monastery of Byland, NEWCASTLE. insisted, that the said lands and farms were parcel of Byland The defendant Abbey; that the abbot, at the time of the diffolution of the faid infifts the faid abbey, held the same discharged from the payment of tithe; farms are tithe that the faid monastery was afterwards vested in THE CROWN, and free, as having that the laid monastery was afterwards verted in THE CROWN, and been parcel of therefore discharged from the payment of tithes by virue the possessions of thereof, or by virtue of some modus, of which they claimed the

That the farm of theep and two and a half lambs, of tithes.

The defendants Forfter and Salmon faid, that they had been called Grant Camb tenants of two meffuages and feveral lands in the faid parilh; pays ten floeces and that both the said farms were called Great Camb, otherwise East Camb, and had formerly been one entire farm; that they er 18d. in lieu ought not, for the reason assigned by the other defendants, to pay any tithes; and that they, and all those whose estates they had, had immemorially paid to the rector or impropriator of the faid parish, ten sleeces of wool and two and a half lambs, or eighteenpence in money in lieu of the half lamb, at Midsummer, when demanded, in full discharge of all tithes whatsoever.

and farms pay fix lamb.

corn or hay, as having been parcel of the faid monaftery.

The defendants Day and Forster, as tenants of the farms Rapar's formerly called Cawkerdale's Farm and Raper's Farm, insisted, fleeces of wool that they were not liable to pay any tithes of corn, grain, and and three of hay, or any thing in lieu thereof, or any small tithes, except fix fleeces of wool and three lambs as a modus for all small tithes whatfoever, for that the late abbot of the monastery of Byland, and his predecessors abbots thereof, had been immemorially seifed in fee of the said farms, in right of the said monastery, and held and no tithes of the same discharged from the payment of any tithes of comgrain, and hay; that the faid abbot, and all his predeceffors, abbots of the said monastery, had also, time out of mind, rendered and yearly paid, on the twenty-fifth of June, to the rector of Kilburne, fix fleeces of wool and three lambs, in difcharge of all fmall tithes whatfoever upon the faid farms and lands; and that the said abbot held the same so discharged at the time of the furrender and dissolution of the said monastery; that the monastery was one of the greater abbeys, and, with the lands thereunto belonging, came to and was vested in THE CROWN; and that King Henry the Eighth, by virtue of the ftatute 31. Hen. 8. c. 12. became seised thereof, and that the said farms in the defendant's possession, as belonging to the same are not only discharged from all tithes of corn, grain, and hay, but also from all small tithes in kind, or any thing in lieu thereof; the yearly payment of the faid fix fleeces of wool and three lambs only excepted.

The defendants Wood, Lee, and Rowland, as tenants of a farm formerly occupied by the defendant Rowland, infifted, that the plaintiff ought not to have any tithes, either great or small; for that the defendant the Duke of Newcastle, and others, were seised THE DURE OF in fee of the faid farm and lands, and that they, and all those Nawcastle. whose estates they had therein, had, time out of mind of man, yearly paid to the rector eight fleeces of wool and four shillings Farm pays eight in money, about the twenty-fifth of June, in discharge of all secces of wool, tithes what soever for the said farm and lands.

The defendants Clarke and Webster, as tenants of a farm called That the 1ste of the Isle of Marr, infifted also, that they ought not to pay any tithes whatsoever, either great or small, as the said farm had belonged to the monastery of Byland, and was discharged from land, and tithe the payment of tithes by the statute 31. Hen. 8. c. 12.

The defendant Barton, as farmer of a meffuage and feveral and that Newchoses of ground in Newstead within the faid rectory, infifted also sead, by the like upon the like prescription in a non decimando, in discharge of payment of all tithes for the faid farm.

The plaintiffs replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses A case is settled were examined on both fides; and the cause came on to be for the opinion heard on the thirteenth of May last; and upon reading the of the Barons, respecting Great depositions, and hearing counsel, the Court ordered a case to be Camb, Cambre made, and stated by the counsel on both sides, with which THE dale, and Rove-BARONS were to be attended, and counsel to be heard on both land's, in which fides. In pursuance of which order a case was made as to three was, whether a of the farms, viz. the farm called Great Camb and East Camb; modes of ten the farm called Cawkerdale and Raper's Farm; and the farm fleeces of wool, called Rowland's; in which, FIRST, The defendant Foster &c. be good. infifted on a modus of ten fleeces of wool, two lambs and a half, or one shilling and fixpence for the half lamb, at Midsummer, or afterwards upon demand, in lieu of all tithes for the faid farm formerly in Bosomworth's possession, and called Great Camb and East Camb. SECONDLY, The defendants Wood, Lee, and Rowland, infifted upon a modus of eight fleeces of wool and four shillings in money, at or about the twenty-fifth of June yearly, in lieu of all tithes for the farm called Rowland's Farm.

THE BARONS were accordingly attended, and counfel on both The Barons difides were feverally heard thereupon; and the cause stood this vided in their day in the paper for THE BARONS to deliver their opinions (a).

(a) It appears by the report of this

case, 2. Salk. 655. that Price and

Buny, Barons, were of opinion, that a

medus to pay ten fleeces of wool and two

lambs, in her of all tithes, is a bad

modus, because it is one species of tithe

for another, and also because it is uncer-

tain, for that one fleece may be twice as big and three times the value of another. But WARD, Chief Baron, and SMITH, Baron, hold it to be a good medus; because, by S. C. Rayner, 100. it is not in discharge of wool and lamb only, but of

Vol. I. But

all tithes.

THE ARCE-RHNOP OF YORK agains That Rowland's

cel of the monastery of By-

and 48. in mo-

THE ARCH-RISHOP OF York azainst

But THE COURT, upon hearing counsel on both sides, ordered a trial at law between the parties touching the faid moduses in five issues; the plaintiss in equity to be plaintiss at law.

THE DUER OF

NEWCASTLE. Five iffues are directed to try

the modules as to Great Camb.

FIRST, Whether the owners or occupiers of Bosomworth's Farm, called Great Camb and East Camb, in the possession of the defendant J. Foster, have, time out of mind, paid, or ought to pay, to the owners of the rectory of Kilburne, a modus of ten fleeces of wool and two lambs and a half, or one shilling and fixpence, in lieu of the half lamb, yearly, for and in lieu of all tithes arising or happening on the faid farm.

The medus as to Caroterdale,

SECONDLY, Whether the farm called Cawkerdale and Roper's Farm, in the possession of the defendants Day and Forster, was parcel of the monastery of Byland, in the county of York, at the diffolution thereof, and by virtue thereof discharged of and from the payment of all great tithes, or anything in lieu thereof? and, Whether the owners or occupiers of the said farm have, time out of mind, paid, or ought to pay to the owners of the rectory of Kilburne, a modus of fix fleeces of wool and three lambs yearly, for and in lieu of all fmall tithes whatfoever arising or happening upon the faid farm.

The modus as to Revoland's.

THIRDLY, Whether the owners or occupiers of the farm called Rowland's Farm ought to pay, &c. eight fleeces of wool and four shillings in money yearly, in lieu of all tithes, &c.

The prefcrip-Marr

FOURTHLY, Whether the farm called the Isle of Marr, tion as to Ise of formerly Lee's Farm, was parcel of the possessions of the said monastery of Byland at the dissolution thereof, and is thereby discharged of and from the payment of all tithes arising, &c. on the faid farm.

and Newfred.

FIFTHLY, Like iffue as to the farm called Newflead Farm.

A verdict found for the plaintiff, but a new trial granted.

The issues were tried, and a verdict found in favour of the Archbishop of York. But on the tenth of December 1705, when the cause came on upon the equity reserved, the defendant's counsel moved for a new trial, and the Court ordered a new trial to be accordingly had at the next enfuing affizes upon the former issues, on the defendant paying the costs of the former trial. But it does not appear from the books of the court that any further proceedings were had between the parties.

BURNAFORD

### BURNAFORD against Torret and Others.

Devonsbire, 26th February 1704.

THE plaintiff, as rector of the parish church and rectory of The rector of Lydford, in the county of Devon, stated, that for two years Lydford, in Depast he had been rector of the said parish, and was entitled to tithes in kind all the tithes and profits belonging thereto, within the limits and for cattle fed precincts thereof; that within the faid rectory there hath been and depastured, immemorially a great forest or waste called the Forest of Dart- and for com and more; that the rectors of the faid rectory and parish, time out other grain cut of mind, of common right, or by ancient grant from THE CROWN, the Forest of or by other lawful ways and means, have been entitled to Darimore. have and receive all manner of tithes renewing within the said parish, and particularly within and upon the Forest of Dartmore, and all predial and other tithes renewing within the faid forest, parish, and the titheable places thereof; that the defendants from Lady Day 1702, to Michaelmas then last past, occupied several meffuages and tenements, with arable, meadow, and pafture ground therein, and in right of fuch, or as owners or occupiers of the same, and of the lands and tenements adjoining to or in the faid forest, being within the faid rectory, did each of them keep and depasture in and upon the faid forest and other commons a number of milch cows and sheep, from which they had milk, calves, and wool, and also kept other sheep upon the wastes and titheable places thereof, which they fold off before they were shorn; for the herbage and pasturage whereof they ought to have paid tithes to the plaintiff; that the faid defendants, had also cut and inned corn and grain, and had feveral other titheable matters and things, for which they ought to have paid tithes to the plaintiff.

The defendants faid, that neither the plaintiff nor his predeceffors, rectors, were entitled to or ought to receive the tithes of tiff's right to lamb or wool of any sheep depastured in the said forest, or for tithes in kind, depasturing any other sheep or cattle therein, or any other and insist on a titheable matters or things, or any composition or money for modus of 31. atithes, other than three pounds a-year, for that the whole and they flate, Forest of Dartmore is part of the ancient revenue and inheritance that the Forest is of THE CROWN, and hath been annexed to, and is parcel of the parcel of Duchy of Cornwall; that there are thirty-five ancient tenements Duchy of Cornin the faid forest, having ancient houses upon them, and several that there are parcels of waste ground inclosed, to the same belonging; that, as thirty-five and appears by ancient deeds and according to the ancient use and cient tenements, custom, her majesty's tenants of the said forest, and the heirs the tenants of of each tenant upon the death of each tenant, and also of which pay an to every purchaser of inheritance of any such tenement, have, by the Prince of the custom, a liberty to inclose eight acres of the said waste or Wales, in lieu of forest, as of right belonging to each of the faid tenements, so de-tithes,

Gg 2

HILARY TERM g. Q. Anne.

icended

BUINAFORD agains TORRET AND OTHERS.

are not within parish of Lydford, but within the pa-

that there are **Ehreedescriptions** of persons, win. Forefinen, Venwillmen, and Foreigners, within the precinctsand vicinities of the faid forest, and that the twofirst of depasturing thereon cattle tithe free,

scended or purchased, paying one shilling yearly to her majesty, her heirs and fuccessors, which eight acres are commonly called the new take, and is actually confirmed to fuch heir or purchaser, their heirs or assigns, by copy of court roll of her majesty's castle court of Lydford; that the owners and occupiers of the faid thirty-five ancient tenements do pay severally rents to the crown, when there is no PRINCE OF WALES, and when there is a Prince of Wales to him, amounting in all to nine pounds, fifteen shillings, and one penny, besides the rents of the new takes, whereof there are thirty inclosed, which are thirty shiland that they lings; that the tenants of the faid thirty-five ancient tenements yearly do and perform certain services, as set forth in the answers, according to eustom, in respect of their faid tenements; that the faid tenements lie fifteen miles distant from rish of Whitemb; Lydford, and by reason of the length of the way, and of snow mires, and floods, they could not repair to Lydford church, nor ever did, and that the faid thirty-five tenements are either extraparochial, or within the parish of Whitcomb; for that, time out of. mind, the occupiers of the faid thirty-five tenements, and of the inclosed new takes, have constantly repaired to Whitcomb church, not above five miles distant from most of them, where they marry, baptize, and bury, and do all parochial offices of con-Arables, churchwardens, and overseers of the poor, and pay all taxes to her majesty as parishioners of Whitcomb, and pay their tithe lambs, Eafter dues, and offerings to the rector or vicar of Whitcomb, which had been done, during their remembrance, for thirty years past, and hope to prove it to have been time out of mind; that the owners of the faid thirty-five tenements, were constantly written and acknowledged to be within the parish of Whitcomb, and summoned before the justices and commissioners of the division wherein Whitcomb lies, and not in the divifion of Lydford, for making all public rates and taxes, and other parochial business; that there are three forts of people who have right to depasture their faid cattle within the faid Forest of Dartmore, that is to say, First, Forestmen, who are owners and occupiers of the faid thirty-five tenements, who are the queen's immediate tenants, and pay the said yearly rents, and do all fuits and fervices, and in respect thereof are freed by the kings and queens, or by the prince of Wales, for the time being, of all tithes and duties pretended to have the liberty be due and payable, for or in respect of the faid thirty-five tenements, and new takes, by the rector of Lydford, or his predeceffors, rectors of the faid parish; and that the faid kings, queens, and prince of Wales, or their auditors, or receivers of the revenues of the said forest, have constantly paid to the rectors of Lydford, for the time being, at Michaelmas, three pounds, in lieu and discharge of all manner of tithes due or payable to the faid rectors, and which they had received in full fatisfaction, not only for the tithes of the thirty-five tenements and new takes, but also for the tithes of cattle, and other matters and things

due and payable for tithes in the faid Forest of Dartmore. That BURNAFORD THE s cond fort of people who have a right to depasture in the faid forest or waste, are called Venvillmen, who are inhabitants within the purlieus and other precincts adjacent to the faid forest and waste, and pay to her majesty's receiver, as farmer, threepence, called Venvill Rent, and threepence a-year, called Night Reft, which was all that is to be paid by any Venvillman, or inhabitant within the purlieus of the faid forest, for depasturing cattle therein, be their number what it will. That THE THIRD fort of people usually depasturing cattle in the faid forest, are called Foreigners, who dwell in parithes and places farther remote from the faid forest, who do each of them pay for depasturing their cattle in the faid forest, the rates or price commonly called the Princes Price, viz. twopence yearly for a horse; three halfpence for a bullock; and sevenpence halfpenny for every score of sheep depastured there; that no Forestman, Venvillman, or Foreigner, depasturing cattle in the said forest, ought to pay any thing in lieu or fatisfaction of any manner of tithes what- and that the foever, for depasturing cattle upon the said thirty-five tenements others are to pay or new takes, or for wool, lamb, corn, grafs, hay, or any other 31 a-year. thing arising within the said forest, or in the purlieus, or precincts thereof, to the rector of Lydford, for the time being; and they insisted that the said three pounds a-year is in lieu and fatisfaction of all tithes arifing within the faid forest and the precincts thereof; and fet forth what tenements they held within the faid Forest of Dartmore, and parish of Lydford, the yearly value, and the titheable matters they had thereon.

TORRET AND OTHERS,

The defendants Ford and Webber, faid, that they are Ven- Two of the devillmen, living in the parish of Holme, adjoining to the Forest of sendants say they Dartmore, and within the purlieus thereof, and that they had are Venvilleun. depastured on the said forest, a number of sheep, from which they had several sleeces of wool.

The defendants faid, that about twelve years ago, D. Birchin- The defendants flow, the plaintiff's predecessor, filed his bill in this court (a) against state a former several of the then owners and possessors of the said thirty-five suit in this court. tenements for non-payment of tithes, and the faid thirty new takes, who in their answers insisted on the matters aforesaid, and on the hearing of the cause the court reserved it to a trial at law, upon two issues, First, whether the then defendant's tenement did lie in the parish of Lydford, or the titheable places thereof; Secondly, whether the faid three pounds a-year were paid in discharge of all tithes, for and in respect of the fame; that the defendants did try it on the first issue, and the plaintiff obtained a verdict against the defendants, whereupon they paid the tithes then fued for; that the faid Birchinshaw, hearing that the defendants would rehear the cause in parliament, or by bill of review, furrendered the rectory to the plaintiff in the year 1702; that after the decree Birchinsbaw did

(4) See Birchinshaw v. Wilcock, ante, page 287.

Gg3

profecute

BURNAFORD

againft

TORRET

AND OTHERS.

profecute another fuit in this court, against Parfons and others, for the tithes of depasturing cattle, and of wool and lamb fallen within the said forest, who insisted that they were Venvillmen, and lived within the purlieus, and paid the duties and customs aforesaid, belonging to Venvillmen, and having proved the same, upon a commission for examining witnesses, he dismissed his bill, and paid the desendant's costs; and they concluded by saying, that they hoped to prove that they ought to pay no tithes, not being within the parish of Lydford aforesaid.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both sides.

The question.

The cause came on to be heard on the thirteenth instant, when it was insisted upon by the desendant's counsel, that the lands held by the desendants, out of which the plaintiff demands tithes, do not lie within the parish of Lydford, and that if they were within the said parish, yet there is a modus of three pounds per annum, yearly paid to the rector of Lydford, in lieu of all tithes arising upon the Forest of Dartmore, and that the desendants Ford and Webber, as Venvillmen, are not liable to pay tithes to the plaintiff.

The evidence.

Upon debating the matter, and reading the depositions of divers witnesses, examined on behalf of the defendants, and feveral ancient records and accounts of ministers, and officers of the revenue of the Duchy of Cornwall, remaining in the auditor's office of the faid duchy, touching the payment of three pounds a-year to the rector of Lydford, for the tithes of agiftments within the faid Forest of Dartmore, one of them being in the second year of Richard the Third, and the other in the fifth year of Henry the Seventh, and another in the twentieth year of the same king; and an order made the eleventh of February, the fifth year of Charles the First, in a cause of French v. Barber, then rector of Lydford; and an entry in a book remaining in the furveyor's office of the faid duchy, dated the nineteenth of February 1613, and an indenture of lease, dated the nineteenth of June, in the seventeenth year of James the First, made by the then Prince of Wales to Richard Harbyn; and a copy of a grant made the twenty-first year of Henry the Third, to the then rector of Lydford, of tithes of the herbage of the Forest of Darkmore; and a copy of the settlement made by Walter, the lord bishop of Exeter, in 1260, upon the application of the parishioners of Lydford, by the consent of the patrons and parsons of Lydford and Whitcomb, taken out of a register book of the bishop of Exeter; and several records of accounts of ministers, and officers of the revenue of the Duchy of Cornwall, in the twelfth, fixteenth, seventeenth, and nineteenth years of Henry the Eighth, and the fifth, fifteenth, fixteenth, and twenty-eighth years of Elizabeth; and several acquittances under

the hand of Richard Pote, clerk, heretofore rector of Lydford; and several depositions in a cause of Birchinsbaw v. Townsend, in this court; also on reading depositions taken in the reign of Charles the First, in the cause of French v. Barber, a copy of a record of a trial had in an action brought by Pole v. Croffman for tithes arising upon a tenement possessed by him in the said Forest of Dartmore, whereupon a verdict was found for the plaintiff; and upon reading feveral proofs for the defendants, and upon confideration of what was infifted upon by the counfel,

AND OTHERS.

THE COURT declared the plaintiff ought to be relieved in this case.

IT IS THEREUPON ORDERED AND DECREED by the Court, The payment of that the faid several defendants shall account with and satisfy the said 31 athe plaintiff for the tithes of the feveral titheable matters barren cattle on and things which they had renewing and arising upon the the faid forest tenements and lands which they held and occupied within the decreed. Forest of Dartmore, and parish of Lydford, or which the said defendants, or any of them had otherwise arising, &c. in and upon the faid forest, except only for tithe lamb, payable to the vicar of Whitcomb, pursuant to the award of Walter, bishop of Exeter, and the tithe of herbage for the forest, and barren cattle fed on the forest, for which the said sum of three pounds per annum, is payable to the plaintiff out of the high rents of Lydford; and it is referred to the deputy remembrancer to take and report the faid account.

In pursuance of the above decree, the deputy remembrancer made his report, dated the twenty-eighth of May last; and upon reading the decree and the report, without exceptions, and no counsel attending for the defendants, it is ordered by the Court, that the faid report be ratified and confirmed, and that the feveral defendants shall forthwith pay to the plaintiff, the several sums reported due from them to the plaintiff, for their tithes, according to their answer, amounting in the whole to fix pounds, fixteen shillings, and fixpence.

EDW. WARD. THO. BURY. ROB. PRICE. · J. Smith.

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL against BREWSTER and Others.

EASTERTERM. 4 Q. Anne.

London and Middlesex, 3d May 1705.

HE attorney general, as well on behalf of her majesty, as Theoremy of the also for and on behalf of J. Wells, clerk, master of arts, church of S. Beand curate or chaplain of the parish church of Saint Botolph in London, is in

the appointment of the reffer of the parish.

withous

against BREWSTER

THE ATTOR- without Aldgate, in the city of London, filed his bill, setting forth, METGENERAL that R. Hollingworth, D. D. having a grant or nomination under THE GREAT SEAL OF ENGLAND, from Charles the Second, of the AND OTHERS. chaplainship or curacy of Saint Botolph, Aldgate, did, by virtue thereof, possess and execute the same, and, about the sixteenth of January 1700, duly refigned his faid grant, by deed, duly inrolled, into the hands of William the Third; that William the Third, by his letters patent, dated the twenty-second of January 1700, granted the same to William Savage, bachelor in divinity, who was put into possession of the desk and pulpit of the faid church, by the churchwardens of the faid parish; that the faid William Savage, by deed duly inrolled, dated the seventh of January, in the sirst year of Queen Anne, resigned the said grant or nomination to her majesty, who, by letters patent, bearing date the thirteenth of the faid month, granted the fame to the relator for life, with all stipends, salaries, and perquifites thereto belonging; that he thereupon obtained a license from the bishop of London, to perform divine offices in the said church; that her majesty and her royal ancestors, kings and queens, have ever fince the diffolution of monasteries (the faid church formerly belonging to the priory of Christ Church in London), constantly nominated and appointed the curate or chaplain of the faid church and parish; that Sarab and Elizabeth are the heirs at law to Sir C. Umfreville, deceased, who was owner of the faid rectory; that the faid rectory is descended to them in fee, or else he hath made some settlement or conveyance in trust for them or one of them; that the defendants, together with William Kennet, D. D. did by confederacy feek to defeat the crown of its right to the faid curacy, and did refuse to let the relator perform divine service in the faid church, pretending that the faid Kennet was nominated and appointed to be curate of the faid parish by them, and that they have a right to put in and displace the said curate at their will, and also, that the faid Umfreville, in his lifetime, had, or the confederates fince his death have, obtained some judgment in ejectment for one mesfuage, and would extend the same to the said church of Aldgate, and have taken out execution thereon, all which they justify under colour of certain letters patent of James the First, dated the twenty-fifth of May, in the seventh year of his reign, whereby the said rectory and impropriation of Saint Botolph without Aldgate, is, amongst other things, granted to F. Morris and F. Phillips, under whom the defendants claim, whereas in the faid letters patent, there is excepted and referved to his late majesty the donation, fee, disposition, and right of patronage of the faid church, and all the churches, vicarages, and chapels, and other ecclefiaftical benefices whatfoever, in the faid letters patent contained, or belonging, or incident to the same; that in the faid letters patent the pension or falary of eight pounds a-year, is expressly reserved to the curate, and forty **thillings** 

shillings for bread and wine, which the patentees covenant for THE ATTORthem and their heirs to pay. The bill therefore brayed, that MRY GENERAL her majesty's title might be decreed, and the relator quieted in his postession under her majesty, and might have the faid stipend AND OTHERS. or falary decreed and fettled.

The defendants Brewster and Sarah his wife, by their answer, faid, that Doctor Hollingworth, pretending to have a grant of curacy from Charles the Second, might enjoy the falary of eight pounds a-year, but that it was without any right, and that he was legally evicted and removed from the faid cure, by due course and judgment of law, and might afterwards refign the same; that the said William Savage, did also pretend to have obtained letters patent for the faid curacy, but did never officiate in the faid church; and that they the faid Brewsters did nominate and appoint Dr. Kennett to be curate of the church; and that the nomination and appointment of the curate of the faid church, was not excepted in the faid letters patent to Morris and Phillips, but belongs to the rector or owner of the rectory impropriate, for the time being; and that if any person had intruded into the said church without consent of the rector or impropriator, such person was an intruder and ulurper.

The defendant Elizabeth Michell said, that she claimed no title to the faid rectory or to the appointing of a curate of the faid church.

The defendant W. Kennett set forth, that he was curate or chaplain of the faid church under the defendant Brewster, who nominated and appointed him to ferve the faid cure, and that under fuch nomination he conceived he had a right to perform divine offices in the faid church.

The attorney general replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both sides.

The cause came on to be heard the seventh, eighth, and ninth days of December last, and upon reading the letters patent, dated the twenty-seventh of May, in the seventeenth year of James the First, and a decree of this court, dated the twentyninth of November 1694, between Hollingworth v. Umfreville, Ante, page 333, and Hollingworth's furrender, dated the fixteenth of January, in the twelfth year of William the Third, of letters patent granted to him of the curacy or chaplainship of the said parish of Saint Botolph without Aldgate; also letters patent, dated the thirteenth of January, in the first year of Queen Anne, granted to the relator, of the curacy or chaplainship of the said parish, and reading several depositions taken on behalf of the relator, and a lease made under the great seal, dated the fourth of February, in the nineteenth year of Queen Elizabeth, made to R. Hallywell

BREWSTER

THE ATTOR- Hallywell, of the faid rectory, and another leafe, dated the eighth of June, in the thirtieth year of her reign, to T. Puttenbam of the said rectory, a deed purporting to be a declaration of AND OTHERS, trust made by Sir T. Raynull, dated the fourteenth of April 1632, a lease made by B. Gotts, widow, to W. Umfreville, and H. Hardcastle, dated first of April 1647, a deed dated the twentieth of February 1655, made between the faid Raynall and Umfreville, to W. Cutler and J. Latham, a deed made by T. Gotts, being a settlement of the said rectory upon the marriage of Elizabeth his daughter with W. Umfreville, dated the tenth of August 1631, a copy of a deed (entered in a book) from the faid Raynall and others to T. Chancey and J. Bittison, dated the thirteenth of April 1632, being a lease of the said rectory, a writing signed by B. Gotts, widow, being a discharge of Mr. Viner from being curate of the faid parish, and appointing Mr. Gibson to be curate there, also a writing under the said W. Umfreville, appointing J. Crafton to be curate of the said parish, and the faid W. Umfreville's discharge of the said J. Craston, and appointing J. Makener to be curate of the said parish, and upon reading several depositions taken on both sides,

> It was infifted upon by the ATTORNEY GENERAL and the other counsel, that the said curacy was excepted out of the said grants, made to Morris and Phillips, by the exception in the grant, and that notwithstanding the said grants, the curacy remained in THE CROWN, and that her majesty had good right in law to appoint the relator to be curate of the faid church, and that by virtue of the letters patent of her majesty, the relator had a good title thereto.

> THE COUNSEL for the defendants infifted, that there was nothing in the exception which could extend or be construed to extend to except the curacy, but that Morris and Phillips, as rectors of the church, and all others claiming the rectory under the grant, had good right in law to appoint a curate there, and that the defendant Dr. Kennett was duly appointed curate there by the defendant Brewster,

> The matter in law was argued; and after long debate THE Court took some time to give their judgment; and the cause standing this day, the third of May 1705, in the paper for judgment;

> THE COURT declared, that the relator had not any right to the faid curacy by virtue of her majesty's letters patent, and that the appointment of a curate in the faid parish, doth of right belong to the rector of the faid rectory,

> IT IS THEREUPON ORDERED AND ADJUDGED by the Court, that the defendants do go without day, as to the faid bill and the matters and things therein contained, and of their further attendance

Attendance in this court upon the same, and that the said re- THE ATTORlator J. Wells shall pay to the said defendants thirty pounds, for MEY GENERAL gofts of this fuit.

BRIWSTER AND OTHERS,

EDW, WARD. THO. BURT. Ro. Price. J. Smith.

# STANSFELD against Howard.

EASTER TERM 4 Q. ANNE.

Nottingbamsbire, 7th May 1703. THE vicar of Newark upon Trent, in the county of Nottingham, The vicar of claims all fmall tithes within the said parish, and a pension of Newark upon

ten pounds a year, free from all taxes, isluing out of the rectory Trest is entitled pr impropriation of the faid parish, and the great tithes arising to a pension of within the same, or by some augmentation or endowment of the rector.

the faid vicarage.

The defendant said, that the plaintiff might be vicar, but knew not whether he ought to have any, or what small tithes, and confessed she had formerly paid to the plaintiff's predeceffors the annual fum of ten pounds, and that as several acts of parliament did allow an abatement for taxes in cases of annuities, the had deducted taxes out of it, and that his predeceffor had allowed the same, She also confessed that she had a deed of bargain and fale, dated the fifth of February, in the fortysecond year of Queen Elizabeth, and inrolled in chancery, between Henry Best and another, and the Countess of Rutland and another, in which there is a covenant to pay the faid stipend of ten pounds out of the rectory to the vicar yearly; that there were two years due, and that the was willing to pay the fame, deducting taxes.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and upon hearing counsel, and reading the answers,

THE COURT declared they were of opinion, that the pension, or annual sum of ten pounds demanded by the plaintiff, is a charge upon the rectory of Newarh upon Trent and the great tithes arising within the same; whereupon they decreed the payment of the same, and the two years arrears, without cofts.

### Biehop against Arundell. Dorsetsbire, 22d May 1793,

EASTERTERMS 4 Q. Anne.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff, for nine years past, had the rector of been rector of Tarrant Reynston, in the county of Dorset, in Dorsets, in Dorsets, claims the tithes of Terrant Keynfton Farm, and Afoley's Coppies in kind. S.C. Rayn. 98.

BMROP against AR UNDELL.

or composition in lieu thereof; that the defendants, for two years past, had been inhabitants, owners, or occupiers of meffuages, mills, farms, lands, and grounds therein, and had yearly, from their coppice and wood grounds, cut and felled great quantities of wood and underwood, which they made into bavins and faggots, and fold the fame; and also had yearly corn and grain, which they cut and carried away, and kept several cattle, from which they had milk and calves, and had also sheep, from which they had wool and lambs, and had poultry, and many other titheable matters, for which they ought to have paid tithes, or some composition, but had refused the same.

The defendant Gyz, that Aft. Lege is no part of the farm, and the faid farm.

The defendant Arundell, by his answer, said, that the plaintist was rector of the faid parish, and entitled as stated in the bill; that he, the defendant, was owner of Tarrant Keynston Farm, and that there is a of several lands thereto belonging, in the occupation of the other media of 261. a- defendants his tenants; that he had no part of the said farm in his year payable to occupation during the said time, except two coppices and wood of the tithes of grounds, called Ash Leys Coppice and Heath Coppice; that Ash Leys Coppice is reputed to be no part of the faid farm, but that Heath Coppice is; and he fet forth the particulars of the wood cut upon the said coppies in 1701 and 1702, part of which he faid was used in fencing, and the remainder sold, and that he had duly paid the plaintiff for the tithes thereof; that in the year 1703 no wood was cut; that, time beyond the memory of man, no tithes in kind had been paid for the faid farm and lands, but that the defendant, and those whose estate he had, paid to the rector a modus of twenty-fix pounds a-year in full discharge of all tithes for the faid farm; that the defendant had, from time to time, paid the plaintiff, all the time he had been rector, the faid modus of twenty-fix pounds a-year, which he had accepted, in discharge of the said tithes, except for one year only, ending at Michaelmas, which the defendant had tendered to him before the filing of his bill, and now offers the same by his answer.

> The other defendants admitted the plaintiff as rector, and fet up the faid medus, which they faid they had tendered to pay their proportions of, and that no tithes in kind had ever been paid, and they fet forth their titheable matters,

Iffues directed to try the modus, and whether Ap Lays is part of the farm.

Two issues were directed to try,

FIRST, Whether there is, and, time whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary, hath been, a modus of twenty-fix pounds per annum payable to the rector of Tarrant Keynston, for the time being, by the owners and occupiers of Tarrant Keynfton Farm, in satisfaction and discharge of all tithes arising upon the faid farm, and the lands and grounds thereunto belonging.

SECONDLY,

SECONDLY, Whether the coppice and wood grounds in the faid parish, called Ash Ley's Coppice, is part of the said farm, called Tarrant Keynston Farm?

A trial was accordingly had upon the faid iffues, and the verdict for the jury found the same for the defendants; but upon reading the defendants, but faid order and postea, a new trial was directed to be had upon a new trial dithe two following issues;

FIRST, What was the annual value, one year with another, the value of the of Tarrant Keynston Farm, now in question, at the time of ex- farm, hibiting the plaintiffs bill against the defendants, and for the major part of fixty years before.

SECONDLY, Whether there is, and time whereof the me- and the existmory of man is not to the contrary hath been, a modus of twenty- ence of the mefix pounds per annum payable to the rector of Tarrant Keynston dus. for the time being by the owners and occupiers of Tarrant Keynston Farm in satisfaction and discharge of all tithes arising upon the faid farm and the lands and grounds thereunto belonging.

To be tried by a special jury; and the defendants to have the costs of the last trial, taxed and paid before they proceed to

A trial was accordingly had upon the last-mentioned issues, and verdicts for the the jury found both the faid issues for the plaintiff, viz.

As to the first issue, that the yearly value of Tarrant Keynston Farm, for fixty years before the filing of the plaintiff's bill in this court, was four hundred pounds a-year; that thirty years before filing fuch bill the fame was three hundred and fifty pounds; and at the time of filing three hundred pounds ber annum.

As to the second issue, the jury found that there was no fuch modus of twenty-fix pounds per annum payable in discharge of the tithes of Tarrant Keynston Farm, as by the defendants was pretended.

The defendant's counsel now alledging that the verdict was A new trial monot to the satisfaction of the judge who tried the same, MR. BA- ved for, but re-RON SMITH was defired to speak to him.

Upon reading the order the twelfth of November last, and the return of the postea, whereby it appeared the verdicts were given as aforefaid, and upon hearing counsel on both sides, and it appearing to the court that the judge who tried the cause was not diffatisfied with the said verdict,

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the several desendants Tithes in kind of shall account with, satisfy, and pay to the plaintiff for the tithes the firm and the of the several titheable matters and things which they respectively coppied decreed.

had

againf. ARUNDELL. had renewing and arising on their respective tenements, lands; and grounds, and the heath coppice, which they respectively held and occupied within the faid parish and rectory of Tarrant Keynston during the time in the bill charged.

EDW. WARD. THO. BURY. Ro. PRICE. J. SMITH.

TRIN. TRAM, 3. Q. Annz.

Bowles against Lord Arundell; et è Contra. Wiltsbire, 14th July 1704.

Wilsbire, is en-**Fallow** Deer Park parish of Dun- parish.

The rector of THE bill stated, that the plaintiff, for eighteen years past, bad been rector of Dunbead Saint Andrew, in the county had been rector of Dunbead Saint Andrew, in the county titled to the of Wilts, whereby he became entitled to the tithes in kind of tithes in kind of all woods and coppices, and of carp and other fish taken out of such part of Rad the defendant's ponds, and of all corn, grain, and feed growing Dur Park and on the lands and grounds in the defendant's occupation, called as lies within the Red Deer Park and Fallow Deer Park, as being within the faid

> The defendant confessed, that for three years past fifty acres of Lawn Park, part of the Fallow Deer Park, were ploughed and fown with oats; but he infifted, that as to that part of Red Deer Park and Fallow Deer Park which lies within the faid parish, containing about three hundred and forty acres, tithes in kind are not payable, but a modus of three pounds and eight shillings a-year, in full satisfaction of and for all great and small tithes arising in and upon the same. And he said, that his father, in kindness to the plaintiff, did yearly give him the running of a horse in Pond Close, part of the Red Deer Park, and that he, since his father's death, had continued the same, not as a right, or in lieu of any tithes or composition, but as a kindness only.

> The defendant filed a cross bill, with a view to perpetuate the testimony of his aged witnesses, and to establish the modus of three pounds eight shillings a-year.

The defendant Bowles appeared and answered.

On the hearing of the causes, the Court directed an issue to try, "Whether there be a modus of three pounds eight shillings, " payable for all manner of tithes arising upon that part of Red " Deer Park and Fallow Deer Park which lies within the parish " of Dunhead Saint Andrew, or not;" and, on the trial, a verdict was given for the plaintiff.

THE COURT therefore ordered, that the defendant shall pay to the plaintiff his tithes in kind of oats, agistment, hay, wood cut and fold, calves, milk, Easter offerings, geese, and turkies, according according to the values thereof; the other articles for which he demanded tithes not being valued.

LORD ARUNDELL 3 et è Contra. TRIN. TERMS 4 Q. Anne.

BOWLES

against

### WARTERMAN against Jones and Others. Berk/bire, 8th June 1705.

THE vicar, with the owners, occupiers, and tenants of lands The vicar of, within the rectory impropriate and parish of Shinfield, in and the owners the county of Berks and Wilts, on behalf of themselves and the of lands and terest of the inhabitants of the said parish, filed their bill against parish of Shire the defendants and the dean and chapter of Hereford, stating, fidd, in Bed. First, that, by an immemorial custom within the said parish, sire, file their every inhabitant, exercising husbandry within the said parish, bill against the impropriatorand cutting and lopping any wood and trees, encreasing on any his lesse to estalands or tenements occupied by fuch inhabitant, together with blift certain cufan ancient house of husbandry for the fire bote of such inhabitant toms, stating, in the faid house, therein to be burnt, or for necessary making or 1st. That fre repairing the fences there about the grounds, and employing bote is tithe free. the same for the purposes aforesaid, by reason whereof a greater increase of tithe might come to the said rector, have, in all the times aforesaid, been, and of right ought to be discharged, from the tithe thereof; that the plaintiffs, some of them, are owners or occupiers of several ancient houses of husbandry, and of several coppices and other wood grounds thereto belonging, within the faid parish, and within several years last past had cut several quantities of wood and trees, which were used for fire wood in their respective houses, or in the repairing and amending of the fences of the said lands belonging to such farms; Secondly, 2d. That the that there are several acres called Lot Acres, in East Mead, in owners the faid parish, for which tithes in kind are due or payable, of grounds called which the plaintiffs, or fome or one of them, are feiled in fee discharged from simple; that the said impropriators and their predecessors, from the tithesthereof time to time immemorial, have enjoyed a piece of meadow by cutting yearly called the Dole, in East Mead, and every occupier of the said a swarthof grass Lot Acres, for every acre, upon reasonable request made by the on land called the Dole, belongrector or farmer once in every year, ought to cut, for the faid ing to the imrector or farmer enjoying the faid Dole, one swath of grass propriator. growing on the faid Dole when ripe, in full satisfaction of all tithes growing upon such Lot Acres, which said Dole was still enjoyed by the proprietors of the faid rectory, and the plaintiffs were always ready to cut a swath upon the Dole for the owner or farmer of the faid rectory for every lot acre they so possessed, but were never required thereto, and have not been fuffered by the owners of the faid rectory to cut the same when they have. tendered themselves thereto; THIRDLY, that the said plaintiffs, 3d. That the within feven years last past, have respectively cut within the said who cut the same and make it up into faggots, are entitled to be paid the tenth part of the expence of binding up the tithe of fuch faggots.

againft . MEERTO DES

parish and rectory several quantities of coppice wood and shawes, and made the same into faggots, hoops, bavins, hop poles, and hurdle rods, and fet out the tithe duly; that in the faid parish, for time immemorial, there is and hath been this custom, that when such coppice woods or shawes have been felled and made into faggots, hoops, bavins, hop poles, and hurdle rods, and the tenth duly fet out, that then fuch tenant, occupier, or other person so cutting and setting out such tithe have been paid in money the full tenth part of the charge

into hay.

of making fuch faggots, &c. to the impropriator or farmer of 4th. That the the faid rectory; FOURTHLY, that, time immemorial, there owners of grass has been this custom and manner of tithing within the faid lands have been parish and rectory; viz. that every occupier of any meadow, discharged of cutting or morning the first math of his or their grass at seasons thetithe of aftermath, on make able times, ought and have used at their own costs to tedd and ing the tithe of spread abroad the grass so cut and lying in swath, and afterthe first crop wards to gather in winrows, and afterwards in grass cocks of as just and equal quantity as could be made without fraud, and the same to place, one after the other as to number, and truly numbering the fame, and every cock, that should happen to be the tenth, to affign and fet out to the use of the rector or impropriator or farmer of the faid rectory, and in confideration of the loading and spreading abroad, and making into winrows, and fetting out the full and just tithe thereof, every fuch occupier have been exempt from payment of all other tithes of grass and hay of the first math or cutting, and also of the tithe of the latter math of the same meadow the 5th The same same year; FIFTHLY, that, by custom and usage of the said parish, every occupier of land sown with clover, within the faid parish and titheable places thereof, from time immemorial, have used every year, at their own costs, to cut the first math of the same grass at a scasonable time in the same year, and the clover grass so cut at their like charge to make into hay, and fo to make into cocks of an equal quantity without fraud, and every tenth so made to set out to the use of the rector or his farmer, and by reason thereof such occupier have been exempt from payment of all latter math or fecond cutting of fuch clover, grass, or hay growing; that the plaintiffs are respectively owners of several parcels of meadow ground, and ground fowed with clover, within the faid rectory, and at feaionable times cut several quantities of grass and clover yearly, and at their own costs did tedd and spread abroad the said grass, fo cut and lying in swath, and afterwards, at their own charge, gather the fame into wintows, and afterwards put into cocks of equal bigness, and the tithes thereof duly set out, but by reason of the faid custom ought not to pay any tithes of latter math; SIXTHLY, that during the time aforesaid there is and hath been in the faid parish a vicar and vicarage endowed with sinall tithes

and feveral lands within the faid parish and rectory, and that

custom as to clover grafs.

6th. That the vicarial glebe is tithe free.

the plaintiff Oake is vicar, and that he and his predecessors, WATERMAN from time immemorial, have enjoyed the faid lands by themfelves and tenants exempt from the payment of all tithes. - SE-VENTHLY, that when the owners and occupiers of lands, pafture, and meadow within the faid rectory, during the time verches, clover, aforesaid, have not had sufficient me, low and pasture for the and other artimilk kine and cattle that plough their lands, and that a greater ficial graffes, cut increase of tithe corn, grain, and milk might come to the green and given rector, farmer, and vicar by means of such cattle and kine, and are tithe free. in regard the faid owners and occupiers have used to pay tithes of their ripe tares and vetches, the tenth cock, and for the tithes of clover or other grass, as aforesaid set forth, the said owners and occupiers of fuch lands have used to be discharged of the tithes of all fuch tares, vetches, clover, and other grafs as they have cut green and unripe, and given for fustenance of fuch their cattle of the plough and milk kine; that the plaintiffs, or some of them, are respective owners or occupiers of lands whereon such tares, vetches, clover, and other grass have grown, and by them cut green and unripe, and given to their cattle of the plough and kine aforesaid, within ten years last past.—Eighthly, that the defendants, pretending to be lessess 8th. That the or farmers of the faid rectory impropriate, and of the great defendant feeks tithes aforesaid, and intending to set aside the customs aforefaid, pretend that there are no fuch customs and exemptions, and threaten to fue the plaintiffs for wood burnt and employed in fencing there, and for tithes of the aftermath of clover grass, and other grass, and green vetches, and of their respective lot acres aforefaid, and refuse to make any allowance for making of faggots, contrary to the faid customs. The bill therefore And therefore prayed, that the defendants might fet forth whether such ex- the bill prays emptions have been used or not, and for how long time, upon they may established. what account, whether any tithes were ever paid of wood cut and spent for fuel, or of the aftermath of hay, vetches, or the clover cut green, or of the vicarage lands, and for which of them, and whether the value of the making of faggots have not been paid by the impropriator, and whether any, and what other fatisfaction, by whom, to whom, and how often, and whether they, or fome of them, do not enjoy the Dole, and whether the same be not enjoyed in satisfaction of the tithes of the Lot Acres, or some, and which of them, or what other satisfaction they have in lieu thereof, and whether the farmers and defendants have not refused to permit the said swarths to be cut, and when they were cut whether fuch modus or moduses of the said hay, grass, vetches, or clover, or some and which of them have not been used in the said parish, by whom, and how long, and that the defendants might answer the premises, and the plaintiffs be relieved therein,

against JUNES

above cuitoms.

Vol. I. The Hh

WATERMAN agains AND OTHERS. The defendants faid customs.

The defendant Jones and his wife, by their answer, said that it may be true that the plaintiffs, or some of them may be owners or occupiers of feveral ancient messuages, and of several coppices and other wood grounds within the faid parish, by they are ig. and within feveral years past have cut quantities of coppice norant of the wood and other wood, but whether they were burnt or used for existence of the fire wood in their houses, or upon the farms upon which such wood was cut, or in repairing and amending the fences of the lands and tenements belonging to the farms, they knew not, but believed, that they did not fet out their tithes, or give any fatiffaction, which ought to have been done. They faid that they knew not, nor believed the custom and usage by the bill set forth, or that there was exemption from tithes, but that all tithes within the parish ought to be paid according to the general law of They faid, that there is a piece of ground in Skinthe land. field, which has the denomination of Lat Acres, and that it may lie in East Mead, but they knew not that no tithes in kind are due and payable for the fame; neither knew they whether any of the plaintiffs are seised in see, or farmers of the faid Lot Acres, nor that in lieu of the tithes in kind from them, the rectors or impropriators, and their predecessors, from time immemorial, have enjoyed the Dole in East Mead, but that it has always been enjoyed by the dean and chapter of Hereford, under the fame title as they held the tithes and other glebe land. They knew not that in lieu of the tithes of the faid Lot Acres besides the Dole, every occupier of the said Lot Acres, for every lot acre by him occupied, upon request made by the rector or farmer of the faid rectory once in every year, ought to cut one swaith of grass growing on the said Dole when sit to cut, in full fatisfaction of all tithes growing on the faid Let Acres; believed that some of the Lot Acres paid tithes; that there may be a piece of glebe land having the denomination of the Dole, but they denied that they knew the same to be held in lieu of tithes for the Let Acres; knew not that the plaintiffs, as occupiers of the faid Lot Acres, were always ready to cut a fwarth upon the faid Dole; believed they never required it, there being no fuch duty incumbent on them, or that the plaintiffs, or any on their behalfs ever tendered themselves; knew not what coppiee woods or shawes the plaintiffs have, within seven years past, cut or made into faggots, hoops, bavins, hop poles, or hurdles, but if they did not duly fet out the tithe thereof they will account for and pay the same, denying there is any such custom as in the bill to exempt therefrom; believed all wood ought to be put into a titheable condition, and that the tenth faggot, bavin, hop pole, and hurdle ought to be paid, but as for hoops, they do not infift that the same ought to be made into hoops, but that what are defigned for hoops are to be tithed before worked, or, if worked, then the workmanship to be paid by the impropriator; believed that of right the farmer or occupier, cutting or mowing the first math.

againft JON ES AND OTERE.

math, ought to ted and spread abroad the grass so cut, and WATERMAN afterwards, at their costs, to gather into winrows, and after into grass cocks of equal bigness, without fraud, and to set out every tenth cock to the use of the rector or his farmer, as in the bill; but denied that, by reason thereof, they are by any custom exempt from the payment of the tithes of grass and hay of latter math; believed that, fince clover tame into use, the custom of tithing the first crop every year hath been in such manner as in the bill; and denied that the second crop, which is better than the first, is by any custom exempt from payment of tithes; they confessed that there is a vicarage endowed with small tithes and several lands within the said parish; that the plaintiff Oake is vicar, and so has been for several years; it may be true the said vicar and his predecessors, seised of the vicarage lands, have enjoyed the same tithe free whilst held by themselves; but that the tenants of the same, either under the said vicar or his predecessors, are not, nor ought to be exempt from payment of all tithes growing on the faid lands, for that fuch tenants, as laymen, are by law liable to pay; they denied that the plaintiffs, or other occupiers, ought to be discharged of the tithes of all tares vetches, clover, and other grass cut green and unripe, and given for sustenance for their cattle of the plough or milk kine when they have not had fufficient meadow and pasture for them, for that the plaintiffs overstocking themselves with more cattle than they have grafs for, cannot be a fufficient reason for them to lose any part of their tithes; they said that the defendant Mary Jones and her trustees hold the impropriate tithes of Shinfield to her own use, independent of her husband, the other defendant, John Jones, by lease under the dean and chapter of Hereford; and that none of the lesses or farmers of the faid rectory and tithes had ever allowed the exemptions and observed the customs and manner of tithing as stated in the bill.

The defendant Stoughton faid that he is trustee for the defendant Mary Jones, but is a stranger to the customs and manner of paying tithes and other matters stated in the bill.

The defendants, the dean and chapter of Hereford, by their answer, confessed that they are seised in see of the impropriate rectory or parsonage of Shinfield, and of all the profits, tithes, and hereditaments thereunto belonging; and being fo seised, by lease dated the twenty-sixth of June, in the eleventh year of William the Third, made between them and Wm. Stoughton and S. Marris, did demise unto them all their said parsonage of Shinfield, with all houses, tithes, barns, and profits thereunto appertaining (the chapel of Swallowfield excepted), to hold for twenty-one years, under the rents, covenants, and agreements therein mentioned; that Stoughton and Merris, their under tenants.

WATERMAN against JON BS AND OTHERS. tenants or affigns, enjoy the fame, and hoped that they shall not be interrupted therein; but that they cannot set forth whether there be any fuch customs or moduses for payment of tithes, or any exemptions, as in the bill alledged.

The plaintiffs replied; the defendants rejoined; and feveral witnesses were examined on both sides; and,

Upon opening the pleadings, the plaintiff's counsel prayed the faid customs might be confirmed by the decree of this court; and after hearing counsel for the defendants, and reading feveral depositions taken in the cause, and on full debate,

The Court of opinion, that the custom respectgood; gicbe.

THE COURT was of opinion, that the custom in the bill charged for wood spent in husbandry, houses, and repairing the ing firebole is fences on the premises, to be discharged of tithes, is a good custom; and also that the custom in the bill charged for and also respect. paying of tithes of the first math of meadow ground in grass cocks, ing the after- and the clover in great cocks, in lieu of the tithes of the hay of the fecond math of the same ground, is a good custom; and likeand also respect- wife that the glebe of the vicar of the said vicarage, in the ing the vicar's vicar's own, or in his tenant's hands, ought, by the endowment and usage in the bill charged, to be discharged from paying any tithes to the impropriator, his tenant, or under tenants of the faid impropriation; and the fame were decreed accordingly. But the Court made no decree as to the tithes of the Lot Acres; the number thereof being uncertain; or as to wood made up fit for market; or as to green vetches (a); and as to them, the . bill was dismissed.

> EDW. WARD. THO. BURY. Ro. PRICE. J. Smith.

(a) See other causes touching the said parish, Trinity Term, 12. Wm. 3d. and Trinity Term, 13.Wm. 3d.

TRIN. TRRM, 4 Q ANNE. Fenwick against Ogle.

Northumberland, 3d July 1705.

The vicar of THE vicar of Eglingham, in the county of Northumberland, Eglingbam, in claimed tithes in kind. Northumberland,

c'aims fmall tithe in kind.

The defendant Henry Ogle stated, that the town of Egling-The defendant fays the patish of ham is divided into several parts, which are known by the names Eglingbam con of the Demesne Lands, the Town Head, the Town Foot, and fifts of lands cal-

led the Town Head and the Town Foot; that there is a module of 13s. 4d. a-year for the first, and a custom of drawing a lead of turf to the vicarage for the second, in lieu of tithe hay. tbe

the Large Common belonging to the whole; that the demesnes consist of seven farms, the Town Head of five farms, and are the inheritance of the defendant; that the Town Foot confifts of five farms, and belong to Lord Grey; that a modus of thirteen shillings and fourpence had been yearly, time out of mind, paid to the vicars of Eglingham for all tithes arising within the Demessive Lands; and that for the Town Head all manner of tithes had been paid in kind to the plaintiff, except tithe hay, for which there was a custom, time out of mind, of loading, for every farm, one fother of turfes out of the Common to the vicar's house when demanded by the vicar; and he denied that ever any tithe hay in kind was ever paid for the Town Head.

FENWICE against OGLE.

The other defendants infifted upon the same moduses, and admitted that they rented of the other defendant Henry Ogle the Demesnes and the Town Head, and insisted that they had tendered the plaintiff the faid modus for the Demesnes, which he had refused to accept.

An issue was directed to try, "Whether there hath been a An issue to try comodus decimandi of thirteen shillings and fourpence per annum the modu.

and time out of mind paid to the vicar of Eglingham for the time being, for or in lieu of all manner of tithes yearly

46 growing or arising within the seven farms called the Dese mesne Lands, or not?"

And the defendants were ordered to account with the The tithes of plaintiff for the value of the tithes of the things titheable which Town Head dethey had kept upon the Town Head and the Large Common, and for the rest of the tithes demanded by the bill.

A trial was accordingly had, and a verdict given for the Verdict against plaintiff, that there was not any fuch modus.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendants shall Tithes of Town fatisfy the plaintiff for the value of the tithes which arose Foot decreed. upon the said seven farms called the Demesne Lands demanded by the bill.

### Drake against Brooking.

Devenshire, 6th July 1605.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff, from Michaelmas 1692, had The grantee of been entitled to all manner of tithes arising within the rectory the tithes of Ip. of Ipplepen, with the chapel of Woodland annexed, in the county fire, claims the of Devon, by grant from the dean and canons of Windfor; and tithes of cycles

TRIN. TERM. 4. Q. Anne.

calves, and her

age, and fays the defendant removed his theep and cows to avoid thet ithes. Hh3. charged,

DRAKE against BROOKING.

charged, that the defendant had driven his cows and sheep before calving, yeaning, and shearing, which were kept most of the year in Ipplepen, into the parish of Markedon, and other parishes, to avoid the payment of the tithes of calves, lambs, and wool to the plaintiff, he being under composition with the vicar of Marledon; that he hath also, for several years, drawn his best fleeces of wool and lambs, pretending they belonged to Marledon stock, and set out the tithes of the worst, and when the plaintiff refused the same he sent the lambs to the ewe tree, and the wool to the chancel.

The defendant feveral. customs in lieu of tithes,

and that he tendered 11. 8s 8d. in full fatisfac-

tion

The defendant, by his answer, confessed that he had been owner of several tenements called Bulley, in the said parish, part whereof he kept in hand, and part he fet out; that he kept cows, but never above fix, and that by custom one penny is due for each cow; that he also kept oxen to plough, young, and other cattle; that he made all his apples into cycler, fave a few spent in his house, for which, by custom, twopence a hogshead is due to the plaintiff; that he is indebted to the plaintiff one penny for his hearth and garden ever fince the plaintiff was rector; that for the year 1696 he tendered to the plaintiff one pound, eight shillings, and eightpence, for his tithes due that year, and that in May 1699 he tendered the plaintiff five pounds for all his tithes for the faid year, and defired him to take his due, and return the overplus, which he refused; that he bought a small number of shorn sheep, which he fed and sheared before the next shearing, but at other times bought sheep shortly before shearing, shore them in 1/2plepen, and paid the plaintiff the tithe wool; that he fed and fold off heifers and some other cattle not used for the plough or pail. He confessed that in some years, before yearing and shearing time, he had ordered some of his ewes and sheep to be driven to his estate in Marledon, to prevent the plaintiff demanding tithes of the same, designing to proportion the same according to their having been fed in feveral parishes, but without any intention to defraud the plaintiff; that the ewes and sheep driven into Marledon as aforefaid were partly kept there and partly in Ipplepen, but that they were kept the greater part of the year in Ipplepen. He confessed also that he is under composition with the vicar of Marledon, and that on the plaintiff refusing the tithes of lamb and wool, according to the custom, he fent the lambs to the yew tree in the church-yard, and the wool to the chancel.

The tender infufficient.

THE COURT declared, that the tender infifted on by the defendant's answer is not a good and sufficient tender to bar the plaintiff.

The tithes decreeds

And it is thereupon ordered by the Court, that the faid defendant shall account with and fatisfy the plaintiff for the tithes in arrear and due during the time demanded by the bill

ZZ z **Þ**: M. E. 273 Mer. (4)

, t, z 25

٤Þ ᆵ 拉: r r . W. 17 T. : [

Ħ. : 生 :: r; 5 ¥

1 ÷

in such manner as after mentioned, viz. twopence for each hogshead; one penny for a cow, in lieu of the tithe of the milk of each cow; the tenth part of the value of the hoarded apples, and of the apples fold; two thirds of the tenth part of the value of all the lambs fallen, and of all wool shorn in the parishes of Ipplepenand Marledon; the tenth part of the value of the herbage for feeding and agisting of heifers, and barren and unprofitable cattle, not bred to the pail or plough; for every calf reared, one halfpenny; and for every calf fold, the tenth penny.

DRAKE against BROOKING.

## HUMPHREYS against Stopher; et è Contra.

Suffolk, 10th December 1705.

MICE. TERM, 4. Q. Anne.

THE rector of Spenball, in the county of Suffolk, charges by his Tithes thall be bill, that the defendant, for two years, farmed and occupied paid to the recfeveral lands in the faid parish, and had yearly quantities of corn, tor tor the drawn from the grain, and turnips, growing thereon, and had fed feveral cows; ground and givfor all which he ought to have paid tithes.

The defendant faid, that he had occupied land in the faid parish the parish in for three years past; and that in the year 1702 he sowed some which the turacres of turnips, and gave them out, and fed them up with his nips grew, and dairy cattle, and gift cows that had done labouring in the dairy, milked. and other cattle, for which he paid tithe to the plaintiff, either in kind, or according to custom, and did not give out the same to grazing or fat cattle, but fed the same out upon the said farm and in the faid parish for the winter feeding the faid dairy and titheable cattle, which must have been fed with other meat if not with turnips, and that no tithes were due for turnips fo used and fed by the dairy and titheable cattle; that he yearly paid or fatisfied the plaintiff for all other tithes, and had a discharge in November 1792 in full till Lammas, except for the turnips then in the ground, which he insisted were not titheable, having sown the same with an intention to spend them for the purposes aforesaid, and not otherwise; that the said crop so sown, fed, and given out in the faid year was not worth above twenty shillings.

The defendant filed his cross bill against the said plaintiff, fetting forth, that there were divers customary payments of tithes for lactage, hay, and other titheable matters in the faid parish therein mentioned, which are likewise set forth in his said answer; and prayed to have a discovery of what tithes the said Humphreys had received a fatisfaction for from him, and to have the moduses and customary payments established by the decree of this court.

The rector faid, that he believed the faid moduses and customary payments to be as in the bill; and confessed, that he had HhAreceived

en to milch cows, though in

Bunb. 314.

HUMPRREYS against STOPHER ; et è Gontra.

received the same ever since he was rector, and also satisfaction for all the tithes, either in kind or according to the customs, except turnips; but denied that he pretended to destroy the faid cuftoms.

In both the causes, the plaintiffs replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined; and they came on to be heard the twenty-fixth of November last; and upon reading the proofs taken in the cause, and on full debate, it was then ordered, that the faid causes should stand over for the opinion of the Court, and the Court to be attended with precedents. And the Court having been attended with precedents accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that tithes for turnips drawn and severed from the ground, and given to dairy and milch cattle, though upon the farm, and within the same parish wherein the same cattle avere kept, are due; and that the defendant Stopher shall forthwith pay to the plaintiff the sum of eighteen shillings for the tithes of nine acres of turnips by him or his order pulled and severed from the ground in 1702, and given to his dairy cattle in the faid parish of Spexkall, with his costs to be taxed by the deputy remembrancer of this court,

HILARY TERM 4. Q. ANNE.

# GARDNER against Pole; et è Contra.

Derby/bire, 23d February 1705.

in tithes of hay and

The rector of THE rector of Eckington cum Killamarsh, in the county of Derby, claims all tithes of corn, wheat, rye, barley, oats, Derbystire, claims pease, beans, sheep, pigs, lambs, and other titheable matters, in kind.

S. C. 2. Eq. Abr. 734. S. C. 1. Bro. P. C. 214. S. C. Rayn. 103. 111. S. C. 8. Vin. Abr. 18.

The defendant fays, that in Minkbill, Eckingson, Mcfbrough, and Killamarfb quarters of the parish there are medujes of 12d. an acre for low meadow, for high hay ground, in lieu Or tithe hay ;

The defendant faid, that in Spinkhill. Eckington, and Mosbrough quarters or divisions (being part of Eckington parish), and also within the lordship or township of Killamarsh, all the hay grounds are distinguished into two sorts of meadow, viz. low grounds, properly meadow grounds, which lie subject to be overflowed by the river Rother; and high grounds, which are never overflowed by the faid river; that for the lands which lie within the faid and three quarters as aforefaid, and also within Killamars, no tithe 8d. an acre hay ought to be paid in kind, but an ancient modus of twelvepence an acre for every acre of low meadow ground, and eightpence for every acre of high ground yearly, when the same have been mowed for hay, and no more; that the tithe hay in kind is at least three times the value of the modus, and that the said modus hath been inviolably kept, time beyond memory, even for the low meadows, when the hay was spoiled or carried away by the

the river; that for the lands in Troway Quarter, being another division of the said parish, there is another modus for tithe hay; and no tithes have ever been paid in kind. He confessed, that he has feveral acres of ground, wherein he got hay in the year 1704; and infifted, that the lands whereon the fame grew were always discharged of the payment of tithe in kind by the payment Troway Quarter of the faid eightpence an acre, being all high ground; and that for tithe hay; he had paid the fame duly, and which had always been accepted as a modus for the faid hay grounds, except for the year 1704, and that the faid modules have inand except when one Murphy was tenant to the faid farm, violably been acabout eighteen years ago, whose wife during his absence let the cepted by the plaintiff take tithe hay in kind, which the plaintiff acknowledged vicar. was wrong. The defendant then fet forth his tithe corn for the The defendant year 1704; and infifted, that in the four quarters of the faid also infifts, that parish, the custom, time out of mind, of setting out tithe corn out by the tenth was not in stacks, but according to common right, viz. every sheaf bound up. tenth sheaf of corn bound up, and every tenth rease of and pease by the pease. The defendant further insisted on small modules for milk, tenth rease. cows, foals, and hens, and other small tithes, for which, he faid, He also states he had fully fatisfied the plaintiff, as also for his tithe wool and modules for milk, lamb in kind; for though his sheep went not half the year in the said parish, yet he paid the whole tithe. He also said, that hay was much scarcer and dearer in the parish in former times than it now is.

GARDNER against Pole; et è Contra, ·

that there is also another modus in

cows, foals, &c.

The defendant Pole and divers other land owners and The defendant occupiers of land within the faid parish, filed their cross bill also files a cross against the said Gardner, and set forth the several moduses, rates, bill to establish the said moduses. or compositions of twelvepence an acre for low meadow grounds, and eightpence an acre for high hay ground, in lieu of the tithe of hay; and infifted, that the tithe corn ought to be fet out, viz. sheaf corn by every tenth sheaf thrown out, according to the common right, and peafe by every tenth reafe. also insisted, that when lands there have been sold from the farmers paying such moduses, that the said moduses have, or ought to have been proportioned according to the value of the lands for aliened, and prayed a discovery of several other moduses for new and old milch cows, and modules for horses, gardens, soals, poultry, honey, and bees, and all other small titheable matters within the faid parish; and charged, that all the faid Pole's tithe corn way paid for in 1704, and all his small tithes.

The defendant Gardiner, by his answer, insisted, that the tithe The rector says, of I af corn ought to be fet out in stacks, and pease in heaps; that corn ought and life infifted on tithe hay in kind to be fet out in the dry to be fet out in flacks, and peafe in heaps; but he confessed, that twelvepence and eightpence an acre in heaps; and he we been usually received for tithe hay in the parish, except in confesses, that Troway Quarter. He also set forth the several sums of money the modules for that had been received for tithe hay in Troway Quarter, which were different at different times. And he said, that there have in Trows Quarter

been ur.

GARDNER a gainft POLE ; at à Cantra. been several improvements of lands, and several inclosures of arable out of the ancient common field; but he owned, that never any tithe hay had been paid in kind in the Troway Quarter, nor any thing but the faid payments: and, Whether they be good moduses, or not, he submits to the Court. He also said, that the faid modules cannot extend to the new-inclosed lands, and that he is entitled to the faid tithes thereof. He confessed that the fum he had received yearly for the whole of the. Quarter of Trowny amounted but to twelve shillings per annum, though that quarter be one thousand acres; and that he has the ancient books of his fervants; and he fet forth the feveral little fums or modules that have been paid to him in lieu of tithe milk, lamb, wool, peale, beans, cocks, ducks, and all other things. He also confessed, that the plaintiff Pole had paid all that was due to him, except tithe hay, for which the eightpence an acre was tendered.

The plaintiff in the original cause replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both sides; and the original cause was set down to be heard on the seventh of February instant, but adjourned.

The plaintiffs in the cross cause replied; and the defendant rejoined; and by order, dated the twentieth of February instant, it was ordered, that both causes should come on together, and the depositions in one cause to be made use of in the other.

Upon reading feveral of the depositions taken in the original cause, and on long debate,

The Court will <del>make</del>s of 12d. and 8d, for low lands and high

IT IS ORDERED, that as to the faid moduses or rates of twelveconsider of the pence an acre for and in lieu of the tithe hay of the low meadow ground, and eightpence an acre for and in lieu of the title hay of the high grounds in Killamarsh, and the quarters of Eckington, Spinkbill, and Mosbrough, the Court will consider thereof.

The bille, as to difmitted.

And as to fuch part of the cross bill as relates to the setting com and pease, forth of the tithe of pease and other corn and grain, and such part of the original bill as relates to the tithes of corn and grain, they are both difmissed.

The modus in Trowey Quarter sitablished.

And as to the said moduses for the hay in Troway Quarter, the Court doth establish the same.

And as to the rest of the depositions not read (except such of the witnesses as live in the parish of Eckington cum Killamarsh, and have any interest in land there), are hereby admitted to have been read as if they had been read.

This day, the fixth of June 1706, the cause came on to be further heard, and for the judgment of the Court; and

The Court deand not modufes;

THE COURT, having taken confideration of the matter, declared, and 8d. an acre that the faid payments of twelvepence and eightpence an acre to be compessions, are no modules, but compositions; and that the tithe hay ought to

be paid in kind for those lands for which the said compositions are alledged to be paid.

IT IS THEREUPON ORDERED AND DECREED by the Court, that the defendant Pole shall pay to the plaintiff the value of the tithe and order the of hay for the years demanded by the bill; and it is referred to the deputy remembrancer to take the faid account, and to tax the plaintiff Gardner his costs in the original cause as to the examinations relating to tithe corn, for which the defendant Pole is to have costs, he having paid all his tithe corn.

And as to the modules in Troway Quarter,

THE COURT doth establish the same as they are set forth by the defendant Gardner's answer to the cross bill; but no costs are to be paid by him in respect thereof; and as for all other parts of the faid cross bill, the same is hereby dismissed, with costs to be taxed for the defendant Gardner.

But the plaintiff John Pole appealed to the house of lords The decree reagainst the said decree, insisting, that the moduses having been veised, on apinviolably observed, and being fixed and certain, and what might peal to the house be permanent; it was on the tenth of March 1707, or- 1. Bro. P. C. dered and adjudged, that the decree should be reversed, it 214. appearing to their lordships, that the appellant had proved the moduses insisted on by his answer.

GARDNER againfi et è Contra. tithes of hay to be paid in kind.

### LEIGH against WARREN. Cheshire, 31st January 1705.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiss was the lawful owner of the Theplaintiss, as rectory and parsonage of Presbury, in the county of Chester, rector of Presand of all manner of tithes arising therein; and also of the manor and lord of the Manor of Adlington Butley and Presbury, with the appurtenances; that all manor of Adlingthe inhabitants, owners and occupiers of any lands, tenements, ton, claims tithes and hereditaments within the faid rectory and titheable places, of Poynton Hell, and particularly within the town, hamlet, or tithing of Poynton, and Poynton, Poynton in the faid parish of Presbury, ought to pay to the plaintiff their Mill; tithes; that the defendant, fince April 1691, had been, and still was owner and occupier of the capital messuage called Poynton Hall, and of Poynton Park, which hath been all that time stocked with bucks, does, and other deer, and of divers other lands, mills, and hereditaments therein, great part of which premifes were fed and depastured with all forts of beasts, horses, dry, barren, and unprofitable cattle, and of which he had made great profit; that the faid defendant had great quantities of milk, and numbers of calves, sheep, lambs, pigeons, and also wool and other titheable things, for which he ought to have paid tithes, and states seveand Easter offerings, for himself and wife, one penny a-piece; ral eustomary and for every person that hath dwelt with him of above the age in lieu of tithes:

HILARY TERM 4. Q. Anne.

of

LEGE against WARREN.

sight to hunt and kill a buck Poynton Park 3

and states, that the tithes of hay and com of and defendant.

of fixteen years, a halfpenny a-piece; and one penny, called fmoke penny; and for every barren cow, one penny; and for every calving cow, three halfpence, and every tenth calf in kind; and if under ten, and above the number of seven, then one calf out of every seven is due to the plaintiff in kind; and for every fwarm of bees, one halfpenny; and for every colt or foal, one penny; and the tenth pig in kind ought to have been yielded and paid to the plaintiff, or some rate or composition for the fame; that by custom, prescription, or usage time out of mind, or by virtue of some composition or agreement, or as a modus decimandi or customary rate or payment in lieu of tithes of the and claims the faid park or some other lands held by the defendant, one fat buck and one fat doe of and in their respective seasons yearly, and a doe in have and did become due and payable by the owners and occupiers for the time being of the faid park, called Pointon Park, to the owners of the faid rectory or parsonage; that the defendant's ancestors, and he till of late, have and still ought to permit the owners and occupiers of the faid rectory, their friends and fervants, in the respective seasons, to hunt, course, or kill the faid buck and doe yearly in Poynton Park aforesaid, and to carry them away in the skins, without payment of any fee for the fame; and if not so hunted, coursed, or killed, the owners of the faid park, upon notice given, ought yearly to kill the faid buck and doe, and to fend the same by their keeper or servant to Adlington Hall without any fee or fees; and the defendant's ancestors have, and the defendant ought still, yearly to yield and pay the same to the plaintiff; that the plaintiff did, some time he had leased before, demise to the defendant the tithes of hay and corn of the township of Poynton and Worth, in the said parish of Presbury, at and under the yearly rents, viz. thirty-five pounds, ten shil-Worth to the lings, for Poynton, and sixpence for Worth, payable yearly at Michaelmas; that the defendant having got the faid lease in his possession (the plaintiff having no counterpart), he refused to pay his tithes in kind, or the deer, or any rate or fatisfaction for the fame, as also the rents reserved on the said lease. The bill therefore prayed a discovery of the said leases, and of the quantities and values of his tithes.

The defendant confesses cuitomary fums.

The defendant confessed that the plaintiff's ancestors had been, the and that the plaintiff was owner of the faid rectory and parfonage, and entitled to all tithes, both great and small, and customary payments due (except the tithe of hay and corn of the townships or hamlets of Poynton and Worth, which the defendant holds by virtue of the demise and lease in the bill, and entitled to all duties and services due in respect of the said manor or lands and tenements in the bill; that he was owner of the capital meffuage, called Poynton Hall and Poynton Park, and of one water corn mill, called Poynton Mill, and other lands and hereditaments in Poynton, a great part of which are in leafe to feveral tenants, but knew

knew not how the same have been used or stocked, nor with what cattle, nor what tithes have arisen and fallen thereon. denied the manner of tithing as in the bill stated; and insisted, that he ought not to pay tithes in kind, but the fums following, viz. fifteen shillings for the tithes of calves arising by cows kept and states other at or in Poynton Hall, and depasturing the said park, demessne, customary payand lands thereto belonging; and twelvepence yearly, in lieu of ments; all small tithes arising or demandable for or in respect of Easter offerings or titheable matters arising at, in, or about Poynton Hall, and the orchards, gardens, and other titheable matters thereto belonging, commonly called the white tithes, or Easter roll (faving the tithes of lamb and wool); that there has been always paid a and that 3t. a. customary rate for Poynton Mill, of three shillings yearly, and no year is paid for more; and that there was due to the plaintiff, for tithes of wool the mill; and lamb of sheep kept and depastured in Poynton Park and demesnes in 1702, four shillings; and he acknowledged the arrears of twelvepence and three shillings as aforesaid for four years past, and fifteen shillings for calves for three years, and four shillings for lamb and wool for 1702, all which he was ready to pay. He denied, that he or his family had dwelt at Pointon for the time in the bill mentioned, but at Stockport, in the parish of Stockport, except for a day or fo. He also denied that there was any such but denies the custom to pay or deliver a fat buck or doe in their season, or plaintiff's right that, by any custom, or prescription, or usage time out of mind, to hunt a buck or for any time what sever or by virtue of any agreement, or according or for any time whatfoever, or by virtue of any agreement, or as a modus or customary rate or payment in lieu of tithes, by any lawful title, way, or means, or upon any other colour or pretence whatfoever, a fat buck and doe have been due or payable by the defendant or any of his ancestors to the plaintiff or any of his ancestors, as in the bill is set forth. He said, that upon his taking a leafe of the tithes of corn and hay of Pointon and Worth from the plaintiff's father for thirty-one years, if he should fo long live, at thirty-five pounds for the first year, and thirtythree pounds during the remainder of the term, it was then infifted on, that the plaintiff should have of the defendant a fat buck and doe, or else that he should have no such lease, and that fooner than lose the lease he consented to such a clause to be put in, and that it had fince been complied with. He confessed, there remained twenty-seven pounds, fifteen shillings, in arrear of rent for the tithe of corn and hay of Paynton and Worth for 1793, by virtue of the faid leafe.

LEGE againfl

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides; and upon debate of the matter, the modus of three shillings for the mill being agreed to by both parties,

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that the faid The defendant defendant shall account with and pay to the plaintiff all such decreed to pay monies as are in arrear for the tithes of hay and cortaining in Popular and

within Worth;

Lzez againft WARREN.

the mill; and tithes wool, lamb, and calves;

of the buck and out cofts. doe dimissed.

within the said township of Poynton and Worth, and shall continue the future payments thereof during the continuance of the faid demise, according to the several yearly rates, viz. thirty five pounds, ten shillings, for Poynton, and six pounds for Worth; and the 3s. for and shall also pay to the said plaintiff the said modus of three shillings for the said mill, and all the arrears thereof; and the faid defendant is to go to account for all small tithes and the tithe of wool, lambs, and calves; and it is referred to the deputy remembrancer to take and state the said account, who is to report the but the tithe of matter relating to the pigeons specially for the further judgment pigeons refused; of the court; and as to the plaintiff's demand by his bill of a and the claims buck and a doe, the faid bill is hereby to ftand dismissed, with-

EDW. WARD. THO. BURY. Ro. PRICE. S. LOVELL.

HILARY TERM 4. Q. ANNE.

### CAISTER against HORNSBY.

Lincolnsbire, 10th February 1705.

The impropriator of Bettesford, claims from the vicar the tithes of wool.

The vicar fays, few theep on a common appureenant to his glebe, and that the plaintiff had cows, for which he paid no tithe milk.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff, in right of his wife Mary, was impropriator of the parsonage impropriate of Bottesford, in Lincolnshire, in the county of Lincoln, and entitled to the tithes of all forts of corn, hay, hemp, flax, rape, turnips, barren cattle, and wool, within that parish.

The defendant said, that he had been vicar of Bottesford for that he kept a thirty years past, and was, by the endowment of that vicarage, entitled to all small tithes (except wool); that the plaintiff was entitled to the tithes of corn and hay (except in tofts and crofts, which he infifted belonged to him); and that he ought not to pay any tithes to the parson or rector of any thing arising upon or out of the glebe lands in his own possession. And he set forth his number of sheep, and the quantities and values of the wool; but infifted, that he ought not to pay any tithes of the faid wool, in regard he kept the sheep yearly upon a large common, wherein he had right of common for the same, and greater quantities, as belonging to the glebe which he enjoyed, as belonging to the vicarage, by virtue of the said endowment. He also said, that he enjoyed a small parcel of land not glebe, which was necessary for the maintenance of his family, and that in extremity of weather in winter he brought his sheep into the said ground not glebe; but nothing ought to be demanded for the tithes; for that the plaintiff had cows on grounds not belonging to the parsonage, which afforded much milk, the tithes of which belonged to the defendant, but he never had any for the fame; and believed, that if every thing due from the parson and vicar Was

was stated, it would be equal; more especially as the plaintiff, for feveral years, had gathered of other parishioners several small tithes which belonged to the defendant. He faid, that his faid vicarage was not communibus annis worth thirty pounds per annum.

CAISTER against HORNERY.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses The bill diswere examined on both fides; and upon reading feveral depo- miffed. fitions, and upon full debate, it is ordered by the court, that the faid bill be difmissed, with costs to be taxed.

#### GREENWAY against THE EARL OF KENT; et è HILARYTERM Contra.

### Hereford/bire, 31/1 January 1705.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff was, and for twenty-two The vicar of years past had been, vicar of the parish of Walford, in the Walford, in the fordsire, claims county of Hereford, and entitled to all manner of vicarial tithes, the tithe of and particularly to the tithes of wood and bark; that the late wood cut down Earl of Kent was in his life-time, and the defendant the present and sold; of earl, as executor of his father, is possessed of a coppice called the from the faid Chase, and other woodland within the said parish and the titheable wood; of me places thereof; that they, or one of them, in 1701 and 1702, derwood fold to cut down upon the Chase several quantities of wood, which they iron masters for fold, and also stripped and sold great quantities of bark from the fuel; and of faid wood and poles fo cut down; that the greatest part of the faplings cut for faid wood and poles was not of twenty years growth; and if any were, the same were, but some few poles growing sparsim from the stubs or stocks of other trees, and were not fit for timber, but only for firewood, making of laths, or fuch like uses, and were fold to the iron masters, who used the same for suel at their furnaces; that the defendant pretends, that if any tithes were due for all or any of the faid wood or bark, the plaintiff ought to allow thereout the charges of cutting, cording, and stripping the same, and twenty-one cords to the score, though, by the custom of the said parish, and other adjacent parishes, the owners of woods, time out of mind, are used and ought to fize, make up, and cord their wood into marketable ware, and then to fet out the tithes or tenth part thereof.

The defendant confessed, that he was seised of the wood called The defendant the Chase, in the parishes of Walford and Rope, and other woods states the several the Chaje, in the parishes of Walfora and Kope, and other woods and cop-in the parish of Ross Weston under Penyard, and other adjacent pices he is posparishes in the said county, which, upon the death of his father sessed of, in 1702, came to him; which faid woods confift of innumerous quantities of timber trees; of oak of thirty years growth and upward, preserved for timber by his ancestors at the respective fallages thereof; and also of coppice wood and underwood of feveral forts under twenty years growth; that his father used to out the tithe cause the young oaks under twenty years growth to be stripped, thereof.

GREENWAY

against

The Earl of

Kent;

and the bark to be ranked by itself, distinct from the barks of the timber trees of thirty years growth, and then caused the coppice or underwoods to be fallen, cut out, and fized into billets, and corded by itself, and so delivered the same to the iron masters; and caused the stubbs and stocks on which such underwood usually grew to be cut off close to the ground, and corded with fuch coppice wood; and then caused the timber trees of thirty years growth to be stripped, and the bark to be picked by itself, and so delivered to the buyers; and then the timber trees of that growth to be fallen, and the found and merchantable parts thereof to be fold, and the other employed in repairs, &c.; and the lops and offals he caused to be sized into billets, ranked and corded by itself apart from the coppice woods, and delivered the same to the iron masters; and he said, that all such timber trees of thirty years growth and upwards were entirely free from the payment of tithes, and therefore he used separate management, that he might know for what part he ought to pay tithe, and what was tithe free; that the usual method of tithing wood in those parts hath been, time out of mind, by a proportionable share of the money arising by the sale thereof, the person entitled thereto making a proportionable allowance for the cutting and stripping; and that his father having made many fallages, used the above management thereof; that he believed his father ordered the wood in question to be fallen, and feparately managed as aforefaid; but before it was completed, the defendant ordered the carrying on and finishing thereof according to his father's method, which was done accordingly. He further confessed, that if the plaintiff be vicar of Walford, he has a right to the coppice wood, bark, and underwood fo separately stripped, cut, corded, and delivered out of the chase in the faid years; but he infifted, that he has no right of tithe in the timber trees of oak of thirty years growth and upwards, or the bark or offal of the same, not being mixed; and therefore he hadrefused to pay tithe for the same. He further stated, that the plaintiff had acquiesced without demanding his tithes till September 1702, when the fallage was almost complete; and his father dying in August following, whereupon the defendant became entitled, but was not applied to about the premises. He said, that as soon as the titheable effects could be brought to a computation, a particular was delivered to the plaintiff, stating an account of the wood and bark of the faid fallage; that for the better distinction of the faid wood, the bark of the timber trees of oak of thirty years growth was called polewood, and the cord wood made of the offal thereof was called pole bark, both which he infifted were tithe free; and the bark and cord wood under twenty years growth was called coppice bark and coppice wood. He also confessed, that the plaintiff applied to him, and infifted upon a right of tithe of timber trees, pole wood, and pole bark; but that five shillings per cord for the tithe of three foot wood is a full tenth of the nett moncy

money arising by fale of the coppice woods, being allowed for his Gazzawał expences and damages in the management thereof; and that he ordered his agent to give the plaintiff five shillings and sixpence for three foot wood, which he still is ready to pay. But he denied the substration of any tithe wood, other than the trees of thirty years growth and upwards; and believed that all the pole wood bark, and underwood were fold to fuch persons, and at such prices as in the schedules to his answer; and he said, that he is ready to pay the tenth of the money of the coppice wood; but hoped, that he is not liable to do fo for the timber of thirty years growth and upwards, nor for the pole wood or pole bark made from the stripping and offal thereof.

agains THE EARL OF KENT; st & Contrd.

To which answer the plaintiff replied, and the defendant rejoined.

The defendant filed his cross bill against the plaintiff, thereby Files a cross bills fetting forth the method used in the felling and stripping of wood and of paying tithes for the same, to the same effect as in his answer aforesaid; and insisted, that it is the custom to pay tithes by a share of the money arising from the sale of such wood; that his father, in 1701, proposed to Greenway, that he should have a share of the money so arising; and that a meeting was appointed; but that on the defendant's filence, he disposed of the falling, and delivered an account to the defendant with offers which he refused. The bill therefore prayed, that the defendant may answer the premises.

The vicar, by his answer, confessed, that the late Earl of which the vicar Kent made fuch separate management as in the bill; but said, answers. that the same is a practice altogether new, and was never offered at till eight years since; he believed, that it has been usual to take a tenth part of the money arising by sale in lieu of tithes; but infifted, that he is not obliged to do fo; and faid, that it is customary to treat about the tithes before the falling, unless the same be paid in kind; and he set forth the earl's letters, and his application to him. He also confessed, that an offer had been made at five shillings and sixpence per cord for the coppice wood, allowing twenty-one cords to the score, which he had refused, having as much paid him in 1683 and 1685, without allowing twenty-one cords to the score, and including the pole wood of twenty years growth and upwards, which was not separated, or denied to be titheable; and he infifted, that he has a right to the tithes of pole wood as coppice wood, the same having been paid time out of mind till such separate management was made as aforesaid.

: > :

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined in both causes; and the cause came on toube heard on the tenth of July last: and upon reading the depositions of witnesses taken in the original cause; and upon long debate of the matter, it is ordered by the Court, that the cause Vol. I.

THE EARL OF KENT; et è Centra.

be continued in the paper of causes for the opinion and judgment of the Court. And forasmuch as no counsel attended for the plaintiff in the cross cause, it is ordered and adjudged by the Court, that the defendant Greenway be, and is hereby dismissed of and from the faid cross bill, and all matters and things therein contained, with his costs, to be taxed by the deputy remembrancer of this court.

The original cause standing this day for the opinion of the Court,

The fithe of twoed above 20 growth, decreed.

THE COURT declared, that the plaintiff was entitled to, and purs growth, of ought to be relieved for the tithes of all wood above twenty underwood cut years growth, as well as underwood cut and corded within the and corded, of faid parish of Walford in 1701 and 1702, and for the bark ftripped stripped for the same; but that no tithe was due from such from the same, wood above twenty years growth, which was not corded, nor for under 20 years the bark thereof.

> IT IS THEREUPON ORDERED by the Court, that the defendant do account with, fatisfy, and pay to the plaintiff, as well for the tithes of all wood above twenty years growth as of the underwood cut and corded in the faid parish for the faid years; and for the tithes of the bark stripped from the same; and for the tithes of faplings under twenty years growth; and it is referred to the deputy remembrancer to take the faid account, and report the fame.

> > THO. BURY. Ro. Price. J. Smith.

EASTERTERM, S. Q. ANNE. .

### Newte against Chamberlain.

Devenshire, 22d April 1706.

8. C. 2. Eq. Abr. 731. S. C. I. Bro. P. C. 157. S. C. Rayn. 106. 1087.-See also 2. Inft. 622. 3. Bulft. 218. 3. Roll. Rep. 403. Bunb, 73. 2 Peer. Wms, 463. ground

The tithe of a THE bill stated, that the plaintiff for twenty years last past had horse mak mill been rector and incumbers of the nortions of Big. been rector and incumbent of the portions of Pitt and a perfonal Tidscombe, in Tiverton, in the county of Devon; that the inhatitle; and the Diagrams, in Tiverion, in the county of Devon; that the innatenth part of the bitants within the faid portions had always, time out of mind, clear profits a- paid or ought to have paid to the rectors thereof, the tithes rifing from corn of mills and malt mills erected therein, and of all other ground in such titheable matters arising therein; that, time out of mind the mill, ever and titleadle matters arming therein; that, time out of mind the above all incidental charges, within the faid portions, ought to be, according to custom, is to be paid as justly fet forth, and due notice thereof given to the rector; the tithes there- that about fix years fince a mak mill was erected within the faid S.C. z. Eq. Abs. obliged formula mayor and burgeffes of Tiverton, to which they obliged feveral, over whom they had influence, to bring their S. C. 9. Vin. 39. malt to be ground, by means whereof vait quantities had been

Newte

against

ChamberLain-

ground every year; that the said mayor and burgesses had let the said mill to the desendants, who had contrived to desraud the plaintiff of his just right of the tithes arising therefrom, having in every year ground thirty thousand bushels of malt, the toll of which was of great value; that the plaintiff had offered to accept a reasonable satisfaction for the same, by his bill, and the single value of such tithes, with his costs of suit, but that they pretended nothing was due. The bill therefore prayed a discovery of the matters aforesaid, and satisfaction for the tithes of the toll of the said new erected mill.

The defendants Chamberlain and Plymton denied, that the inhabitants, time out of mind, had or ought to pay to the rector all manner of tithes of grift mills and malt mills erected within the parish, or that, time out of mind, the tenth of all corn and grain ground in grift or malt mills therein, had been or ought to be fet forth, or notice thereof given to the rector of the same; and said, that about six years ago, a horse malt mill was erected within the faid portion, and the mayor, &c. about the eighth of May 1699, granted to them a lease of the same for three years, at thirty pounds per annum; and that several quantities of malt were ground thereat, but how much they could not fet forth; and that at the expiration of the faid leafe they granted a leafe to one Burridge for twentyone years, at forty pounds per annum. The defendants confessed, that they never had paid any tithes, or made any satisfaction to the plaintiff for fuch mill, or for the profits thereof, nor did they believe that tithes, or any thing in lieu thereof, were or ought to be paid to the plaintiff for fuch mill, or the profits or toll thereof, or for any other horse or hand mill within the faid portions, there having been feveral erected for thirty years past, and never any tithes, &c. paid for the same, for that all or the greater part of the malt ground therein, was grown within the parish of Tiverton, and tithed before it was made into malt, or brought to, and ground at the faid mill, for which reason they resusted to pay tithes, or any thing in lieu thereof. They also alledged, that the plaintiff could not suffer any loss in his compositions for other malt mills by the mill in question, there being no tithe or payment, in lieu thereof, due or paid for fuch other malt mills, that they knew of.

The defendant R. Chamberlain denied, that he ever promifed to pay the plaintiff fifty shillings, or any other sum, in lieu of tithes of such mill, or the profits thereof, or made any agreement for the same.

Both the defendants faid, that if any tithe, or payment in lieu thereof, was due, the same was but a personal title, which they submitted to the judgment of the Court, and offered to do what the Court directed.

The

NEWTE sgainf CRAMBER-EAN. The defendant Peter Chamberlain said, that he never had rented or enjoyed the mill in question, and disclaimed all right and title to the same.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and divers witnesses were examined on both sides, and the cause came on to be heard the twentieth day of February last, when on reading the depositions of several witnesses, taken on both sides, and hearing what could be alledged by counsel, it was then ordered, that the cause should stand in the paper for the opinion of the Court, and be dismissed as to the defendant Peter Chamberlain.

The cause standing in the paper accordingly, the Court, upon hearing counsel, and upon mature and deliberate debate,

ORDERED AND DECREED, that the defendants shall account with, satisfy, and pay to the plaintiss, the value of the tenth toll dish, of all the corn and grain ground at the said malt mill, lately erected within the said portion of Patt and Tidscombe, in Tiverton, by the mayor and burgesses thereof, for the said two years, with costs; and it is referred to the deputy remembrancer to take and report the said account, and to tax the plaintiss costs.

But before any account was taken or report made, the defendants appealed to THE HOUSE OF LORDS from the faid decree, and the appeal came on to be heard in the house of lords on *Monday* the seventeenth of *February* last, when the said decree of the court of exchequer was reversed.

The cause coming on to be heard, on the thirteenth of June 1707, on the said order and decree, it was ordered, that the judges should be heard to this point, viz. "Whether the tithes payable for corn ground in a horse malt mill, is a personal, predial, or mixt tithe, and in what manner tithe is to be paid for corn ground in such mill, if any tithe is due for the same."

After due consideration had of what was offered by counsel upon the said petition and answer, and also upon hearing the judges,

It is oedered and adjudged by the lords spiritual and temporal, in parliament assembled, that the decree of the court of exchequer, complained of in the petition of R. Chamberlain and F. Plymton, shall be and is hereby reversed, and that the plaintist in the court below, J. Newto (the now respondent) do recover his tithes of the said mill, in the nature of a personal tithe, only, that is to say, the tenth part of the clear profits arising from corn ground in the said mill, over and above all incidental charges, and to that end an account is to be taken of the profits of the said mill, and charges for the time past, within

the

the time of the demand of the plaintiff J. Newte's bill in the exchequer, and fince; and that the faid tithes do continue to be paid for the future.

NEWTE against LAIN,

AND IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that the court of exchequer do cause the said account to be taken, and what shall be found due thereon, to be paid accordingly.

And upon reading the faid order of the house of lords, and hearing counfel for all parties,

IT IS THIS DAY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that the faid defendants shall account with the plaintiff for the tithes of the mills aforefaid, according to and in purfuance of the directions of the faid order of the house of lords. as by the faid order is directed (a).

(a) See Grimley v. Tawikingham, 1. Show. 281. Carth. 215. 4. Mod. 45. Dodfon w. Oliver, Bunb. 73. Chapman v. Barton, Bunb. 184. Carleton v. Brightwell, 2. Peer. Wms. 463. Donald

v. Lowther, 2. Bar. K. B. 336. and the cause of Hall w. Machet, in the exchequer, in Easter Term 37. Geo. 3. Apftruther's Reports, vol. 3. page 915.

### HOCKMORE against RICHARDS.

TRIN. TERM. 5. Q. Anna.

Devenshire, 20th June 1706.

THE plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the rest of the modes of paying parishioners of the parish of Combintinhead, in the county tithes in the paof Devon, filed their bill against the rector of the same, stating rish of Combinationthe following immemorial customs within the parish; that every bed, inthecounowner or occupier of arable land, meadow, and pasture ground, ty of Drown, set ought to fet out for the rector, for the tithes of his corn and bilified, grain, the tenth sheaf of wheat, rye, and beans, and the tenth score of barley, oats, and pease, and for the tithes of all grass cut and mowed within the said parish, the teath cock of hay; the teath of all apples and pears, either by the bushel, peck, or other certain quantity; to pay yearly at Eafter one penny for the tithe milk and white fole, of every cow milked by fuch owner or occupier within the said parish, and one farthing for the milk of every ewe milked, and one penny for every calf, fallen or reared, and also the tenth part of the price for which every calf fallen therein has been fold by fuch owner or occupier; and also eightpence for every colt soaled, and one penny, in lieu of the tithes of all wood, furze, and brownie rooted, eut, burnt, fold, or otherwise disposed of; also one penny, called a garden penny, in lieu of tithes of all garden herbs, roots, staffe, and fruits, which he has used in his respective houses, and if fold, then the tenth part of the price the same were sold for; and for the tithe of eggs of all his tame fowl, twopence; and that every inhabitant within the faid

HOCKMORE againfi RICHARDS. faid parish, of the age of sixteen years and upwards, have used, and ought to pay, yearly at Easter, twopence for an Easter offering; that all other tithes, both great and small not before mentioned, are due and payable in kind to the rector, according to the common law and statutes of this realm. therefore prayed, that the defendant might answer and discover the truth of all and every the matters aforefaid, and that the faid customary rates and payments might be established by the decree of this court, to prevent any disputes concerning the fame for the future, and to be relieved in the premises.

The defendant said, that he was willing to comply with the customs and usages of the said parish, and doth hereby submit thereunto, and defire, that they may be established by the decree of this court.

The cause came on to be heard on the bill and answer.

It is ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court, that the several and respective customs and usages, in the bill set forth, shall be and are hereby established against the defendant, according to the prayer of the faid bill, and the fubmission, and consent of the defendant in his answer, and shall be observed and complied with accordingly.

TRIN. TERM, 5. Q. ANNE.

HOLLAND against COKER, BAKER, and Others.

Somersetsbire, 22d June 1706.

Naues his title to the rectory of

plaintiff THE bill stated, that in the year 1687, E. Coker, clerk, was rector and incumbent of the parish of Lamiatt in the county Lamian, in the of Somerfet, and that, in the faid year, J. Berjew, being rector county of Somer of King ston Deverell, in the county of Wilts, died, whereby the rectory of King ston Deverell became void, and was in the gift of the bishop of Salisbury; that about Christmas 1688, the said E. Coker, having no dispensation from THE CROWN, or the then Bishop of Bath and Wells, or any other way as the statutes direct, he the faid Ludlow did at that time receive institution and induction from the Bishop of Sarum, for the rectory of Kingston Leverell, whereby the rectory of Lamiatt became void, and lapfed to the late Bishop of Bath and Wells, who not presenting in time, the same lapted to the Archbishop of Canterbury, and by his default became lapfed into her majesty's hands; that in the month of July 1704, the rectory being so lapsed to THE CROWN, the plaintiff obtained a grant or letters patent of the faid rectory, from Sir N. Wright, the lord keeper of the great seal of i ngland; which being obtained, the plaintiff in December in the faid year produced it to the now Bishop of Wells, who in the faid month granted institution and induction of the faid rectory of Lamiatt to the plaintiff, who entered thereon, and

had two elm trees there growing felled down, and therewith repaired the faid parsonage house, &c. and did read all the articles of the church of England, paid the first fruits and offerings, and did all matters requirable of him, according to the canons of AND OTHERS. the church of England; that he being so entitled to the premises about the twenty-fifth of March 1705, did let the said rectory, &c. to T. Stone, and thought he and his tenant would have had peaceable and quiet possession thereof, but that the defendant Coker pretends title thereto as patron, and in conjunction with the faid E. Coker, in his lifetime to have fold the fame to the defendant Baker, who combining with the other defendants, the defendant Baker, did in 1704, let part of his estate in Lamiatt to the defendant Sutton, and received from her fifty shillings for the tithes thereof, and in the said year the defendant Baker did demand the faid tithes of the rectory for that year, and cut down feveral timber trees, and converted the fame to his own use, and hath kept and detained the tithes from the plaintiff to a great amount, and with the other defendants, hath brought his right into the king's bench to be tried, therefore they refuse to come to any account, or pay the plaintiff any fatisfaction for his faid tithes, or for damages done to the faid rectory; and he prayed an account of the fame.

HOLLAND against COKER, BAKER,

The defendants faid, that E. Coker was rector of the faid The defendants parish of Lamiatt in the year 1687; that they knew not when deny the plain-7. Berjew died, but believed by his death the church of Kingfon Deverell became void, as in the bill is fet forth, and also that the plaintiff entered into the parsonage of Lamiatt, in the year 1704, and was possessed of the same, but knew not that fuch his possession was lawful, nor other than as a trespasser, and believed, that he did let the same as in the bill is set forth; that before the pretended inftitution, the defendants, or one of them, is, and hath been, and yet are, or is seised in see of the advowson of the church of Lamiatt, and being patron thereof, is entitled to nominate and present a clerk to the said church when void.

The defendant Baker said he let most of his lands to Sutton, as in the bill is mentioned, and that he did detain the tithes from the plaintiff.

The defendants faid they knew not of any grant other than what make out the title of the defendants, or one of them, to the faid advowson, and the faid demise by E. Coker to the defendant Baker, which was a legal conveyance of the faid rectory.

The defendant Coker said, that he never had any titheable matters in the faid rectory, nor any tithes paid to him, and infifted that he is not accountable to the plaintiff, if he had a right, which the defendant infifted he had not,

The

HOLLAND agains COKER, BAKER, SEERTO ONA

The defendant Baker insisted that he is not accountable to the plaintiff for any tithes, he having no title thereto, nor did the plaintiff ever request the same.

The defendant Coker said, he had brought a quare impedit against the Bisbop of Wells and the plaintiff, in order to try the right of patronage or presentation to the church of Lamiatt, and hoped it is lawful for him to proceed therein, being advised the plaintiff is not rector or incumbent of the church.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides; and upon reading the bill and the answer, and hearing what was offered by counsel on both fides, and upon debate of the matter, and mature deliberation had,

The bill difmiff-

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid defendants shall and do hereby stand dismissed of and from the said bill and the matters and things therein contained, with moderate costs, to be taxed by the deputy remembrancer of this court.

> Edw. WARD. THO. BURY. Ro. PRICE. J. SMITH.

Micn. Trans 5. Q ANNE.

INNES against DARBY. Kent, 7th December 1706.

states, that he curate of theparish of Maidstone, shop of Caster his falary by a Loddington, the faid borough of Stone.

plaintiff THE bill flated, that the plaintiff, being a licensed preacher, was, about April 1692, appointed curate of the parish of was appointed Maidstone, in the county of Kent, by the then Archbishop of Canterbury, and hath been curate ever fince, being continued in Kent; and curate there by the present Archbishop of Canterbury, who is that the archbi- seised of the appropriate rectory of All Saints, in the said parish, being parcel of the possessions of the archbishoprick of Canterbury augmented bury; that for the better maintenance of the plaintiff in the leaf of the vica. faid cure, the Archbishop of Canterbury, by indenture of leafe, the rial tithes if the seventeenth of May 1692, made between him and the plaintiff, borough of Week reciting, that there were hut twenty pounds a-year allowed to and Sione, by the curate as a pension, did grant, and to farm let to the plainwhich he is entiff, all the tithes whatfoever of the boroughs or towns of titled to the W. I and Start in the field and the W. I and Start in the field and the world and the start in the field and the start in the start small tithes of Week and Stone, in the said parish of Maidstone, called the the hamlet of vicarage tithes, without rent, for the plaintiff's supply, all which in faid tithes were formerly, by the former archbishops, allowed to the plaintiff's predecessors; that the plaintiff, as curate there, not only by virtue of the faid demise, but by the law of the land, ought to have the tithes of hay and other small tithes within the said boroughs and towns of Week and Stone, and ought to be paid the, same yearly in specie, or some composition for the same; that the defendants have, for several years past, occupied lands in the town fields or hamlet of Loddington, in the faid borough of Stone, and parish of Maidstone, and have had yearly

quantities of hay, and have depastured milch cows, sheep, bullocks, and other cattle, and have also had flax, hops, and fruit, for which the faid defendants ought to have paid the plaintiff his tithes, or some composition for the same, which they had refused to do. The bill therefore prayed, that the faid defendants may make a full discovery of the premises, and account with the plaintiff for what is due to him.

against DARBY.

The defendants denied, that the plaintiff was appointed curate The defendants of Maidstone, by the late Archbishop of Canterbury, or that he fay, that the was continued by the present archbishop, as in the bill is mentioned, but believed that he now officiates as curate; they also tithes of Lodding. denied the indenture of demife by which he claimed tithes as 1000, because it is aforefaid, and faid, that if the plaintiff hath any fuch leafe from not expressly inthe present Archbishop of Canterbury, he is thereby restrained from lease, it being a demanding the tithes mentioned in the lay tenants leafe, which diftine hamlet; are not intended to be let to the curate. They faid that they believed the impropriate rectory of Maidstone, belongs to the Archbishop of Canterbury, but they knew not that the same was called All Saints, nor what tithes thereof were granted to the plaintiff, or his predecessors, and that if they were so granted, the plaintiff is in no way entitled to tithe hay, or other small tithes in the hamlet of Loddington, they not being mentioned in the leafe, and Loddington not being in or part of the borough of Week or Stone; that the faid tithes of Loddington were never enjoyed by the plaintiff, or his predecessors, it being a distinct vill and liberty, and that the tithes have always been enjoyed by the archbishop's lessees. They also said that William, the late Archbishop of Canterbury, by indenture, dated the twenty-seventh of September 1688, granted the said tithes, for twenty-one years, to B. Hatley; that the same were let by the present; that the lessee had assigned the same to T. Bliss, and that they were always enjoyed by the lesses and not by the curate; and believed, that the plaintiff takes advantage of an omission of tithe hay in the lease. They denied that they and no part of knew that any part of Loddington is within the borough of Stone, the borough of Stone in the page but faid, that it is separated from it by Conheath, and the parishes rish of Maid fone; of Loofe and Boughton Monkelsea, and part of East Farley, and that part lies in Marden parish, and that that part which is in Maidstone parish, was united thereunto long since, and is distinctly affested. They said, that they believed that Stone and Loddington are ferved by the same borough holder; that they have always compounded for their tithes, both great and small, and cannot fet forth the quantities and values thereof; but that they have always paid have paid the archbithop's leffee yearly as followeth, viz. the nithes to another defendant Darby, thirty-five shillings, Watkins, fix pounds, leffec. Amburst, four pounds, two shillings, and sixpence, or four pounds, fifteen shillings, per annum. They denied that any part of the faid tithes belonged to the plaintiff, or that the hay or other small tithes in Loddington were ever called or known by the name of the vicarage tithes, or ever were enjoyed by the plaintiff

and that they

against DARRY. plaintiff or his predecessors, or that there was any settled composition for the small tithes; but said, that they had from time to time agreed with the then lay parson, his farmer, or undertenants as they could.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witneffes were examined on both fides, and the cause came on to be heard on the twenty-ninth of November last, and on reading the licence of his grace, John, late Archbishop of Canterbury, for the plaintiff's curacy of the parish of Maidstone, and the grant of tithes made by the faid late Archbishop to the plaintiff, and reading several depositions on the plaintiff's part, the further hearing was adjourned until the fixth and feventh of December instant, when upon reading several indentures and leases made, by the former archbishops, of the tithes of the said parish of Maidstone, and the depositions of witnesses on both sides, and upon hearing what was alledged by counsel on both sides,

The archbishop

THE COURT was of opinion, that the plaintiff remains need not be a curate, and has a right to demand what is leased to him, withparty to the bill. out making the Archbishop a party.

An issue to try con is part of Stane.

But THE COURT directed an issue, wherein the said plaintiff whether Ladding is to be plaintiff, to try, "Whether the town fields and hamlet " of Loddington, or any and what part thereof, being in the borough of Stone, is in the parish of Maidstone?" and on the trial a verdict was given for the defendants; but when the cause came on to be heard on the postea, the plaintiff prayed a new trial, and MR. BARON SMITH having, pursuant to an order made the fecond of May, spoken with the judge who tried the fame, and who declared himself diffatisfied with the verdict, and trial that it was proper for a new trial, the Court granted a new trial upon the former iffue, and by a special jury; the plaintiff to pay the defendants their costs for the last trial, to be taxed by Mr. Marriot, (the deputy being dead).

A new granted.

> A new trial was accordingly had by a special jury, and a verdict thereupon was given for the plaintiff.

> The cause now, on the twentieth of November 1707, coming on for further directions on the equity reserved,

The Court of othetithes of Loddington.

THE COURT, upon long debate of the matter, and hearing pinion, that the what was alledged on both fides, was of opinion, that the plaintiffiscurate, plaintiff, as curate of the parish of Maidstone, is duly entitled to and entitled to tithes of hay and fmall tithes increasing and renewing in the town fields and hamlet of Loddington, (except hops), for the feveral and respective years charged in the said bill.

> IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED, that the defendants shall respectively pay to the plaintiff, the tithes of their hay and all their small tithes arising, &c. in Loddington, and the precincts thereof (except hops), for the time demanded by the bill; and

Le is referred to the deputy remembrancer to take and report the faid account.

INNES ' against DARBY.

Edw. Ward. THO. BURY. Ro. PRICE.

### Trustram *agains*t Beaumont.

HILARYTERM. S. Q. ANNE.

parish of Weften-

ing, in Bedford.

Bedford/bire, 6th February 1706,

THE scope of the bill was to demand fourpence an acre yearly, The large meafor a parcel of meadow land called Low Mead, containing dow called Low two hundred acres, in the parish of Westoning, in the country of parish of west. Bedford.

An iffue was directed to try, " Whether a modus of four- duof 4d an acre. pence an acre yearly hath, time out of mind, been paid to yearly, to the the impropriator of the rectory of Weftering, aforesaid, or his impropriator in farmer, for the time being, in lieu of all tithes arifing in the lieu of tithes. es faid mead, called Low Mead, or not?" and on the trial a werdict was given for the plaintiff, in affirmance of the medus.

THE COURT therefore ordered the defendant to account with the plaintiff for the arrears of the faid modus,

#### DEAN AND CHAPTER OF WORCESTER against SEABRIGHT and Others.

HILARY TERM 5. Q. Anne.

#### Worcestersbire, 22d February 1706.

HE bill stated, that the dean and chapter of Worcester, being The lessee of the seised, in right of their church to them and their successors, of dean and chapter the impropriation or rectory of Saint Peter, in the city of Worcester, of Worcester of and of all tithes of corn, grain, and hay arising within the said impropriate rectory of 8t. Paer, herbage, grafs, agistments, and feedings, yearly within the faid in the county of parish, and all other tithes and profits to the same belonging, Worsester, claims they, by their indenture, dated the twenty-fifth of November tithes in kind of Battenball Park, and Whitington profits ariting within the faid parith, as well within the faid city Farm. as without, (except as in the faid indenture is excepted) for twenty-four years, under a confiderable yearly rent; that Size Edward Seabright was, at his death, feised for life, or other estate of inheritance, of the manor of Battenhall, and of divers messuages, farms, and lands thereto belonging, tituate in the faid parish of Saint Peter, of the yearly value of three hundred pounds; that the defendant Dame Ann Seabright, his widow, became seised of the premises for life, as part of her jointure, the reversion descending to the defendant Sir T. S. Seabright, an infant, the son and heir of the said Sir Edward Seabright; that

THE DRAN AND CHAPTER or Worczster **ag**ainft SEABRIGHT

that the other defendants did, for feveral years past, hold feveral messuages, farms, and lands within the manor and parish. by leases from the said Sir Edward Seabright, and his ancestors; that they, and all the farmers of the faid farms, did, many AND OTHERS years before 1701, pay to the plaintiff Stockdale, or those under whom he claimed, full tithes of corn, grain, hay, herbage, grafs, and agistment yearly arising on the said farms, or the greater part thereof, or compounded for the same; that the undertenants of the defendant Hurdman, in the faid years, reaped, mowed, cut, and carried away great quantities of all forts of corn and hay, and fed feveral barren and unprofitable cattle, the tithes whereof belong to the plaintiff Stockdale.

The defendant Seabright, confeffes possession of the Park.

The defendant Dame Seabright, and her fon, admitted the plaintiff Stockdale's title, and confessed, that Sir Edward Seabright was feised of the said manor, and of divers farms and lands lying in the faid parish, and that he died seised thereof; that, on his death, she became seised of the same for her life, as part of her jointure, and that the reversion was vested in her fon.

The defendants Ellimere, Rogers, Hampton, say that the lands they

The defendants Ellsmere, Gold, Rogers, and Hampton, said, Gold, that they feverally held, in the faid years, feveral meffuages, and farms, and lands, part of the said manor, within the said parish of Saint Peter, as tenants thereof, and fet forth their feveral hold are parcel holdings; that they knew not what tithes were paid before the of the Park, which year 1201, but that if any were paid they were paid wrongfully, belonged to the for that no tithe was due for any part of the premises in their and is tithe free. possession, for that there was anciently within the said manor of Battenhall, a park called Battenhall Park, and when imparked extended itself, (as set forth in their answer); that it was a large park, and that all the defendants' farms were included therein; that the faid park formerly belonged to the priory of the Monks of Worcester, and was held by them exempt of all tithes, except fixteen shillings and eightpence, paid to the vicar of Saint Peters, which they suppose to be a modus in lieu of tithes; that the faid priory, being one of the greater priories, came to King Henry the Eighth, by virtue of the statute of the 31. Hen. 8. c. 13. and was held by his majesty, and those claiming under him, tithe free, except the faid money to the vicar; that King Henry the Eighth, by his letters patent, on the twenty-fourth of March, in the thirty-fixth year of his reign, granted the faid manor and park to J. Bairne and his heirs for ever, and all tithes, oblations, and obventions, to the faid manor and lands belonging, which, by mesne conveyances, came afterwards to the ancestors of the said Sir Thomas Seabright, and so to the said Sir Edward Seabright, who settled it on the defendants as aforesaid, and that the faid park ground ought to be held, fince the diffolution of the priories, exempt from all tithes; that in former disputes at law, touching the payments of the said tithes, betwixt the

the impropriators and occupiers of the said lands, on the statute of Edw. 6. the faid farmers were always proved to be exempt CHAPTER OF from tithes, and had verdicts in affirmation of fuch exemptions; and that if any tithes had been fince paid they were paid by mistake, STABRIGHT arising from an ignorance of the extent and boundaries of the AND OTHERS. faid park, which, fince the disparking thereof, had been changed by the walling of feveral inclosures; and that they were willing to try the boundaries at law, and whether the defendant's farms ought not to be tithe free.

WORCESTER again t

The defendants Ellsmere, Gold, and Rogers confessed that But say, that they held, in the faid years, feveral farms, part of the faid manor, is liable to tithes. as tenants, and that Whittington Farm, part of the faid manor, paid tithes; but infifted, that as the farms they held were part of the Park, they were tithe free, both before and fince the diffolution, and that no modus was now paid in lieu of tithes, except what was paid to the vicar.

The defendant Ellsmere said, that upon his first entering Thattithes were on his faid farm tithes were paid thereout by mistake, and also formerly paid by of another farm held by Rogers, the same having been out in mistake. lease since 1652; and the defendants set forth the quantities and values.

The defendants Hurdman and Hampton believed that the faid dean and chapter, &c. were impropriators of the faid rectory, and that they had leafed the tithes thereof to the plaintiff Stockdale.

The defendant Hurdman said, the farms were looked upon as part of the manor of Battenhall, lying within the faid parish, and for generations had belonged to the Seabrights; that Sir Edward, grandfather of the faid Sir Edward, by indenture dated the twenty seventh of April 1652, demised to one Branch all those lands now in the possession of the defendants Hampton and Rogers, to hold for three lives; that the defendant Hampton. had held the fame for forty-four years past, and now holds under the defendant Hurdman part of the faid lands; that the defendant Rogers rented another part thereof; that the plaintiff Stockdale rented the rest; that no mention was made that they were to be held tithe free, nor did he pretend to let the fame tithe free; and he believed that they ought to pay tithes to the dean, &c.

The defendant Hampton said, that he had lived forty-fix years within the manor of Battenhall, and for forty years had held part of the lands demised to Bromball, and had all along fet out his tithes of corn, grain, and hay to the dean and chapter, or to their leffee, or compounded for the same, and that he had never infifted that the faid lands were tithe free.

The plaintiffs replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both sides; and upon reading a patent dated the twenty-fourth of March, in the thirty-fixth year of Henry

DEAN AND CHAPTER OF WORCESTER against SEABÉIGHT

Henry the Eighth, to J. Brown, and a copy of the record of a verdict of Hilary Term, in the thirteenth year of James the First, and an exemplification of a verdict the thirteenth of June, in the fourteenth year of James the First, between R. Hall and AND OTHERS. T. Green, relating to the tithes in question, and the depositions taken in the faid cause, and on full debate,

Certain lands de-

It is ordered and adjudged, that the faid bill, as to the creed to be tithe demand of tithes for the several lands following, viz. Bannutt Tree Hill, two closes called Forty Acres, Cow Leasow and Leys, Two Birch Closes, Greenhill, two closes called Barn Close and Morres, Slingett Close, a close next the house in the defendant Ellsmere's possession, the Three Conduit Closes, a close called the Orchard, the Leafow before the houses, Dirty Conduit Close, three meadows, called the Ower Middle and Lower Meadow, a close formerly called the Cow Pasture, of about thirty acres, and lying between the commonett closes and the Leafow before the house of the defendant Gold, shall be, and is hereby dismissed without costs.

> AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the defendants the Seabright's be, and are hereby also dismissed without costs

> And that the defendants Hurdman and Hampton be, and are hereby difmiffed with costs to be taxed, they having paid all their tithes.

> And it is further ordered, that the defendants Ellfmere, Gold, and Rogers do severally account with and pay to the plaintiffs for the tithes arising on their lands in Battenball in their feveral occupations, not before mentioned to be difmissed and due to the said plaintists for the time in the said bill; and it is referred to the deputy to take the faid account.

TRIN. TERM. 6. Q. Anne.

SAUNDERS against Dewe and Others. Gloucestersbire, 15th July 1707.

Gloucester store,

The vicer of THE vicar of South Cerney, in the county of Gloucester, claims, by immemorial custom, the tithes of milk, hay, and herbclaims tithes of age for the feeding and depasturing of dry, barren, and unhay, and agift. profitable cattle, other than in and upon a farm called Wyes ment of the se- Farm, upon any meadow or pasture ground within the said cond crop. parish (Wyes Farm excepted), although such ground hath been mowed in the same year for hay, and also twopence in the pound for the agistment of great numbers of oxen, and other dry, barren, and unprofitable cattle belonging to strangers, or fome other fatisfaction for the fame.

The defendants modufes.

The defendants infifted on several moduses in lieu of tithes infift on several payable to the plaintiff; viz. for a milch cow, threepence; for a heifer, of the first year's milk, three halfpence; and fixpence

for every dry beaft; the tenth calf in kind; for every cow and calf fold, fixpence, and if under seven calves the tenth penny for every calf fold, and if any person kill a calf in his own AND UTHERS. house, the left shoulder to the vicar.

against Drwe

The defendants Dewe, Eldridge, Skinner, and Stephens faid, and say, that the that the meads called Broad Mead Stream, Cranes Mead, Mead Steam Meads are Leaze, and Wildmore, were parcel of the monastery of Saint exempt Austin, near Bristol, and discharged of tithes.

The defendants Eldridge, Fitcher, and Dowswell said, that the meads called Boxwell, Widden, Twindy, Wilmore, and the two acres in Thames Meadow, were parcel of the monastery of Braddenstock, in the county of Wilts, and Lanthony, in the county of Gloucester, or one of them, and as such discharged of

All the defendants believed, that there is an exemption from payment of tithes of the faid meads, they being parcel of the meads called Steame Meads.

THE COURT, on the reading of the proofs, ordered all the The tithes of defendants to pay the feveral respective agistment tithes of cattle agistment detaken in by agistment, and for their own dry, barren, and unprofitable cattle.

And the Court also directed an issue to try, whether the lands An issue directcalled Steane Meads be discharged of the payment of tithe hay to ed to try, whethe plaintiff, as vicar of South Cerney, by the diffolution of monafare tithe free. teries, or by any other legal discharge; and the jury, which was special, found that they were not discharged from tithe hay to the plaintiff, as vicar of South Cerney.

THE COURT therefore ordered the defendants to pay to the The tithes of plaintiff their feveral and respective tithes of hay for the grounds Sceane Meads decalled Steane Meads.

DEAN AND CHAPTER OF HEREFORD against SYMONDS and Others.

· TRIN. TERM, 6. Q. Anne.

Herefordsbire, 9th July 1707.

HE bill stated that the dean and chapter of Hereford are The dean and scised in fee of the manor of Canon's Bakehouse, in the city chapter of Hereof Hereford, and elsewhere in the said city, and of all the rights, ford, as lords of the manor of Camembers, and appurtenances thereto belonging; that they non Bakebowse, are have, time out of mind, received divers rents, pensions, parts, entitled to fifty. and portions of tithes, or else several quantities of wheat, rye, fix bushels of and oats in lieu thereof, from feveral meffuages, lands, and wheat and twenhereditaments, prebends, rectories, parishes, and vills within ty-eight bushly from the incumbent of the parish of Moreton Magna, and to a rent of 19, 11d yearly from the occupiers of Alford's Lands,

DEAM AND CHAPTER OF HEREFORD against Symonds AND OTHERS. the said manor yearly from the tenants and occupiers of the said parishes, and particularly a rent of one shilling and elevenpence issuing yearly from a messuage and lands called Alford
Lands in the possession of the desendant Symonds, lying in the
township of Huntington, in the parish of Holmer; and also another
rent of one pound, two shillings, and twopence, issuing yearly
out of another messuage and lands there, formerly called Blunds
Lands, now enjoyed by the desendant Phillips; and also out of
the tithes of the parish of Moreton Magna, otherwise Moreton
upon Lugg, yearly sisty-six bushels of wheat and twenty-eight
bushels of oats from the incumbent of the said parish, until the
present incumbent the desendant Powell resused to pay the
same.

The defendant Symonds denied all knowledge of the plaintiff being seised of the said manor, and said, that the defendant Elizabeth Symonds had lately purchased several free and copyhold lands in Huntington aforesaid, which she had since settled on the defendant her son, and that part of the said copyhold lands are surrendered to her by the name of Alford Lands, out of which there is due to the prebendary of Huntington, as lord of the manor, a yearly chief rent of sisteen shillings and tenpence, which is the only rent or pension these desendants ever knew were payable out of the said lands.

The defendant *Phillips* faid that he knew not that he, his father, or grandfather, or any of his ancestors, ever held any messuage or lands called *Blunt's Lands*, but said, that he is seised of a messuage or lands in *Huntington*, which they had held many years, but had never heard that any of his ancestors ever paid the yearly rent of one pound, two shillings, and twopence, or any other rent issuing out of the said estate to the plaintiss or their predecessors, or to the collectors of the *Canon Bakebouse* rents, or to any other person to their use.

The defendant Powell said that he knew not that the plaintiffs are seised of the manor of Canon Bakebouje, and that he is, and for about twenty years past hath been, rector of the parish of Moreton Magna, and hath ever since enjoyed the same, with the tithes thereto belonging, and believed that there are not sifty-six bushels of wheat and twenty-eight of oats, or any other quantity yearly issuing due or payable out of the tithes of the said parish to the plaintiff, as lord of the said manor of Canon Bakehouse.

The plaintiffs replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both sides; and upon reading several rentals belonging to the plaintiffs, and several depositions taken in the cause, and on sull debate, the Court directed a trial at law upon these issues.

First, Whether there is, and anciently hath been, a yearly rent or fum of one shilling and eleven pence due and payable out of lands called Alford's Lands, in Huntington, in the parish of Holmer, in the city of Hereford, to the plaintiffs, and whether the defendants T. Symonds and E. Symonds, or either of them, are AND OTHERS. in possession of those lands, or any part thereof.

CHAPTER OF HEREFORD against

SECONDLY, Whether there is, and anciently hath been, any yearly rent or fum of one pound, two thillings, and twopence issuing out of, or payable to the plaintiff for lands formerly called Blunt's Lands, in Huntington aforesaid; and whether the same, or any part thereof, are in the possession of J. Phillips.

THIRDLY, Whether there is payable to the plaintiffs yearly out of or for or in respect of the rectory of Moreton Magna by the defendant R. Powell, fifty-fix bushels of wheat and twenty-eight bushels of oats, or any other, and what quantity yearly.

A trial was accordingly had, and the jury, as to THE THIRD ISSUE, for the fifty-fix bushels of wheat and twenty-eight bushels of oats, gave their verdict for the plaintiffs.

And as to THE FIRST AND SECOND ISSUES, the jury gave their verdict for their defendants. But a new trial was ordered on the plaintiff's motion, upon payment of costs upon the said two issues; and upon the said trial the jury, as to THE SECOND ISSUE for one pound, two shillings, and twopence, issuing out of or payable to the plaintiffs for lands formerly called Blunt's Lands, gave a verdict for the defendant J. Phillips; and as to THE FIRST ISSUE, of one shilling and eleven pence issuing out of or payable to the plaintiff for lands called Alford's Lands, the jury gave their verdict for the plaintiffs.

THE COURT therefore ordered, that the bill be dismissed, as to the defendant J. Phillips, with costs to be taxed; that the defendants the Symonds's shall pay to the plaintiffs the rent of one shilling and eleven pence per annum charged upon the lands called Alford's Lands, with costs to be taxed by the deputy remembrancer, who is to compute and state the said rent of one shilling and eleven pence per annum, and the arrears thereof, and to make his report.

EDW. WARD. THO. BURY. Ro. PRICE. S. LOVELL.

Vol. I.

K k

BULMER

Micn. Term, 6. Q. Anne.

### BULMER against BIRCHAM and Others. Norfolk, 9th December 1707.

tor of Graftwick, Norfolk, claims gate Lands,

Lands.

The impropria- THE bill stated, that the plaintiff was seised in see of the rectory impropriate of Graftwick, in the county of Norfolk, in the county of and entitled to all manner of tithes of corn, hay, and other the tithe of corn predial tithes arising yearly in the said parish; that the deand hay for Furr fendants, for several years past, had been farmers or owners of Close, Callas Close, divers lands in the faid parith, which they had fowed and reaped, Milker's Mea and had thereon great quantities of hay, for all which they had dow, and Greenfet out no tithes, or made any composition; that the plaintiff, and states a de- about seven years ago, obtained a decree in this court (a) for cree of the tithes tithes against the farmers and occupiers of Furr Close, Callas Greengate Closes, and Milker's Meadow, and against the defendant Athill, then owner of Greengate Lands, all which were part of the lands now occupied by the defendants; that the faid defendants, with the defendant Newman, owner of the above three closes, pretend that the faid lands are exempt from tithes to the impropriator, and that only small sums of money in lieu thereof, on an ancient endowment of the vicarage or as composition for the tithes of the faid premises, are payable to the vicars; and that in pursuance of fuch endowment, the defendant's predecessors, owners of the faid closes, had, time out of mind, paid to the former and present vicars there yearly on Lammas Day as follows, viz. for Great Furr Closes, four shillings; for Collas Close, thirteen. shillings and fourpence; for Milker's Meadow, eightpence; and for Greengate Lands twelve shillings and fourpence, in full for all tithes then issuing out of the same, payable to the impropriator or vicar; that on a trial at law, on feveral issues directed out of this court, in a cause depending between the plaintiff and the defendants (b), the fame sums were found to be paid in full fatisfaction, and the bill was dismissed, although the plaintiff, by his bill, averred that fuch payments were for the herbage of those lands as part of the profits with which the faid vicarage

> (a) This decree was made on the 20th July 1698, in Trinity Term, 10. Will 3. in the cause of Bulmer w Athill and Durant. The plaintiff, as lay impropriator of Graffwick, claimed the tithes of hay and corn for Green, are Lands and the Four Acres. The defendant Athill appeared and answered, but Durant, though obliged to appear gratis, made default. The Court, on opening the bill and Artill's answer, no crunsel appearing for Durant, decreed Atkill to account for the tithes of the coin growing on the farm called Greengate Lunds, ex

cept the Four Acres mentioned in the answer, for all the time that he had been occupier thereof, and also for hay cut and carried away within the faid time. The like account ziff was also decreed against Durant for his tithes of corn and hay, on payment of 51. cofts of the day. But the account against Atbill was ordered to be taken without prejudice to any right he might claim to have for the time to come.-Book of Decrees and

(b) See Bulwer v. Newman, Trin. 12. Will. 3. ante, page 397.

ber bage

was endowed, and were known by the name of the customary tithe berbage, which would appear by some receipts of the defendant Newman's, if produced, for want of which the jury was induced to find fuch payments to be in full of all the tithes of the premifes; and that fuch dismission was to be without prejudice to the plaintiff's right. The object of the bill therefore was, to compel the defendants to account for and pay their tithes.

BULMES against BIRCHAM AND OTHERS.

The defendant Bircham admitted the plaintiff was seised of The defendant the faid impropriation, but not that he was entitled to the tithe Birchan herbage of corn and hay of the Great Furr Closes, and faid that that the Great Furr Closes 1 ave he had mowed part of the same and had fed the rest. He ac- always paid 45. knowledged that he had neither fet out the tiches thereof nor a-year to the compounded for the same, for that there was a vicar endowed vicar. within the faid parish entitled to customary payments in lieu of tithe herbage, hay, corn, and other tithes; that he, the defendant, and all other owners of the faid land, have immemorially paid to the vicar, at Lammas, yearly four shillings, as d modus in full of all herbage tithe, tithe corn, and hay, and other tithes iffuing out of the faid Furr Closes; that he had annually paid the same, fince he farmed the land, to the vicar. in full of all fuch tithes, and that the owner informed him they were free from tithes in kind; that the plaintiff, about feven years fince, brought two actions against the defendant and the defendant Bullen for tithe corn and hay in kind of the premises, and that although both causes were ready for trial, the plaintiff did not think fit to try the same. He believed that a decree was obtained by the plaintiff as is stated in the bill, but that it was through a mistake in the defendant's answer; and he infifted that the same sums of money are payable to the vicar in discharge of all tithes, and that there is nothing due to the plaintiff for the same, for that, on a trial at law on several issues directed out of this court, between the plaintiff and this defendant and others, the faid sums were found to be paid in full satisfaction, and the bill was dismissed.

The defendant Bullen owned himself to be occupier of Callar The defendant Closes, and of two meadows called Milker's Meadow, and that he Closes and Mildid not fet out the tithes thereof, or compound for the same, for ker's that he and all other farmers of the faid lands paid the vicar yearly have paid 134. at Lammas for Callas Closes thirteen shillings and fourpence, and 4d. and 8d. to for Milker's Meadow eightpence, as a modus in lieu of herbage tithe, hay, and corn, and of all tithes whatfoever; that he had yearly paid the same to the vicar in full satisfaction for all tithes. due either to the impropriator or the vicar, and that the same were found on a trial at law as above stated.

Bullen favs Callat

The defendant Athill confessed that he was owner and occupier The desendant of Greengate Lands, for which he had paid no tithe in kind, infift- Abill says Greening on the faid customary modus to the vicar (except for one inclo- gate Lands have

fure always paid 2s. 4d yearly to the VICER.

BULMER

agains

BIRCHAM

AND OTHERS.

fure thereof of four acres), the tithe whereof, when fown with corn, was duly fet out or compounded for. He said, that for all the other lands called Greengate Lands he and his predeceffors, owners thereof, had yearly paid at Lammas, to the vicar of the faid parish, twelve shillings and fourpence in full for all tithes payable to the impropriator or vicar out of the premises (except the four acres); that he had duly paid the vicar the said modus of thirteen shillings and fourpence, which was in full of all tithes; that he had occupied the faid lands twenty years, and had many confiderable titheable matters thereon, yet neither the plaintiff, nor any of his lessees, had ever demanded tithes in kind of him (fave for the faid four acres when fown) till within ten years past the plaintiff filed his bill for tithes in kind, to which the defendant answered, and through mistake it was expressed that the Four Acre Close, when sown, paid tithes in kind in lieu of all the rest; and though the defendant's witnesses proved that the twelve shillings and fourpence were paid to the vicar in full of all tithes, yet, by reason of that mistake, the court awarded the defendant to account for the tithe in kind; but that the court was then well fatisfied that the defendant's witnesses had fully proved the twelve shillings and fourpence, and therefore ordered, that the faid decree should be without prejudice to the defendant or his successors; that at a trial at law brought by the plaintiff for tithe in kind of the premises, as well for hay as for corn, the defendant proved the faid modus to be in full of all tithes of the faid lands (except the Four Acres when fown), and that a verdict was found for the defendant; and that on a late trial on several issues out of this court, the defendant had another verdict, that the faid payment was in full as aforefaid; and that he had produced acquittances on the faid trial from the vicar, which were in full for all tithes of the premises, except the Four Acres.

The defendant Newman admitted that the plaintiff was impropriator, and that he was owner of Callas Closes, Milker's Meadow, and Grear Furr Closes, but had never occupied the same; and he believed that no tithe was ever paid for the same, excepting the aforesaid moduses, and insisted on the said payments to the vicar to be in sull of all herbage tithe, hay, and all other tithes issuing out of the premises.

Evidence read.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and several witnesses were examined on both sides; and upon reading the proofs taken in the cause, and several receipts signed by J. Clarke and J. Martin, vicars of the said parish, and several orders and decrees made in sormer causes touching the matters in question, and also the postea of the verdict insisted on by the desendants in their answers, and upon sull debate,

Īτ

IT IS THIS DAY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the faid bill shall be, and is hereby absolutely dismissed.

EDW. WARD. THO. BURY. Ro. PRICE. J. Smith.

BULMER against BIRCHAM AND OTHERS. Bill difmiffed.

### LLOYD against GREEN. Surry, 6th November 1707.

Mich. Term, 6. Q. Anne.

THE substance of the bill was, to discover and recover the The plaintiff, as tithes of Nonfuch Park, in the rectory of Cuddington. The impropriator of bill stated, that the plaintiff's father, deceased, had been, for Surrey, cla fifty years past, and that the plaintiff, ever fince the year 1703, the tithes of as his fon and heir, had been, and still is owner, farmer, and Little Nonfucb impropriator of the rectory, parsonage, or impropriation of Park; as exe-Cuddington, otherwise Quiddington, in the county of Surry, and cutor to his father, from the of all tithes and dues thereunto belonging, and of all tithes, year 1687 to the dues, and duties whatfoever arising within the hamlets, vills, year 1703; and and fields of Cuddington aforefaid; that the ancestors of the as impropriator plaintiff Lloyd, or the plaintiff Roke (a), as their leffee, had, on his own account, from during the time aforefaid, taken, enjoyed, and been in poffession of the fame; that by means thereof the plaintiff Lloyd's S.C. Rayn. 114. father ought, during his life, and the plaintiff ever fince the death of his father, or the plaintiff Roke, as their lessee, to have been answered and paid all the said tithes and dues, or some rate, modus, or composition in lieu thereof; that the plaintiff Lloyd's father made his will, and left the plaintiff Lloyd executor thereof, who having proved the faid will, is entitled to the arrears due in his testator's time; that since his father's death the plaintiff, or his leffee, had been entitled to all the tithes aforetaid; that the plaintiff Roke did, by parol leafe, hold several of the tithes belonging to and arising within the said rectory and titheable places thereof, both from the plaintiff's father, and from the plaintiff fince his father's death, for several years past; that the defendants, for twenty years past, have been, and still are inhabitants, occupiers, and possessors of several farms, lands, and grounds of great value within the faid rectory, parsonage, and impropriation of Cuddington and the titheable places thereof, and ought to have paid the tithes thereof to the plaintiff as aforesaid, but which they had resused to do, pretending that

(a) In Michaelmas Trem, 4 Anne, the present plaintiff Lloyd filed a bill against the desendant Anne Green to recover the tithes of Nonfuch Park. But on reading the deposition of John Roke,

who claimed the same by lease from the proprietor of the rectory, the bill was difmiffed with cofts; but without prejudice to the plaintiff's right to the tithes .- MS.

LLOYD against GREEN. they are abbey lands, and so disciplated from tithes. The bill therefore prayed, that the plaintiffs may have a discovery thereof, and of the quantities, qualities, and values of the same.

The defendant by the bill.

The defendant Ann Green confessed that she is, and for some Ann Green con-time had been, by herself and tenants, possessed of ground fesses she is in called Little Nonsuch Park, which anciently was, and now is, Nonfuch the fee simple of THE CROWN, by a lease thereof made to her Park, but tays late husband by the Duchess of Cleveland, who claims some the same belong- interest therein under THE CROWN; that the said land was freed ed to THE crown, and is and discharged of tithes, and had never paid any, although title tree; that the greater part thereof had been ploughed many years before no tithes had she came into possession thereof; that she had never heard of been claimed for any fuch parish as Cuddington, nor that any part of the lands the same from do lie therein, as in the bill is mentioned, but that the same did and that the has and do lie in the parish of Nonfuch, which did and doth extend no affets to an- itself beyond the said park; that the plaintiff's father had fwer tithes for never molested her husband for the pretended tithes which the first seven the plaintiffs now claim; that the tithes within the manor years demanded of Cuidington are a portion of tithes granted anciently out of some particular lands, which were in THE CROWN long before, and tince the time of Queen Elizabeth.

> All the other defendants said, and insisted upon it, that the lands and grounds in their possessions, lying in Little Nonsuch Park, which they had been told are the lands for which the plaintiffs demand tithes, are fome way or other discharged and exempt from the payment of all manner of tithes, and did never lie in any fuch parish as Cuddington, but did and do lie in the parish of Nonsuch; that they had kept no account of their respective tithes, nevertheless, to the best of their knowledge, they fet forth the quantities, qualities, and values thereof, and infifted upon the exemption.

> The defendant Anne Greene faid, that she is administratrix to her late husband, deceased; that for seven years during his life time the faid park was used for grazing, and that, if any thing was due, he died without affets thirteen years ago; and that the has no affets to answer any tithes for the first seven years demanded by the bill.

The bill retained for a year.

The plaintiffs replied; the defendants rejoined; and several witnesses were examined; and on reading the proofs taken in the cause, and on debate, it is ordered by the Court, that the bill be retained; that the plaintiff Lloyd shall be at liberty to bring an action on the statute for not fetting out the tithes; and that if he do not cause such trial to be had within a year (being not hindered by the defendants), the faid bill shall stand dismissed.

In

In pursuance of the said order, an action was commenced, and tried; and upon reading the postea, it appeared that the plaintiff had recovered tithes in kind against the defendant Anne Green for the lands in question; but on hearing coun-Tel on both fides, a trial at law was directed upon this iffue, ther viz. "Whether the lands and grounds in the occupation men- Park is in the tioned in the defendant's answer, or any, and which of them parish of Cudare in the parish of Cuddington and titheable places thereof, able to pay tithes and titheable to the plaintiff;" on which trial, a verdict was to the plaintiff. found for the plaintiff, which exactly distinguished what lands A verdict found or grounds were in the respective occupations of the several de- for the plaintiff. fendants, particularly in the parish of Cuddington and the titheable places thereof, and titheable to the plaintiff; and upon hearing counsel, and what could be alledged on either fide, and on mature deliberation of the matter.

LLOYD against GREEN.

An iffue direct-

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that the faid Tithes decreed defendants shall severally and respectively account with, satisfy, for the first four and pay to the plaintiffs for their several respective tithes in kind the commencedue from each and every of them for the first four years preceding ment of the suit. the commencement of the fuit (a), according to the proofs in the cause; viz. that the defendant Anne Green shall account for four hundred and fifty acres; the defendant Goldsmith for thirty-five; the defendant Claverly for four and a half; and the defendant Furnace for nine; all which faid lands and grounds are, by the faid verdict, found to lie in Nonfuch Park, within the parish of Cuddington, and titheable to the plaintiff Lloyd as proprietor thereof.

It was accordingly referred to the deputy remembrancer to report the faid account, which he did on the twenty-fixth of November last; and upon reading the said order and report, and no exceptions having been taken, it is ordered by the Court, on the eighth of December 1709, that the faid report be confirmed, and that the defendants shall pay to the plaintiff the respective fums reported due from them for their tithes, amounting to two hundred and ninety-four pounds, four shillings, and eightpence.

EDW. WARD. THO BURY. Ro. PRICE. S. Lovell.

(a) See the opinion of Lord Chief on this point of the cafe. Rayner, 114. Baron Dodd respecting the determination

K k 4

PERN

MICH. TERM, 6. Q. ANNE.

# PERIN against FOUNTAIN.

Cambria'geshire, 4th December 1707.

in

The rector of THE bill stated, that the plaintiff had been rector of Leverington, in the Isle of Ely, in the county of Cambridge, fince the the Ise of Es. year 1682, and, as such, was entitled to all predial and mixed claims tithes of corn and cole tithes, and to all beneficial customs used in the said parish; seed, according that, for the more commodious gathering in of the tithes, there as the same is was a custom that the rector ought to have notice of the time carried away, of dreffing out the tithes of colefeed, and of oats, and other either by the corn bound; that the tithe of coleseed was the tenth bushel, speaf, and says, the parson allowing one penny a bushel for dressing; that the he is inticked to tithes of oats are to be fet out by the sheaf, or the shock, as the notice when the owner intended to carry the crop away; that the plaintiff same is set out. was entitled to one penny for every sheep depastured in the said parish and fold before Candlemas; to one pig in seven, allowing one halfpenny for each pig wanting of ten; to twopence for each milch cow; and to one penny for each heifer.

The defendant that the tithe of tenth bushel.

The defendant Fountain admitted that it was usual to give Fountain admits notice of the time of drefling the colefeed, but faid that whether his right to no- it is fo of right he knew not, nor whether the tithes of com were to be fet out otherwise than by the sheaf, or whether the colefeed is by the tenth bushel of feed ready drest was to be paid as tithe, or who ther one penny was to be paid in licu of the wool of each sheep. He also admitted that the other tithes were payable as stated in the bill; and averred that he had set out the tithes of coleseed, hemp, and oats in kind, and had given notice thereof to the rector; that for his cows and heifers he had offered one shilling and tenpence; that he had pigs, sheep, and fix lambs on the land about a month in the year 1702, and had paid the plaintiff threepence for the tithes thereof, but had no wool, nor had he fold any sheep; that he had hens and a cock, for which he was ready to pay; and had no other titheable matters. By his fecond answer, he faid that he believed the usage of the said parish was, to give notice when the tithes of coleseed and other tithes were to be set out, and before the same were dreffed or removed, and which he faid that he had done in this case. He also said, that the tenth bushel was due for coleseed, allowing one penny for the dressing, and that he had fairly fet out his tithe of colefeed after it was dreffed, and left it on the land where it was dreffed, and given the plaintiff notice; that he had forty coombs and two buthels of colefeed, worth ten shillings a coomb; and thirty acres of oats, worth twenty shillings an acre, and no more, the tithes of both which he had fet out before he removed the nine parts; and that the tithe oats were fet out in sheafs fit for carriage; and he faid that his hemp was worth two shillings, and that he was always ready to pay his dues, and then offered them, excepting those which had been fet out in kind.

The defendant Lepla said that he knew of no custom of paying one penny for each sheep sold before Candlemas in the said parish; that in the said years he had occupied several parcels of ground, of which he had mowed seventy-two acres, worth five shillings an acre, being coarse, and had depastured on the rest leveral sheep, but that, they being low and wet grounds, he had and claims the fed them most of the years on other lands out of the said parith; benefit of corthat he did not clip any in that parish in 1702, nor had he any tain modules. wool, or fold any sheep there; that he had lambs, each lamb worth one shilling, and the tithe one penny each; that he had also kept cows, which had three calves, each calf worth two shillings, and two foals, worth two shillings, and that he had paid the tithe oats in kind; that he had no dwelling or habitation in the parish in either of the said years, and therefore was not chargeable with Easter offerings; that the custom of the parish was, time out of mind, to pay at Easter yearly twopence an acre for mown ground, one penny each foal, one penny an acre for fed ground, in full for tithe herbage, hay, and foals, which custom had been established by a decree of this court (a), and therefore he infifted that he ought not to pay tithe in kind for hay, grafs, or foals, but the faid modufes; that he was always ready to pay the full tithe of calves and lambs, which he had tendered. By his further answer, he admitted that he had occupied in the faid parish about one hundred acres of pasture; and as occasion required had removed fifty or fixty sheep to Thorney, which he had repeated feveral times a year, and never clipt any in the faid parith, the tithe wool thereof was worth four shillings; and he said, that if tithe wool were paid in kind for sheep in the said parish, the tithe pro rata would amount to fix todd, each todd worth fixteen shillings and fourpence; that the lamb tithe was one penny each; that a cow's milk was worth two shillings a-year, but that he had milked none; he admitted twopence a cow to be the ancient tithe for each cow; he faid that he had reared feven calves, and before process iffued in this cause had tendered three pounds ten shillings for tithe calves and lambs in the faid years, and also the faid moduses, and that he was ready to pay the same.

againfl FOUNTAIN. The defendant Lepla says he is an cut dweller.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses Thedepositions, were examined on both fides; and the cause came on pursuant and the somer to an order of the twenty-first of February 1706, by which the decree read. matters were referred to S. Dodd and H. Sawyer; but they having made no award therein, it now, upon hearing of counsel on both fides, and on reading the depositions of divers witnesses taken in the faid cause, and the said former decree the twentyninth of 'pril 1695, Swain against Pern for settling the marner of tithing in Leverington aforesaid, appeared that the defendant Fountain had not duly and fairly fet out the tith s in the faid years.

<sup>. (</sup>a) See Swain w. Pern, Easter Term, 7. Wi'l 3. ante, page 341.

againft . FOUNTAIN. Decreed that the plaintiff is enti-Med to notice;

THE COURT declared, that he ought to have fet out his faid tithes in the fame manner as he ordered and carried away the rest of his corn and colesced; and that the said Fountain's corn, being placed in shocks, the tithe thereof ought to have been fet out by the shock, and not by the sheaf, and that the plaintist ought to have had notice of the time of fetting out the same, and all other his tithes.

and that Lepla the benefit of the medules.

And it appearing further that the defendant Lepla was not is not entitled to entitled to the benefit of any of the customs or modules for tithing in the said parish of Leverington, he being no inhabitant in the faid parish, and that therefore he ought to have set out his tithes in kind, and the faid defendant admitting that there was due to the plaintiff from him for the tithes in question the sum of fifteen pounds nineteen thillings, and the defendant Fountain admitting that there was due from him to the plaintiff for the tithes in question five pounds, four shillings, and tenpence, and the costs in this cause being settled at fixty-seven pounds, fourteen shillings, and sixpence,

> IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, with the confent of the faid parties, that they do forthwith pay to the plaintiff the aforesaid sums of fifteen pounds, nine shillings, and five pounds, four shillings, and tenpence, for their tithes, and sixty-seven pounds, fourteen shillings, and sixpence, for his costs.

> > EDW. WARD. THO. BURY. Ro. PRICE. I. SMITH.

MILARY TERM 6 Q ANNE.

SHALLER against PENNYFATHER.

Middlesex, 21st February 1707.

dlefex, are not tithe free.

The lands called THE plaintiff, as leffee, by virtue of a leafe from the master, Theobald's Park, Tellows, and scholars of Trinity College. Cambridge, of the fellows, and scholars of Trinity College, Cambridge, of the in the parish of impropriate rectory or parsonage of Enfield, in the county of Enfield, in the county of Mid. Middlefex, claimed the tithes of the faid parish in kind.

> The defendants faid, that their lands lie in Theobald's Park, and were part of the inheritance of THE CROWN OF ENG-LAND, and were granted by Charles the Second to George, late Duke of Albermarle, and that afterwards WILLIAM THE THIRD granted the same to the Earl of Portland; that before the said land was inclosed into the faid park, the same was part of Enfield Chace, and that the crown and its leffees and tenants always enjoyed the same without payment of tithes to the lesses or owners of the said rectory; that they had never heard. that any person ever had paid any tithes for any thing arising on the faid lands, or that any tithes are due to the plaintiff for the fame ;

against THER.

fame; that HENRY THE EIGHTH, being seised both of the said rectory and the lands in question, granted the rectory to Trinity College, Cambridge, and that it ought to be presumed, that when he granted the same to the college, he either reserved the tithes of the lands in the defendant's possession, and all the lands in Enfield Chace, or else reserved them to the crown, discharged from tithes; that King Henry the Eighth's successors had granted the lands in the defendant's possession to several persons, tithe free, and that all who have held the same, since the grant of the faid rectory, have enjoyed the fame tithe free; and that it appeared, not only in feveral grants from the crown, but also in several ancient surveys and evidences, that the said lands and other lands in Theobald's Park are mentioned to be tithe free; that the lands were granted by William the Third to the Earl of Portland; that they are his tenants; and that no tithes having been ever paid, they hoped they should not now be compelled to pay tithes for the fame.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and several witnesses were examined on both sides; and upon reading the depositions, and a grant of the rectory and tithes of Enfield, dated the twenty-fourth of December, in the thirty-eighth year of Henry the Eighth, made by him to Trinity College, Cambridge, and a lease by the said college of the said rectory, and tithes in question, to the plaintiff, and the enrollment of a deed, dated the twenty-second of March, in the twenty-ninth year of Henry the Eighth, being a furrender to the crown by J. M. Suffragon, bishop of Coschester, and comendator of the abbey of Walden, and of the convent thereof, and of their corporation, and of the church and rectory of Enfield, and also a grant made to Lord Audley, in the thirteenth year of Henry the Eighth, and a furrender and grant from the faid Lord Audley to the faid King Henry the Eighth, made in the thirty-fourth year of his reign, and a survey of Theobald's Park in 1649, and a copy of a grant from Charles the Second of Theobald's Park to the Duke and Duchess of Albermarle, and another grant, made by William and Mary to the Lord Portland of Theobald's Park, and on full debate of the matter,

THE COURT declared, that the lands in the defendant's occupation, lying in Theobald's Park, within the parith of Enfield, were not discharged from, but ought to pay tithes.

Peirce against Russell and Others; et è Contra.

Gloucestersbire, 21st February 1707.

HILARY TERM 6. Q. Anne.

THE plaintiff, as rector of the parish of Littleton upon Severn, The demesse in the county of Gloucester, claimed all the tithes of corn, lands in the magrain, hay, cheefe, wool, and lamb, and all other titheable things nor of Liulaton, arising in the said parish and in the titheable places thereof.

in Gloncefterfbire, The are tithe free.

Pricz ag arnit RUSSELL AND OTHERS; es é Contra.

The defendants denied that they ought to fet out their tithes, in kind, or to make the plaintiff any satisfaction for the same; for that the lands held by them were heretofore parcel of the diffolved abboy or monastery of Malmesbury, in the county of Wilts, and were part of the demesne lands of the said abbey or monastery; that the abbots of the said monastery and their predecessors had, time out of mind, held the said lands clearly discharged of all manner of tithes; and that the same lands, being part or parcel of the manor of Littleton, came to Henry the Eighth, upon the diffolution of the faid abbey, being one of the greater abbies, by 31. Hen. 8. c. 13. and were afterwards granted by the faid king, by letters patent dated the twentyninth of CEtober, in the thirty-third year of his reign, to Sir Richard Long, Knight, and his heirs, under whom the defendants, by several mesne conveyances, claim (a).

An issue was directed to try, "Whether the lands in the pos-" session of Russel and Stephens, lying in the parish of Littleton " upon Severn, in the county of Gloucester, were parcel of the " possessions of the late dissolved abbey or monastery of Malmef-" bury, and as fuch discharged of the payment of tithes in kind, " or not?" on the trial of which, the jury brought in their verdict for the defendants; and the bill was accordingly difmissed.

(a) The bill also claimed tithes from T Hoptown, the lord of the maner, who stated, by his answer, that he was seised of the dimesne lands, for which there had always been yearly paid to the plantiff Prince and his predeceffors, at Michaelmas, three bushels of wheat, fix bushels of cats, and four groats in noney,

by way of composition, and in lieu of all manner of tithes in kind arifing out of and for the capital meffuage farm and deme fine lands of Littleton; and on reading the grant from Henry the Eighth to Sr Richard Long, the bill, as to the defendant Hopeown, was dismissed with coffs.

EASTERTERM, 7. Q. ANNE.

## Bowles against Lord Arundel.

Wilt/bire, 26th April 1708.

The rector of Donbead St. Andrew, in the years past. country of Wiles, is entitled to mo-Ped Decr Park

THE plaintiff, as rector, claimed tithes of lands in the parish of Donhead Saint Andrew, in the county of Wilts, for fow

The defendant faid, that he had, for five years past, been duies of 31. 8s a- feifed of Wardour Castle, and of the gardens and orchards thereto year, and to the running of a belonging, and of two feveral parks or inclosures, called Ref Lorie, from the Deer Park and Fallow Deer Park, belonging to the faid cafile; thard of May to in which parks are included the faid gardens and orchards, and the twentieth of feveral coppices or wood grounds, and meadow grounds, called September, in Wild Buck Park; that so much of the said parks as contain three of the tithes of hundred and forty acres is within the parish of Donhead Saint

and Fallow Der Park; but he is entitled to the tithes of Little Coppice in kind.

Andrew

Andrew, and the residue in other parishes; that he is also seised of a coppice, called Little Coppice, which is no part of the said parks, but within the parish; that as to so much of the castle and premises as are within the said rectory or parish, viz. three hundred and forty acres, there is, and time out of mind hath been, a certain modus of three pounds, eight shillings a-year, and a horse lease, or the running of a horse, from the third of May to the twentieth of September yearly, in a piece of land called the Pond Close (part of Red Deer Park), due to the said rector, and which had been constantly received and accepted by him in lieu and full satisfaction of all tithes arising upon the premises (except Little Coppice), which lie within the said parish or the rectory, and titheable places thereof.

Bowles

against

Lord

Arundel.

The following iffues were directed to be tried; the plaintiff in equity to be plaintiff at law.

FIRST, Whether the fum of three pounds, eight shillings, and a horse lease, or the running of a horse, from the third of May to the twentieth of September yearly, in a certain piece or parcel of land and ground, called the Pond Close, part of Red Deer Park, in the parish of Donhead Saint Andrew, be a modus, time out of mind, payable for all manner of tithes arising upon that part of the said Red Deer Park and Fallow Deer Park, which lies within the said parish, or not?

- SECONDLY, Whether Little Coppice be part of Red Deer Park, or not?

The jury found the modus as fet forth by the defendant; and also that Little Coppice was no part of Red Deer Park.

THE COURT ordered, that the defendant do pay to the plaintiff seventeen pounds, twelve shillings, in his answer tendered, in sull for the arrear of the said modus of three pounds, eight shillings per annum, and in sull satisfaction of the said horse lease so due, being after the rate of twenty shillings per annum for the horse lease for the said sour years in the answer mentioned; and that the said modus of three pounds, eight shillings per annum, and a horse lease, or running of a horse as aforesaid, be hereby decreed and established accordingly; and that the plaintiff do pay to the desendant his costs at law and in equity, to be taxed by the deputy remembrancer of this court;

RINGSTEAD

TRIN. TERM, 7 Q. ANNE.

# RINGSTEAD against Young and Others.

Norfolk, 2d July 1708.

eurnips, when pulled up and fold, or given to

Tithes in kind THE plaintiff, as vicar of Fouldon, and also as farmer of the rectory of Fouldon, in the county of York, claimed the tithes of turnips.

The defendants admitted, that they pulled or dug up the profitable or turnips, but denied that they fatted any cattle therewith; but etherways used. said that they fed the same with cows belonging to the dairy, and young stock.

> And on debate, whether tithes are due for turnips pulled and digged up, and severed from the ground, though fed by profitable cattle, it was ordered by the Court, that the cause should stand over for the opinion of the court, and in the mean time to be attended with precedents: and upon view of feveral precedents in this court, and on mature confideration of the matter,

> THE COURT declared, that for turnips when drawn or dugup and severed from the ground, either fold or fed by profitable or other cattle, or otherwise spent or used, tithes in kind are due.

> It is therefore ordered and decreed this day by the Court, that the several defendants shall account with the plaintiff for the tithes of their turnips drawn or dug up, and fevered from the ground, and fed within the parish in the years mentioned in the bill.

TRIN. TERMS 7. Q. ANNE.

### HALL against FILTZ. Middlesex, 1st July 1708.

The vicar of Ealing, in Middlefex, very person who dies poffeffed of ty.

turnips;

time 3

THE plaintiff, as vicar of the vicarage of Ealing, otherwise Zealing, in the county of Middlesex, claimed all manner of claims tithes of finall tithes whatfoever yearly arising, &c. therein, and in the calves, titheable places thereof (except of the rector's glebe land there), tumips; and a and alfo, by the custom of the said parish, a mortuary for every mortuary for e. person dying possessed of moveable goods within the said parish,

The defendants admitted, that they feverally occupied farms personal proper- within the parish, and set forth the quantities and values of their tithes, and offered payment to the plaintiff for their tithes of The defendants lamb, wool, fruit, and garden stuff; and as for turnips they faid, fay, they fed that they only fed their sheep therewith, and thereby improved theep with the their lands for the bettering their next succeeding year's crop of corn to be fown, and did not make any other advantage thereof, nor did they fell any of their turnips, or pull any, except a few for fold their theep the use of their family; that they did sell and dispose of their before thearing flocks of theep at fuch time as the best advantage and opportumity offered, which generally happened before Easter, long before shearing time. They admitted that they had several crops of peas and beans, which they faid they had gathered green from the stalks; and that the impropriator of the parsonage their peas and hath all along, from time to time, claimed the tithe, thereof, beans green from and that they had paid the same to him. They infifted, the stalks; that neither the plaintiff nor his predecessors ever had and that the tithes of peafe or beans, but that the impropriator always tithes of pase, took the fame, or fome composition in lieu thereof; and and beams belong also, that there is a modus or customary payment, used time to the impropriimmemorial in the faid parish, that the parishioners and farmers of the faid parish ought to pay only yearly for the tithe of dus of 4d. a-year every milch cow, and the calf she might yearly bring forth, for every milth, fourpence, in lieu of the tithe for fuch calf, and for the milk and and ad. a year herbage of the faid cow, and twopence every year in lieu of for every dry tithe for every dry cow which should not bring a calf; and cow. denied any custom in the said parish for the payment of any mortuary.

against FILTZ.

The defendant Filtz confessed, that he had not set forth his The defendant tithes, having paid the former vicar five pounds per annum in lieu of all small and privy tithes; and that the plaintiff had several in lieu of tithes; times accepted thereof, although he now refuses the same; and and miss, that a he infifted that, by the custom of the said parish, when any of the second crop affarmers and occupiers of lands therein have any one year paid tithe isee. tithes, or compounded with the impropriator or farmer for the tithes of any part of the lands within the parith, which they may plough, fow, and have a crop upon in one year, and do afterwards fow turnips thereon for a fecond crop, in order for the bettering and meliorating of the next succeeding year's crop upon the faid ground, then fuch turnips are not titheable, nor ought any tithes to be paid for the fame, nor had any tithes in fuch case ever been demanded or paid for such turnips within the faid parish.

Fil's fays, he

The plaintiff replied; and faid, as to the tithes of peafe and The phintiffrebeans growing in the common fields, pulled and gathered green linquifies his from the stem, in and by the bill demanded, that he did not claim, as to intend to proceed any further for the fame, but thereby dif. peafe and beans. charged the defendants from examining any witnesses thereto.

As to all other the matters in the bill the plaintiff replied generally; and the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides; and upon reading the proofs in the cause.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendants do The defendants feverally account with the plaintiff for the tithes of the feveral ordered to actitheable matters and things by the bill demanded (except peafe tithes, except of and beans as aforesaid), but that the defendants are not to be pease and beans. accountable for any mortuaries; and it is referred to the deputy remembrancer to take and report the faid account.

HALL against Filtz.

An iffue directed to try the modus.

And as to the modus of fourpence for every milch cow, and the calf she may yearly bring forth, in lieu of tithe for such calf, and for the milk and herbage of such cow; and twopence every year, in lieu of tithe for every dry cow which did not bring forth a calf, by the said defendant's answer insisted on, it is hereby referred to a trial at law; the plaintiff in equity to be plaintiff at law; and the cause to be tried before the Lord Chief Baron.

A verdict found, in favour of the modes, of 4d. for every milch cow.

Confirmed on a new trial,

A trial upon the faid issues was accordingly had; upon which trial the jury found the issue of fourpence in lieu of the tithe for every milch cow and calf she might yearly bring forth in favour of the defendants, and the other issue of two pence, in favour of the plaintiff. But a new trial was directed to be had before the Lord Chief Baron upon that issue only, as to the fourpence in lieu of the tithe for every milch cow, and the calf she might yearly bring forth, in lieu of tithe for such calf, and for the milk and herbage of such cow. Upon which trial the jury found the said issue for the defendants.

In pursuance to the order made the first of July 1708, the deputy remembrancer made his report, dated the sixteenth of June last; and upon reading the said decree and report, and the exceptions put in thereto; and on hearing counsel on both sides;

Reject of tithes

It is ordered by the Court, that the exception filed by the defendant Filtz, touching the tithes of thirty-five lambs, at eight shillings a lamb, which he insisted, by the said exception, ought only to be fix shillings a-piece, be over-ruled; and the exception touching three shillings and eightpence for the agistment tithe of thirty-sour ewes and forty ewes be allowed; and that the defendant Davenport's exception, touching one shilling and sixpence halfpenny for the agistment tithe of thirty sheep be allowed; and that his exception touching the tithe of twenty lambs, at eleven shillings and sixpence each lamb, which the said defendant, by his exception, insisted to be only worth six shillings a-piece, be allowed at eight shillings a-piece; and that the defendant Weatherley's exception, touching sive shillings for the agistment tithe of one hundred and three weather sheep be allowed.

And it is hereby referred to the deputy remembrancer to compute accordingly, and make his further report herein: and it is further ordered by the Court, upon reading the said report, and the defendant Winchester's answer, that the said report, as to him, shall be, and is hereby confirmed; and that he do forthwith satisfy and pay to the plaintiff two pounds, nine shillings, and three farthings, reported due for his tithes. The costs to be reserved till further report come in.

In pursuance of the said order, the deputy remembrancer made his report, dated the first of February instant, and no exceptions were taken to it; and upon reading the faid orders and reports, and on long debate of the matter,

HALL agains FILTZ.

IT IS FINALLY ORDERED, that the faid report be confirmed with costs for the plaintiff, but that costs for the last trial are to be allowed to the faid defendants; and the faid defendants are forthwith to pay to the faid plaintiff the several sums reported due for their faid tithes.

> EDW. WARD. THO. BURY. Ro. PRICE. S. LOVELL.

DYKES against Thompson and Others; et è Contra. Cumberland, 12th May 1709.

EASTER TERM 8. Q Anne.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff was seised in see of the The lord of the manor or lordship of Warthole, in the county of Cumberland, manor of Warand of the demesne lands in the parish of Plumbland; that he and thele, in his ancestors had, time out of mind, paid to the rector of berland, is enti-Plumbland fix pounds yearly, on the fecond of February, in lieu tled, on paying of all manner of tithes ariting within the manor and demended lands, and therefore received the tenth part of all corn, a year, to all grain, hay, wool, lamb, and other titheable things there, tithes of the from all the tenants of the customary tenements therein; but said manor and that the defendants, combining together, had refused to pay him the the fame.

An issue was directed to try the modus, and a verdict was found for the plaintiff. But the Court ordered, that upon the faid defendants paying to the plaintiff such costs as shall be taxed by the deputy remembrancer for the last trial, a new trial shall be had upon the faid iffue by a special jury. In pursuance of which order the costs were taxed at fixty pounds, and paid.

And thereupon a new trial was had; and upon the trial thereof, the jury gave their verdict again for the plaintiff.

IT is ordered and decreed by the Court, that the plaintiff, as lord and owner of the faid manor or reputed manor of Warthole, and of the faid demessee lands thereunto belonging, and his heirs, paying the prescription rent of fix pounds yearly to the rector of Plumbland, as formerly has been done and accustomed, is, in respect thereof, entitled to have, take, and receive all the tithes of the titheable matters and things yearly arising within the said manor and demesne lands to his own use; and the faid usage is hereby, and by the power and authority of this court, established, ratified, and confirmed for ever; and Vol. I.

DYKES against THOMPSON AND OTHERS: et & Contra.

that, in respect thereof, the said plaintiff Dykes, and all persons claiming under him, lords of the faid manor, or reputed manor, of Warthole. and the demesne lands thereof, for the time being, is and are entitled to, and shall for ever hereafter have, take, and receive, to his and their proper use and uses, all the tithes arising within the said manor, or reputed manor of Warthole, and the demesne lands thereof, according to the said several verdicts had.

The plaintiffs and defendants having computed and agreed upon the value of the tithes due to the plaintiff to the time of exhibiting the bill at fifteen shillings,

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendants shall forthwith pay to the plaintiff the said sum of sisteen shillings, so agreed to be due from them, with his costs to be taxed by the deputy remembrancer.

TRIN. TERM. S. Q. Anne.

SMITH against Johnson. Durham, 14th July 1709.

claims tithes fmall.

The defendant feathered fowl,

and an exemptithe of bay on all lands in East Burden;

The rector of THE plaintiff, as rector of Bishop Wearmouth, in the county of Bishop Wearmouth, in the county of Bishop Wearmouth, and small arising Durham, claims all tithes, both great and small, arising both great and within the faid parish, and the titheable places thereof.

The defendant Johnson said, that he kept one brood goose, and infifts on a mo-dus of 6d. a-no more, which had young ones, and infifted on a modus of fixyear in lieu of pence, payable at Easter yearly, in full of the tithes of all feathered the tithe of all fowl kept about the messuage in Wearmouth wherein he lives.

The defendants *Huntley*, Wilkinson, Robinson, and Ayre, infifted, tion from the that they are exempt from payment of all hay tithe upon the feveral farms and lands in East Burden, otherwise Town Burden, as being tenants, by leafes for lives, from the Bishop of Durbam, who is seised of all the messuages, lands, and tenements in the faid town aforesaid in see, in right of his bishopric, and who, and his predecessors, have, time out of mind, for himself and themfelves, his and their tenants and farmers of all the faid premises, held and enjoyed the same, discharged of all hay tithes.

and a modus of lieu of tithe hay and all fmall bridge Holme;

The defendants Peareth and Watson insisted on a modus of five 54. a-year in shillings payable on Good Friday, and two faggots, in discharge of all hay tithes upon all or any part of the lands, called Bainbridge tithes for Bain. Holme, and for all small tithes, except Easter reckonings or offerings.

> All the defendants denied that they bought, bred, or depastured, any barren or unprofitable cattle not used to the pail or plough, or any cattle whatfoever, other than fuch as in their answers is mentioned, within the faid parish, since the plaintiff was rector thereof, and hoped they should not be compelled to pay tithes

for

for the same; for that the said parish is parcel of the hundred or ward of Easington, in the said county of Durham; and that, by ancient custom within the said hundred, the owners, tenants, and farmers, of any lands and tenements within the faid hundred and also an exhave been, and ought to be discharged from the payment of tithes all for all barren and unprofitable cattle bred, fed, or depastured, sither of lands or for or in respect of the agistment or depasturing thereof, or of lying in any other cattle in or upon the faid lands or ground, or any part hundred of Eathereof; and that accordingly no tithes have at any time been fington. paid for any fuch barren cattle, or the agistment or depasturing the same, or any other cattle, within the said hundred; for that the rector of the faid church has enjoyed glebe lands and other tithes and profits yielding a competent reasonable maintenance and sublistence for him and them, without the tithes for barren and unprofitable cattle, or agisting or depasturing the same, or any other cattle, amounting to three hundred pounds per annum, and now to four hundred pounds; and they infifted that they were exempt from the payment of the faid tithes.

SMITH against JOHN SON .

The defendant Cragg confessed, that he farmed a wind corn mill; but faid, that no tithe is due for the same, as it stood within part of Enlington Ward, and so, by custom, was freed from the payment of tithes.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses The modus as to were examined on both fides; and upon reading the depositions feathered fowl as to the prescription of fixpence per annum in lieu of the tithes of ed, the tithes of all feathered fowl, infifted on by the defendant Johnson in his gesse decreed. answer, the same not being proved or made out, this court doth overrule the same; but as the plaintiff demands tithes for geese only, and for no other feathered fowl, it is ordered, that Johnson do account with the plaintiff for the tithe of geefe only; and that the other defendants be dismissed out of this court, as to the account demanded against them touching the tithe geese, and all other fowl, as it appears that they have paid the plaintiff for the

And as to the custom whereby the several defendants claim to The pretended be exempt from all tithes for barren and unprofitable cattle, and exemption from for the agistment and depasturing thereof, or of any other cattle, agistment sither in and from all arraying and agistment tithes TUE County Essages deand from all grazing and agistment tithes, THE COURT clared to be declared, that the faid custom, if any such there be, is void in void. law, and therefore overruled the same. But as it appeared, that only the defendants R. Hodgson, J. Shepherdson, W. Atkinson, and A. Hodgson, had any such barren and unprofitable cattle agisted or depastured within the time mentioned in the bill, it is ordered, that they only do account for the fame; and that all the other defendants thall be and are hereby dismissed from the faid bill, as to the accounts demanded of them touching the faid tithe.

L 1 2

And

SMITH egainst Tithes decreed for firep bought kjiled unshorn.

of 5s. a-year for Bainbridge Burden.

And as to the tithes demanded for sheep bought in after shearing, and wintered and fold, or killed unshorn, it is ordered, that the defendants Shepherdson, Hodg son, Atkinson, Hodgson, Thompson, and Reed, do account for the tithes of such sheep; after shearing and that the defendants Reed and Thompson shall be dismissed as time, and foldor to all other matters in the faid bill contained.

And as to the modus insisted on by Peareth and Watson, as to the modus touching the farm called Bainbridge Holme; and the exemption infifted on by Huntley, Wilkinson, Robinson, and Ayre, touching Holme, and the the township of Town Burden, otherwise East Burden, for the exemption from non-payment of tithe hay, as being the Bishop of Durham's tithe hay in East tenants; a trial at law is directed upon these two issues; the plaintiff in equity to be plaintiff at law, and to be tried by a special jury.

> FIRST, "Whether the Bishop of Durham, and his predecessors 66 bishops of Durham, have, time out of mind, for himself and "themselves, his and their tenants and farmers of all and every " the meffuages, lands, and grounds, within the faid township of "Town Burden, otherwise East Burden, and of every part thereof, " held and enjoyed the fame discharged, acquitted, and privi-" leged of and from the payment of all tithes of or for hay " growing or renewing in and upon the faid premifes, and every " part and parcel thereof?"

SECONDLY, "Whether a modus of five shillings, payable on " Good Friday yearly, and two faggots, be and has been, time " out of mind, due and payable to the rector of the rectory of 66 Bishop Wearmouth for the time being, for and in lieu and full " discharge of the tithes of hay growing and renewing upon the tenement or farm, called Bainbridge Holme, and every part and " parcel thereof, and for and in lieu and full discharge of the "tithes of calves, wool, lambs, geefe, pigs, and all other small tithes whatfoever (except Easter reckonings or offerings), " yearly, from time to time, renewing, happening, or arifing " upon the faid tenement or farm, called Bainbridge Holme, and " every part and parcel thereof."

Verdicts. detendants.

Verdicts on both issues were found for the desendants; but 2 both points in new trial was ordered, the plaintiff first paying the defendant's favour of the their costs taxed on the trial of the said issues, and on the second trials verdicts were again found on both issues for the defendants.

The bill, as to on Bainlinge Holme and Eaft ſф.

THE COURT thereupon ordered, that the defendants Peareth, the tithe of my &c. be difinished, with very moderate costs, to be faxed in this court and at law; and that the deputy do, out of fuch costs, Burden, difmif. deduct the several moduses payable by the defendants for the time in the bill mentioned, or the values thereof.

### CRISPE against MICKLEBURGH. Norfolk, 21st July 1709.

TRIN. TERM, 8. Q. Anne.

THE bill stated, that for twenty years last past the plaintiff The rector of had been rector of Ellingham, in the county of Norfolk, and, Rilingham, in the county of Norfolk, and, Norfolk, claims as fuch, was entitled to all tithes, duties, and profits whatfoever, a modus of 2d. an belonging to the faid rectory, and the titheable places thereof; acrefor meadow that the defendant, for eight years past, had been tenant and ground, occupier of several acres of mowing ground in the parishes of tithes for the a-Kirby Cane and Stockton, and five acres in Ellingham, for which the on that part he ought to have paid tithes in kind, or a modus of twopence an of Mielle Fin acre yearly in lieu thereof; that there is in the faid parish a which lies in large, open, uninclosed common, of about three hundred acres, Ellingbam. called Mickle Fen Pa/lure, or feeding ground, lying between and S. C. 1. Bro. extending itself into the several parishes of Kirby Cane, Stockton, P. C. 278. Gilston, Ellingbam, and other towns in the said county, in which the defendant, for the faid time, had put yearly to depasture S.C. 2. Eq. Abr. heifers, colts, and horses, with other cattle, for which tithe 732. herbage was due to the plaintiff, yearly worth thirty shillings, or S.C. 9. Viner, more, but that the defendant had refused to pay the said tithe 43. herbage due to him. The bill therefore prayed a discovery of the cause, Trin. modus for the faid mowing ground, and what quantities of hay he 2. Geo. 1. had made thereon; and also a discovery of his other tithes, and a fatisfaction for the fame.

The defendant appeared, and put in his answer, and thereby The defendant infifted on a modus of twopence an acre for the meadow ground; for the meadow but as to the tithes of the feed of his cattle on the faid common, ground; but called Mickle Fen, he stated, that the inhabitants of the respective says, that he parishes adjoining to the common had, time out of mind, paid lives in Kirky tithes for the cattle fed thereon to the incumbent of the parish to Mickle Fen; where the owner of such cattle lived, and where, in the winter and that he had feason, they were kept; that he had paid tithe for his cattle said the agistfed on the said common to the incumbent of Kirby Cane, in ment tithe to which parish he lived, and to the rector of Stockton, where his the rector other farm lay; and that he had two parcels of Dole Land in Gilston Common, where he had a right to feed cattle and cut alders, but could not come at those lands without driving over that part of the common, called Mickle Fen, which lay in the parish of Ellingham.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witneffes were examined on both fides; and upon reading feveral of the proofs taken in the cause,

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendant do account The Court defor the tithes of the cattle depastured on that part of Mickle Fen crecs the agistwhich is in Ellingham, and also for twopence an acre for the ment to be paid to the rector of meadow land in that parish.

CRISPE againf MICKLE-RURGH.

The defendant Micklebury appealed from this decree to the house of lords, infifting, that no tithes had ever been paid, or were due to the rector of Ellingbam, for his cattle fed on Mickle But, on appeal, Fen, and admitting the modus of two pence an acre for the meathe decree is re- dow lands; and, after argument, it was refolved, that the decree versed, for that of the court of exchequer should be reversed, because the custom the custom to pay that every farmer should pay tithes to the rector where he lived, tithes was good; and that the plaintiff's bill in that court be difmiffed, mer lives, is without prejudice as to his right to the modus of twopence an acre for the five acres of land in Ellingham.

TRIN. TERM, S. Q. Anne.

WRIGHT against Elderton. Middlesex, 23d July 1709.

of turnips not fed; but not to the tithe of turnips fown, as a the fame ground from which corn has

The vicar of Stepney, in Mid-lawful vicar of Stepney, in the county of Middlefex, and to 38.6d.a-year, intitled to the tithes and profits of the faid vicarage; that the in lieu of the plaintiff's predecessors, by ancient custom, constantly did, and tithes of garden the plaintiff ought now to receive threepence, at Easter, for to 3d. a-year every person above sixteen years of age within the said parish, to from every per- be paid by the master of the family where such persons shall son above the dwell; and the tithes of all herbs, fruits, roots, milk, and pigs; age of fixteen, that the defendant had been a housekeeper in the parish for offerings; to 6d. eight years past, and had, during that period, seven persons in a year for every his family above the age of fixteen; that he had occupied, during though the faid time, two gardens and one orchard, which produced milked out of roots, fruit, and herbs; that he had also fixteen acres of turnips, zod. a year for part of which he had pulled up and fold, and with the other every sow with part had fed his cattle; that he had also kept divers milch cows Pig; and to and pigs; the tithes whereof amounted to fifty-eight pounds, tithes in kind ten shillings, and sixpence.

S. C. Bunb. 19.

The defendant contended, that the threepence for every person in the said parish, as in the bill is mentioned, was not of right due; that a modus of four shillings an acre for each garden. second crop, on was due, in lieu of the tithes of garden herbs and fruits; and fixpence for each cow, in lieu of milk; and that he never knew any tithes paid for pigs; that the tithe of turnips was due when been reaped and fown as a first crop, and no other tithes paid for the same ground that year; but that when corn had been fown in any year in the faid parish, and tithes paid for the same after the rate of four or S.C. Rayn. 119. five shillings an acre, according to the grain sown, and the same land was afterwards, in the fame year, made to produce a crop of turnips, no tithes were payable for the fame, nor had any been before demanded; it being very unreasonable that tithes should be paid twice for the ground. He admitted that he had lived eight years in the parith, and had feven perfons in his family above fixteen years of age; but infifted, that the plaintiff was not entitled to threepence for each of them. that he had paid the plaintiff the rates he demanded for his tithes

(a) See other causes, Trinity Term, 13. Geo. 2. and Mich. Term, 32. Geo. 2.

WRIGHT against ELDERTON.

in the year 1702, for which he had a receipt; and infifted on the same in bar of the plaintist's demands to that time. He set forth the number of cows which he had kept at Mile End, in the said parish, in the year 1703; and alledged, that he had offered, and was still ready to pay the usual modus for the same; but insisted, that the cows which he had kept on Red Lion Farm being always milked in Whitechapel, he ought not to pay tithes, the farm house being in the parish of Whitechapel, and he having paid tithes for them to the rector of that parish. He confessed, that in the year 1703 he had sowed thirty-three acres of land with turnips, part whereof he had fold, and with the remainder he had fed his cows; but he faid, that the greater part was fown that year with corn, for which he had paid tithes four or five shillings an acre; and therefore insisted, that he ought not to pay any further tithes for the same that year; and stated, that for fuch part as had not been so sown he had offered to pay tithes, and was still ready so to do; and he pleaded the tender of twenty pounds in fatisfaction thereof.

THE COURT ordered the defendant to pay the tithes of his garden, at the rate of three shillings and sixpence a-year, that being the usual rate paid for the same; and for Easter offerings, at the rate of threepence yearly for each person in his family above the age of fixteen years; and for tithes of all his milch cows, as well those kept on Red Lion Farm as those in the parish of Stepney, at the rate of fixpence a cow yearly, although the fame had been milked in the parish of Whitechapel; and also twentypence yearly for every fow that had pigs which were at any time kept in the parith of Stepney, although fuch fows pigged in the parish of Whitechapel, and also the tithes of turnips of the first crop not fed.

But as to the tithe of turnips fown after corn reaped, the Barons were divided in their opinions, and took time to confider further of the same; and on the eighth of December 1710, when the cause came on to be further heard, the question was argued by counsel a second time.

THE COURT was of opinion, that tithes are not due for turnips fown upon land as an after crop, where corn hath been the same year cut, and tithes paid for the same; and thereupon ordered that the bill, as to the tithes for fuch turnips, be dismissed.

> WALKER against WEBB. Hercford, hire, 10th June 1710.

TRIN. TERM, 9. Q. ANNE.

THE vicar of Ledbury, in the county of Hereford, claims The vicar of the tithe of hay, and all other privy tithes arising Ledbury, in Heretherein.

The tithes in kind.

L 1 4

WALKER againft W233.

The defendants fay, that the parsonage is dithe portionaries entitled to the in the Forren.

rough;

are tithe free;

The defendants, by their answer, said, that they knew not with what tithes the faid vicarage was endowed, but that there were two halls or portionary parsonages or prebends of the said church, the one called the Upper Hall, and the other the Lower Hall; and that J. Benson and J. Clarke were presented to the vided in two faid portionary parsonages, and were thereby entitled to the portions, called tithes of hay, corn, and fruit, in that part of the parish called Upper Hall and the Forren or outpart of the said parish, of all titheable lands Nature Hall, and there that were not discharged of tithes, as several estates there were; but that there was a modus decimandi, payable, time out tithes of hay, of mind, yearly, out of all the estates in the Forren, except such as corn, and fruit, were exempted from tithes, for all the tithe hay and fruit in fuch estates in the Forren; that such modus had been settled and estathat the vicar is blished by a decree in chancery about the year 1679; that the not endowed faid vicarage had been endowed, and alternately presented to, with the tithe by the parsons and portionaries for the time being, and was not and fruit in the endowed with any tithe of hops, hay, or fruit in the Forren, and Form, but is but with tithe hay and fruit in the Burrough, there being no tithe entitled to the hops paid in the Burrough; that about thirty years ago there had tithe of hay and been a verdict at Hereford affizes for J. Skipp and J. Elton, farmers of the faid portionaries, against C. Townsend, the then vicar, for tithe hops in the Forren (a), that the mortuaries were that some of the payable to the said portionaries, and not to the vicar; that all or demelne lands some of the demessne lands of the manor of Ledbury, and the

> (a) On the 6th June. Trinity Term, 30. Car. 2. Townfird, the then vicar of Lidbury, filed his bill in this court against the defendant Shiff, the tenant for lives in being of the glebe lands and tithes within the laid parish or portionary called Owerball, whereof Dr. Dukeson had, for divers years, been incumbent, claiming all small tithes in the faid parish or borough of The defendant denied, that the tenant or occupier of the faid portionary had ever, in the memory of man, paid any kind of tithe to the vicar, or made any fort of recompence for the same, for or in respect of the said glebe lands or tenements. He also said, that he was feifed of divers lands and tenements in the faid parish, with the tithes thereof, formerly the demefres of the maner of Ledbury, which are tithe free. He confessied, that he was seised of other lands, which ought to pay the tithe of corn, grain, hay, fruit, hemp, flax, and hops, to the incumbent, and all other titheable matters to the vicar; that the tithes of corn, grain, hay, fruit, hops, hemp, and flax, had been immemorially due and paid to the ir cumbents of the portionaries; and that all other t thes, together with certain proportions of wheat and

oats iffuing out of the faid portionaries, belonged to the vicar, fave only for some burgages and farms for which no tithe had ever been paid to the vicar. The chaf matter in dispute was, whether the vicar ought to have the tithe of hops throughout the parish of Ledburg, except the glebe of the portionaries, and the tithes of wood, herbage, and other small tithes of the demeine lands of the manor of Ledbury. The Court directed two iffues: FIRST, " Whether or not the vicar ought to have the tithe of hops in all " the parish, or in any and in what part " thereof, except the borough and hof-" pital lands;" which were not controverted .- SECONDLY, " Whether or " not the vicar ought to have the tithes " of wood, herbage, and other small " tithes arifing upon and out of the " demeine lands of the manor of Led-" bury in the possession of the defendant " or his tenants " But it does not appear in the exchequer books that these issues were tried; or, whether any proccedings were afterwards had in the matter .- But see the case of Skipp v. Voke, Mich. Term, 21. Car. 2. ante, page 107.

manors

manors of Upper Hall and Nether Hall, and the demesne lands and glebe, and fome other lands in the faid manors, were exempted from the payment of all or some small tithes; that there was a custom through the said parish to pay one penny only for every that there is a milch cow, in lieu of milk and cheese. They denied, that by modus of id milch cow; immemorial custom, prescription, or otherwise, the vicar had been entitled to all, or had received any tithes of hay, hops, or ares are entitled fruit in the Forren; but said, that there was a custom to pay to certain sums, certain fums of money, by the owners or occupiers of lands, to in her of the the portionaries, or their farmers, or leffees, for all tithe hay and tithe of hay and fruit in the Forren; but they knew not the particular sums, as the owners and occupiers paid different fums according to their respective estates. They admitted, that the plaintiff ought to have that the vicar is the tithes of calves, sheep, lambs, wool, pigs, geese, eggs, flax, entitled to other hemp, and herbage, and all other small and privy tithes in the Forren, except from such lands as were exempted from the payment of tithes, and except tithe hops to the portionaries; as also the modus for the hay and fruit; and a modus of one penny a cow only yearly, payable to the vicar in lieu of tithe milk and cheefe. They denied that they had refused to pay to the plaintiff the tithes, mortuaries, or oblations that were due and used to be paid to the vicar, but by their answer offered to pay him all such as he was justly entitled to, except for what they had paid him, and except for hay, fruit, hops, milk, and cheefe. And they fet forth what particular lands they held in the faid Burrough; and what lands in the faid Forren; and what lands in each of the faid places were discharged of the payment of tithes; as also the particulars and values which they respectively had; and what compositions had been made; and what money had been paid by them or their landlords for the tithes due from them respectively.

WALKER againft WEER.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses On reading the were examined on both sides; and on reading the defendants evidence, the answer, and the proofs taken in the cause, and the defendant bill is dismissed. Walker's answer to the cross bill, and a decree of this court, dated the fixth of June, in the third year of Charles the Second, Townsend, clerk, plaintiff, and Skipp, defendant, and the postea returned thereon, and several exhibits proved in the cause, and on long debate,

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid defendants shall be, and are hereby dismissed of and from the said bill, as to the tithe of hops, and also as to the rest of the matters and things in the faid bill contained.

EDW. WARD. THO. BURY. R. PRICE. S. LOVELL.

PRICE

MICH. TERM, 9. Q. ANRE.

# Price against Downes. Estex, 6th November 1710.

called Cold Haryear at the rate of 28. 6d. in the pound.

The rector of Winnington, in the county of Effex, stated, Winnington, in the year 1708, occupied a farm, called Effer, claims the Island of Cold Harbour, and other lands within the parish, of tithes of a farm the yearly value of one hundred and eighty pounds; that it was bour, and other customary for the occupiers of lands within the faid parish to pay lands of the va- the fum of two shillings and sixpence in the pound for the tithes lues of 1801 a- of all meadow, pasture, and feeding lands therein.

The defendant the rate is only pound.

The defendant admitted that he was occupier of the faid says, that part farm, and of other lands; but said, that certain lands called of the faid farm, the King's Lands, part of the faid Island of Cold Harbour, of the is tithe free, and yearly value of twenty pounds, were part of the diffolved the refidue only priory of Sheen, in the county of Surry, which was one of the worth 361. 2 greater monasteries, and dissolved by the statute 31. Hen. 8. and therefore exempt from the payment of tithes; that all the 21. 4d. in the titheable lands in the defendant's possession, within the said parish, were, after deducting the said exempted lands, of the yearly value of eighty-fix pounds, and no more, for which there was a running composition between the plaintiff and the defendant for the payment of two shillings and fourpence halfpenny in the pound in full fatisfaction for all tithes of the faid lands, and which amounted to ten pounds four shillings, which the defendant tendered the payment of by his answer, and which the plaintiff had accepted out of court in a former fuit brought for the tithes of 1707, the plaintiff not having given any notice to the defendant that he would take his tithes in kind.

On reading the evidence,

Whereupon, and upon reading an order of this court, dated the twenty-ninth of November 1708, and a receipt thereon for ten pounds four shillings in full for tithes due to the plaintiff Kingfand is de- for 1707, and the grant of the faid King's Lands, by letters patent dated the seventeenth of December, in the forty-fifth year of *Queen Elizabeth*, and a record of the augmentation office, the bill and answer, and the proofs taken in the cause, it appeared that the faid lands called the King's Lands were parcel of the dissolved priory of Sheen, and that the same being one of the greater monasteries dissolved by 31. Hen. 8. was exempt from the payment of tithes, and that the composition for the titheable lands was still subsisting.

and the rate of pound confirm-

THE COURT declared, that the faid lands, called the King's 25. 4d. in the Lands were exempt from the payment of all tithes; and therefore ordered, that the defendant do pay to the plaintiff ten pounds four shillings for his tithes of the eighty-fix pounds per annum

for the titheable lands in his possession, at the composition of two shillings and fourpence halfpenny in the pound aforesaid, out of which the faid defendant is to detain five pounds for The plaintiff to his costs of the plaintiff's controverting the tithes of the said exempted lands.

PRICE against DOWNES.

pay costs for claiming tithes of the exempted

HILARY TERM 9. Q. Anne.

# NICHOLAS against Elliot.

Surry, 1st February 1710.

THE vicar of Shalford, in the county of Surry, with the cha- The vicar of pelry of Bramley thereto annexed, claimed all finall tithes, Shalford, in Surand stated, that the defendant had compounded with him for tithes of honey, finall tithes to the year 1705, except for the small tithes of turnips, pease, wood, hemp, flax, and carrots; that for the year 1706 age, beans, beans, the defendant, upon a reference, paid the plaintiff for agistment pease, and fife. tithe of turnips and other small tithes; that he had several ponds, S.C. Rayn. 119. cut great quantities of coppice or underwood, without paying S. C s. Eq. in which he bred a great number of fish, and that he had yearly S. C. Bunb. 19. tithes for the same; that he the defendant, being farmer of the S. C. Bro. P. great tithes encroached upon the vicar's title, for that it being C. 31. a constant custom in the faid parish and chapelry for the vicar to have the tithes of peafe and beans fet, drilled, or fowed in rows or ranks in a garden-like manner, cultivated with the hoe, or weeded with the hand, for the most part having carrots fowed between them, the plaintiff's predecessors had, time out of mind, received and enjoyed the tithes of all lands within the faid parish that were in that manner sowed, set, or drilled, which the defendant and his father, who farmed the great tithes before him, broke in upon, and carried away fuch tithes by force, because they then, about thirty years since, began to plough the ground instead of digging it, and then managed the crop after the fame manner with the hoe, or weeding with the the hand, alledging that crops growing where the plough is used must be great tithes, whereas the custom of the said parish is manifestly the contrary in the tithes of hemp, flax, woad, and carrots, which are fowed after the plough; that the defendant had great quantities of peafe and beans yearly fet, fowed, and managed in the manner before mentioned, but that because the land was ploughed, he had refused to pay any tithes for the fame; that the plaintiff hath by this means been defrauded of two thirds of tithe peafe, besides the agistment of turnips (except for the year 1706), and of fish, wood, poultry, the growth of wool not shorn, and of young, barren, and unprofitable cattle fed and fatted. The bill therefore prayed, that the defendant may answer the premises, and be compelled to pay the true value in fatisfaction for the tithes.

NICHOLAS against ELLIOT.

The defendant fays, that the tithes of honey

titheable;

eggs;

The defendant admitted the plaintiff to be vicar, and faid, that he had compounded with him for small tithes to Michaelmas 1705; that in 1706 he paid him in full for all tithes upon a reference; and that in the years 1707 and 1708 he took feveral fmall tithes in kind; that his tithe of honey was always comand wax are in- prifed in the orchard, and that he never kept an account of cluded in the the tithe of eggs, but is willing to pay sixpence for the same orchard tithes; in each year; that in the year 1708 he planted about half an that he is wil- acre of beans in the field with the hand in ranks, but the same ling to pay 6d. having been so planted after the plough, and not after the a-year for tithe fpade, the tithe thereof belongs to the impropriator; that he lately fold three hundred and fifty carp for fourteen pounds, that carp bred and paid no tithes for them, there being none due, as he was in a pondare not addited, that he is willing to charge himfulf with the sixthe of advised; that he is willing to charge himself with the tithe of and that his \_\_\_\_ cold wethers each year, bought in about Michaelmas, beans and peafe and fold out in January, which were fed upon errishes and been after-pasture of meadow, and upon his turnips, together with planted in ranks his ewes and lambs; that he planted, in the years 1703 and in the fields af1704, seven acres of pease, drilled out of the hand after the
ter the plough, and not after the spade, in garden-like manner; but
and not after plough, and not after the spade, in garden-like manner; but the spade, the infisted that the plaintiff has no right to any tithes of pease or either thereof are beans planted in the fields after the plough, and not managed due to the impropriator, and with the spade, although the same be set, drilled, or sowed in and to the vicar.

> The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and divers witnesses were examined; and upon reading the depositions,

eggs, and herbage decreed.

It is ordered and adjudged by the Court, that the honey, wax, defendant do pay to the plaintiff the tithes of honey, wax, and eggs, and for depasturing one hundred wethers, according to his answer; the deputy remembrancer to take the said account.

A case made as

As to the tithes of peafe, beans, and fish, it was ordered, to pease, beans, that a case be made and stated, and THE BARONS attended therewith; the cause to be contained in the paper for the judgment and opinion of the Court upon the faid cafe.

> The cause came on the twenty-eighth of June 1711 to be further heard upon the case; and upon debate of the matter,

The tithes of

THE COURT (a) is of opinion, and do declare, that the the pease and varying the manner of preparing the ground for such setting beans decreed; and fowing from the spade, or spade and plough to the pond not tithe. plough alone, shall not alter the right of the tithes so as to able of common entitle the impropriator to them when the ground is prepared by the plough alone, although fuch peafe and beans are fet,

> (a) Signed by EDW. WARD, Lord Chief Baron, TRO. BURY, Ro. PRICE, S. LOVELL.

fowed, .

fowed, and cultivated in the same manner as they were when the spade or spade and plough were used for preparing the ground; and that fifth in a pond are not titheable without a custom.

NICHOLAS ezainst ELLIOT.

HILARYTERM

fituated in Little Leybourne, in the

Wealds, and tithe

Whereupon it is this day ordered by the Court, that as to fuch part of the plaintiff's demand which relates to the tithe of fish, the defendant be dismissed; and that the defendant do account with, fatisfy, and pay to the plaintiff for the tithe of all pease and beans set, drilled, or sowed in rows, or ranks, which are hoed or weeded with the hoe in a garden fashion within the parish of Shalford, planted by the defendant; the deputy remembrancer to take the faid account (b).

(b) See this decree confirmed in other cause, Hilary Term, 1. Geo. 1.; another cause, Trinity Term, 10. Geo. 1. another contested cause of Nicholas v. Austen, Easter Term, 1. Geo. 1.; an-

# SPATEMAN against Know. Kent, 19th February 1710.

9. Q. Anne. THE rector of Leybourne, in the county of Kent, claimed The woodlands

The defendant confessed, that ten years ago he purchased county of Kent, from Mr. Clarke forty-nine acres of woodland, fituated in Little are within the Leybourne, otherwise Little Comp, in the Weald of Kent, distant three miles from Leybourne, and a distinct parish; and that he cut about twenty-five acres of the fame, and made thereof core wood, brush wood, or spray broom, staves, hop poles, and bark, but said, that as the woods lie in the Weald of Kent they are exempt from tithes.

An issue was directed to try, "Whether the said woodlands " are within the Weald of Kent, or without the faid weald?" and upon a full evidence given on both fides, a verdict paffed for the defendant.

THE COURT therefore dismissed the bill.

tithes of wood.

# ISAACK against PORTBURY. Cornwall, 7th May 1711.

EASTERTERM, JO. Q. ANNE.

HE inhabitants, owners, and occupiers of lands in the parish Several modes of of Northill, in the county of Cornevall, filed their bill to tithing in the establish the following modules payable to the rector in lieu of pansh of Northing Touthe of the countries o tithes. For the offerings of a man and his wife communicating, ty of Cormunity threepence. For a widow or widower communicating, one confirmed and penny halfpenny. For every other fingle person communicat- established.

ISAACE againfi PORTBURY. ing, one penny. For every cow that hath a calf, called a renewed cow, one penny, in discharge of the tithe milk of such cow. For every veere cow, one halfpenny, in discharge of the tithes of every such cow. For every milch ewe, one farthing, in lieu and discharge of the tithe of milk of such ewe. For every colt foaled in the faid parish, one penny. For every hogshead of cyder, made of apples grown in the faid parish, twopence, in lieu and fatisfaction of the tithes of fuch apples and cyder. For every garden, one penny, in lieu and fatisfaction of the tithes payable to the rector for such garden, and the herbs, fruits, and roots grown therein. For every calf fallen in the said parish, where the parishioner hath under the number of feven there fallen in any one year, and not more, which shall be reared, one halfpenny, and for every one that shall be killed one penny, in lieu of the tithes of fuch calves fo under the number of feven. For every lamb fallen in the said parish, where the parishioners hath under the number of seven there fallen, and not more in one year, one farthing, in lieu of the tithes of fuch lambs fo under the number of seven. For every pig fallen in the parish, if any person hath under the number of seven there fallen in any one year and not more, one farthing, in lieu of the tithes of fuch pigs under the number of seven. For geese and goslings, if under the number of seven, for every one, one farthing: all which moduses and customary payments are payable at Easter, yearly. For grass grown in the parish, when cut and made into hay, the tenth pook or cock, after first putting the same into grass cocks, before the same be again cast abroad, for the tithe of fuch grass and hay. The rector ought to keep a bull and a boar for the use of the parishioners.

The defendant infifted upon tithes in kind of all the things titheable for which the faid modules are pretended to be pay-

THE COURT confirmed and established the said customs and moduses,

MICH. TERM, 33. Q. ANNE. LORD STAMFORD against LUKE.

Cornwall, 25th October 1711.

all fish caught rectory; and the

A tenth part of THE impropriator of the town and parish of Saint Ives, in the county of Cornwall, claimed, by immemorial custom, at fea, and brought into St. the tenth part of all fish taken within the said town, parish, Iva for fale, is and rectory, or the titheable places thereof, or at, in, or upon due to the im- THE SEA, and brought into the faid town, parish, or rectory propriator of the for fale. The bill stated, that in and about the sea, within the

Athermen are bound to give notice to the impropriator of the arrival of the fifthing boars, and of the time of tithing, and to let forth upon the share the full tenth part of the fish brought in. faid faid parish, there hath, time immemorial, been used great fishing for pilchards, herrings, and other sish, by persons living within the said town, rectory, or parish of Saint Ives, for sale and otherwise; that such sish are usually brought by the said sishermen into the said town, rectory, or parish, and there unshipped and laid on land; and that, by custom immemorial, the tenth part of the same ought to be delivered to the rectors or impropriators; that, by the said custom, the sishermen bringing sish are obliged, upon the arrival of the vessels and sishing boats in the said town, parish, or rectory, and bringing the sish on land, to give notice to the rectors or impropriators, and after such notice given to set forth upon the shore the full tenth of the said sish.

LORD Stamford against Luee,

The defendants admitted, that the plaintiff was owner of the rectory, and entitled to the tenth part of all fish taken in the faid parish, or upon the sea, and brought into the said parish for sale or otherwise, or to some modus or composition in lieu thereof; but denied that they or any other fishermen, inhabitants of the faid parish, are, by any custom immemorial, obliged to give notice to the impropriators of the arrival of their fishing boats to the shore, but that the plaintiff's agent had always made it his business to attend the arrival of such boats with pilchards and herrings and other fish, and to demand the tenth thereof, which was accordingly paid to him; and they described the particular manner of setting out such They faid also, that several inhabitants of the said parish had, for several years past, taken, in Mounts Bay, feveral quantities of pilchards, in drift boats and nets, and cured them in cellars in the faid Mounts Bay, fix or feven miles distant from the said town and parish of Saint Ives; and that the customary payment for all such tithe fish taken without the faid parish had been immemorially, and is now to pay two shillings a hogshead in lieu thereof; that the agents for taking fuch tithe fish had themselves bought considerable quantities of fuch remote taken fish, and that they accounted with their employers after fuch rate per hogshead; that they never knew or heard of tithes in kind being paid for fuch remote taken fish, but that the same was compounded for as aforesaid. They also denied, that the fishermen taking such remote fish are obliged to give notice to the impropriator of the time and place of tithing the fame; the hogsheads containing such remote taken fish being exposed publicly in the cellars, they remained for the faid agent to number the same, and to charge every particular owner the rate aforesaid per hogshead.

The plaintiffs replied; the defendants rejoined; and several witnesses were examined on both sides; and upon opening the bill, and reading an order dated the seventeenth of May last, whereby the said defendants were to appear gratic at the hear-

LORD STAMFORD against LUKE.

ing, and on reading the answer of the said desendants, they making default, and not attending with their counsel, it appeared to the Court, that the tithe of fish was due by the custom and usages of the said parish and rectory; and that the fishermen were obliged to give notice to the impropriator, his rector, or agent, of the arrival of the veffels and filhing boats, and of the time of tithing; and after fuch notice to fet forth upon the shore the full tenth or tithe of the said fish.

IT is thereupon ordered and decreed, that the defendants shall satisfy the plaintiffs for the value of the tithes of all the pilchards and herrings by them caught and taken at sea and brought into the faid town, parish, or rectory impropriate of Saint Ives, and the titheable places thereof, within the time in the bill mentioned; the deputy remembrancer to take the account.

HILARYTERM 10. Q. ANNE. TILLOTSON against CHALLIS and Others.

Cambridgeshire, 22d February 1711.

The tithes of r hemp, flax, and coleseed, in the to the reller, and not to the vicar.

THE plaintiff Jarvis, as leffee of Dr. Tillotson, rector of Elme, in the county of Cambridge, with the chapel of parish of Elme, Emmeth, in the county of Norfolk, annexed, claimed the tithes inCambridgesbire, of coleseed, hemp, and flax; and stated, that the defendants and Emmeth, in Tivey, Marsh, and Ward, had, in the year 1710, sowed their Norfold, belong lands with hemp, and flax, and coleseed, the tithes of which ought to have been in kind to the plaintiff Jarvis; but that the faid defendants, combining with the defendant Challis, the vicar, pretended that no tithes of the kind are due to the plaintiffs, they being in their nature small tithes, and as such payable to the vicar, who claims the fame, and had indemnified the other defendants.

> The defendants Tivey, Ward, and Marsh said, that they had been acquainted with the custom of tithing in Elme and Emmeth for twenty years, they having been employed by the vicars and the tenants of the rectory to collect the tithes; that they knew the first crop of flax that was sown there, the tithe whereof they believed was received by the rector, who had fince continued to do fo; that the tithes of colefeed was feveral times contested by the vicars and the lessees of the rectory, and that fometimes the one, and fometimes the other received fuch tithe, as the humour of the parithioners prevailed; that the rector, for the most part, received the tithe of hemp; that the tithe of coleseed was payable in kind, but that the tithe of bemp and flax was under a modus of four shillings an acre, and that all the tithes were to be paid before the crops were removed off the premises.

> > The

The defendant Challis faid, that he was, and had been vicar of Elme and Emmeth fince October 1709; that he ought to receive all finall tithes, and, amongst the rest, the tithes of colefeed, hemp, and flax, they being small tithes in their nature; that an immemorial usage, concerning the payment of coleseed and flax, could not be made out, the fowing of them being a late improvement; that the rectors and vicars have contested the tithe of coleseed; and that, although the rectors or their tenants had, for the most part, received the tithes of hemp and flax, it was through the inadvertency of the vicars; that he had received of the defendants Ward and Marshall, for the year 1710, the tithes of colefeed, hemp, and flax, and had given them a bond of indemnity.

TILLOTSON against CHALLIS.

The plaintiffs replied; the defendants rejoined; and witneffes were examined on both fides; and upon reading a regifter book belonging to the Bishop of Ely, and an agreement or award made by the faid bishop between the rector and vicar, and a decree of this Court between Widdrington, D. D. against Barker, dated the seventeenth of June, in the twenty-seventh year of Charles the Second (a), and on full debate of the matter,

THE COURT declared, that in this cause the tithes of colefeed, hemp, and flax, within the faid rectory and chapelry, did belong, and ought to be paid to the rector, and not to the vicar (b).

(a) Ante, page 145. See also Fish v. Wimberly, ante, 222.

(b) Challes, the vicar, afterwards filed his bill against Jarvis, the lessee of Dr. Tillotson and others, for the tithes of hemp, flax, and coleseed. The defendants infitted that they were not new im-

provements, and had been, time out of mind, fown in the parish in great quantities, and that the tithes thereof belonged to the rector, and not to the vicar; and THE COURT, on reading the proofs, difmiffed the bill. Book of Decrees and

# Skinner against Goodere.

Esfex, 21st February 1711.

THE plaintiff, as master of Ilford Hospital, stated that the The master of faid hospital of Ilford, in the county of Effex, hath, time Ilford Hospital, or with diverse in the county of out of mind, been an ancient hospital, endowed with divers Effer, is entitled, manors, lands, tenements, rent services, tithes, oblations, in right of the profits, &c. by divers abbeffes, for the time being, of the abbey chanty, to all or convent of Barking, and other charitable and well-disposed tithes, persons; that the said hospital, by reason of such endowments, and to an annual or in some other manner, hath anciently been entitled to the rent of 11. 136.

HILARYTERM II. Q. ANNE.

bury Farm; and also to the tithes of the lands called the Ships, Winderlands, Jenkins, Eufsbury, and Weftbury, in the parish of Barking; to 40s. a year from the vicar and to 40s. a year from the miller of Barking; but not to the half calf and half cheese of Weftbury Farm; or to the half calf, half cheefe, half lamb, and half wool of Jinkins Farm.

Vol. I. tithes

4d from Clay-

SKINNER

ogainft

Goodere

tithes of the feveral lands, rents, benefits, and advantages mentioned in the bill; that the patronage, advowson, donation, right of patronage, and free disposition of the faid hospital anciently belonged to the abbess or convent of Barking; that afterwards, by virtue of several acts of parliament, or in some other lawful manner, Queen Elizabeth became legally entitled to the faid patronage, &c. and by her letters patents dated the twenty-third of April, in the fourteenth year of her reign, did give and grant, for herself and successors, to Thomas Fanfores, then her majesty's remembrancer in the exchequer, and to his heirs and affigns for ever, the patronage, &c. of the faid hofpital, and all rights and jurisdictions thereto belonging; and by the said letters patent did further will and grant, that he and his heirs should have and enjoy the same for ever as fully as her faid majesty had enjoyed the same, as in the said bill is mentioned; that, by the several conveyances in the said bill mentioned, J. Thrale became legally entitled to the patronage, &c. of the faid hospital, and to the right of nominating a master or keeper of the faid hospital, and all and singular other rights, jurisdictions, and privileges whatfoever relating thereto; that, the mastership being vacant, he did, by deed dated the tenth of July 1703, nominate the plaintiff to the office, with all rights, &c. belonging thereto, for life, by virtue whereof, as mafter, he became well entitled to the feveral lands, &c. belonging thereto, and ought to have received the same for the support of the said charity; that there are, and anciently hath been, belonging to the faid hospital all the tithes, both great and small, arising out of a farm or certain lands in the parish of Barking, called Clay. bury, which have been anciently and annually paid to the mafter, for the time being, for the maintenance and support of the faid charity, which faid lands confift of two hundred acres, and have been in possession of the defendant Goodere, or her agents, ever fince the tenth of July 1703; and that the tithes are annually worth twenty pounds, which in the whole amount to one hundred pounds; that she had cut and carried away all the corn and hay arifing from the premises each year, without setting out the tithes thereof, or making any fatisfaction for the same, and had several other titheable matters and things; that, for the faid farm or lands there hath been anciently paid, and ought to be paid, a yearly rent of one pound, thirteen shillings, and fourpence, iffuing out of the same, belonging to the faid hospital, and payable to the master thereof by the tenant or occupier of the faid farm, but which the faid defendant had neglected to do, and that the fame amounts to eight pounds, fix shillings, and eightpence; that, from the faid time, the other defendants have been tenants of certain lands called the Ships, Winderlands, Jenkins, Baftbury, and Westbary, in the parish of Barking, and also of lands in the parish of Uford, called from

SEINNES againfi GOODENE

from which they have reaped corn and hay, the tiches of which amount to a great sum, which they have all re-fused to pay; that anciently, and time out of mind, there hath been issuing out of the vicarage of Barking one yearly rent of forty shillings, belonging to the said hospital, and payable to the master thereof by the vicar; that the defendant Chissenball, for the faid time, hath been vicar there, and ought to have paid the faid annual rent of forty shillings, amounting now to ten pounds; that the faid defendants have respectively refused to pay the same, and to discover their deeds, &c. or to make any recompence to the plaintiff. The bill therefore prayed, that the plaintiff may examine witnesses to perpetuate their testimony for making out the truth of the faid matters; that the defendants may discover the several titheable matters which have arisen from their respective premises, and the values thereof; that the right of the plaintiff and the hospital to the premises may be afcertained and preferved; and that the defendants may be respectively decreed to pay to the plaintiss the rents and tithes due to him as aforefaid.

The defendant Goodere admitted that she held the farm called Clapbury, and had cut and carried away the corn and hay therefrom without setting out the tithes, or making any satisfaction for the same; and that she had refused to pay the same, and the faid rent of one pound, thirteen shillings, and sourpence. And all the desendants submitted the plaintiff's title to the judgement of the court at the hearing. They admitted that they held the several sames and lands in the bill mentioned, and had reaped and carried away their corn; but insisted that they had constantly paid their tithe of corn to the plaintist or his agents; that they had not paid any tithe for feeding land; that the same, or most part thereof, had been eaten by their team horses, or other cattle, and that the yearly tithes were not worth more than sourpence an acre.

The defendants Merchant, fenior, and Glover, fenior, said, that the vicar of Barking claimed fifty shillings 2-year as a modus for a moiety of the small tithes arising from Westbury Farm.

The defendant Goodwin said, that Glover, senior, took the great tithes of some part of the lands he held as tenant of East-burg Farm, and that the vicar of Barking took the small tithes.

The defendant Glover, junior, faid, that the tithes of one hundred and fixteen acres, lying at Upney Maybrooks and in Eastbury Level, have been taken by the impropriators of the parish church of Eastbury.

The

STINNER

against

Goodere.

The defendant Chissenball admitted that he had been vicar of the parish of Barking ever since 1703, and said that he knew not that there is, or hath, at any time, been issuing or payable out of the vicarage of Barking the yearly rent of forty shillings, either to the said hospital, or to the use thereof; but he confessed, that about two years since he was required to pay the tithes due to the hospital, which he had refused to do.

The defendant Wells said that he had been miller of Barking for several years, but knew not that anciently any rent or sum of forty shillings hath been issuing out of Barking Mills, as belonging to the hospital, and payable to the master thereof by the miller of Barking, for that he had never paid the same, nor was the same to his knowledge or belief ever paid.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides; and upon reading the bill, answers, and depositions taken in the cause, and the deed poll dated the tenth of July 1703, whereby J. Thrale appointed the plaintiff master of the said hospital; also a copy of a decree in chancery dated the thirtieth of May, in the ninth year of King Jumes, Fisher, D. D. plaintiff, master of the said hospital, and Fabian, rector of Warleigh, and others, defendants; a copy of a judgment obtained in Easter Term, in the nineteenth year of King James, by S. A. Harris, master of the said college, and R. Hale, for sour pounds ten shillings, for substracting the tithe of hay from off part of the farm called Claybury Farm; the exemplification of a commission under the feal of her majesty's court of exchequer, granted in the twentysecond year of Queen Elizabeth to several persons to inspect and furvey the several lands, rents, and tithes which did belong to the faid hospital, and the commissioner's certificate thereupon; a lease made in the twenty-ninth year of Henry the Eighth from Dame Dorothy Bartee, the abbess of Barking, and the convent of the same place, of the Mills of Barking, wherein was referved a rent of forty shillings a year to the hospital of *llford*; and two ancient rentals in the time of Richard the Second and Henry the Seventh; and upon long debate of the matter,

THE COURT declared, that the tithes of the feveral farms and lands in kind (except half calf and half cheefe arising out of Westbury Farm, and except half calf, half cheese, half lamb, and half wool arising out of the farm called Jenkins); and also the several yearly rents in the bill mentioned; viz. the yearly rent of one pound, thirteen shillings, and sourpence issuing out of the farm and lands called Claybury, in the occupation of the defendant Goodere, and the yearly rent of forty shillings issuing out of Barking Mills, in the occupation of the defendant Wells,

and

and the yearly rent of forty shillings issuing out of the vicarage of Barking, did of right belong to the hospital of Ilford, and ought to be paid and answered to the plaintiff as master or keeper of the faid hospital.

SKINNER against Goodere.

AND IT IS THEREUPON ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that the feveral defendants shall account with, satisfy, and pay to the plaintiff the value of the several tithes and titheble matters and things, both great and small, which have yearly arisen from, or grown due in respect of, the several farms and lands mentioned in the bill to be in their several and respective occupations (except half calf, and half cheefe, of the faid farm called Westbury, and except half calf, half cheese, half lamb, and half wool, of the faid farm called Jenkins) fince the tenth of July 1703, and that the defendants Goodere, Wells, and Chiffenhall, vicar of Barking, shall account with, satisfy, and pay to the faid plaintiff all arrears of the before-mentioned feveral and respective yearly rents from them respectively due and payable from the said tenth of July 1703; and it is referred to the deputy remembrancer to take the faid accounts between the parties; and upon reading an affidavit of service of subpana to hear judgment upon the defendant Goodwin, and upon reading his answer, it is ordered by the Court, that he shall likewise account for the value of his tithes; and it is referred to the faid deputy to take the faid account, unless cause be first shewn; he paying five pounds costs before he be heard. On the twenty-sixth of May 1712 the above decree was made absolute against the defendant Goodwin.

EDW. WARD. THO. BURY. RT. PRICE. S. LOVELL.

# Brett against Franklyn.

Easter Term II. Q. ANNE.

# Kent, 22d May 1712.

THE rector of Reuking, in the county of Kent, claimed the Bourne Wood, tithes of a parcel of wood felled in 1708 in a wood called which lies in the Bourne Wood, in the parish of Reuking.

The defendants faid, that they had bought of Sir P. Boteler of Kent, is withfome wood growing in Bourne Wood, but that the plaintiff had in the Weald of no right to the tithes thereof, because the part of Bourne Wood, Keet, within which the faid wood was felled, was in the Weald of Kent, and so by custom and usage, time out of mind, tithe free.

An issue was directed to try, before a special jury, of which no inhabitant of the Weald should be a juror, "Whether that M m 3. " part

parishof Reuking, in the county Kent, and tithe

BARTT against FRANKLTN. u part of Bourne Wood, which is in the parish of Reaking, be in a the precinct called the Weald of Kent, or not?" and the jury, after fix of them had taken a view, gave a verdict for the defendants.

THE COURT therefore dismissed the bill, with costs in law and equity.

HILARTTERM. 11. Q. ANNE.

# Underwood against Gibbon.

Suffolk, 24th February 1712.

Gregory and the chapel of Saint Portmanscroft, Nonfuch Field.

S. C. Bumb 3.

The lefter of the THE bill flated, that Nathaniel Jeykill, being impropriator of church of Saint Greenen in Sudthe rectory impropriate and parish of Saint Gregory, in Sudbury, in the county of Suffolk, did, by his deed tripartite, dated the Paer, in Budbu- ninth of June 1710, demise to the plaintiff all the tithes, of what ry, claims the nature foever, belonging to the faid rectory and parish, and the tithes of School- titheable places thereof, to hold for three years, if he should so wife states, long live; and that O. Andrews, J. Craddock, and P. Barker, or King's Marfs, one of them, being impropriators of the parish or chapelry of of Saint Peter, in Sudbury aforefaid, did, by indenture dated the first Sudbury, and the of July 1710, demise to the plaintiff all the tithes arising therein for three years; by virtue of which leafes the faid G. Underwood became entitled to all the tithes, of what nature, kind, or quality foever, arising in the said respective parishes and chapelries, and the titheable places thereof, from Christmas 1709; that the defendant Gibbon, during that time, held a piece of ground, called Schoolhouse Field, lying therein, from which he had cut hay, and on which he had fed and depaftured divers horses and dry cattle, and made great profit thereof; for all which he ought to have paid to the plaintiff the tithes of hay and tithe herbage, or fome composition for the same; and that the other defendants had respectively, during the time aforesaid, several titheable matters.

The defendants tithes for

The defendants admitted, that N. Jeykill was impropriator of tay, that King's Saint Gregory's, and as such entitled to all tithes therein; and man's Croft, and that he had made such lease to the plaintiff; but they knew not the Common, be. that the faid Andrews and others were impropriators of Saint long to the cor- Peters, or were entitled to any tithes there, or that they had made poration, who fuch leafe. They said, that Saint Peter's is a chapel of ease anfums for the de- nexed to the parish-church of Saint Gregory; and that the tithes pasturing of cat- thereof do belong to the impropriator of Saint Gregory. They ale thereon, and also said, that they had kept upon two pieces of land, called bestow the same on the poor; King's Marsh and Portsman Crost, otherwise the Common, and and that no upon certain other lands which are common to the freemen of

depasturing the same after the first crop, are due ;

Sudburn

Sudbury, after the first crop of corn and hay is carried off from the same by the occupiers, several horses, for which there were not any tithes due; that the faid grounds, called King's Marsh and Portman's Croft, were anciently given to, and for the use and benefit of the burgefles and community of, Sudbury, by Sir Richard De Clare, the owner of the faid borough and all tithes arifing therein; that it has been customary, time out of mind, for the corporation of the faid borough to fet certain rates upon all cattle that have been depastured thereon; and that such rates, or the greater part of the monies thereby raifed, have been paid and applied to and for the use of the poor of the borough of Sudbury; and that never any tithes were paid or demanded for the herbage of cattle kept there; and that they knew not whether the aforefaid pieces of land lie within the faid parishes. They also insisted, that no tithes were due for the after pasture of any the other lands in respect of the tithes paid for the first cree of the same.

UFFREWOOD against GIRRON

The defendant Gibbon admitted, that he held and occupied a that the tithes of piece of ground, called the Schoolhouse Field, lying in the parish of Schoolhouse Field Saint Gregory, and that he had cut grass thereon, and made are worth only the fame into hay, and had fed his horses thereon, the tithes 10th of which were worth ten shillings.

The defendant Starling said, that he, together with several That the land, other persons undertakers for the making the river Stour navi- called Nonfack gable, were joint purchasers of the ground called the Nonfuch, Fidd is only used lying part in the parish of Saint Gregory, and that they jointly by the proprielying part in the parish of Saint Gregory, and that they jointly tore of the river held the same, and thereon kept horses, and mowed hay, for the steam for the joint use of all the proprietors of the said navigation; and there-purposes of the fore says that he should not be sued alone for the tithes thereof. navigation; and But he infifted, that no tithes ought to be paid, or are due for the belonged to fame, for that the faid ground did formerly belong to the dif- Frieri Monefer folved monastery of Friars in Sudbury, and so is, by the and is tithe free. feveral descriptions and provision in the several acts of parliament made for the diffolution of monasteries, exempt from the payment of tithes, they never having paid any tithes before.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the defendants do The tithe of first respectively account for their tithes had, kept, fed, or depastured upon the lands called the Nonfuch Field, except upon that part the Stour, and of thereof which lies on the fide of the river Stour, and upon Schoolboufe Field, the Schoolhoufe Field, the King's Marfb, and Partman's Croft, King's Marfb, otherwise the Common; and that they shall respectively satisfy and and pay to the plaintiff the values thereof; but that the defendants are not to account for any tithes for the after passures of any of But not of the Mm4

crop of Nonfuch Field on one fide Groft, decreed.

egainst GIBBON. the faid grounds or fields whereon crops of corn or hay were had in the same year. The plaintiff to have his costs (a).

(a) The plaintiff Underwood, as leffie of the church of St Gregory and chapelry of St Peter, in Sudbury, filed his bill in this court, in Hilary Term, 10 Anne, against Drew and Hazel, for the tithes arifing on two gardens and certain lands called Grego y's. The defendants infifted, they were parcel of the college of St. Gregory in Sudbury; that they were held together with the faid rectory, and that the revenues of them altogether were above 200L a year; that they were furrendered tithe free to Henry the Eigheb, who had granted the faid college and rectory and lands aforefaid to Sir Thomas Patton and his heirs, and from them they were, by divers meine conveyances, vefted in the defendants; and that as no tithes had ever been paid, or even demanded for the faid lands, the non-payment was evidence, as against a lay impropriator, of their having been legally discharged from tithes; and the Court, on reading the depositions, took time to confider of the claimed exemption, but afterwards ordered the defendants to

account with the plaintiff for the tithes of the lands pretended to be exonerated.-On the 10th June 1714, the plaintiff. Underwood also filed another bill against Sparrow, one of the defendants in the principal case, for the tithes of lands lying beyond Holgate and in Gulfield, otherwise called Gilfield, in the Rounds and in Coldness; and on reading an indenture, dated 22d February, in the twentyfeventh year of Henry the Eighth; a furrender of the abbey of Westmirfter to the crown, dated the 16th January, in the thirty-first year of Henry the Eighib; a charter to the faid abbey, dated the 11h May, in the second year of Queen Einstbeib; and a record of a prohibition, in the twenty fixth year of Queen Elinabab; a trial at law was directed, in an action of debt on the statute 2. & 3. Edw. 6. c. 13. and the verdict found, that Sparrow was not indebted to the plaintiff in any fum for the tathes fubtracted .- See also other causes, Trinity Term, 1 Geo.1. Trinity Term, 19, Geo. 3.

TRIN. TERM, ' 22. Q. ANNE.

# HASON against YALLOP. Norfolk, 2d July 1713.

in the parish of Yelwerton, in Norfolk, of three halfpence a cow and three halfpence a calf, in feed milch cows with shall pay tithes in kind.

There is a modus THE rector of Yelverton cum Alfpington, in the county of in the parish of Norfolk, claimed tithes of turnips, cows, and calves.

The defendant faid, that her own cows, except one bullock, were fed with the turnips she had growed; and that as such turnips yield but little profit to the occupiers, and are only lieu of all tithes fowed to prepare the land for a better crop of grain the year thereof; and following, and were pulled to feed the cows with, both of which turnips pulled to are benefits to the minister, by improving the quantities of corn and milk, no tithes ought to be, or ever had been, paid for the fame; that there was a modus of three halfpence for each cow, and three halfpence for each calf, in lieu of all tithes ariting from fuch cow and calf.

> THE COURT ordered the defendant to account for the tithes of turnips grown upon the feveral lands which were drawn by her, or by any other person or persons by her order or consent; and also to pay three halfpence for each cow, and three halfpence for each calf, for the lactage and profits ariting from the faid cow and calf, according to the modufes set forth by her answer.

> > BEAN

# BEAN against LEE.

Kent, 2d July 1713.

TRIN. TERM, 12. Q. Anne.

THE vicar of Lidd, in the county of Kent, claimed the tithes A modus to pay of hay, lambs, wool, calves, pigs, geefe, eggs, and all other 15 in the pound vicarial tithes arising therein.

The defendants admitted the plaintiff's right to all tithes rented farms, (except of corn and grain), or to some modus or customary payment in lieu thereof; and faid, that no tithes of hay, lambs, underlet, in lieu wool, calves, pigs, eggs, agistment or other vicarial tithes, ever of vicarial tithes, were or ought to be paid in kind to the vicar of the faid parish; is bad. for that, time out of mind, there had been a custom, usage, or S.C. Rayn. 122. modus decimandi in the faid parish, that all the occupiers of any S. C. 3. Burn's marsh, meadow, or pasture land in the said parish, should pay, in See post. the lieu and fatisfaction of the tithes of hay, lambs, wool, calves, colts, case of Shafter pigs, honey, eggs, wax, fruit, agistment, and alt other small and w Mitchel, 15th vicarial tithes arising upon the said lands, the yearly rate or July 1715, Trifum of one shilling in the pound, and so proportionably for every nity Term, I. greater or leffer fum than a pound, according to the yearly rent of fuch of the faid lands as were rented or letten at a full or rackrent without fine, and according to the yearly value of fuch lands as were not letten at a full rent without fine; which rent or customary payment had been constantly received by the vicar of the faid parish for the time being, in full satisfaction and discharge of the said tithes.

The cause came on to be heard on the twenty-second of June last, and the further hearing was adjourned to this day; when upon reading the answers and several depositions, a doubt arose, whether the modus in question, as set forth, was a good modus in law, or not; and the Court ordered a case thereof to be made, and figned by counfel on both fides, in the words following:

THE Case. "The plaintiff, as vicar of Lidd, by his bill, de-" mands the tithes in kind of hay, lambs, pigs, turkies, hens, " geese, calves, milk, honey, wax, and agistments, &c. The "defendants, by their answers, admit the plaintiff is vicar of the " faid parish, and is thereby entitled to all the tithes arising in the faid parish (except the tithes of corn and grain), or to " fome rate, modus, or customary payment, in lieu thereof; and " fay they believe, and doubt not but to prove, that no tithes of. hay, lambs, wool, calves, pigs, eggs, agistment, or any other " fmall or vicarial tithes, were ever paid, or ought to be paid in kind to the vicar of the faid parish for the time being; but "that there is, and time out of mind has been, a custom, usage, . " or modus decimandi in the faid parish, that all the occupiers of " marsh, meadow, and pasture land in the said parish have used . " to pay yearly, for all the faid marsh, meadow, and pasture lands by them respectively used and occupied in the said parish, to

on the yearly rent of

LRE.

" the vicar of the faid parish for the time being, in lieu and " fatisfaction of the tithes of hay, lambs, wool, calves, colts, pigs, « eggs, honey, wax, fruit, agistment, and all other small and se vicarial tithes arising upon the faid lands, the yearly rate or s fum of one shilling in the pound, and so proportionably for « every greater or leffer fum than a pound, according to the " yearly rent of fuch of the faid lands as are rented or letten at " a full or rack rent without fine, and according to the yearly se value of fuch lands as are not letten, or not letten at a full « rent without fine as aforesaid."—The question is, whether this modes, as laid, be a good modus in law, or not?

Upon hearing counfel on both fides, and on full debate of the matter,

THE COURT was unanimously of opinion, and did declare, that the modus in question, as laid and insisted on by the defendants in their answer, and stated by the case, is a void modes in

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid defendants shall feverally account with, fatisfy, and pay the plaintiff for the tithes of hay, lambs, wool, calves, pigs, geefe, eggs, and all other vicarial tithes, which were yearly arifing upon the defendant's lands and tenements within the faid parish during the time in the bill mentioned; and it is referred to the deputy remembrancer to take and report the faid account.

> EDW. WARD. THO. BURY, Ro. PRICE. Wm. Banastre

TRIN. TERM, 12. Q. ANNE. NAUNTON against CLARKE. Suffolk, 3d July 1713.

Letheringham rish of Laberingbam, in Suffolk,

The owner of THE impropriator of the parish of Letheringham, in the county of Suffolk, claimed the tithes of corn, hay, and other great Park, in the pa- tithes belonging thereto. The defendant said, that the plaintiff was entitled to the tithes pays yearly a of the faid parish, except the tithes arising on lands called Le-

buck and a doe to the impropriation for all firsh either except as aforesaid, but that for stor, in lieu of in money for all fuch tithes, except as aforefaid, but that for all tithes of the two years past he had taken tithes in kind of all the defendant's faid park, whether the fame corn lands in the faid parish, except of the lands in Letheringhess there the fame belowed, fow. Park, and had not at any time demanded tithes in kind for any belowed, fow. ed, mowed, or of the lands in the faid park, or any thing in lieu thereof; that in the year 1700 he had hired great part of the lands called the Park Lands, tithe free, and had so enjoyed the same until Michaelmes last; that the faid lands contained about two hundred

agairf CLARER

hundred acres, which were anciently a park, and are still inclosed, and that no tithes were payable for the fame, or any corn growing therein, but that an ancient modus of a buck and a doe in season, yearly, on demand, were payable to the impropriator, in lieu of all the tithes thereof; that the same had been yearly accepted by the impropriator; that, until about twenty years past, the impropriator had fold fuch buck and doe for a small sum of money; and that, under fuch modus, the faid park lands had immemorially been held free of all other tithes, though the same were ploughed, fowed, mowed, or agisted; that on his hiring the faid park, it was agreed, that ten brace of deer should be kept in a part of the faid park to answer the said modus yearly, if demanded, and which was accordingly done; but that the plaintiff had never demanded the fame, or any money in lieu thereof, or any tithe in kind, or any fatisfaction for the same ; that he had duly paid for the tithes of his other lands in the faid parish which were not part of the said Park Lands; and he infifted, that the Park Lands were tithe free. He also averred, that from the year 1700 to 1705 there was a sufficient number of deer kept inclosed in the faid park to answer the delivery of such buck and doe, but that the plaintiff, during all that time, had never demanded any; and that therefore he concluded, the plaintiff would have been fatisfied with a payment in money for the fame.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined; and on debate of the matter,

THE COURT, being fatisfied that there was fuch a modus for the faid park as was infifted on by the defendant's answer, ordered the defendant forthwith to pay to the plaintiff thirty pounds in full for the values of the faid bucks and does for the time demanded by the bill, but without costs on either side.

> JA. REYNOLDS. LAW. CARTER. WM. THOMSON. WM. FORTESCUE.

# DUNBAR against St. John.

# Effex, 19th November 1713.

HE vicar of Kelveden, in the county of Effen, stated, that by The tithes of ancient endowment, or by usage within the said parish, the underwood cut in vicar there ought to take, receive, and enjoy the tithe of all the parish of underwood felled therein.

The defendant Abdey set forth, that she held a wood in the impropriator faid parish, called the Eight Acre Wood, which, in 1707, she and his lessees, caused to be felled and cut down; and she set forth the tithes and not to the thereof; vicar.

Mrcn. Tram, 12. Q. Anne.

Kelveden, in the county of Effex.

DUNBAR against ST. JOHN. thereof; and faid that the defendant Leapenwell, after the tithe of wood was fo fet out, took and carried away and disposed of the fame as undertenant of the tithes to the other defendant St. John, who is the leffee of the Bishop of London, the impropriator of the rectory impropriate of the faid parish; and she infifted on their right fo to do: and all the defendants infifted, that the tithes of all underwood felled in the parish belong to the impropriator, and not to the vicar.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined; and upon full debate of the matter; IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the bill be dismissed.

HILARY TERM 12. Q. ANNE.

# . Mason against Watson.

Buckingham/bire, 10th February 1713.

meine lands of Edgeott, in the faid parish. county of Buckplots of ground in lieu of the tithes thereof;

The old de- THE rector of Edgcqtt, in the county of Buckingham, claimed all tithes, both great and finall, yearly arifing within the

The defendants faid, that the plaintiff is not entitled to tithe ingham, which in electendants iaid, that the plaintiff is not entitled to tithe were formerly in kind of hay arifing upon any lands within the faid parish, the estate and except the same arise in and upon such lands, leys, or meadow inheritance of grounds as are freehold, and held in fee by the tenant thereof of the Dormer fa- Mr. Dormer, the lord of the faid manor; that all other lands mily, are tithe free; the rectors which, time out of mind, have been reputed and taken to be part of the parish of and parcel of the Old Demesne Lands of the manor, being the Edgeott having ancient estate and inheritance of the Dormers, have always been four held discharged and acquitted of and from the payment of all tithe of hay yearly arising in and upon the same, by reason that the plaintiff has, and his predecessors have, time out of mind, enjoyed four plots of meadow ground, called the Tythe Plots, in lieu of all tithes of hay yearly arising in and upon the said demesne lands of the manor; and that the lands in their possession, being parcel of the Demesne Lands, are, as well as all other the demesne lands, exempt and discharged of the tithes of hay in kind; but that all other lands, being freehold lands of inheritance, and held of the faid manor of Edgcott, are liable to the payment of tithe of hay in kind; that the said four Tithe Plots are distinct things from the glebe, the faid glebe lands, which only confift of a yard land and an half, having over and above the faid tithe plots, as much plot grass and mowing ground proportionably allotted to it, as are allotted to other yard lands and an half in the faid parish.

> The Court ordered a trial at law to be had upon the two following iffues:

> FIRST, "Whether the Four Tithe Plots, as butted and " bounded by the defendant's answer, have, time out of mind, " been enjoyed, and the profit received by the plaintiff and his " predecessors

er predecessors, rectors of the said parish for the time being, as a et modus and discharge for all the tithe hay arising upon all the " Old Demesne Lands of the Dormers within the parish."

MASON against WATSON.

SECONDLY, "Whether the defendants, or any and which of them, have, in the last year demanded by the bill, held any other and what hay ground in the said parish, besides what " was Old Demesne as aforesaid."

Pursuant to the said order, a view was had of the premises in question; and, on the trial, a verdict was given on both the issues for the defendants, that is to say, as to THE FIRST ISSUE, 46 that the faid pieces, called the Tithe Plots, were enjoyed in " fatisfaction of all tithe hay arising upon the ancient demesnes, " called the Old Demesne Lands, of the said Dormer, within the " faid parish of Edgcott, as the defendants in the cause had and as TO THE SECOND ISSUE, " that the " defendants in the cause had severally held and enjoyed the " feveral parcels of land in Edgcott aforesaid, in the several " answers mentioned to be titheable lands, and no other,"

Upon reading the poslea, and hearing what could be alledged on both fides; and on mature debate, and confideration of the matter,

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the bill be dismissed.

# Murray against Skinner.

Esfex, 24th February 1712.

THE vicar of Brightlingsea, in the county of Essex, stated The vicar of by his bill, that he was intitled to receive all tithes in kind, Erigbelingies, in as his predecessors, former vicars, had received, or some compofition for the fame; that, time out of mind, there had been, and of the Parwithin the faid parish, several quantities of land, wherein were kept forage Farm, and and fed great quantities of oy/lers for fale, which turn to great of the Manor account; that the faid lands were therefore let at confiderable Lands. rents; and that, by being so used, the tithes of the feed and herbage thereof were taken away; that the occupiers of fuch lands had immemorially paid to the vicar of the faid parish, according to ancient custom, two shillings in the pound of the yearly rent of the faid lands; and that the defendant King sborough, from the year 1708 to the present time, had occupied several parcels of land there, in which he had had great quantities of orsters, of which he resused to pay the tithe. The bill also stated, that the defendant Skinner had occupied land of the yearly value of two hundred and twenty pounds, and had kept and depastured thereon divers cows and other cattle, and that he had poultry, fruit, wood, and oyster lays, the tithes whereof, as also the two shillings in the pound for the oyster grounds,

HILARY TERM 12. Q. ANNE.

ag ainf SEINNER.

grounds ought to have been paid to the plaintiff. The bill further stated, that the said defendants, combining with the defendant Brand, the impropriator of the greatstithes and lord of the manor, to deprive the plaintiff of his tithes, pretend, that the greater part of the lands held by Skinner is part of the glebe lands belonging to the rectory; and that within the memory of man no small tithes, or any composition in lieu thereof, have been paid to the vicar, although they well know, that by ancient endowment the same had been paid to the vicar, and that there is no special exemption of any part thereof. The bill therefore prayed a general discovery and relief.

The defendants deny that any the to the vicar for eyfor ;

The defendants Skinner and King borough admitted the plaintiff to be entitled to vicarial tithes; that the ground stated in the bill was made use of for laying and keeping oysters for sale; and that it never was pasture, or fit for any other use than that of oyster lays; but they denied the custom of paying two shillings in the pound, or any other fum to the vicar, for the tithes of oyster lays or oysters; and insisted, that no tithes are due or payable for fuch oyster lays or oysters; and they set forth what oyster lays they held, and the values thereof.

and fay, that the Parsenage Fram confifts of the glebe fore no tithes shereof are due so the vicar 3

The defendant Skinner set forth what lands he held, and the value of the same, and a particular account of all his titheable matters and things; and faid, that he had agreed with the lands belonging plaintiff for his small tithes from Michaelmas 1708 to 1709; and to the restory; that, before any fubpæna was served, or demand made, and purand that there- fuant to the faid agreement, he had tendered to the plaintiff fix pounds, fixteen shillings, for his small tithes for the said year, but which he had refused to receive; and he insisted, that no fmall tithes, or any composition in lieu thereof, had been paid to the vicar for the Parfonage Farm; and that no tithes whatever are due therefrom, the same being part of the rectory; and that neither the plaintiff or his predeceffors was ever endowed of any tithes arising upon the glebe lands of the rectory which composed the parfonage farm. He also insisted, that the tithe of grass and hay within the said parish by right belongs to the impropriator, and not to the vicar.

and that the vi-

The defendant Colt faid, that J. Brand, deceased, was seifed carage was only in fee of the rectory and the manor of Brightingles; and that no ten marks a-year tithes for oysters or oyster lays, or any thing in lieu thereof, are in lieu of the due or payable to the plaintiff, as vicar of the faid parish, nor is tithes of the me- there any custom or usage to pay two shillings in the pound, or any other fum to the plaintiff for the ground used for systems or pyster lays; and insisted, that if there be any fuch custom in neighbouring parishes, such custom ought not to conclude the defendant Elizabeth Brand, the lady of the manor and owner of the rectory, or him, the defendant, in reversion, for that their predecessors had enjoyed the said parsonage lands, with their appurtenances,

appurtenances, freed from petty tither; and that the vicarage was endowed only with ten marks to the vicar, with a competent dwelling house, for the manor, theretofore part of the possessions of the abbot of Saint John's Colchester, saving also to the said abbot and monk the tithes within the faid parish they had before received; that the plaintist is not, by the faid endowment, entitled to demand any tithes of the globe lands and oyster lays, or any thing in lieu thereof, or any more than the faid annual pension of ten marks in lieu of his small tithes for the faid manor and premises, and he insisted that the desendant Brand is entitled to all great tithes; that no tithes are due or payable to the plaintiff for any matter on the faid glebe lands belonging to the rectory or impropriation; that the plaintiff or his predecessors never received any tithes for any matter arising sepon the faid globe lands, or any fatisfaction in lieu thereof; and that the farm called the Parfenage Furm, confisting of arable pasture and marsh, about eighty acres, is all of it unvient globe lands, and part of the rectory aforefaid, and not titheable to the plaintiff; and he denied he that had any titheable matters in the faid parish fince the plaintiff had been vicar.

Murtar against SEINNER.

The defendant Brand put in the fame answer.

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides; and on reading the answer of the defendant Skinner, whereby it appeared that he had agreed with the plaintiff for small tithes from Michaelmas 1708 to Michaelmas 1709, and tendered fix pounds fixteen shillings to the plaintiff for the fame before any Jubpana was ferved, and had by his answer also tendered the same to the plaintiff, and that the defendants Brand and Colt had not any titheable matters or things in parish, and reading the depositions of several witnesses, and on full debute,

THE COURT was of opinion that no tithes, or fum of The bill dismice. money in lieu of tithes, were due to the plaintiff for oysters and ed with costs, oyster lays, and ordered the faid bill, as against the said defendants, to be dismissed with costs, and the desendant Skinner to pay to the plaintiff his tender as aforefaid.

FRANCIS against WILLINGHAM. Lincolnsbire, 10th February 1713.

HILERYTERM M. Q. ANNE,

HE rector of Stickney, in the county of Lincoln, claimed all The lands called tithes, both great and small, of a farm called the Grange.

the Grange, in the parish of

Stickery in the county of Lincoln, are tithe free.

EDW, WARD. THO. BURY. Ro. PRICE. Wm. Banastre.

The

FRANCIS against WILLINGHAM.

The defendant admitted that he was owner of the melfuage and lands called the Grange, and infifted that the premites were heretofore part of the possessions of the monastery of Receiples, in the county of Lincoln, which was one of the greater monalteries, and of the ciflertian order, and of about the value of two hundred pounds a-year; that the abbot, at the time of the dissolution of the abbey, was seised in see of the premises in right of the faid abbey, and in possession thereof at the time of the diffolution; that the abbot and his predecessors, time out of mind, had held the premises discharged from the payment of any tithes whatfoever whilst the said premises were in their own possession; that the premises came to Henry the Eighth by statute 31. Hen. 8. c 13. who, and all claiming under him, has and have, ever fince held the same freed and discharged from the payment of tithes by virtue of that statute; and he craved the benefit of the fame, and also of the statute of Edward the Sixth, he being feifed of the premises in his own right, and in the occupation of the fame.

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and witnesses were examined; and upon reading the copy of the patent roll of the twenty-fecond year of Edward the First, and the copy of the patent roll of the thirtieth year of Henry the Eighth, purporting a grant of the possessions of the said abbey to the then Duke of Suffolk, and a copy of the patent roll of the twenty-third year of Henry the Seventh, and the defendant's deeds of the purchase, and the proofs in the cause;

THE COURT ordered the plaintiff to bring an action upon the statute of Edward the Sixth against the defendant for not setting out his faid tithes; the only question to be, whether the lands aforesaid are exempt from payment of tithes, or not; and a trial being had accordingly, the plaintiff, upon full evidence, became nonfuited.

THE COURT therefore ordered the bill to be dismissed.

HILARY TERM 12. Q. ANNE.

# Bunning against CAVE. Leicestersbire, 20th February 1713.

all Picce in lieu of the tithes of

The rector of Brunthingthorpe, in the county of Leicester, Brunthingthorpe, THE rector of Brunthingthorpe, in the county of Leicester, brunthingthorpe, claims tithe of the hav cut off the meadow ground, hades. claims tithe of the hay cut off the meadow ground, hades, in Likessers, and balks, within the defendant's possession, within the called the Tube. said parish, or a satisfaction in lieu thereof.

The defendants faid, that for the meadow flades, balks, and hay ariting from hades, and all other grafs ground in Streetfield, Outfield, and m acow Carbrookfield, not lying ridge and furrow, they never fet forth hades, flades, and tithe hay, nor has any been claimed or received by any called Sircefield, Ontfield, and Garbrotfield.

rector,

rector, time beyond memory, but that the rector constantly enjoyed a piece of ground called Titheall, or Keitall Piece, and had taken the grass and herbage thereof at all times of the year in lieu of all tithe hay got from the meadow slades, balks, and hades in those three fields; that the yearly profits of Keitall Piece, or Titheall Piece, if well husbanded, will amount to the tenth of the value of the hay yearly gotten from the meadows, hades, flades, and balks, in those three fields.

An iffue was directed to try, "Whether Keitall, or Titheall 66 Piece, has been enjoyed by the rectors of Brunthingthorpe in se lieu of all tithes of hay arising from the meadows, hades, " flades, and balks in the fields called Street Field, Out Field, 46 and Carbrook Field;" on which trial, a verdict was given for the defendants.

THE COURT, therefore, upon hearing counsel, dismissed the bill with costs.

# SHAW against Topping.

BASTER TERM 13. Q. ANNE. Northamptonsbire, 11th May 1714.

THE bill stated, that within the great level of the town The called the Earl of Bedford's Level, there were several great from the crown quantities of land overflowed with water, which, for several of the extra payears past, had been recovered and drained therefrom, and become good arable, meadow, and pasture ground; that the said are entitled to fens and drained grounds never did lie, or were taken to be all tithes arising within the bounds or limits of any parish at any time before the in the lands caldraining thereof, but have always been reputed to be extra led the Borough parochial, nor have any tithes, or compositions for tithes of the faid lands ever been paid to any church or chapel, or to any rector, vicar, or curate, or to any leffee thereof; that her majesty lary Term, I. and her predecessors, in right of THE CROWN OF ENGLAND, or Geo. I. their leffees, ever fince the draining of the fen lands, have received or been entitled to receive all tithes, both great and small, arifing thereon, as extra parochial, and out of the boundary of any parish; that her now majesty, being so entitled, did, the ninth of November, in the second year of her reign, by letters patents under the seal of this court, grant and demise the tithes of the said fen lands to S. Hastings for thirty-one years, in trust for Dr. T. Vernon; that the faid S. Hastings, by deed, affigned the faid leafe and tithes to the faid T. Vernon, who, by deed dated March 1712, surrendered to her said majesty the said letters patent, and all the faid tithes, which being accepted and involled in this court, the intest became determined; that thereupon her majesty, by letters patent under the seal of this Йn Vol. I.

SRAW *egains* Topping.

court, dated the twenty-ninth of March 1712, granted and demised to the plaintiff, his executors, &c. for thirty one years, the faid extraparochial tithes within the great level, called the Earl of Bedford's Level, lying within the meets and bounds mentioned in the faid letters patents in the faid bill, yielding to her majesty and her successors the third part of the profits yearly during the faid term; that thereby the plaintiff, ever fince the date thereof, had become entitled to all the extraparochial tithes arising on the faid fen lands within the meets and bounds aforefaid; that there are several quantities of fen lands within the precincts of the faid great level, commonly called Borough Fen, which do not lie within the precinct of any parish, but are extra parochial, and therefore the tithes thereof, both great and small, ought to be paid to the plaintiff; that the defendants have occupied, fince the date of the faid letters patent, great quantities of arable, meadow, and pasture lands lying in the said fen, and have ploughed and fowed the same with several forts of corn, grain, and seeds, and reaped and gathered the whole crops thereof, and converted the same to their own use, without setting out the tithes thereof. or making any fatisfaction for the fame, and which they had refused to do, pretending that the said land is not extraparochial, or that some modus is payable for the same. The bill therefore prayed an account of the quantities and qualities of the defendant's tithes, and a fatisfaction for the same.

The defendants said, that it might be true that such letters patents of the extraparochial tithes arising upon the lands in Bedford Level might be granted as in the bill is set forth; that within the precinct of the faid great level there are great quantities of fen lands, commonly called Borough Fen, and that the lands lying in that fen are, and have been reputed extra parochial, but that tithes in kind are not due for those lands; for that, time out of mind, a modus or customary payment of fourpence an acre, or proportionably after that rate, had been yearly paid and payable by the occupier of the faid lands in Borough Fen for the tithes thereof to the crown, or to its leffees of the extra parochial tithes within the faid great level, and by them accepted in full discharge of all tithes arising upon the said lands; that no tithes in kind, or any other satisfaction for tithes at any time had been paid for their faid lands, except two years fince, when some of the defendants paid Dr. Vernon's, or the plaintiff's agent two shillings in the pound according to the rents of their feveral farms in the faid Borough Fens, for the tithes of the faid lands: and all the defendants fet forth the quantity of land they held in the said fen, and the value of their tithes, and faid, that they held the lands as tenants under the Earl of Torrington; and infifted, that they had tendered the fourpence an acre to the plaintiff, with his costs of suit.

The

The plaintiff replied; the defendants rejoined; and witnesses were examined on both fides; and upon reading the several letters patent, a leafe set forth in the bill, and likewise a copy of letters patent dated the thirteenth of November, in the thirteenth year of Charles the Second, whereby the same were granted to Sir C. Berkeley and Dr. B. Gascoigne, Knt. also an agreement dated the eleventh of December 1674, made by the faid Gascoigne to Sir John Shaw, Bart. deceased (the plaintiff's father), for the tithes of fix hundred and fifty acres of land lying in Peterborough Great Fen, after the yearly rate of sixpence an acre, upon which are indorfed feveral receipts for feveral years, and upon reading feveral depositions taken in the cause, and on full debate,

SHAW against TOPPING.

It is ordered and decreed by the Court, that the defendants do severally account with and satisfy the plaintiff for all the tithes, both great and small, arising upon the said several lands in Borough Fen respectively occupied by them during the time in the bill mentioned.

> SAM. DODD. THO. BURY. Ro. PRICE.

# Smith against Starkey.

EASTER TERM 13. Q. ANNE.

## Lancasbire, 15th April 1714.

THE bill stated, that the plaintiff was, and for several years The inhabitants past had been, possessed of several lands in the town of and land holders Quarmore, in the county of Lancaster, within the bounds of the rest are titheable ancient Forest of Quarmore; that the said forest was never difto the impropriforested; that all the lands there were anciently in the hands of ator of the pathe kings and queens of England, and exempted from the pay- rish of Lancafter. ment of tithes, or any composition in lieu thereof, as by the prerogative of the crown they ought to be; that the faid lands, when aliened, were enjoyed by the owners free from the payment of tithes; that all the faid lands had so remained tithe free till about thirty-five years ago, when Sir R. Bindless, deceased, prevailed on some of the land holders there to pay him fmall fums of money as compositions for tithes, and others to pay him by way of tithe corn in kind; that neither the faid Sir R. Bindless, or any claiming under him, ever brought any action against such persons as resused to pay tithes until the year 1700, when the defendant libelled against the plaintiff for tithe of corn in kind growing on the plaintiff's lands in Quarmore; and that the plaintiff thereupon obtained a probibition out of this court. The bill further stated, that the tithes of the pre-Nn 2

SMITH egainst Starkey. mises, if any were due, belong to THE CROWN, as issuing out of forest lands, and therefore the desendant cannot have any title thereto, save to such as are derived by or under THE CROWN. It also stated, that on the dissolution of monasteries the tithes of the parish of Lancaster were vested in Henry the Eighth by a different title than that which his majesty had to Quarmore Forest; and therefore, although the tithes of the parish of Lancaster were granted out of THE CROWN, and the desendant claimed under such grant, yet that would not extend to Quarmore, it being extra parochial, and dependant upon a different title; that the desendant claimed under Bindless as impropriator, and by a distinct grant from THE CROWN to that of the forest; and that he never brought an action of debt or otherwise to make out his title. The bill therefore prayed to be relieved in the premises.

The defendant, by his answer, said, that he believed the plaintiff was possessed of some lands in Quarmore, lying in the rectory or parish of Lancaster, but that, admitting such lands were in the faid forest, and anciently in THE CROWN, the same, for several ages past, had been in the possession of patentees, or other persons claiming under them; that THE CROWN, by prerogative, was not discharged of tithes for demessive lands, and that when THE CROWN alienated fuch lands, fuch privilege ceased, and tithes in kind became due after alienation in the hands of the patentees, or of those claiming under them. The defendant further stated, that the tithes of corn of the lands in the faid forest are due to the rectory and parsonage impropriate of Lancaster, and had been paid time out of mind, as well by others as by the plaintiff, till three years past; that the inhabitants in *Quarmore* now do pay, and for time immemorially have paid tithes and Easter dues to the vicar of Lancaster; that Quarmore, when perambulations were made, was included in them, and taken as part of Lancaster rectory; that the said Sir R. Bindless, being seised in see of the said rectory of Lancaster, of the tithe barn, of the tithes of corn, lamb, and of all mixed tithes and oblations what soever arising in Quarmore, in the said forest, or elsewhere in the said rectory of Lancaster, the same came, by mesne conveyances, to the defendant on trust to fell the same, and out of the purchase money to pay such sums of money as in the deed are expressed; that such of the inhabitants of Quarmore, as did not pay their tithes in kind, paid a composition in lieu thereof, during Sir R. Bindless's life, and that fince his death they had paid the fame to his lady. The defendant admitted the profecution and prohibition as stated in the bill, and said, that some of the inhabitants in Quarmore do now pay the defendant their modus, and that he takes it to be a strong agreement that tithes in kind are due where such modus is not paid.

The

The plaintiff replied; the defendant rejoined; and divers witnesses were examined on both sides; and the cause came on to be heard on the eleventh of December, when it was ordered to stand over for further hearing; but the plaintiff refusing to bring it on, it was, by order of the twenty-fourth of February last, ordered to come on at the defendant's request; and now the defendant's counsel praying that the bill may be dismissed, and upon reading an affidavit of due service of subpana on the plaintiff to hear judgment, and no counsel appearing for the faid plaintiff,

SMITE against STAREET.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that the faid bill be, and the fame is hereby difmiffed, with costs to be taxed by the deputy remembrancer of this Court.

# SHERIS against BASKERVILLE.

TRIN. TERM. 13. Q. Anne.

## Berksbire, 14th June 1714.

HE rector of Summingwell, in the county of Berks, claimed One moiety of the tithes of a certain farm called Bagwerth Farm; a great in Berkstere, lies part of which is fituated in the faid parish, and the other part in in the parish of the parish of Saint Nicholas; and stated, that the defendants Summingwell, Bafterville and Brookland are possessors and occupiers of the said and the other farm, and that they, combining with the detendant Kearope, parish of St. rector of Saint Nicholas, had carried away their titheable matters Nicholas; but sarm, and that they, combining with the defendant Redrobe, without fetting out the tithes of the same.

The defendants admitted that one moiety of all tithes arising not entitled to upon the faid farm had, time out of mind, been received by any of the tithes the plaintiff's predecessors as rectors of the said parish; but which lies in his faid, that the defendant Redrobe, rector of Saint Nicholas, parish. claimed all the tithes arising upon the faid farm, and, by indenture dated the twentieth of December, in the seventh year of Queen Anne, had leased the same to the said desendants at six pounds a-year; and they denied that any part of the faid farm lay in the parish of Summingwell.

The Court directed these issues to try, " Whether any, and " what part of Bagworth Farm lies within the parish of Sum-« mingwell and the titheable places thereof;" and « Whether "the plaintiff, as rector of Summingwell, is entitled to any, and what part of the great tithes arising upon the said farm, or and upon the trial, the jury found "that one moiety of the faid farm, called Bagworth Farm, did lie in the parish " of Summingwell, but that no part of the faid farm laid in the stitheable places thereof, and that none of the tithes arifing " upon Nn 3

moiety in the the rector of Summingwell is Sheets againft Baskerville. " upon Bagworth Farm did belong, or were due and payable to the plaintiff, as rector of Summingwell."

THE COURT therefore ordered the bill to be dismissed, with costs to be taxed by the deputy remembrancer, in doing which, he is to have regard to the costs touching the examination for proving in which of the parishes a moiety of the said farm did lie.

END OF TOL. I.

# TABLE

OFTHE

# $oldsymbol{C} oldsymbol{O} oldsymbol{N} oldsymbol{\cdot} oldsymbol{T} oldsymbol{E} oldsymbol{N} oldsymbol{\cdot} oldsymbol{T} oldsymbol{S}$

o F

# THE FIRST VOLUME.

#### ABERWAITE.

THE tithes arising in Aberwaite, Ingariborpe, and Markinton, in Yorkfbire, decreed, Markinfield v. Burton,

#### AFTERMATH.

- The tithes of aftermath, there being no custom against it, ought to be paid of common right, Margetts v. Butcher, 212
- 2. See also Hall v. Babb,

**5**:

- 3. The tithes of the aftermath of clover grass is also due of common right, Colley v. Smith, 324
- 4. Same point, Witherington v. Harris,
- 5. Same point, Saunders v. Dewe, 494
- 6. Cattle, turned off from work, and grazed for the purpose of being fatted, are not liable to tithes during the time they feed on after pasture, Sandys v. Eastmond, 364
- 7. A custom to pay the tithes of the first math of meadow ground in grass cocks, N n 4

and of clover in great cocks, in lieu of the tithes of the hay of the fecond math of the fame ground, is a good custom, Waterman v Jones, 468

- 8. Tithes are not due for after pasture,
  Underwood v. Gibbon, 535
- 9. See also Hill v. Bubb,

#### AGISTMENT. 2,

220

- r. Tithes for the agistment of such barren and unprofitable cattle as yield no tithes of themselves, are due of common right, Bargis v. Diamond, 65
- 2. See also Hayward v. Archer, 384
- But no tithes are, of common rightdue for agifting cattle on after pasture, Hall . Bubb,
- 4. See also Underwood v. Gibbon, 535
- A custom, that any stranger occupying or feeding any ground is to pay acreage after the custom of the field, as the inhabitants pay for mown ground, is good, Swaine v. Pern,
- 6. While oxen are worked, no tithes shall be paid for agisting them, because tithes arise from other things by the labour

labour of such cattle; but when they do no work, and are turned off to be fatted, and are grazed, tithes shall then be paid for the herbage which they eat, they being no way beneficial to the parson in any other tithes, Sandys v. Eastmond,

- 7. Quart, Whether a person living out of the parish, and having no land therein, but sending beasts there to be agisted, shall pay the tithes for their depasturing, Coe v. Smith,
- B. A custom to pay twopence halfpenny a beast for the agistment of such barren cattle as are the proper stock of the occupier, and two shillings in the pound for the agistment of the cattle of strangers, is bad; for the tithe of herbage ought to be paid for the agisting both of the barren cattle of occupiers, as well as strangers, Ekins v. Bridges,
- g. A custom to be exempted from the payment of agistment tithes, on account of the rector having a competent maintenance without them, is void, Smith v. Johnston, 514

#### ALDGATE.

- 2. A modus of 18s. a year, payable quarterly, for certain houses in the parish of St. Bessleb, Aldgate, Umfreville v. Hodges,
- 2. The rector of St. Betelph, Aldgate, is entitled to a pound rate on house rent, pursuant to 37. Hen. 8. c. 12. in lieu of tithes, Umfreville v. Batchelor, 326
- 3, The rector of St. Bosolph. Aldgate, is only entitled to a modus of 51, payable quarterly for Hooker's Rents, in Nightingale Lane, and Sun Yard, Unfreville v. Campion,
- 4. The king is entitled to appoint a curate to the church of St. Botolob, Aldgate; and he isentitled to a pension of 81. a year, and 40s. for bread and wine, Hollingworth w. Umfreville, 332
- 4. The curacy of the church of Si-Betelph, Aldgate, is in the appointment of the rector of the parish, Astorney General v. Brewster, 455

#### ALDMINSTER.

1. The vicar of Aldminster is entitled, at Lammas Day yearly, to a farthing for every sheep agisted in the common stelds, in lieu of the tithe of wool; to one calf in seven, paying the owner three halfpence; to one halfpenny for every six calves; and to one calf out of every ten, in lieu of tithe calves; to one penny for every milch cow sed in the common stelds, in lieu of tithe milk; and to one penny in lieu of the tithes of sirewood, Swan v. Staaley, 196

#### ALFORD'S LANDS.

See HEREFORD.

#### ALLER.

Every person being an out-dweller, and not an inhabitant in the parish of Aller, in the county of Somerfet, who rents any meadow or pasture lands lying in Aller Moor, excepting only the lands called Court Farm and Beer Farm, pay to the rector of Aller a tenth part of the yearly value of their lands, in lieu of the tithes thereof, Foster w. Chinor,

#### ALL-HALLOWS.

The church of All-ballous Stepping, in London, was formerly parcel of the possessions of the monastery of St. Mary Grace, near the Tower, and the appropriation thereof granted by James the First on a fee-farm rent of 81. to the king, and on condition that the impropriator should, at his own costs and charges, find a proper person to serve the cure, Attorney General w. Bearcroft,

#### ALXHAGE.

The rector of St. Alxbage, in the city of Canterbury, is not entitled to tithes from the spot of ground called the Island, it being tithe free, as having been parcel of the Black Friars, in the said city, Stocker w. De la Pierre, 242

**APPLES** 

#### APPLES AND PEARS.

- 2. A modus to pay 3d. a hoghead for cyder and perry, in lieu of all apples and pears, is bad, Simpson v. Tucker,
- 2. Same point, Edgerton v. Fellett, 277
- 3. Same point, Staughten w. Ley, 315
- 4. Same point, Pidfley v. Carew, 410, 411
- 5. A custom to pay the tenth part of the money that apples and pears sell for, and the tithes in kind for such as are eaten in the family, is good, Ernse v. Watts.
- Tithes shall be paid for the windfalls of apples and pears, as well as for those which are gathered, Harding v. Golding, 366
- 7. Same point, Lifter v. Foy, 424
- 8. Hoarded apples and pears shall pay tithes, Drake y. Brooking, 469

#### ASHBY DE LA LAND.

The rector of Afbby, in Lincolnsbire, is not entitled to any of the tithes arising on Temple Heath, King w. Knight, 130

#### ASHDOWN.

The lands in the parishes of Afodown and Bunton, in Suffex, though formerly part of Afodown Forest, shall pay tithes, Burges w. Reeve,

#### ASHFORD.

The vicar of Albford, in Kent, is entitled to his tithes in kind, Rifden v. Grouch, 117

# ASHLEYS. See TARRANT KEYNSTON,

#### ASHTON,

See STEEPLE ASHTON,

The parishioners of the hamlet of Assess, in the parish of Cundu, shall pay tithes, both great and small, to the rector of Candle, Page w, Lawe,

#### ASKHAM.

The demesse lands of the archbishop of York, lying in the parish of Askbam, in Notting bamsbire, are freed from the payment of all small tithes to the vicar of Drayton, in the said county, Fished v. Squire,

#### AVENBURY.

The rector of Avenbury, in Hereford bire, is to pay yearly to the vicar 71. 6s. 8d. to allow him the use of St. Agatha's Meadow, and find him a house, garden, orchard, fixty acres of land, and the tithe hay, Kettleby v. Corbett, 213

#### AYTON.

The rector of Great Agen, in Yorkshire, is intitled to a modul of 6s. 8d. a year in lieu of the tithes of the mulcture of a water corn mill, Marwood v. Low-ther,

B.

#### BACKWELL

The rector of Backwell, in Somerfet Bire, is entitled to the tithes of hay in Land Mead and in Moor Mead, in kind, Croffman v. Walker,

#### BADGEWORTH.

The rector of Badgeworth, in Somerstaspire, is entitled to 8d. an acre for all meadow and pasture lands within, the owner or occupier of which lives out of, the parish, Wickham v. Strode,

#### BAGWORTH FARM.

One moiety of Bageworth Farm, in Berkshire lies in the parish of Summing-well, and the other in the parish of St. Nicholas; but the rector of Summingwell is not entitled to any tithes therefrom, Sheris w. Baskerwille, 549

BAINBRIDGE

#### BAINBRIDGE HOLME.

#### See WEARMOUTH.

#### BALDERSTON.

The township of Balderston, in the parish of Blackburn, in Lancastore, pays tithes, pursuant to a book called the Easter roll, Fleetwood v. Livesay,

#### BARFORD.

The rector of Barford, in Warwicksbire, is entitled to a sum of 13s. 4d. called tithe-hopper, or hopper money, yearly, in lieu of the tithe of three water corn mills, Dugarde v. Weete, 55

#### BARK.

In what manner, and under what circumflances, the bark of trees above twenty years growth is titheable, Greenway v. Kent, 479

#### BARKESTON.

The rector of Barkesson, in Lincolnshire, pays a pension of 20s. a year to the prebendary of North Grantham, Hill w. Primate,

#### BARKING.

See ILFORD HOSPITAL.

#### BARLSTON.

The landholders of the village of Barifton, in Leucefter force, pay a modus of 7d. three farthings an acre to the rector of Market Boyworth, in lieu of tithes, Dixie v. Deacon, 335

#### BARNES.

See WEARMOUTH.

#### BARNEY.

There are certain lands in Barney, in Lincoln/bire, which, having been parcel of the abbey of Barney, are tithe free, Skipwith v. Pickering,

#### BARREN LANDS.

- Lands, though recovered from, and occasionally overflowed by, the sea, yet if they were not originally barren they are liable to tithes, Gawden v. Gilbert,
   89
- 2. Same point, Alcock v. Hilyard, 279
- 3. See also Burges w. Ruws, 388
- 4. See also Shaw v. Topping, 545

#### BARTON.

The manner of tithing in the parish of Barton on the Heath, in Warwickshire, fettled by the award of Sir Robers Atkyns, and confirmed by the court, Hayward v. Archer, 384

#### BARTON SEAGRAVE.

The manner in which the rector of Barton Seagrave, in Northampton Birs, is entitled to receive his tithes. Eliza v. Bridges, 416

#### BATH.

- 1. The chapel of Whitcombe is annexed to the church of Saint Peter and Paul, in the city of Baib, and the vicar, as officiating curate of the faid chapel and church, is entitled to all small tithes arising in Whitcombs, Lough v. Chapman,
- 2. The vicarage of St. Mary Stalls, with the chapelry of Whitenah, in Bath, and the rectories of St. James, St. Mary, and St. Michael, are united, and called the rectory of St. Putr and Paul, Ibid. 28
- The rector of the church of St. Puer and Paul is entitled to the rectory of the parish of Lincomb,
- 4. The vicar is entitled to the tithes of the lands in Dole Mead, called the Mill Mouth, 29

#### BEDFORD LEVEL.

The grantees of the crown of the extraparochial tithes of Besford Level, are entitled to all tithes arising in the lands ealled the Burrough Fen in kind, Show w. Topping, 545 BEDMINSTER.

#### BEDMINSTER.

# y. The manner in which the impropriator of Bedminster, in Somersetsbire, is entitled to tithes, Horton v. Higginbottom,

2. The owners of the diffolved chapel of Knoll, in the parish of Bedminster, are entitled to the tithes arising within the chapelry, Cox v. Livefag. 152

3. Quare, Whether the vicar of Bedmin-Ber, in Somersetsbire, is entitled to the tithes of Marr Meades and Pyle Hills, or the impropriator of the adjoining parish of Knoll, Thompson v. Wright, 221

#### BEEDING.

The rector of Beeding, in Suffolk, is entitled to a buck and a doe yearly, in lieu of the tithes of the forest of St. Leonard's, Conant v. Greaves, 140

#### BEENHAM.

The vicar of Beenbam, in Berkhire, is entitled to the tithes of wood felled in Six Acre Coppice, Cowbill Coppice, Sbrubwood, and the Hedgerows belonging to Beenbam Farm, Goadard v. Mann, 366

#### BEES.

- a. A custom to pay 2d. for every stock of bees smothered or driven, whereof profit is made of the honey and wax, in lieu of the tithes thereof, is good, Swaine v. Pern,
- 2. A custom to pay, on the day of fale, the tenth of the money that bees sell for, in lieu of tithe honey, is good, Leach v. Deacen, 374

#### BERKEBY.

The renants of the manor of Hutton Bonville, in Yorksbire, are to pay the rector of Lerkeby 20s. on St. Mark's Day, or a gelding's gate or a mare's gate from May Day to Michaelman, at the election of the rector, and 40s. on St. Matchew's Day yearly, in lien of all tithes arising within the said manor, Marthwaite v. Peirce, 234

#### BERMONDSEY.

The rector of Bermondsy, in Surry, is antitled to 5s. a year, in lieu of tithes of certain houses at Dock Head, Heath v. Sanford, 427

#### BERNOLDSWICKE.

The manor of Berneldswicke, in the parish of Gilkirke, in Yorksbire, was formerly parcel of the ducby of Lancaster, and never belonged to the abbey of Kirshall, and therefore is not tithe free, Mischell v. Brogden, 354

#### BESTWALL.

The king is entitled to a portion of the tithes of corn and hay of Bestwall, in Dorsetsbire, as having formerly been parcel of the possessions of the monastery of East Sbeen, in the county of Surry, of which the king is seised in fee, Attorney General v. Phillips, 118

#### BEWCASTLE.

The lands called Kirlopp and Blacklevin, in the parish of Bewcafile, in Cumber-land, pay a modus of 11s, 2-year, in lieu of all the tithes thereof, Ufbir v. Fofter.

#### BILTON.

The prebendary of the prebend of Bilton, founded in the cathedral church of Salisbury, is seised in see of the parsonage of Bilton, and thereby entitled to the tithes of bops and other small tithes, although there is a vicarage endowed, Evans v. Tindall,

#### BINFIELD:

The manner in which the tithe of hay shall be set out in the parish of Binfield, in Berkfoire, Nash v. Pocock, 311

#### BISHLEY.

The vicar of the rectory impropriate of Bishley, in Gloucestersbire, is entitled to all small tithes of the said parish; to the tithe of corn, wood, and lambs, of

the

the manor of Worland; to the herbage of the church-yard; and to the tithe of the tithe of the corn belonging to the impropriator, Brittain v. Lord Coven19, 33

#### BISHOP WEARMOUTH.

See WEARMOUTH.

## BLACKBOURN.

The manner in which the rectorial tithes of the parish of Blackbourn, in Lancabirs, shall be paid, Flustwood v. Livefey, 93

#### BLACK FRIARS.

The feite and precinct of the Black Friars, in the city of Canterbury, are tithe free, Stocker v. De la Pierre, 242

## BOROUGHFEN.

The tithes of Boroughfen are due to the grantees of the crown of the tithes of Bedford Level, Show v. Topping. 545

## BOTTESFORD.

The impropriator of Bottesford, in Liscolnsbire, is not entitled to tithes from the vicar for a few sheep kept upon a a common appurtenant to his glebe, Caifer v. Harnsby, 478

#### BOUGHTON.

The mode of paying small tithes in the parish of Boughton, in the county of Norfolk, Fawcett v. Tenants, 78

## BOURNE WOOD,

Bourne Wood, in the parish of Rewking, in Kene, is within the Weald, and tithe free, Brett v. Franklyn, 533

#### BOURTON.

The parcel of meadow ground, called, "The Tithing Mead," lying in a place called Scapleton Mead, in the parish of Baurton on the Water, in Guegester-

foire, was formerly parcel of the posfessions of the monastery of Evesbam, in the city of Worcester; but, being in lease at the time the monastery was dissolved, is not tithe free, Horde v. Wasley,

#### BRADFIELD.

There is a modus in the parish of Bradfield, in Yorksbire, to pay a halfpenny for every lamb fallen and fold after lambing time and before clipping time, in lieu of all the tithes thereof, Fox v. Shawe,

## BRAITHWAITE.

The farm called Townhead, and the farm called Filteroft, in the parishes of Great and Little Braithwaite, in Cumberland, pay, in lieu of tithes, the first, a modus of 3s. 8d. a year; and the second, a modus of two bushels of oats and one bushel of bigg yearly, the bushel to contain nineteen gallons, Hassel w. Bewley, 389

#### BRANGHINGE.

The vicar of Brangbings, in Hertfordsbirs, claims tithes of hay, hops, wood, and all other small tithes throughout the whole parish; the defendants say, that the lands they occupy are the demostration of the manor of Brangbings, which were parcel of the possessions, which were parcel of the possession of the priory of the Holy Trinity, to which the church was appropriated; and that by the endowment the vicar is not to receive the tithes "of the tenants" which in the portion of the priory should remain," But on reading the evidence, the tithes of the whole parisk are decreed, Cos w. Majon, 42

#### BRANSPETH

The rector of Branspeth, in Durbam, is entitled to a medus of 131. a year, in lieu of the tithes of the lands called the East and West Parks, Tonge v. Cole,

419

Brasted,

#### BRASTED.

Sa EATONBRIDGE.

## BREEWOOD.

The farm called Black Ladies is in the parish of Breswood, in the county of Stafford, Wightwick v. Gifford, 221

## BREMHILL.

The vicar of Brembill, in Wilifbire, is only entitled to a modus of 20s. a year from the tenants of the manor of Cadenbam, in lieu of tithes, Townson w. Hungerford, 247

#### BRIGHTLINGSEA.

The vicar of Brightlingsea, in Essen, is not entitled to the tithes of oyster lays, of the parsonage farm, or of the manor lands, Murray v. Skinner, 541

## BRIXHAM.

The fishermen of the parish of Brixbam, in Dewonsbire, pay every twelfth fish, of all forts and kinds, taken at sea, to the vicar, in lieu of the tithes thereof, Kempster v. Stuart,

#### BROMFLETE.

The manor of Bromflete in Yorksbire; is tithe free, Clarke v. Sunderland, 175

## BROMLEY.

- 1. The lands of the manor of Bromley St.

  Leonards, in the county of Middlefex,
  are not tithe free, Jacob v. Semayne,
- 2. The rector of Bromley. in Kest, is entitled to the tithes of broom made into bavins; of the lops and tops of old pollard timber trees; and of wood growing in the hedge rows, Biggs v. Martis,

## BROUGHTON GIFFARD.

The farmers of Bronghton Giffard, in Wilifeire, pay a modus of 8d, a calf, in lieu of tithes, Harding v. Golding,

## BRUNTINGTHORPE.

The rector of Bruntingthorps, in Leicesterfhirs, enjoys the lands called the Tutheable Piece, in lieu of the tithes of hay arising from the meadows, hades, flades, and balks, in the fields called Street Field, Out Field, and Carbrock Field, Bunning v. Cave, 544.

#### BUCKLAND ABBAS.

The manner in which the vicar of Buckland Abbas, in Dorfessbire, is to seceive his tithes, Lister v. Foy,

428

#### BUDLEIGH.

The inhabitants of East Budleigh, in Dewenshire, pay 4d. a hogshead for cyder; 4d. an acre for hay; 4d. for every calf; 1d. a year for every garden; 1d. a year for firewood; and 4d. a year for Easter offerings, in lieu of the tithes thereof, Conyers v. Sweetland, 400

#### BURITON.

The rector of Buriton, in Hampfhire, is entitled to the tithes of willows, maples, hazles, the wood growing in hedgerows, and all underwood felled in Ducham Park and the Hangers, Lapfield v. Couper, 330

## BURTON

The rector of Burron, in Westmoreland, is entitled to tithes in kind, Presson v. Connie,

## BYLAND ABBEY.

Quere, Whether lands parcel of the posfession of Byland A bey are free from tithe, York v. Newcostie, 446

#### BYFLEETE PARK.

The lands formerly part of Byfleste Park, in the parish of Wefton, in Surry, are tithe free, Sutton v. Oldy, 280 CADENHAM.

C.

#### CADENHAM.

The tenants of the manor of Cadenbam, in Wilisbere, pay a modus of 20s. a year to the vicar of Brombill, in lieu of tithes, Townson v. Hungerford, 247

## CADOXTON.

The vicar of Cadoxion, in Glamorganshire, is only entitled to a halfpenny for every calf, in lieu of the tithe thereof, Bevan w. Williams; 350

## CALTHORPE.

The rector of Caliberge, in Leicestersbire, is entitled to a convenient way to and from the Parson's Meadow. a parcel of ground which, on the inclosing the common fields, was affigned to the rectors in lieu of tithes, Cave w. Earnesby,

## CALVES.

- 1. A custom to pay the vicar the tenth calf in kind, and when there are but feven the vicar to have the seventh, on paying 1s. 6d. to the owner; and when there are not seven, the vicar to have a halfpenny a calf for every calf under that number which is kept for store, and 6d. for every calf killed for the owner's use, is good, Ernste v. Watts,
- 2. The owner of a fingle calf shall, of common right, pay the tenth part of its value when taken from the cow, in lieu of tithes, Kinyon v. Wift, 313
- 3. A custom that the tithe calf shall be delivered at the will of the owner after it is three weeks old, and at such time of the year as the owner can best spare it, not hindering the breed, and that if the parson delay setching it, he shall pay for the keeping, is good, Swaine v. Perne.
- 4. A custom that the parson shall keep a bull for the use of the parishioners, or, unless he pay the expence of the bull, lose his tithe calves, is good, Swains w. Perne, 342

- 5. A modus to pay one halfpenny forevery calf, in lieu of the tithes thereof, is good, Bevan w. Williams, 350
- A modus of 8d. a calf, in lieu of tithes,
   is good, Harding v. Golding,
   365
- A custom to pay 6d, a calf, on condition the parson keeps a bull, or pays for the bulling of the cows, is good, Snow v. Heavitt,
- 8. A custom to pay the tenth penny for every calf fold to be killed, and the right shoulder of every calf killed by the owner, and one halfpenny for every calf weaned for store, is good, Leach v. Deacon,
- 9. A custom to pay one calf out of every ten in kind; but if the owner have but feven ealves, then the vicar, on receiving one of them, to pay the owner 4d. halfpenny; and if he have under seven, the owner to pay the vicar three halfpence for every such cow and calf, in full fatisfaction of all the tithes thereof, is good, Bell v. Curron,
- 25. Out of every 208. and for every calf kept for store a halfpenny, Holwell 21. Woolflon, 411
- 11. Quere, Whether a custom that the tithes of calves ought to be paid at the end of a month after their fall, be good, Lister v. Foy,

  423
- 12. Quere, Whether a custom that for a calf killed, to be used in the owner's house, the vicar shall have the best shoulder, if the owner has not, in that year, seven calves; and that for a calf fold, the tenth penny when there are not seven; and that after tithes paid (as described), no more is to be paid until a year after such calf is reased and used for plough or pail, be good, Lister v. For,

## CA-MBERWELL.

The impropriator of Camberwell, in Surry, is intitled to the tithe of all titheable wood felled in Prekman's Word, part of the manor of Duluich, and belonging to Dulwich College, and to the tithes of eorn, &c. reaped on the lands where fuch wood formerly grew, Bowyer v. Gibbs,

359
CANEDOWN.

## CANEDOWN

The occupier of pasture and marsh land in the parish of Canedown, in Essen, pays to the vicar 2s. in the pound on the rent of the land, in lieu of tithe herbage for the depasturing of barren and unprofitable cattle thereon, Devereux v. Radley,

## CANONS BAKEHOUSE.

See Hereford-Morton Magna.

## CANTERBURY.

The feite and precinct of the Black Friars, in the parish of St. Alxbage, in the city of Canterbury, are tithe free, Stocker v. De la Pierre, 242

## CARLISLE.

The inhabitants of the townships of Carleton, Wray, Blackball, and Mark, in the parishes of St. Cutbbert's and St. Mury, in Carliste. pay the tithes of their haver and oatmeal by a bushel containing thirteen gallons, two quarts, and one pint, at the abbey of Carliste, between the eleventh of November and the first of August yearly, Sociell v. Dean and Chapter of Carliste,

#### CARROTS.

Carrots, though fowed in the common fields, are finall tithes, Stephens v. Martin, 358

## · CARSINGTON.

The landholders of Carfington, in Dirbybirs, pay a modus of three halfpence for every milch cow, and three halfpence for every barren cow, in lieu of tithe herbage; but this does not extend to cattle bought in for the purpose of being fatted, Boothouse v. Hayward, 345

#### CATTERICK.

i. The vican of Catterick, in Yorkshire, is entitled to the tithes of wool and lambe in the lordship of Kipling in kind, Authory v. Smithson, 101

- 2. The lordship of Kipling, though formerly parcel of the possessions of the monastery of St Agatha, is not tithe free, Authory v. Smithson,
- 3, A monthly tithe is due for sheep fold before shearing time, Ibid. 110

## CATTLE.

- 1. Agistment tithes are not payable for cattle reared and employed for the plough, the pail, the faddle, or used for the purposes of husbandry, Burgis v. Diamond,
- 2. Same point, Dickins v. Dearsley, 124
- 3. Same point, Wickbam v. Strode, 298
- 4. Same point, Hayward v. Archer, 384
- 5. See also Lifter w. Foy,
- 6. But tithes for the agistment of barren and unprofitable cattle not so employed are due of common right; Bargis v. Diamond,
- 7. Draught cattle necessary for the management of a farm, while wrought, and necessary faddle horses, are tithe free, Watts v. Weldon,
- Cattle depastured in aftergrass are not titheable, though barren and unprofitable, and fed for the purpose of being fatted, Sandys v. Ecstmond, 364

#### CAVERSFIELD.

The vicar of Caversfield, in Buckingbamfiber, is entitled not only to the small
tithes of the parish, but to 71. a year
from the rector, and to the tithes of sour
yard lands lying in the parish of Stratton Audley, in the city of Oxford,
Durant v. Bush,

## CERNEY. See South Cerney.

## CHARCOAL.

Charcoal shall pay tithes, Coe v. Smith,

## CHATTON.

The landholders of Chatton, in Northweberland, pay to the vicar 5s. a year in lieu

Hen of tithe hay, and 5s. a year in lieu of all other small tithes, Phillbridge v. Ord, 349

## CHAWLEY.

A modus of rd. a year is payable to the rector of Chawley, in Devenshire, in lieu of the tithes of all wood out in lands called West Stoney Field Wood, Elsone v. Souden, 397

# CHEESE:

# CHEART.

The tithing of Cheart, in the county of Surry, lies in the Weelds, and is tithe free, Salmen w. Denyer, 303

## CHERITON BISHOP.

The inhabitants of Cheriton Bishop, in Devonshire, pay, in lieu of tithe hay, a modus of 2d. an acre; 2d. a cow, in lieu of milk; 6d. for a calf; 1d. for fruit and vegetables; and 1d. for fire-wood, Pocock v. Cole, 336

## CHEVELEY.

The vicar of Chewsley and rector of Oarcurridge, in Berkfore, is not entitled to the tithes of corn in the lands called "The Pirfalls;" but quare as to his right to the tithes of hay from the lands called the Brooms, Spicer w. Peccek,

#### CHIBNEY.

The hamlet of Chibay, in the vicarage of Cudde/den, in Oxfordshire, pays a rate of 6l. 12s. 4d. yearly to the vicar, in lieu of tithes, Bishop of Oxford v. Overbury,

#### CHIDDINFOLD.

The rector of Chiddinfold is entitled to the tithes of lambs in kind, Layfield v. Enticknapp, 383

#### CHIGWELL.

The vicar of Chigwell, in Effex, is entitled to the whole tenth meal's milk of every morning and every evening, the owner of the cows to fend or bring the faid tithe milk to the church porch every morning and evening, Dodd v. Ingleton,

#### CHURCHWARDENS.

In what case churchwardens are bound to collect an annuity settled on a stipendary minister, Cutlove v. Capon, 339

#### CISTERTIANS.

- 1. The Ciftertian order of monks was difcharged from the payment of tithes by an order of the council of Lateran; and this order is received as a general law in England, Staveley v. Ullitherns, 26
- 2. The town fields of the village of Straning forth are discharged of tithes, 25 having belonged to this order, Ibid. 25
- No covenant made in contravention of the order of the council of Laterasi can be good, Ibid. 26 mets
- 4. The demesse lands of the manor of Horton, in Yorksbire, formerly belonged to a monastery of the Cifertian war, and are thereby discharged from the payment of tithes, Wilson v. Redman,
- 5. The abbey of Creaton was of the Ciffertian order: but it being a leffer abbey, its lands are not tithe free, Hodfon v. Wilfraw, 88
- 6. The lands lying in Thorpe Underwood, in Yorkfbire, formerly belonged to the abbey of Fontaines, of the Ciftertian order, Waters v. Dickinson,
- 7. The coppice wood called Gregory's Grove, in the hamlet of Finham, in the parish of Stoneleigh, in Warwickshire, formerly belonged to the abbey of Stoneleigh, of the Cistertian order, Leigh v. Downes,
- The manor of Saleburft, in Suffex, was formerly parcel of the monastery

of Robersfridge, a monastery of the Cistertian arder, Lord v. Pooke, 134

- The abhey of Papwell was of the Cifertion order; and Oldfie d Clofis, in the parish of Okeby, in Northamptonskire, having been parcel of its possessions, are tithe free, Brooke w. Hull, 201
- was of the Cifferican order; but being under the value of 2001. a year, its lands, of which Morebampton Grange was part, are not tithe free, Watting, 206
- 11. The abbey of Kirstall. in Yorkspire.
  was an abbey of the Cisterian order, of
  which the rectory of Gilkirke was parcel, Muchell v. Bregden;
  353
- 12. The abbey of Newbrough, in Yorkfbire, was an abbey of the Ciftertian
  order, and the township of Langthorpe
  part of its possession; but the lands
  thereof having for many years paid
  tithe, are not now tithe free, Wilkinson
  v. Newsom;
  356

# CLATFORD. See Presentt.

## CLOVER.

- A custom that clover shall be paid for by the inhabitants of a parish as feeding ground, and not otherwise, is good, Swaine v. Perne,
- 2. Tithes are due as well for the fecond as for the first crop of clover, Colleg v. Smith, 324
- 3. Same point, Witherington v. Harris,
- 4. But not for the after-pasture of clover, Hall v. Babb, 220
- 5. A custom to fet out clover in fwathes is bad, *Ibid*. 445
- A custom to pay the tithes of the first crop of clover in great cocks, in lieu of the tithes of the second crop, is good, Waterman v. Jones,
- Quere, Whether a custom that clover, and other artificial grasses, cut green, and given to milch cows and other pro-Vol. I.

fitable cattle, shall be free from tithe, is good, Waterman v. Jones, 465

## COLD HARBOUR.

See WINNINGTON.

#### COLESEE D.

- 1. Colesced is a tithe payable to the rector of the parish, and not to the vicar or curate, Widarington v. Barker, 145
- Colefeed, though fown in fields and in large quantities, is a small tithe, payable to the vicar, and not to the rector, Fift v. Wimberley,
- 3. A custom to take the tithe of coleseed by the tenth bushel, the parson allowing 1d. for the dressing, is good, Pern v. Fountain, 504
- 4. The tithe of colefeed belongs to the rector, and not to the vicar, Tilletien v. Challis, 528

## COLLYTON.

The vicar of Collyton, Shute, and Monneton, in Devonshire, is entitled to receive from the juftment-bolders of the villages of Minchenholme and Woodland, 3d. a hoghead, in lieu of the tithes of cyder and perry; to the tithes of apples and pears not made into cytler and perry in kind; to 20d. in the pound for pasture land, when let by itself; and to tithes in kind when such pasture is let with other land at one entire rent, Simpson v. Tucker,

# COMBERTON.

The rector of Great Comberton, in Wescrftersbire, is entitled to the small titbes of Comberton Hill, and also to the great tithes of Comberton, but not to a right of common on the Hill, Walmer v. Stanford, 291

#### COMBINTINHEAD.

The manner in which the rector of Combinsinhead, in Devenshire, is entitled to tithes, Hockmore v. Richa ds, 485

O o COMMISSION.

# COMMISSION.

- t. If on an iffue directed, and declaration filed, the defendant neglect to appear, a commission shall iffue to ascertain the value of the tithes demanded by the bill, Pyote v. Geary.
- A commission issued to ascertain the locality and quantity of certain ancient demession lands, Kent v. Webb,
- 3. In what case the Court will not grant a commission, but a trial at law, to ascertain the boundaries of lands, Bridgwater w. Theed,
- 4. A commission issued to ascertain a proper spot of ground on which to build a vicarage house, &c. Kettleby v. Corbett,

## COMPUTATION.

Where a medus of fo much an acre is payable in lieu of tithes, the payment shall be according to the measured, and not according to the computed number of acres, Hatcher v. Ridley, 150

#### COOKHAM.

The lord of the manor of Cookbam, in Borkshire, is not entitled to the profits arising from depasturing cattle in the lands called Withphrook and Cockmarsh. Whatten v. Tuberville, 381

## CORN.

- 1. A custom to pay the tenth sheaf of all corn bound, and the tenth shock of all corn unbound, is good, Swaine v. Perse. 342
- 2. A custom that of all corn and grain there shall be paid the tenth sheaf, stook, or stich, according to the condition such corn and grain was in when the owners carried away the nine parts, and that of the odd sheafs, stooks, or stiches under ten no tithes shall be paid, is void, Snow w. Hewitt,
- 3. A custom to tithe corn and grain by the sheaf or shock is good, Leach v. Deacen, 373
- 4. Corn fowed on new-grubbed ground shall pay tithes, Gee v. Pearch, 387

- 5. A custom to set out the tithes of come in sticks of twelve sheaves, or in stitches of ten sheaves, and to pay no tithes for the number of odd sheaves under ten, is void, Treevin v. Bond, 398
- 6. Quert, Whether it be a good custom to set out the tithe of corn by the tenth stack, Gardner v. Pole, 473
- 7. A custom that the tithes of oats shall be fet out by the sheaf or the shock, as the owner intended to carry the crop away, is good, Pern v. Fountain,

## COSTS.

- Security ordered to be given for arrears
  of tithes and cofts, in case, on an issue
  directed to try whether lands were tithe
  free, the plaintiff should have a verdict,
  Wilson v. Redman,
- A bill difmiffed with 20l. cofts, becanfe
  the plaintiff had fet up a pretended cuftom, of which he had no proof, Bargis
  v. Diamond,
- 3. See also Wilkinson v. Foot, 129
- 4. Good costs ordered on granting a third trial, Pearse v. Bennett, 184
- 5. Good costs ordered for unjust vexation,
  Bivan v. Williams, 351
- 6. See also Danvers v. Wood, 441
- 7. A defendant ordered to pay costs for pleading that the plaintiff was under twenty-three years of age when he was inducted into the rectory for which he claimed tithes, Priants v. Stems, 367
- 8. A defendant ordered to pay cofts for claiming tithes of exempted lands, Price v. Downes, 522

# COTFIELD.

#### COVENANT.

I. If a flatute enach that truftees shall receive all impropriated tithes, and the impropriator, in a lease of the tithes, covenant with the lesse, that he, the impropriator, and his successors, will, at their own proper costs and charges, pay all and every charge whatever for the said parsonage, and all tenths, fisteenths,

teenths, and subsidies by authority of parliament granted or imposed; the trustees shall bear a part of the said taxes reserved upon the lease, in proportion to the whole of the impropriated tithes; but it does not discharge the lessee from his liability to pay the taxes, Thorogood v. Delabarre,

- 2. A covenant on the part of a grantee of the crown to provide a proper person to serve the cure of the church granted, is binding on the purchasor of such impropriation, nor can he release himself therefrom by demissing the rectory to the parishioners, on a covenant, by them, to make choice of their minister during the term, Attorney General v. Bearcroft,
- 3. No covenant to pay tithes made in contravention of the order of the council of Laseran, by which the Ciftertians were discharged from the payment of tithes, can be good, Staveles v. Ullisborne, 26

## COWS.

A custom to pay 4d. for a milch cow, and 2d. for a new milch cow calving after Mid/ammer, is good, Holwell v. Woolson,

## COWLING.

The parishioners of Cowling, in Sussex, pay a modus of 4d. for every milch cow, in lieu of the tithes of milk and cheese, and all their other tithes in kind, Dickins v. Dearsely,

## CRAWIND.

The vicar of Crawind, in Yorkshire, is entitled to the produce of Day's Farm, in lieu of the tithes of the manor of Crawind, Wentworth w. Archbishop of York,

## CROCOMBE.

The mode in which the occupiers of ancient meadow ground in the parish of Crocombe, in Somerfetsbire, shall pay the tithes thereof, James v. Myles, 89

## CROWLAND.

- The title to the tithes of Crowland, in Lincolnshire, decreed, Perus v. Styles, 176
- 2: The lands called *Poflands* are the demefnes of the manor of *Growland*, and tithe free, *Ibids* 180

#### CROYDON.

- i. The manner in which the vicarial tithes of the parish of Greydon, in Surry, shall be paid, Hatcher v. Cleaver, 199
- The vicar of Croydon is entitled to the tithes of calves, turnips, carrots, onions, &c. fowed in the common fields, in kind, Claver v. Pullen,

## CUDDESDEN.

The vicar of Cuddelden, in Oxfordbire, is entitled to a rate tithe of 61. 13s. 4d. in lieu of all tithes due in the parish of Chibney, Bishop of Oxford v. Overbury,

## CUDDINGTON.

The rector of Cuddington, in Surry, is entitled to tithes arising on Nonfucb Park, Lloyd v. Green, 501

## CUNDLE.

The rector of Candle, in Northamptonshire, is entitled to the tithes of furze, pigeons, and all other tithes both great and fmall, arifing in the hamlet of Aston, in the said parish, Page v. Lawe, 12

## CURACY

The curacy of All Saints, in the parish of Maidflose, in Kest, augmented by the archbishop of Canterbury by a lease of the tithes of the borough and town of Week and Stone; which includes those of the hamlet of Loddington, Innes v. Darfy, 488

## CUSTOM.

A custom to pay 14d. an acre for all meadow and pasture land, in lieu of all O o 2

- manner of tithes of the said land, is good, Sevieneck v. Higginson, 3
- 2. A custom to pay twelve bushels of wheat out of certain lands in one parish, in lieu of tithes to the rector of another parish, is good, Bayatas v. Bennet, 4
- 3. A custom to pay the tweetieth part of all sea sish caught, in lieu of tithes thereof, is good, Audley w. Fiddy, 5
- 4. Sundry customs stated, Kirkby w. Redbead, 21
- 5. So is a custom to pay the twelfth part of all fish taken, in lieu of the tenth part, Kempster v. Stuart, 53
- 6. A custom that persons living out, but renting lands in the parish, shall pay a tenth part of the yearly rent or value of the lands, is good, Foster v. Chiner, 76
- A custom to pay one halfpenny for every barren sheep fold after Candlemas, and before shearing time, is void, Turner v. Weeden,
- 8. So also is a custom to pay the third of the wool for the tithes of all sheep bought in after Candlemas, and fold before the Candlemas following, 16. 151
- So also is a custom to pay no tithes for hedge rows, coppice wood, or horses, spent or used in the premises to which the same belong, Ibid.
- 10. A custom for out-dwellers to pay 12d. an acre for new meadow, and 4d. an acre for ancient pasture, on the first of August yearly, is good, Asperday v. Newcomen, 167. 183. 209. 283
- 11. The custom of tithing wheat and other grain, in the parish of Ditchest, in Somerfetsbire, stated, Coward v. Higden, 170
- 12. A custom to pay every tenth day's milk from the first of May to the first of May to the first of August yearly, in lieu of all tithe milk throughout the year, is a void custom, Silverlicke v. Isles,
- 13. A special custom of tithing calves in the parish of Wedmore, in Somersetsbire, stated, Davis v. Tutton, 185
- 14. A custom to pay the thirtieth shock of corn and grain, in lieu of the tithes

- thereof, is good, Waugh v. Greenwood,
- 15. A custom to pay 1s. 6d. a year, in lieu of the corn tithes, and 4d. a year in lieu of the hay tithes of a particular farm and manor, is good, Wasgb v. Greenwood, 187
- 16. A custom to pay, at Lammas, yearly, a farthing for every sheep agisted in the common fields, in lieu of the tithes of wood; one calf in seven, paying the owner three halfpence for each calf; one halfpenny for every fix calves; and one calf in ten, in lieu of tithe calves; one penny for every milch cow fed in the common fields, in lieu of tithe milk; and one penny in lieu of the tithe of fire wood, is good, Swan v. Stanley,
- 17. A custom to pay 3d. a hogshead for cyder and perry, in lieu of the tithes of all apples and pears, is void, Simples v. Tucker,
- 18. A custom that when pasture is let by itself, the jassiment bolders are to pay 20s. in the pound, but where pasture is let with other lands at one entire rem, they are to pay tithes in kind, is good, Simpson v. Tucker,
- The feveral customs of paying vicarial tithes in the parish of Croydon, in Surry, stated, and established, Haucher v. Clewer,
- A custom to pay a claske hen in lieu of all tithes of wool and lambs, is void, Meires v. Aftleyn,
- 21. A custom to pay the tenth past of the money which fish caught in the sea sell for, in fresh, except the meased fish, is bad, Genevas v. Teage, 204
- 22. A custom to pay one halfpenny for every lamb fallen and fold after lambing time, and before clipping time, in lieu of all the tithes thereal, is good, Fox v. Shawe,
- 22. A custom to pay 10s. 5d. 2 year for thirty acres of pasture; 10s. 2d. for twenty acres; 5s. 2d. for fourteen acres; and 8s. 6d. for fixteen acres, in lieu of all the tithes thereof, is good, Trombeck w. Lawfor, 224

- 24. A custom to pay 2d. a year for every close mowed, in lieu of tithe hay, although the same quantity of land was fometimes in two and sometimes in three closes, has been sound, on two trials, a good custom, but it was contrary to the direction of the judge, Dswes v. Tredwell,
- 25. A custom to pay 18. an acre, in lieu of the tithes of part of Romany Marsh, is good, Eve v. Wightwick, 226
- 26. A custom to pay 20s. on St. Mark's Day, or a gelding's gate from May Day to Michae'mas, at the election of the rector, in lieu of all the tithes of a manor, is good, Marthawaite w. Pierce, 234
- A custom or modus to pay 60l. 2-year, in lieu of the tithes of lands which, in the year 1680, let for 300l. the tithes included, is rank and void, Cottorel v. Attrop.
- 28. A custom to pay 6d, an acre, in lieu of the tithe herbage of a salt marsh, is good, Roberts v. Knowler, 238
- 39. A custom that lands shall pay tithe for herbage to the vicar in the same year that they were sowed with corn, and paid the tithe thereof, is good, Turner v. Payne, 239
- 30. A custom to take from the inhabitants the eleventh herring landed in the parish, and the twentieth herring landed out of the parish, and from outdwellers the twentieth herring landed in the parish, is good, Robinson v. Foulk, 240
- garden shall set out every tenth row of hops, without any fraud, as they arise, before the same are gathered, or the binds thereof cut, declared reasonable and good; but by subsequent cases it is said, that it cannot be supported, Chitty v. Reeve,
- 32. A custom to pay 4d. an acre, in lieu of tithes of all hay on account of ancient inclosures, is good, Simples v. Hill, 258
- 33. A custom to number sheep on the first of January yearly, and that if there remain as many at shearing time the vicar shall have the full tithe of the wool of so many as are shorn; but that

- if the number fall short, the vicar shall have a halfpenny a sheep; and if there are more, then only a halfpenny a sheep for every one above the New Year's Day number, is a good custom, Washburne v. Nunnelly, 260
- 34. A custom to pay three halfpence an acre, in lieu of tithes of a copyhold farm, where the lord's referved rent is more than 12d.; one penny an acre where it is at 12d,; and a halfpenny an acre where it is under 12d. is good, Strede v. Birchom,
- 35. A custom to pay the thirtieth shock of corn, in lieu of all tithes arising on the demessee lands of a manor, is good, Barker v. Clarke, 275
- 36. A custom to set out the tenth beap of wheat, instead of the tenth steaf, is good, Aleborne v. Gilbert, 276
- 37. A custom to pay 1s. for every calf under ten, and fifteen cheeses a year, in lieu of the tithes of calves and milk, is good, Statzes v. Wells, 280
- 38. A custom for every farmer to pay 2s. in the pound on the value of his farm, is good, Dodderidge v. Startep, 283
- 39. A custom to set out the tithes of wood by fingle fick or fick meal, is void, Lister w. Care, 293
- 40. A custom that the inhabitants of a parish shall pay 2d. an acre for all meadow mowed; 8d. an acre for every acre depastured; and two 2s. a year for a cow, does not extend to persons living out of the parish, and holding farms within it, Wickbam v. Strede, 298
- 41. A custom to pay 1d. for cows, and one halfpenny for calves; and 6d. a head for feeding unprofitable cattle; a plow penny, in lieu of the tithes of a team of horses; a cleake ben, in lieu of the tithes of poultry; and a halfpenny for bearth filver, in lieu of the tithes of fire wood, is good, Verdon v. Waller,
- 42. A custom to pay the tenth penny apples and pears sell for, and the tenth apple or pear gathered to be eaten in the family in kind, is good, Ernste v. Watt, 304

43. A

O p 3

- 43. A custom to pay every tenth hogshead of cyder and perry in kind, and two-pence for every hogshead under and above ten, and so for every tenth hogshead, is good, Eraste v. Watts, 304
- 44. A custom to pay the tithe wool when the sheep are kept in the parish the whole year, and shorn there, but that when they are not so kept, then to pay 4d. a score for every month they are kept in the parish, and no tithe wool, is good. Engle v. Watts,
- 45 A suftom to pay one lamb in feven, the vicar to pay the owner three halfpence; to pay one halfpenny for every lamb under feven; and every tenth lamb in kind, the occupier first to chuse two, and then the vicar one, and then the owner to chuse nine more, and the vicar the next, and so forward in the like manner, is good, Ernste v. Watts,
- 46. A custom for the vicar to have every tenth calf in kind; and where there are but seven, the seventh calf, paying 1s. 6d. to the owner; where there are less than seven, the vicar to have a halfpenny for every calf under seven kept for store; and 6d. for every calf under seven killed for the owner's use, Ernse v. Walls,
- 4. A custom to set out the tithes of hay by every tenth pooks; of wheat by every tenth sick; of barley and oats by every tenth score; of wool every tenth stace, is good, Yard v. Stored, 312
- 48. A custom to pay feven bushels of rye, each bushel to contain twenty-four gallons, on Easter Monday, or as soon after as the same shall be demanded, in lieu of the tithes of corn and other grain, is good, Hodg for w Skelton, 314
- 49. A custom to pay 1d. and 2d. a hogfhead for cyder and perry, in lieu of the tithes of the apples and pears of which they are respectively made, is good, Slaughter v. Ley, 315
- 50. A custom to pay the tenth dish of all lead ore dug in a particular parish, is good, Pindar v. Jackjon, 316
- A custom that where the owner of meadow land cuts and makes the first

- math into grafs cocks, and pays the parfon the tithes thereof, he is difcharged of the tithes of the fecond math, is bad, Colley v. Smith, 323
- 52. A custom that every cow-keeper in a parish shall pay eighteen cheeses a year, in lieu of the tithes of all his cows and their milk, is good, Morgan v. Hole,
- 53. A custom to pay 4d. an acre to the vicar for all meadow and pasture lands, whether the same be marsh or up lands, is good, Smelter v. Bridges, 337
- 54. The feveral modules or customary manner of tithing in the parish of Leverington, in the Isle of Eig, stated, Swaine v. Perne, 341
- 55. A custom that tithe pigs shall be delivered at the will of the owner after they are nine days old; and that if the parson delay setching them, he shall pay for their keeping, is good, Swaine v. Perm,
- 56. A custom that the parson, his farmer, or deputy, shall prepare, on the Thursday after Easter, a drinking or Maunday for the parishioners, is good, Swaine v. Parne, 342
- 57. A custom that every householdes, being a married man, shall pay, in lieur of all other things whatsoever paid in other places, and not customable in the particular parish, 4d. as an offering only, is good, Swains v. Perns, 341
- 58. A custom that any stranger occupying or feeding any wood ground is to pay acreage after the custom of the field as the inhabitants pay for mowed ground, the fall to be at the parson's election, in lieu of the tithes of wool, lambs, and calves, the incumbent to abate 1d. an acre for the acreage of lands depastured and fed, whether with profitable or unprofitable cattle; but that if the 1d. exceed the fall, to take 1d. an acre, and quit the fall, is good, Swaine v. Perme.
- 59. A custom to pay every tenth tree, when felled, is good, 342, 343

342, 341

60. A custom that the payment of the tithe of corn in kind exempts the landholders

- holders from the tithe of agistment, is bad, Bootboufe v. Hayward, 345
- 61. A custom to pay three halfpence for every milch cow, and one penny for every barren cow, does not extend to barren cattle bought in for the purpose of being fatted, Boetboufe v. Hayward,
- 62. A custom that of all corn and grain there shall be paid the tenth sheaf, stook, or stich, according to the condition such corn and grain was in when the owners carried away the nine parts (except of peas and vetches), and that of peas and vetches the tenth rood, wodd, or mock, shall be paid, in lieu of the tithes thereof; and that of the odd stiches, sheafs, wodds, or mocks, under ten, no tithes shall be paid, is void, Snew w. Hewitt,
- 63. A custom to pay twopence a cow, and shree halfpence a heifer, for tithe milk, and 6d. for a calf, so as the parson keep a bull, or allow so much as the bulling of each cow shall cost, is good, Snow v. Hewitt,
- 64. A custom to pay one halfpenny a lamb for every lamb the farmer has on St. Mark's Day, provided he then has feven lamb; or if feven, and under ten, the tenth lamb; the parson paying to the farmer one halfpenny for every lamb wanting of ten; and if he has ten lambs, then the tenth in kind, is bad, Snow v. Herwit, 368
- 65. A custom that the tithe of wool shorn shall be paid in kind, except that no tithe shall be paid of lamb tow; and that if any sheep hath been kept, and no tithes thereof paid, either of the wool, or lamb, the parson shall have 4d. for every score of sheep depastured; and so for a greater or lesser number than twenty, is bad, Snew v. Hewitt.
- 369
  66. A custom that if any person rents lands of a greater yearly value than his own, he shall pay 20d. in the pound rent, or the customary payments at the parson's election, &c. &c. &c, is void, Snow y. Huyutt, 369

- 67. A custom that no tithe is due for eggs is void, 369
- 68. A custom that the person who occupies that part of a farm on which the house stands, shall pay certain sums and moduses, is void, Snow v. Howitt, 369
- 69. A custom to tithe corn and grain in sheaves or shocks, and hay in grafs cocks, is good, Leach v. Deaces, 373.
- 70 A custom to pay the tenth part of the wool on the shearing day for all sheep that the farmer had kept from the shearing day preceding, and 4d. a score a month for all bought in after that day; and 4d. a score a month for all fold before shearing-day, to be reckoned from the shearing day preceding, is good, Leach v. Deacon, 373
- 71. A custom to pay the tenth penny for every calf fold to be killed, and the right shoulder of every calf killed by the owner, in lieu of the tithes thereof, is good, Leach v. Deacon, 378
- 72. A custom to pay three eggs for every cock and drake, and two eggs for every hen and duck, in lieu of tithe of eggs and young fowls; and the same for geese and turkies, is good, Leach v. Deacen, 373
- 73. A custom to pay 1d. in lieu of the tithes of sirewood cut and burned in the parish by the owner, and tithes in kind for all wood bought out of the parish, to be paid by the seller of such wood, is good, Leach v. Deacon, 374
- 74. A custom to pay, on the day of fales the tenth of what bees sell for, in lieu of tithe honey, is good, Leach w. Deacon, 374
- 75. A custom to pay 2d. for a bullock, steer, or heiser; 2d. for a fallow cow; 2d. for a colt; 1d. for a foal; 5d. for garden stuff; and 1d. for fire wood, when the same shall be demanded at the house of the owner, or afterwards on request, is good, Bell v. Carzen, 378
- 76. A custom not to set out the tithes of lambs until the shearing day is good, Peir/on v. Heskerton, 380
- 77. A custom to pay 3d. for every lamb yeaned and fold before St. Mark's Dey.
   O 0 4 and

398

- and to pay tithes in kind for so many as were not fold before that day, is bad, Layfield w. Esticknopp, 383
- 98. A custom to pay 10s. an acre for the tithe of hops is a void custom, and not warranted by law. Gee v. Pearch. 387
- 79. A custom to pay two bushels of oats, and one bushel of bigg, yearly, in licu of the tithes of a particular farm, is good, Haffell v. Bewler, 389
- 80. A custom that after the grass is put into cocks, the parishioners are not to rake together the grass round the said cocks, is void, Staughton v. Hide, 394
- 81. A custom to pay one acre of wheat at harvest, to be chosen by the vicar, when the lands are sowed with wheat, and 20s. on Easter Day yearly, in lieu of the tithes of the demesne lands of a manor, is good, Morse v. Fitzjames, 395
- A custom to pay 1d. a year, in lieu of the tithes of all wood cut on certain woodlands, is good, Elstone v. Sounder,
- 83. A custom to set out the tithes of com in slicks of twelve sheaves, and in stitches of ten sheaves, and to pay no sithes for the odd number of sheaves under ten, is void, Trewin v. Bond,
- 84. A custom to pay for all calves sold 2s. out of every twenty shillings; for every other calf kept for store, one halfpenny; for every milch cow, 4d.; for a new milch cow calving after Mid
  fummer, 2d.; for every ten ewes milked, 2d. halfpenny; and so proportionably for a greater or lesser number, is good, Holwell v. Woolson, 411
- 85. A custom that the tithe of lambs ought to be set out in every year on the seventeenth of May, and the lambs to run with their dams until the first of August following, the parson paying the owner 2d. a lamb on the first of August, is good, Ekins v. Bridges, 418
- 86. A custom to pay tithe milk every tenth night and tenth morning after Easter Monday until the first lamb is yeaned alive after New Year's Day, and to carry the faid tithe milk to

- the Cross, near the parson's court yard, is good, Ibid. 418
- 87. A custom to pay for the depakuring of the beasts of strangers taken in to agist, but not for the beasts of the owner of the land, is void, Ekins v. Bridges,
- 88. A custom to pay one halfpenny a sheep for the tithe wool of such sheep as are brought into the parish after New Year's Day, is void, Ekun v. Bridges,
- 89. A custom to pay, between St. Mark's Day and All Saints Day, the tenth ordinary cheese, or the tenth day's milk once skimmed and made into cheese, in full for cow ubw; the cheese to be collected when stiff, or every fortnight or three weeks, is a void custom, Lister v. Foy, 423
- go. A custom that such lambs as are able to subsist without the ewes on St Mark's Day shall be tithed, and that such other lambs as are not able to subsist without their ewes on that day, shall be tithed when they are able to subsist without the ewes, is good, Lifter v. Fig. 424
- 91. A custom to set out wood in heaps of loose boughs as cut from the trees, each heap being of equal size and goodness, and then separating every tenth heap, is good, Gee v. Peareb, 438
- 92. A custom to separate ten hills of hope at a time, and then set forth all, not the tenth hill, but the tenth part of the ten hills stripped from the poles, leaving the same in a heap, for the tithe of the ten hills, is void, Gee v. Peared.
- 93. A custom to set out clover grass in swathes is bad, Witherington v. Harris
- 94. Quare, Whether a modes of tenfleeces of wool, two lambs and a half, or is. 6d. for the half lamb, at Midjummer or afterwards, on demand, in lieu of all tithes of a particular farm, be good, York v. Newcafile, 449
- 95. A custom that wood spent in husbandry, houses, and repairing the sences on the premises, shall be discharged of tithes

tithes to the rector, is a good custom, Waterman v. Jones, 468

96. A custom to pay tithes of the first math of meadow ground in grass cocks, and of clover in great cocks, in lieu of the tithes of the hay of the aftermath of the fame ground, is a good custom, Waterman v. Jones, 468

97. Quære, Whether a custom that the inhabitants shall, upon request, cut a swath of grass on the Dol., in satisfaction of tithe hay on the Lot Acres, be good, Ibid.

463.

98. Quere, Whether it is a good custom that the owners of wood felled shall be paid the tenth part of the expeace of making the tithe thereof into faggots, Waterman v. Jones, 464

99. Quare. Whether a custom that clover, tares, vetches, &c. cut green, and given to milch cows, shall be tithe free, is good, Waterman v. Jones, 465

noo. A modus of 12d. an acre for low meadow, and 8d. an acre for high lands, in lieu of tithe hay, is good, Gardner v. Pole, 472

por. The claim of a custom to hunt a buck and a doe in a particular park, and to kill them and carry them away in their skins without any see to the keeper, dismissed, Gardaer v. Pole, 476

or the sheaf, according as the owner intended to carry away the crop, is good, Pern v. Fountain, 504

103. A custom to be exempted from the payment of agistment tithes, on account of the parson's having a competent maintenance without them, is void, Smith v. Johnston, 514

ing of cattle on an undivided common, to the parson of the parish where the owner lives, is good, Grispe v. Mickle-targb,

105. A custom to pay the tenth pook or cock of grass, when cut and made into hay, after first putting the same into grass cocks, before the same be again cast abroad, is good, I leach v. Postbury,

106. A custom to pay 1s. in the pound on the yearly rent of rack-rented farms, and the yearly value of farms under let, in lieu of vicarial tithes, is bad, Beau v. Lee, 537

107. A custom to pay a buck and a doe yearly, in lieu of all tithes of park lands, whether the same be ploughed, sowed, mowed, or agisted, is good, Naunton v. Clarke,

## CUTHBERT.

I. The dean and chapter of Wells, in Somerfet bire, are entitled to a yearly pension of 341. os. 1d from the vicar of St. Cuthbert's 201. of which belong to the choristers of the cathedral, Dean and Chapter of Wells v Thurle,

2. The manner in which the inhabitants of Sr. Cuthberr's, in Cumberland, shall pay tithe of haver and oatmeal, Sewell vs. Dean and Chapter of Curlifle, 130

## CYDER AND PERRY.

1. A custom to pay 3d. a hogshead, in lieu of the apples and pears of which cyder and perry are made, is good, Simpson v. Tucker,

2. A custom to pay one hogshead in ten; twopence a hogshead for all under and above ten; and so for every tenth hogshead, is good, Ernste v. Watts, 304.

3. A custom to pay 1d. and 2d. a hogshead for cyder and perry, in lieu of the tithes of the apples and pears, is good, Standiff v. Ley,

4. See also Conyers v. Sweetland, 400

5. See also Pidfley v. Carew, 410, 411

#### D.

## DARRINGTON.

The vicar of Darrington, in Yorkibire, is only intitled to the thirtieth shock of corn grown on the demession lands of the manor of Stapleton; to 1s. 6d. a year in lieu of the tithe of hay; and to 4d. a year in lieu of the, tithes of corn and grain

grain of Holgate farm, Wangh, v... Greenwood, 187

#### DARTMORE.

That part of Dartmore forest which lies in the parish of Lyaford, in Dawas bire, pays tithes to the rector, Birchinshaw w. Wilcock, 287

 The manner in which the foresters venvillmen, and foreigners living in and about the forest of Dartmore shall pay tithes, Burnaford v. Torrett, 452

## DECOY.

Tithes are not payable for a decoy of wild ducks, or for ducks or other fowl taken in a decoy, nor for the eggs of tame ducks kept for the fervice of a decoy, Camell v. Ward, 209

## DEEPING.

The vicar of Desping, in Lincolnshire, is not entitled to the small tithes of the parish; the vicarage being only endowed with a certain yearly sum payable by the impropriator, to whom the small tithes belong, Topham w. Wymondfold,

## DENHAM.

The vicar of Denham, in Norfolk, is only entitled to a 1d. for a cow, and one halfpenny for a calf, in lieu of tithes; to 6d. a head for the feeding of unprofitable cattle; to a plow penny in lieu of the tithes of a team of horses; to a cloake ben, in lieu of the tithes of poultry; and to one halfpenny for bearth filver, in lieu of the tithes of firewood, Verden v. Weiller, 300

## DENTON.

The rector of Denien, in Lincolnsbire, pays a yearly pension of 2l. 13s. 4d. to the prebendary of North Grantham, Hill &. Primate, 120

## DEPARTURE.

If an answer state a custom to pay so much a load for bavins, hoops, broomstaves, &c. and the plaintiff rejoin that the custom is to pay so much an acre in lieu of tithes of all underwood, it is a departure in pleading, Watts &. Meldon, 77

# DILAPIDATION.

1. The executor of the preceding incumbent of Colfield, in Suffex, ordered by the court of exchequer to pay a certain fum for dilapidation, Hodgkin w. James,

2. The rector of Avenbury, in Herefordfoire, ordered to pay to the vicar a
yearly stipend of 71. 6s. 8d. and to
build him a convenient house, with a
garden and orchard annexed, pursuant
to the reservation in the original grant
of the rectory from the abbot of Dore.
Kettleby v. Cabett,

3. A bill against an executrix for dilapidation by her husband, the testator, dismissed, on her pleading that the statute, 13. Eliz. c. 19. s. 2. had given the jurisdiction, in this case, to the ecclesiastical court, Salisbury w Whith,

#### DILWYN.

The tithes of the impropriate rectory of Dilwyn, in Hereforesbire, established Thorogood, v. Quanter, 35

## DITCHEATT.

The particular mode of tithing wheat, and other grain, in the parish of Ducheatt, in Somersessibire, stated, Coward v. Higdon, 279

# DOCKHEA D.

See BERMONDSEY.

## DOGMELLS.

The rector of St. Dogmells, in Pembrekefbire, is entitled to the tithes of lands
formerly belonging to the abbey of
St. Dogmells, for it was one of the
lesser abbies, Deeds v. Lewie, 287

## DONATIVE.

What shall not be considered a donative, Perne v. Styles, 179 DONHEAD,

## DONHEAD, ST. ANDREW.

The rector of Donbead, St. Andrew, in Wiltsbure, is entitled to modusses of 31. 8s. a year, and to the running of a horse from the third of May to the twentieth of September in Pound Close, in lieu of the tithes of Red Deer Park and Fallow Deer Park; hut he is entitled to the tithes of Little Coppies in kind, Bowles v. Arandell, 508

## DOORE.

The rector of Doore, in Herefordsbire, is entitled to the tithes of Kingson Greenwood in kind, Wates w. Hoskyns,

2. 'The rector of Doors is entitled to the tithes of Morebampton Grange, Watts v. Watkins, 297

## DOWNES.

The rector of Downes, in Cumberland, is only entitled to 10s. 5d. a year for Lawfon's Farm; to 10s. 2d. for Wilfon's Farm; to 5s. 2d. for Winder's Farm; and to 8s. 6d. for Farlaine farm; in lieu of the tithes thereof, Treatbeck v. Lawfon, 224

## DUCKS.

See DECOY.

## DRAYCOTT FOLLIAT.

The inhabitants of Draycott Folliat, in Wiltsbire, pay a modus of 2d. a cow in lieu of tithe milk, Twittie, v. Ewir,

## DRAYTON.

416

The vicar of East Drayton, in Nottingbamsbire, is not entitled to small tithes from the demesne lands of the archbishop of York, in the annexed parish of Arkbam, Fifield v. Squires, 375

## DULWICH.

The impropriator of Camberwell, in Surry, is entitled to the tithe of Peckbam Wood, part of the manor of Dulwich, and belonging to Dulwich College, and to the tithes of corn, &c.

from the lands on which fuch wood formerly grew, Bowyer v. Gibbs, 359

## DUNHEAD.

The rector of Dunbead, St. Andrew, in Wilifbre, is entitled to the tithes in kind of such parts of Red Deer Park and Fallow Deer Park as lie within the parish, Bowles w. Arundell, 462

## DURHAM.

The dean and chapter of *Durbam*, as impropriator of *Norbam*, are entitled to the tithes of all fish caught on the fouth fide of the river *Tweed*, *Therewgood v.*, *Orde*,

43

## DUSTON.

There is a modus of 40s. a year payable to the vicar of Duston, in Northampton-shire, for the small tithes arising on the scite of the abbey of St. James, and the inclosed lands thereto belonging, Woodfade v. Herne, 247

E.

## EALING.

The rector of Ealing, in Middlesex, is entitled only to 4d a year for every milch cow, and 2d a year for every dry cow, in lieu of the tithes thereof, Hall v. Felix,

## EAST BOURN.

The custom of tithing wheat in the parish of Eost Bourn, in Sussex, is by setting out the tenth heap, and not the tenth sheaf, Aleborne w. Gilbert, 278

## EAST BURDEN.

See WEARMOUTH.

## EAST DEAN.

The rector of East Dean, in Suffix, is not entitled to any tithes of wood cut either in Rea Copse or in Little Copse, in the faid parish, Hally v. Og. ander, 112

EAST

## EAST DRAYTON.

See DRAYTON.

#### EASTER OFFERING.

- 3. A custom that every householder, being a married man, shall pay, in lieu of all other things whatsoever payable in other places, and not customable in the particular parish, four pence, in the name of an offering, is good, Swains v. Perns, 341
- a. A custom that every son and daughter, or other servant not taking wages, shall pay a halfpenny, and every servant taking wages two pence, if they receive the sacrament, is good, ibid 341

## EASTERFORD.

The vicar of Easterford Kelbeden is entitled to 3s. a year for every pack-borse and saddle-borse fed within the parish, in lieu of the tithe of the herbage, Taber w. Barker,

## EATONBRIDGE.

The rector of Estonbridge, in Kent, is not entitled to tithes of the Highfield, all the faid field lying in the parish of Braftead, Leigh w. Streatfield, 394

## ECKINGTON.

The manner in which the rector of Eckington, in Derbyfbire, is entitled to the
tithes of corn and hay, Gardner v.
Pole,
472

#### EDGCOTT.

- 1. The manner in which the rector of Edgcost, in Bucks, is entitled to tithes, Majon v. Wasjon, 540
- 2. The old demesine lands of the manor of Edgcott, which were formerly in the Dormer family, are tithe free 540

#### EDLESBOROUGH.

The quantity of land in the parish of Edlesborough, in Buckinghamshire, liable to tithes, ascertained, Bridgwater v. Thead,

## EGGS.

A custom to pay three eggs for every cock and drake, and two eggs for every hen and duck; and the same for geese and turkies, in lieu of tithe eggs and young fowls, is good, Leach v. Deacon, 373

## EGLINGHAM.

The vicar of Eglingham, in Northumberland, is entitled to the tithes of the dimelne lands, the town head, and the town foot, in kind, Femwick v. Ogle, 468

## EPWORTH.

The copyhold lands in the manor and parish of Epwecth in Lincolustive, are liable to pay tithes to the portioner of of St. Leonard's, Knight v. Mavoe, 281

## ELLINGHAM.

The manner in which the rector of Ellingbom, in Norfolk, is entitled to receive tithes for cattle depastured on Mickee Fen, Crifp v. Mickleburgh, 517

## ELME.

The rector of Elms, in Combridge hirs, and Emmeth, in Norfolk, is entitled to the tithes of colesced, hemp, and flax, Tillotfon v. Challes,

## ELMSETT,

The vicar of Elmset, in Suffels, is entitled to the tithes of wood converted into charcoal, and for the tithe of herbage of cattle fent into the parish to depasture, Cos v. Smith

# EMMETH.

## ENFIELD.

The impropriator of Enfeld, in Middlefex, is entitled to the tithes of Thebald's Park, Shaller v. Pennyfarber, 506

#### EVIDENCE.

1. A bull of Pope Innocent, the bleffed, read in evidence, Fourie v. Perujan, 16

2. A

- 2. An iffue whether a vicar is entitled to the whole tithe of lambs is not supported by evidence that he is entitled to a composition in lieu of the tithes thereof, Hayer w. Cox.
- 3. The court may receive, vive vece, testimony of the value of tithes, Haftings v. Geldnyer, 70
- 4. The contents of a deed that is lost may be given in evidence, Thurler's Case.
- 5. An ancient book, called The Easter Roll, admitted as conclusive evidence of the manner of paying tithes in the township of Balderston, in Lancashire, Fleatuned v. Liveray,
- 6. A verdict on a trial at law on the statute, 2. et 3. Edw. 6. to try the right to tithes is not conclusive evidence against the title of an infant defendant, Cox v. Livesa,
- 7. The payment of the shoulder of every deer killed in a park, in lieu of tithes, is sufficient evidence to shew that the lands thereof are not tithe free, Skinner v. Smith,
- A copy of an endowment ordered to be given to the defendants on an iffue being directed to try a modus for tithe hay and rabbits, Webb v. Arueld, 163
- 9. Letters patent cannot be given in evidence without also producing the non obfiantes therein, Pearce v. Bennett, 184
- 10. If a defendant refuse to appear and answer, the bill shall be taken proconfess; and on the plaintiss making oath of the value, the tithes shall be decided, Crossman v. Goodridge,
- 11. The payment of tithes for many years is evidence that the lands are not tithe free, Wilkinson v. Newson, 356
- 12. Same Point, Goddard w. Man, 366 13. Same Point, Horton w. Higginbottom,

## EXECUTQR

In what ease the court will not decree the payment of tithes due in a testator's life time, Lioyd v. Green, 503

## EXMORE.

The forest of Exmere, in the counties of Someries and Devon, is extra-parochial, and the tithes thereof payable to the king, or his grantee, Mills v. Ed-brooke, 47

#### F.

## FARNHAM.

A custom prevailed in Farabam, in Surry, that every owner of a hop ground should set out the tenth hill of hope before the same are gathered, or the binds thereof cut; and the custom was adjudged good, Chitty v. Resve, 2512. But such custom cannot be supporteds

#### FEBBING.

The rector of Febbing, in Essentialed to tithes in kind of the farm called Great Elserd, Little Elserd, Reedbam, and the Oil Mills, Wilbraham v. Kingsman.

# FENBURY.

#### FELTON.

The vicar of Felton, in Northumberland, and not the impropriator, is entitled to the great tithet of certain grounds called the Fence, otherwise Felton Farest, and the High Moor, otherwise Felton Common, Hitch v. Forster,

2. The farm called Long Dyks, in the parish of Felton, pays a modus of 13s. 4d. in lieu of the tithes of corn and hay, Hitch v. Forster, 310 notis.

## FILONGHLEY.

The impropriator of the rectory of Filonghey, in Warwickshire, is entitled to 2s. in the pound of all lands under the fee farm rent of 61. 3s. 10d. held by knight's fervice in capits within the parish,

parish, in lieu of the tithes of the herbage of the said land, Holbech v. Tayler, 60

## FINDERNE.

See Walker v. Porter,

316

#### FINHAM.

SM STONELEIGH-GREGORY'S GROVE.

#### FISH.

- The twentieth part of all fish taken by the fishermen of Scarborough, or the twentieth part of the value thereof, shall be paid to the rector of Scarborough as the tithe thereof, Audley v. Fiddy, 5
- The dean and chapter of Durbom are entitled to the tithe of all fish caught on the fouth fide of the river Tweed, Thorogood v. Orde,
   43
- The twelfth part of all fish taken by the fishermen of Brixham, in Dewonfbire, is payable to the vicar in lieu of the tithes thereof, Kempfler v. Stuart,
- 4. The tithes of fish are payable at the place where they are first landed from the fishing-boats, Rackley, v. Davis,
- 5. The lord of the manor of St. Michael's Mount; in Cornwall, is entitled to the tithes in kind of pilchards landed there, Saint Aubin v. Slade,
- 6. The impropriator of the parish of Paulyn, in Cornwall, is entitled to the tithes of all lobsters, pilchards, and other sish, except such as are used for bait, as are caught within that part of Monne's Bay that lies within the parish, whether the same be taken with seynes or draft-ness, or are measea, Gavavas v. Teage,
- 7. A custom to take from fishermen, inhabitants, the eleventh herring landed
  in the parish, and the twentieth herring
  landed out of the parish; and from
  out-dwellers the twentieth herring
  landed in the parish, is good, Robinson
  v. Fealke,
  240
- 8. Carp, and other fish kept in a pond, are not titheable of common right, but

may become titheable by custom, Nie cholas v. Elliett, 523

9. A tenth part of all, fish caught at sea, and brought into St. Ives, in Cornwell, is due to the impropriator of the rectory, and the fishermen are bound to give notice to the impropriator of the arrival of the fishing-boats, and of the time of tithing, and to set forth on the shore the full tenth part of all the fish brought in, Stamford, v. Luke, 526

## FISHLAKE.

- r. The tithes of corn and other grain in the ancient inclosures in the parish of Fishake, in Yorkshire, must be set out in the speed, and not in the stack, Simpson v. Hall,
- The owners of meadow ground within the ancient inclosures, in the parish of Fishlake, pay a medus of 4d. an acre in lieu of tithe hay, Simpson v. Hall, 258

## FENTON.

The prebend of Fenton, in Yorkshire, is not entitled to the small tithes of the parish of Sherbourne, Wickham v. Dafffield, 434

#### FLAX.

- 1. A custom to pay the tenth pottle of flax when watered and bleached, in lieu of tithes, is good, Swain v. Perne.
- 2. The tithes of flax belong to the rector, Tillotfon v. Challes, 528

## FOLKING HAM.

The rector of Folkingbam, in the county of Lincoln, is entitled to the tithes of wool and lambs, Page w. Brown, 68

# FORREST.

#### FRENCHAM.

The lands called Dinnote's Mead and Quinnote's Moor, in the tithing of Cheart in the parish of Francham, lie in the wealds of Surry, and are tithe free, Salmon v. Denyer.

384

## FULMORE.

The rector of Fulmore. in Buckinghambire is entitled to the tithes of wood and rabbits in kind; the wood to be fet out in loads, and not by fingle flick or flick meal, Lifter v. Cave, 293

## FURZE.

- 1. Tithe is due for furze when cut, Page v. Lawe, 12
- 2. See also Hayward v. Archer,

#### G.

## GALBY.

The bishop of E'y, or his grantee, is entitled to a pension of 31. 6s. 8d. a year out of the rectory of Galby, in the county of Leicester, in whose hands soever the said rectory may be, Hartopp v. Tookey,

## GAMLINGAY.

The rector of Gamlingay. in Cambridgefbire, is only entitled to 183. a year in lieu of the tithes of Parsonage Close, near Wanerley church, Lilater v. Marsball, 383

## GATEHILL.

The farm and lands called Gatebill, in the parish of Whixley, in Yorkshire, pay a modus of 51. a year in lieu of tithes, Yaucred v. Fewsdale, 285

## GEESE.

A custom that tithe geese shall be delivered before Midfummer; and that if there be under seven, the owner shall pay a halfpenny for each under that number; but if there be seven, and under ten, he shall pay a goose, and receive a halfpenny for every goose less than ten, is good, Swaine v. Perne,

## GILKIRKE.

341

The impropriator of Gilkirke, in Yorkbire, is entitled to tithes arising on the manor of Bernoldswicke, Mitchell v. Brogden, 353

#### GLEBE.

- I. Glebe lands of a vicarage are not titheable to the impropriator, though leafed to and occupied by a lay tenant, if it appears that they have never paid tithes when fo let, Hawk as w. Chittle,
- 2. See also Glaffe v. Glaffe,

357 393

- 3. Glebe lands of a vicarage in the vicar's own, or his tenant's hands, may by custom be discharged from paying tithes to the rector, Waterman v. Jones
- 4. The vicar pays no tithes to the impropriator for keeping sheep on a common appurtenant to the glebe of the vicarage, Caister w. Hornsby, 478

## GOSBERTON.

The rector of Gosseron, in Lincolnshire, is entitled only to a modus of 1s, an acre, in lieu of the tithes of sheep, cattle, and hay on Carr's Marsh; the acres to be reckoned according to actual measurement, and not according to ancient computation, Hatcher w. Ridley,

#### GRANTHAM.

The prebendary of North Grantham, in Lincolnshire, is entitled to a yearly pension of 21.13s. 4d. from the rector of Durton, and of 20s. a year from the rector of Barkeston, Hill v. Primate, 120
 Same point, Hill v. Primate, 141

# GRASTWICK.

See GRISTWICK.

## GRAYSTOCK.

The rector of Graysock, in Cumberland, is only entitled to three bushels of oats, and one bushel of bigg yearly, in lieu of the tithes of oats and bigg grown in certain villages in the parish; each bushel to contain fixteen gallons, Parfons v. Notle,

# GREAT AYTON. See ATTON.

## GREAT WOOLSTON.

The landholder of Great Woolfton, in Bucking bam Bire, pays 461. a year in lieu of the tithes of certain enclosed lands, Nicholls v. Dudley, . 431

#### GREENHAMERTON.

The rector of Greenbamerton, in Yerkfbire, is entitled to the tithe of corn,
grain, meadow, and pasture in kind,
Wardell v. Squire,
246

## GREGORY'S GROVE.

The coppice wood called Gregory's Grove, in the hamlet of Finham, in the parish of Stoneleigh, in Warwick-birs, was formerly parcel of the possession of the abbey of Stoneleigh, which was an abbey of the Cistertian order; and is discharged from the payment of tithes, Leigh v. Downes, 124

## GRIST WICK

2. The vicar of Gristwick, in Norfolk, is only entitled to certain modusses, as fet forth, in lieu of the tithes of corn, hay, and other predials, for the lands called Great Furr Closes, the Callas Closes, the Milker's Meadow, and the Green Gate Lands; but he is entitled to the tithes in kind of The Four Acres when fowed with corn, Bulwer v. Newman, 598

2. See also another decree to the same effect, Bulmer v. Bucham, 498

#### GUILDFORD.

The title of the rector of St. Mary, in Guildford, to the tithes and portion of tithes of St. Mary, and of the Holy Trinity, described and confirmed, Holland v. Theraburgh,

#### H.

## HADAM.

The tithes of Much Hadam, in Hertfordfbire, decreed to a chaplain appointed to the cure of the church thereof, by the house of common, during sequestration, Hardwick v. Nowce, 16

#### HAGBOURNE.

The vicar of Hagbearne, in Berkfore, is entitled to the tithe of bay in Hagbearne Park, although the impropriator has demised to another "all the tithes of hay, corn, wood, lamb, and all other tithes of the whole parish of Hagbearne," Gray v. Sawyer,

## HAMPRESTON.

The rector of Hampreston, in Dorsetsbire, is entitled to the tithe herbage of the lands called the Wood, and to the aftermath of clover grass in Butt Close, Colley v. Smith, 323

## HAMPTON:

- t. The custom in the township of Netberbampton; in the parish of Wilton, in Wiltsbire, is to pay to the rector 12s. yearly in liet of small tithes, Gwynne, v. SBarpe,
- 2. The earl of *Pembroke* is entitled to the great tithes of this township, 171
- 3. The lands called Morehampton Grange, in Herefordshire, were parcel of the abbey of Doore, of the Cifertian order, but the abbey being under 2001. a year its lands were not tithe free, Watte v. Wathins,

## HANBY GRANGE.

The vicar of Lawington, in Lincolnsbires is entitled to the tithes of Handy Grange in kind, Dent w. Buck, 405

#### HARDWICK.

The manner in which the tithes of sheep and wool are to be paid in the parish of Hardwick com Weadon, in the county of Bucks, Dummer v. Wing field, 274

#### HARRINGTON:

The rector of Harrington, in Derbysbire, is entitled to the tenth dish of all lead ore dug in the faid parish in lieu of tithes, Pindar v. Jackjon, 315

HARTLEBURY

## HARTLEBURY.

The rector of Hartlebury, in Worcesterfoire, is intitled to the tithes of lands, formerly parcel of Hartlebury Park, in kind, although the faid lands, before the disparking thereof, paid only the shoulder of every deer killed, in lieu of tithes, Skinner v. Smith, 161

## HAWKESHEAD.

- 1. The rectory of Hawkshead, in the county of Lancaster, is a rectory impropriate, and formerly belonged to the monastery of Furnies; but it is not discharged from the payment of tithes in kind, Kirkeby v. Reabrad, 19
- 2. But it appears that there are certain moduses in lieu thereof, ibid. 21

## H A Y. 2, 3.

- 1. Swarthy grass must be tedded before the tithes of the hay thereof are set out, Nash v. Pecack,
- 2. A custom to tithe hay by the grass cock is good, Leach v. Deacen. 373
- 3. A custom that after the grass is put into cocks the parishioners are not to rake together the grass round the said cocks, is void, Staughton v. Hyde, 394
- 4. A modus of 6d. an acre for new meadow hay, and 4d. an acre for old meadow hay, is good, Profley v. Careu,

410

- 5. A custom to set out hay in swathes is bad, Witherington v. Harris, 445
- A custom to pay the tithes of the first crop of grass in grass cocks, in lieu of the tithe hay of the second crop, is good, Waterman v. Jones, 468
- 7. A modus of 12d. an acre for low meadow, and 8d. an acre for high lands, in lieu of tithe hay, is good, Gardner v. Pole, 472
- 8. A custom to pay the tenth cock of grass, when made into grass cocks, and before it is again spread abroad, in lieu of the hay, is good, Isaack v. Portbury, '526

#### HAYLESHAM.

The vicar of Haylesham, in Sussex, is entitled to 31, a year from the tenants of

the manor of Otham, in lieu of tithes, Wenham v. Thatcher, 112

## HELLINGHALL GRANGE.

The lands called Hellingball Grange, in the parish of Stonessigh, in Worseickfoire, are tithe free, Leigh w. Downes,
124

## HEMP.

A custom to pay the tenth sheaf of hemp when it is pulled, whathered, and threshed, is good, Swaine v. Perne, 342
 The tithes of hemp belong to the rector, Tillessen v. Challis, 528

#### HENFIELD.

The vicar of Henfield, in Suffex, is entitled to the great tithes of Eaton's Farm; to the small tithes of Catlands and Hollands; to a load of hay; a quarter of wheat; and the running of a horse yearly in Park Lands, or to 61. a year instead thereof, in lieu of tithes, Rothwell v. Gratwick,

## HENLEY.

The lands lying on the fouth fide of the brook that runs through Henley Park, are not in the parish of Worpleden, in Surry, Offley v. Glynn, 171

## HEREFORD.

The dean and chapter of Hereford, as lords of the manor of Canons Bakeboufe, are entitled to fifty-fix bushels of wheat, and twenty eight bushels of oats, yearly, from the incumbent of the parish of Moreton Magna, and to a rent of 1s. 11d. yearly from the occupiers of Alford's Lands, Dean and Chapter of Hereford, v. Symonds, 495

## HIGHFIELD. See EATONBRIDGE.

#### HOLBEACH.

The impropriator of Helbeach, in Lincolnshire, is entitled to the tithes of lambs; but by the custom of the parish they shall not be set out until the shearing-day, Pear for v. Hoskerton, 380 P D HOLWELL.

#### HOLWELL.

The manner in which the rector of Holwell, in Oxford/bire, shall receive tithes, fettled by a decree in chancery, Godfrey v. Trinder, 433

## HOLYCROSS.

The vicar of the parish of Holycross, in the county of Worcester, is entitled to a yearly pension of 61. for his stipend, and of 21. 13s. 4d. for sacramental bread and wine, out of the land formerly belonging to the monastery of Parshore, Wilmott v. Astorney General,

## HONEY.

- 1. A custom to pay the tenth penny for which bees are fold, in lieu of tithe honey, is good, Leach v. Deacon, 374
- 2. See also Swain v. Perne, 341
- 3. The tithes of honey are not included in a modus to pay fo much a year for the produce of a garden, Nicholas v. Elliot, 524

#### HOOKER'S RENTS.

The rector of St. Botolph, Aldgate, is only entitled to a modul of 51. a year, payable quarterly, in lieu of the tithes of Hocker's Rents. in Nightingale Lane and Sun Yard, Umfreville v. Campion.

#### HOOK NORTON.

329

A bill to discover the consideration given for a lease of the rectory of Hock Norton, in Oxfordshire, Wife w. Worcester.

#### HOPS.

- 1. Hops being an article of modern growth, there can be no ancient custom for tithing them, 117 notis.
- 2. And therefore a custom to pay 10d. an acre for them is void, Get v. Pear.b, 387
- 3. Hops are in their nature a fmall tith, and the tithes of them belong of common right to the vicar, Rijatn v. Creuch,

- 4. But the prebendary of the prebend of Bilton, in Groune For fore, and impropropriator of the rectory of Bilton, is entitled to the tithes of b, and other small tithes, although there is a vicarage endowed, Evans v. Tynnail, 121
- 5. A custom that the occupier of a hop-ground shall set out every tenth row or hill of hops, without any fraud, as they arise, before the same are gathered, or the binds thereof cut, declared to be reasonable and good, Chuty w. Reeve (Sed 2. vide infia),
- But, where no custom prevails, the tithe of hops ought to be paid in kind, viz. the tenth part of the whole after picking,
- 7. Tithes ought to be paid for the toppoles growing on the premises, and used in poleing the hops, Gee v. Pearch, 387
- 8. The tenth part of the hops, when the fame are pulled from the bine or ftem, at which time the tenth part is feverable, is the mode by which hops are to be tithed, Gee v. Pearch, 387
- 9. A custom, therefore, to separate ten hills at a time, and set forth all, not the tenth hill, but the tenth part of the ten hills, stripped from the poles, leaving the same in a heap as the tithes thereof, is void; for hops ought to be picked and gathered from the bines before they are tithed, Ges v. Pear.b,

#### HORINDON.

The vicar of Horindon on the Hill, in Essay, is entitled to tithes in kind from Duke's Farm, otherwise called Bargessa Farm, although it was formerly part of the manor of Malgraves, for which there is a modus of 138. 4d. a year, Crockatt v. Harrington,

#### HORSES.

- 1: No tithes are due for agifting horses, or other cattle, used for the purposes of husbandry, Burgis w. Diamond, 65
- But by custom an annual sum may be payable in lieu of the title herbage for

- for depasturing pack-borses and saddle-borses used by a person in the trade of a chandler, Tabor v. Barker, 87
- 3. Horses necessary for the management of a farm, are, while wrought, tithe free, Watts v. Weldon,
- 4. But a custom not to pay any tithes for any horses kept and used about the premises, or for any other use, is bad, Turner v. Weeden,

## HORTON.

- z. The particular manner of tithing in the parish of Horton, in Riblesdale, in the county of York, set forth, Wilson v. Redman,
- 2. The demessive lands of the manor of Horton are tithe free, as having been formerly parcel of the possessions of the monastery of Jerusaux, of the Cistor-tian order, Wilson v. Redman, 64
- The quantity of land liable to pay tithes in the parish of Horton, in Bucking bam/bire, ascertained. Bridgwater v. Theed, 167

## HURSBURNE.

The impropriator of Hursburne, and St.

Maryturne, in Hampsbure, is not entitled to the tithes of hay and wood of Wallop's Farm, but to the produce of four acres in Hacey Mead and Stoke Mean, in lieu thereof, Thorngate v. Wallop,

## HUTTON BONVILLE.

The tenants of the manor of Hutton Bonville, in Yorksbire, are to pay to the rector of Berkely 20s. on St. Mark's Day, and 40s. on St. Matthew's Day, and a gelding's gate, yearly, in lieu of tithes, Murthwaite v. Peirce, 234

## I. and J.

## ILFORD HOSPITAL.

The master of Ilserd Hospital, in Essex, is entitled, in right of the charity, to all

tithes, both great and small, and to an annual rent of 11. 13s. 4d. from Clarbury Farm; and also to the tithes of the lands called The Ships, Winderlands, Jenkins, Eusthary, and Westbury, in the parish of Barking; to 40s. a year for the vicar, and to 40s. a year from the miller of Barking; but not to the half calf and half cheese of Westbury Farm, or to the half calf, half lamb, and half wool of Jenkins Farm, Skinner v. Goodere,

## INGARTHORPE.

## See ABERWAITE.

Quera, Whether there is a modus of 15. 6d. yearly payable at Michaelm 15, in lieu of the tithe of bay, for the dimesime lands of the manor of Ingariborpe, in Yorkshire, Marlinseld w. Burton, 52

## IPPLEPEN

The rector of Ipplepen, in Dewonshire, is entitled to tithes in kind, Drake v. Brooking, 469

## IPSWICH.

The stipendary minister of the parish of St. Mary Tower, in Ip/wich, is entitled to an annuity of 80l. a year, Cutlove v. Capon,

## ISSUES.

- 1. The record of iffues directed by the court of exchequer to be made up in the office of pleas, Salmon v. Denyer,
- Where a modus is fet up on the one fide, and decreed on the other, an iffue ought to be directed, Horson v. Higgsubottom, 424

## JUDGMENT.

In what case judgment shall have the advantage of a sequestration, Hinds v. Hicks,

#### K.

## KELSEY.

The prebend of North Kelfey, in Lincolnaflure, is entitled to the tithes of the
P p 2 herbag;

herbage and feeding of barren and unprofitable cattle in the parish of North Kelfey, Benson v. Harpbam, 157

## KELVEDEN.

The tithes of underwood cut in the parish of Kelveden, in Effex, belong to the impropriator, and not to the vicar, Dunbar v. St. John, 539

#### KENDAL.

The occupiers of land at Kendal. in Westmoreland, pay certain fums of money, called titbe-meal filver, in lieu of tithes, Shephard w. Burkitt,

## KENT.

1. The wealds of Kine are tithe free, Spateman v. Know. 533

2. See also Brett v. Franklyn,

## KEWELL.

The vicar of Kewell, in Wiltsbire, is only entitled to a modus of 13s 4d. a year in lieu of all vicarial tithes on the farm called Wicke Leaft, Garth v. Stokes, 327

KEYNSTON. See TARRANT KEYNSTON,

#### KILBOURNE.

1. The farm or lordship called Thorpe, in the Willows, pays a modus to the rector of Kilbourne, in Yorksb re, or tithes in kind, Baines v. Clarke,

2 The manner in which the rector of Kilbourn, in Yorksb:re, is entitled to the tithes of Rowland's, Befamworth's, Maynard's, and Lee's Farms, Arcbbifbop of York v. Duke of Negocafile, 446

#### KING. THE

1. The king is entitled to a portion of the tithes of Bestwall, in Dorsessbire, as having been formerly parcel of the possession of the monastery of East Sheen, in the county of Surry, Atterney General v. Phillipi,

2. The tithes of extra-parochial lands belong to the king, Shaw v. Topping, 3. See also Mills w. Edbrooks,

## KINGSCLERE.

1. The impropriator of the rectory of K'ng felere, in Hampfbire, and not the vicar, is entitled to the tithes of lamb and wool in the faid parish, Kent v. Webb,

2. The mode in which Sydmontayne Farm, in the parish of Kingfelere, shall pay tithes, ibid,

3. The demefne lands of Sydmontayne Farm pay a modus of 40s, a year, Wibb W. Arnold,

The warren called Kingfleas, in the parish of Kingsclere pays a mosus of 28. a year to the vicar in lieu of the tithes of the rabbits bred therein, Webb v. Arnold,

5. But Francis Warren, in the faid parish, shall pay tithes in kind,

## KINGSHAM,

The lands called King foam Farm, in the county of Suffex, pay a modus of 81. a year on Michaelmas Day to the rector of St. Paneras, in lieu of all tithes, 288 Ege v. Oglanaer,

## KINGSTON GRANGE,

The farm called Kingfor Grange, in the parish of Drove, in Herefordsbire, is not tithe free, Watts v. Hoftyas, 235

# KINGSLANDS.

See WINNINGTON.

## KING'S SUTTON.

The rector of King's Sutton, in Northamptor fore, is entitled to the tithes of wool, lamb, and aftermath, Margeits v. Butcber, 21Q

#### KIPLING.

1. The vicar of Carterick, in Yorksbire, is entitled to the tithes of wool and lamb in the lordship of Kipling, Anthony v. Smith son,

2. The lordship of Kipling was formerly parcel of the possessions of the monastery

of St. Agatha, within the archdeaconry of Richmond, in Yorkfhire; but it is not thereby exempted from the payment of tithes, Anthon, v Smithjon, 116

## KIRKBY.

- r. The question whether Mouthurps Grange, part of the rectory of Kirkby Grindelitch, in Yorkfore, is tithe free, as having formerly been parcel of the monastery of Old Malton, referred to a jury, Yowers v. Pearlon,
- 2. The impropriator of Kirkhy super montem, in Yorkshire, is entitled to the tithes of the township of Langeborpe, Wikkinson v. Newsom, 355

#### KNOLL

The owners of the diffolved chapel of Knoll, in the parish of Bedmunfer, in Somer/etsbire, are entitled to the tithes arising within the limits of the said chapelry, (ox v. Livesay,

## L.

## LAMBETH.

The occupiers of garden grounds in the parish of Lambeth, in the county of Surry, pay a modus of 4s. an acre yearly in lieu of the tithes of offers, trees, plants, herbs, and other garden materials grown in their gardens, Comport v. Oliver,

## LAMIAT.

The title of the incumbent of the parish of Lamians, in Somerfessbire, disputed, Holland vs Coker, 486

#### LLANBADARNE VAWR.

1. In the parishes of Llanbadarne Vawr, Castle Gualler, and Llanychayarne, in Cardiganshire, the impropriator takes by custom the tithes of berrings as follows: from sishermen, inhabitants, the eleventh herring, taken and landed within the rectory, and the twentieth herring so landed out of the rectory.

and from fishermen, not inhahitants, the twentieth herring taken and landed within the rectory, Robinson v. Foulk,

2. The rector of Llanbadarne Vawr, in Radnor foire, is entitled to eighteen cheefes a year from every cow-keeper in the parish, in lieu of the tithe cows and their milk, Morgan v. Holt,

336

## LANCASTER:

- 1. The manor of Bernoldswicke, in Yorkbire, was parcel of the dutchy of Lancaster, and granted by Henry the Sixth to the archbishop of Canterbury, Mitchelw. Brogden, 354
- The impropriator of the parish of Lancester is entitled to the tithes of the forcst of Quarmore, Smith v. Starkey, 547

## LANGDON HILL.

A modus of 18. for every calf under ten, and of fifteen cheefes every year, is payable by the parishioners of Langdon Hill, in Essa, in lieu of the tithes of calves and milk, Staines v. Wells, 280

## LLANGIRRICK.

The vicar of Llangirrick, in Montgomerybire, is entitled to the tithes of sheep fed by an inhabitant of Llangbangell, in Cardigansbire, on a common appurtenant to cottages occupied in Llangirrick, lugram v. Rees, 298

## LANGHORNE.

The impropriator of Langborne, in the county of Carmariben, is entitled to the titles of West Marsh, although they were formerly overflowed by the sea, for they were not originally barren lands, Gawden v. Gilbert,

## LANGTHORPE.

The township of Langeborpe, in Yorkshire, was formerly parcel of the monastery of Newbrough, of the Cistertian order; but tithes having been paid for many years for the lands thereof, they are not now tithe free, Wilkinson v. Newform,

## LATERAN.

The order of the council of Lateran, which freed the C stertian order from the payment of tithes, is a general law in England, Stavily v. Ullithorne, 26 Lotis

#### LAVINGTO N.

The vicar of Lawington, in Lincolnshire, is entitled to the tithes of Hanby Grange, in kind, Deut v. Birch, 405

#### LAURANCE WALTHAM.

The vicar of Lawrance Wallbam, in Berkshire, is entitled to the tithes of the estate called Beenbams, in kind, Wilkinfon v. Foot, 129

## LEAD ORE.

- 1. The tithes of lead ore dug from Grasi greves in Teasdale Forest are due to the rector of Middleton, in the county of Durbam, Tully v. Haifall,
- 2. The tithes of lead ore shall be paid without any deduction for the expences of digging, winning, or getting the same. Tully v. Halfall,
- 3. But if no tithes of lead ore have been immemorially paid, none are payable,
- 4 The rector is entitled to the tithes of the ore after it is cleaned and washed, Bafire w. Wharton,
- 5. No tithes are payable for the lead ore got from the mines in Matleck, in Dercybire, Chappel v. Ward,
- 6. The rector of Harrington, in Derbyshire, is, by custom, entitled to the tenth dish of all lead ore dug in the wapentake of Wirk/worth, in lieu of the tithes, Pindar v. Jackson,

#### LEASE.

- 1. Lands, parcel of the possessions of a monastery, which were in lease at the time the monastery was dissolved, are not tithe free, Horde v. Wanley.
- 2. A bill to discover the consideration given for a lease of the rectory of Hook Norton, in Oxfordshire, Wife w. Worcester,

- 3. If a rector make a lease of the tithes without notice to the inhabitants, the payment of subsequent tithes to the rector is a good discharge thereof from the leffee. Kudgley v. Winftanley,
- 4. A lease of tithes is subject to an antecedent composition for them with the rector, Rudgley v Wo'lafton, 134 metis
- g. If in a lease of a rectory the Easter roll and surplice fees be referved, and made payable to the vicar only, yet if it appear to have been the intention of the lessor that the curates of certain chapelries should receive a proportion of the fame, a court of equity will decree it to be a trust for the curates, and order truftees to be appointed accordingly, Hariliy v. Gee,
- 6. The leffee of tithes, whose lease determines at Lain Day, cannot thereon maintain a bill for tithes which, by the custom of the parish, do not become payable until Easter Day in the same year, Shiphard w. Birkett,
- 7. A lease obtained by fraud or contrivance is void, Walker v. Porter, 310
- 8. A lease of tithes made by a vicar, upon a mifunderstanding of the real value of the tithes, is void, Deat v. Birch.
- 9. Bot if a lease be made of tithes, without fraud, an unperformed condition that it should not be obligatory till a commission therein mentioned had been executed, will not vacate it, Ekins v. Bridges.
- 10. In what case the tithes of a hamlet shall be included in a lease of the tithes of a borough, although not expressly mentioned; Innes v. Darly,

#### LEDBURY.

1. The tithes of corn and hay in the parish of Ledbury, in Herefordsbire, belong to the portionaries, and the tithes of hay and corn in the Homesteads, in the foreign of Leabury, to the vicar; but by an immemorial custom, the portionaries are to pay to the vicar fixteen bushels of wheat and fixteen bushels of oats, in lieu of his faid tithes, Page v. Elton,

54

- to the tithes of hay, fruit, hemp, and flax, in kind, Skip v. Voke,
- 3. The portionaries of Overball and Nesberhall portions, in the parish of Ledbury, are only entitled to 20d. a year, in lieu of the tithes of key and fruit out of the lands called Birton's Farm, Skinner v. Dukeson,
- 4. The portionaries are entitled to the tithes of hay, corn, and fruit, in the Forren of L'doury, and the vicar to the tithes of hay and fruit in the Burrough; but some of the demessee lands thereof are tithe free, Waiser v. Webb, 520

## LEIGH.

- i. The rector of Leigh, in Worcestershire, is only entitled to 1d. in lieu of the tithe of fire wood; and to 2d. a year, called Leighton money, in lieu of all tithe fruit, Estopp v. Portman,
- z. But these moduses do not extend to the village of Brainsford,

  349
- 3. The inhabitants of Leigh pay 1s. for flax; 6d. for hemp; a halfpenny for a calf reared; and 1d. for every sheep fold unshorn between Candlemas and shearing time, Estopp v. Portman, 347

#### LEIGHTON BUZZARD.

The messuage and lands called Mares and Geld, in the hamlets of Heath and Roach, in the parish of Leighton Buzzard, in Bedfordshire, are tithe free, Leigh v. Chad,

## LETHERING HAM.

The owner of Letberingbum Park, in Suffolk, pays yearly a buck and a doe to the impropriator of Letberingbum, in lieu of all tithes of the faid park, whether the fame be ploughed, fowed, mowed, or agisted, Naumon v. Clarke, 538

## LEVERINGTON.

- 1. The manner in which tithes are payable in Leverington, in the Isle of Erg, Swaine v. Perne, 34t
- 2. See also Perne v. Fountain, 504

#### LEYBOURNE.

The rector of Leybourne is not entitled to tithes of the woodlands lying in Little Leybourne, they being within the Westas of Kent, and so tithe free, Spateman v. Know,

## LIDD.

The manner in which the vicar of Lidd, in Kent, is entitled to tithes, Bean v. Lec.

537

204

## LINDSAY.

The provost and scholars of King's College, in Cambridge, are entitled to two third parts of the tithes of Lind/ay Farm, and to one third part of Baker's Farm, in the parish of Lindsay, in the county of Suffolk, Brooke v. Abbutt, 263

## LITCHFIELD.

The prebendaries of Sandiacre, in Stoffordbire, pay 20s. a year for stall wages to the dean and chapter of Litchfield, Dean and Chapter of Litchfield w. Woodress. 440

# LITTLE SOMERFORD. See SAMERFORD.

## LITTLETON.

- 1. The demesne lands of the abbey of Malmesbury, in the manor of Littleton, in Gloucestersbire, are tithe free, Peirce v. Russell,
- 2. The lord of the manor of Littleton pays three bushels of wheat, fix bushels of oats, and four groats in money, in lieu of all tithes arising out of and for the capital messuage, farm, and demesse lands of Littleton, Pierce v. Russel, 508

#### LOBSTERS.

- 1. Lobsters are titheable as sea fish, Audley v. Fieldy, 7
- 2. See also Gwavis v. Teage,

#### LONDON.

r. The impropriator of the rectory of Albahorus Stephing is to pay a fee farm rent of 81. a year, and to provide a competent minister for the church; P p 4

from which covenant he is not released by the parishioners agreeing to provide a minister, Attorney General v. Bearcross,

- 2. To a bill for the tithes of St. Switbin's, the defendant pleaded, that the matter, by the 37. Hen. 8. c. 12. was only cognizable by the lord mayor, or, on his default, by the lord chanceller. But the Court overruled the plea, Sheffield v. Peirce, 38
- 3. The rate ordered by the statute 37. Hen. 8. c. 12. s. 2. to be paid on sbe rent of houses in London, in lieu of tithes, is assessible on the improved rents of such houses, Sheffield w. Pierce, 39
- 4. But by 22. & 23. Car 2. c. 15. feveral parishes are to be affessed at gross sums, 1bid. 39 notis
- 5. The inhabitants of the parish of St. Dunstan in the West pay a modus to the impropriator of 8s. 11d. quarterly, in lieu of tithes, Scudamore v. Pemberton, 191
- 6. See also another cause, Scudamore v. Marsball. 192 nois
- A modus of 18s. a year is payable quarterly for certain houses in the parish of St. Botolph, Aldgate, Umfreville v. Hodges, 253
- 8. The statute 37. Hen. 8. c. 12. for regulating the payment of tithes in London, extends both to lay impropriators and spiritual persons; but the statute 22. & 23. Car. 2. c. 15. for the maintenance of parsons vicars, and curates, in those parishes which were destroyed by the sire of London, extends to preaching manisters only, Ward w. Hilder,
- 9. How tithes in the parish of St. Laurence Poultner, in London, shall be paid, Ward w. Hilder, 307
- viction the Red Hart Inn. in Fetter Lane, is within the city of London, and not within the liberty of the Rolls, Grant v. Can-
- of the city of London, and was so when the 37. Hen. 8. c. 2. was passed; but it was not within that part of the parish

- of St. Dunsan in the West which is in the city of London, Grant w. Brown,
- 12. The rector of the parish of St. Laurance Jewry is entitled to 23. 9d. in the pound on the rents of houses, &c. pursuant to 37. Hen. 8. c. 12. in lieu of tithes, Sayer v. Mumford, 324
- 13. The rector of St. Botolph, Aldgate, is entitled to tithes, pursuant to 37. Hen. 8. c. 12. Umfreville v. Batchelor, 226
- 14. The curacy of St. Botslph, Aldgate, is in the gift of the crown, and the curate is entitled to a pension of 81. a year, and to 40s. for bread and wine for the use of the altar, Hollingworth v. Umfreville,
- 15. The curacy of St. Botolph, Aldgate, in London, is in the appointment of the rector of the parish, Attorney General v. Brewsfer, 455

#### LOUGHBOROUGH.

The vicar of Loughborough, in Gloucestershire, is entitled to the great and small tithes of so much land as lies ridge and furrow on Southfield Hill, Hull v. Wyatt,

## LURGASHALL.

The lands called the River Park, in the parish of Lurgaspali, in Sussex, pay a modus of 51. a year to the rector, in lieu of tithes, Cabdan v. Bridger, 401

## LYDFORD.

- 1. The rector of Lydford, in Deven hire, is intitled to the tithes of the forest of Dartmore, Birchinshaw w Wilcock, 287
- The manner in which the faid tithes
  fhall be paid by the forestmen, venvillmen, and foreigners in and about the
  forest, Burnafora v. Torrett,
  451

#### LYNTON.

The vicar of Lynton, in Cambridgeshire, is entitled to the tithes of peas, beans, carrots, and turnips, Stephens v. Martin,

MABLETHORPE.

#### M.

## MABLETHORPE.

- 2. Persons dwelling out of, but having lands within, the parish of Mabletborpe cum Staine, in Lincolnsbire, pay 12d. an acre for all new meadow, and 4d. an acre for all ancient passure they hold within the parish, Assorbed w. Newcomen,
- 2. Same point, Ashfordby v. Neucomen,
- 3. The custom above stated declared to be very unreasonable, and contrary to law,

  Assignably v Newcomen, 183
- 4. The custom adjudged to be good, Claxton v. Langion, 283
- 5. The rector of Mabletborps to keep two cows in the church yard, 1bid. 207

## MAIDSTONE.

The curate of the rectory of All Saints, in the parish of Maidfone, in Kent, is entitled to the tithes of the borough, town, and hamlet of Week, Stone, and Loddington, Innes v. Darfy,

488

## MALGRAVES.

The manor of Malgraves, in Effex, pays a modus of 13s. 4d. in lieu of tithes, Crockat v. Harrington, 401

#### MARGARET PROFESSOR.

 'The Margaret Profession, in Cambridge, pays 71. 108. 2d. a year to the grantee of the crown, Ossulton v. Widdrington,

## MARKET BOSWORTH.

248

335

The rector of Market Bosworth, in Leicestersbire, is only entitled to a modus of 71d. an acre, in lieu of the tithes of the village of Barlson, Dixis v. Deacon,

# MARKINTON. See ABERWAITE.

## MARRICK.

The impropriator of the rectory of Marrick, in Yorkshire, is entitled to a media of rl. 4s. 6d. for the tithe of hay in the demessive lands of the manor, and 11.6s.4de in lieu of all tithes of certain grounds called the Park. the Crosibow, the Lyng, the East Ridings, and the Lamb Closes, Blackborne w. Miles,

## MARSH CHA'PEL.

The impropriator of Marsh Chapel, in Lincolnshire, is entitled to tithes of lands esteemed derelict recovered from the sea, and rendered arable, Alcock v. Hilyard,

## MATLOCK.

- 1. The rector of Mailock, in Derbylbire, is not entitled to any tithes of Lott-Ore, Smetham, or Forefield got in the mines of Mailock, Willersley, or Old Meadow, or of the meer dister payable to the barmasters for freeing the ground, or of any lead ore cleansed, washed, and dressed, at the charge of the miner, Chappel vo Ward,
- 2. See also Pindar v. Jackson,

# MAUDITT'S PARK. See Sanleford.

315

## MAUNDY.

A custom that the parson, his farmer, or deputy, shall prepare on the Thursday after Enster a drinking or maundy for the parishioners presently after evening prayer, at the parsonage house, is good, Swaine v. Perne, 342

#### MEERE.

- The lands in the parish of Meere, in Somersetsbire, which were formerly covered with water, called Meers Pool, having been parcel of the possessions of the abbey of Glastonbury, are tithe free, Strode v. Bickbam,
- 2. The lands belonging to the manor of Godney, called Godney Farm, in the parish of Meere, where the lord's rent referved is above 12d. an acre pay only three halfpence an acre in lieu of tithes; where the rent is but 12d. then 1d. an acre; and when under 12d. then only a halfpenny an acre. Strode w. Elliot, 269
- The marsh called Sobam Meere, in Cambridgesbire, pays a modus of 132. 4d. yearly,

145

280

yearly, in lieu of tithes, Cressier v. Gally, 289

## MELLIN.

The mode of tithing wool, lambs, and calves, in the parish of Mellin, in the county of Lancaster, Remington v. Thornton,

#### MIDDLETON.

The rector of Middleton, in Teofdale, in the county of Durbam, is entitled to the tenth part of all lead ore got in Grass Growes, in the forest of Teafdale, without any deduction for the digging, winning, or getting the faid ore, Tully v. Halfall,

## MIDLE Y.

The rector of Midley, in Kent, is entitled to 1s an acre for all pasture land in the parish, in lieu of the tithes thereof, Eve w. Wightwick, 226

#### MILK.

- 1. Where cheese is to be paid in lieu of tithe milk, the cheese shall be made of the evening's milk preceding the tithing day slet up in the morning of the tithing day, and of the morning's milk of the tithing day unslet, Wickbamw. Thrower,
- 1. See also Staines w. Wells,
- 3- A custom to pay every tenth day's milk from the first of May to the first of Mugust yearly, in lieu of all tithe milk throughout the year, is a void custom, Silverlocke v. Istes,
- 4. The vicar is entitled to the whole tenth meal's milk every morning and every evening, and the owner of the cows must, of common right, send the same regularly, every morning and evening, to the vicarage or the church, Dodd v. Ingleton,
- 5. A custom for every cow-keeper to pay eighteen cheeses a year, in lieu of tithe milk, is good, Morgan v. Holt, 336
- 6. A custom to pay 2d. a cow, and three halfpence a heifer, yearly, in lieu of the tithe of milk, is good, Snow v. Howes, 368

- 7. A modus of 2d. a cow, in lieu of tithe milk, is good, Twittie v. Ewin, 416
- 8. A custom to pay tithe milk in kind every ninth night and tenth morning after Easter Monday until the first lamb is yeaned alive in the parish after New Year's Day, the milk to be carried to the Cross near the parson's court yard, and there delivered to the parson, is good, Ekins v. Bridges, 418
- 9. A custom to pay, betwixt St. Mark's Day and All Saints, the tenth ordinary cheese, or the tenth day's milk once skimmed and made into cheese, in sull for cow white, the cheese to be collected when stiff, or every fortnight or three weeks, is a void custom, Lister v. Fey, 423
- 10. The tithe of milk is payable to the parson of the parish where the cows are fed, and not to the parson of the parish in which they are milked, Wright v. Elderton,

## MILLOM.

There is a modus in the parish of Millom, in Cumberland, to pay 61. a year in lieu of small tithes, Aylosse v. Penningen,

## MILLS.

- 1. A water corn mill shall pay tithe of the toll of corn and grain ground therein, Dugarde v. Weete, 55
- 2. The town mills of Taunton pay 161. a year in lieu of tithes, Coventy v. Winchefter.
- 3. No tithes are due for corn or other grain ground at an ancient mill, Anfall v. Adman, 352
- 4. The tithe of a horse malt mill is a perfonal tithe; and the tenth part of the clear profits arising from corn ground in such mill, over and above all incidental charges, is to be paid as the tithes thereof, Newte v. Chamberlain, 482

#### MILTON.

1. The impropriate church of Milton, in Hampflure, was formerly parcel of the priory of Christ Church Twineham, and the

the impropriator is entitled to the tithes of calves, milk, wool, lambs, fruit, and other small tithes, although there is a chapel with a vicar, Hastings v. Goldwyer,

- 2. The rector of Milton, otherwise Middleton Keynes, in Buckingbamshire, is entitled to Chapel Close, to Rye Cross, and to thirty-three acres of land, as part of the glebs; to a right of common for four beasts, ten horses, and thirty sheep; to 51. a year, in lieu of such right of common; and to 40s. a year in lieu of the tithes of the dove-house, Austrbury v. Turner,
- 3. The rector of Milion is entitled to tithes in kind for Bucking bam Way, Foddering Yard, and Wren Park, part of Ten Pound Close and the Warren, which is part of Great Pasture, and for Little Ham; and 20s. a year for the tithes of the remainder of Ten Pound Close; to 60s. a year for the remainder of Great Passure; and to 6s. 8d. a year for the tithes of Lady Mead,
- 4. The mode of tithing in this parish ascertained and established, 231, 232

## MIXBURY.

There is a modus of 41. a year payable by the inhabitants of the manor of Wilaffon to the rector of Mixburg, in the county of Oxford, in lieu of all tithes arising in the said manor, Hart v. Grantbam,

MODUS.

See Custom.

#### MORTON MAGNA.

The dean and chapter of Hereford, as lords of the manor of Canons Bakeboufe, are entitled to fifty-fix bushels of wheat, and twenty-eight bushels of oats, yearly, from the incumbent of the parish of Morton Magna, Dean and Chapter of Hereford w. Symonds,

MOUNTS BAY.

## MOWTHORPE.

Mounthorpe Grange is within the rectory
of Kirby Grindelitch, in the county of
York, Townie v. Pearlon, 13

## MUCH MARLE.

The mode of paying vicarial tithes of apples, pears, cyder, perry, sheep, lambs, calves, garden stuff, milk, and Easter officings, in the parish of Much Marle, in Hereford/bire, Ernste w. Watts, 304

## MURLINCH.

The impropriator of Murlinch, in Somerfetspire, is entitled to the tithes of hay in the village of Eddingtor, except that which is made on ancient meadow and flock meadow, Bull v. Mellier, 181

#### N.

#### NEWARK.

The vicar of Newark upon Trent, in Nottingbam/bire, is entitled to a pension of tol. a year from the rector, Stansfield v. Howard, 459

## NEWBOTTLE.

The impropriator of Newbettle and King's Sutton, in Northamstershire, is only entitled to certain sums yearly, in lieu of the tithes of lands within the liberty of Purslew, Tuttin w. Blincowe, 301

## NEWTIMBER.

The rector of Newtimber, in Suffex, is only entitled to 1l. 6s. 8d. a year, in licu of the tithes of Sadalescomb Farm.

Ofborne v. Dunfial,

428

#### NEW TRIAL.

A new trial granted on the discovery of material evidence subsequent to the first trial, Rouse v. Barry, 93

## NONSUCH PARK.

The rector of Cuddington, in Surry, is entitled to the tithes of Nonfueb Park, Lloy iv. Green, 501
NORHAM.

## NORHAM.

The rector of Norbam, in the county of Durbam, is entitled to the tithe of fish and fishing on the fouth fide of the Tweed, Therewgood v. Orde, 44

## NORTHALL.

The vicar of Northall, in Middlefex, is entitled to the tithes of wood, when flacked and faggoted, Brabourne v. Eyres,

## NORTHILL.

The several modes of tithing in the parish of Nor bil, in Cornwall, confirmed and established, Isaak v. Postbury, 525

## NORTON.

- 1. The vicar of Norton, in Northamptonfoire, is entitled to the small tithes of the village of Thorpe, lying within the vicarage, Boles w. Berkley, 108
- 2. The farm called Norton's Farm, in the parish of Norton Bawant, in Wiltsbire, having been formerly part of the possessions of Dariford Abber, in Keni, is tithe free, Pearle w. Bennitt, 184
- 3. The farms called Upper, Lower, and Middle Nortons, in the parish of Weston, in Gloucestersbire, pay their tithes in kind, Cotterell v. Atherp. 235
- 4. The archdeacon of Wells, in Somerfesbire, as vicar of Philip's Norson, with
  the chapel of Hinton annexed, is entitled
  to peschols and procuretions from the
  rectors and vicars within the archdeaconry, Sandys v. Pigott, 284

## NOTICE. 1.2.3.

- 2. Payment of tithes to the rector, without notice of his having made a lease thereof, is a good discharge from the lesse to the time of notice, Rudgeley w. Winstanley,
- 2. The rector of Liverington is entitled to have notice of the time of fetting out the tithes, Perms v. Fountain, 504

0.

## OATMEAL.

The inhabitants of certain townships in Carl sle shall pay the tithes of oatmeal and haver, by a certain bushel, and at a certain place, Sewell w. Dean and Chapter of Carlisle,

# OAR CURRIDGE.

## OKELEY

The lands called Oldfield Closes, in the parish of Okeles, in the county of Norshampson, are tithe free, Brook v. Hall,
201

## OLD ROMNEY.

- 1. There is a custom in Old Romney to pay 14d. an acre in lieu of the tithe of meadow and pasture land, Swinnerk w. Higginfon, 3
- 2. The rector of Old Romney shall receive 41. a year in lieu of the tithes of certain pasture lands mentioned in a deed of composition made between the rectors of Midley and Old Romney in the year 1547, Eve 41. Wightwick, 226

## OLDTON.

- 1. The manner in which the vicarial tithes of the parish of Oldson, in Norfolk, shall be paid, Bell v. Carfon, 377
- The vicar of Olden is entitled to a pension of 31. a year from the impropriator, and to certain customary payments in lieu of tithes, Carfer v. Bell,
   378 matis

## ONIONS.

A custom that if any parishioner sow half a pound of onion seed, or above, the parson shall have the tenth bed, and if less nothing, is good, Swaine v. Pers.,

## ORPINGTON.

r. The manner of tithing hops, corn, grubbed grounds, hop poles, bark, wood, &c. in the parish of Orpington, in the county of Kent, Ges v. Pearth, 387
2. The

2. The rector of Orpington is entitled to have the tithes of wood bound up, and to the tithe of hops after they are picked and gathered from the bines, Geo v. Pearch, 438

## OVERSTAND.

The manner of paying tithes to the rector of Overfland, in Bedfordbire, established, Leach v. Deacon, 373

## OUTDWELLER.

An outdweller, though renting lands in the parish, is not entitled to the benefit of modules, Perne v. Fountair, 505

## OTHAM.

The tenants of the manor of Otham, in Saffex, pay 31. a year to the vicar of Haylesbam, in lieu of tithes, Wenham v. Thatcher,

#### OYSTERS.

In what case offer less are not titheable, Murray v. Skinner, 542

P.

## PADWORTH.

The rector of *Padworth*, in *Berkfhire*, is entitled to the tithes of coppice alderne poles, though above twenty years growth, and underwood made into faggots, though burnt in the owner's house out of the parish, for they were not burnt in the course of husbandry in the parish, Goedall w. Perkins, 338

#### PANCRAS.

The lands called King bam Farm, in the parish of St. Pancras, in Suffex, pay a modus of 81. a year in lieu of all tithes, Edge v. Oglander, 288

## PAULYN.

The impropriator of Paulyn, in Cornwall, is entitled to tithes in kind of all pilchards, lobsters, and other fish taken with seynes or drift nets, or which are

meased in Mounts Bay, or to the tenth of every penny for which they are sold, excepting such of the said sish as are used for bait, Gwavas v. Teage, 204

### PAYMENT.

- If an occupier pay tithes to the rector, without notice of his having leafed the tithes, it is good as against the leffee, Rudgley v. Woullafon,
- 2. If tithes be paid to a grantee of the tithes, and the title of the grantor is afterwards fet afide, the Court, on a bill controverting the right, will order the first grantee to pay the monies he has received to the grantee of the right owner of the tithes, Aldworth v. New College,
- 3. The tithes of a forest cannot be withheld on account of the non-payment of the keeper's fees, Conant v. Greaves, 140

## PEAS AND BEANS.

- 1. Peas and beans, fet in rows, and managed with the hoe in a gardenlike manner, pay as small tithes to the vicar, and not as great tithes to the rector, Stepbens v. Martin, 357
- 2 A custom that the tithes of peas and vetches shall be paid by the tenth rood, wodd, or mook, and that no tithes shall be paid for the odd wodds or mocks under ten, is void, Snow v. Hewitt, 368
- Querr, Whether it is a good custom to fet out peas and beans by the tenth rease instead of the tenth heap, Gardner v. Pole, 473
- 4. The tithes of peas and beans claimed by the impropriator, Hall v. Filtz,
- 5. All peas and beans fet, drilled, or fowed in rows or ranks which are hoed or weeded with the hoe in a garden fashion, shall pay tithes to the vicar, though the ground be prepared for them by the plough; for the manner of cultivating them cannot alter the vicar's right, or turn what is in its nature a small tithe into a great tithe, Nichelas v. Elliett,

PETHERWIN.

## PETHERWIN.

- 1. The impropriator of North Petherwin, in Devanshire, charges in his bill, that the defendant carried away a certain quantity of wheat, without fetting out the tithes thereof; the defendant pleads the statute 2. & 3. Edw. 6 c. 13. that fubtraction of tithes is cognizable at law, and therefore demurs to the bill; but the plea is over-ruled, and the tithes decreed to be paid, Hele v. Pronte, 45
- 2. The inhabitants of North Petherwin pay certain fums in lieu of the tithes of milch cows, barren cows, colts, gardens, ewes, eggs, and Easter offerings, Rouse v. Barry,

## PICKERING.

The rector of Pickering, in Yorkshire, is not entitled to the tithes of the lands called Wheelsale Rigg, for they are not within the parish, Osbourn v. Brickers,

## PICKLOOM.

There are no tithes due for the lead ore got in Pukhom Grove, in the Forest of Trasidale, in the parish of Middleton, in the county of Durham, Tully v. Halfall,

#### PIGEONS.

How titheable, Dummer v. Wing field, 276, 277

## PIGS.

- 1. A custom that the tithe pig shall be delivered at the will of the owner after it is nine days old, and that if the parson delay fetching it he shall pay for its keep, is good, Swane v. Perm, 341
- 2. A custom that the parson shall find a boar for the use of the parish, or, unless he pay for the use of a boar, to lose his tithe pig, is good,

  342

369

- 3. See also Snow v. Hewitt,
- 4. A custom to pay the tithe pig when it is three weeksold, and that if, upon notice, the parson should result to receive it, the owner to pay 1s. is good, Leach v. Deacon,

- 5. A custom to pay one pig in seven, receiving one halfpenny for every pig wanting ten, is good, Pern v. Fountaiz,
- 6. The tithe pig is payable to the parson of the parish in which the sow is kept and fed, and not to the parson of the parish where she pigs, Wright v. Elderton,

## PITCHLEY.

There is no modus in the parish of Pitchler, in Northamptonspire, for the owners of the lands called Kinningham and Cransley to pay 1d. for every barren cow depastured thereon, in lieu of tithe herbage, Washburne v. Nunnelly,

## PLEADING.

- 1. To a bill by a fequestrator for the tithes of the parish of St. Swithin's, in London, according to the statute and decree 37. Hen. 8. c. 12. the desendant demurred, and pleaded, that by the said statute such demand was, in the first instance, only cognizable before the lord mayor, or, on his default within two months, before the lord chancellor; but the plea was over-ruled, and the desendant ordered to answer the matters of the bill, Shessield w. Pierce, 38
- wheat, &c. without fetting out the tithes, the defendant cannot plead the flatute 2. & 3. Edw. 6. c. 13. that fubtraction of tithes is cognizable at law, and that a bill in equity therefore will not lie, Hele v. Pronte,
- A bill by a grantee of the crown to recover tithes of forest lands must shew a sufficient title in the plaintist, Mells v. Edbrocke,
- 4. A prescriptive exemption from tithes improperly flated, 1bid. 48
- 5. The defendant states in his answer a eustom to pay, in lieu of tithes, 3d. a load for faggots; 2d. a hundred for great bavins; 1d. for small bavins; 3d. a load for broom-staves; and 6d. a load for hoops; and the plaintiff rejoins a custom to pay 5s. an acre for

- every acre of underwood felled; the rejoinder is a departure from the answer, Watts v. Melden, 77 motis
- 6. To a bill for dilapidations the defendant may plead, that the statute 13. Eliz. c. 10. s. 2. has given the jurisdiction to the ecclefiastical court, Salifoury v. Whithy,
- 7. If a defendant refuse to appear and anfwer, the bill shall be taken pro confesso, and, on the plaintiff making oath of the value, the tithes shall be decreed, Crossman v. Goodriage,
- 8. Tithes decreed against copyholders, without fetting out the commencement of that estate, Knight v. Mawe,
- 9. The court of exchequer will not retain a bill brought by a person seised of the freehold of a parsonage for life, against a vicar for subtracting tithes of corn, the plaintiff's remedy being at common law, Dewey v. Price,
- 10. A plea to a bill for tithes, that the plaintiff was not legal rector, he having been instituted and inducted when he was under twenty-three years of age, is bad, Priaule v. Stong, 367
- 11. See also Stanp v. Ayliffe,
- 294 12. A decree in the court of chancery, on the same subject, may be pleaded in bar to a bill in the court of exchequer, Godfrey v. Trinder, 433
- 13. To a bill by an impropriator against a vicar, the defendant pleaded, that he only kept a few sheep on a common appurtenant to his glebe, and that the plaintiff had cows, of which he had paid no tithe for the milk, and the plea was allowed, Caifter v. Hornsby,
- 14. A plea denying the plaintiff's title to the rectory pleaded and allowed, Holland v. Coker, 486

## PLUMBLAND. See WARTHOLE.

#### PLURALITY.

A fecond benefice, although the real value be above 81. a year, yet if it be under that value in the king's books, will not prevent the incumbent from receiving the tithes of the former benefice, Stump v. Ayliffe, 294

#### PRESBURY.

The manner in which the rector of Presbury, in Cheshire, and lord of the wanor of Adlington, shall receive tithes for Poynton Hall, Poynton Park, and Poynton Mill, Leigh v. Warren, 475 .

## PRESHUTT.

- 1. The rector of Presbutt, in Wiltsbire, is not entitled to the tithes of Savernack Park, although the faid park lands lie within the parish, Steele v. Hitchcock,
- 2. The vicar of Presbutt is not entitled to tithes in kind for the demesne lands belonging to Clatford Parish, but to one acre of wheat thereon at harvest, to be chosen by the vicar, and 20s. on Easter Day yearly; but he is entitled to the tithes of tenantry lands not included in the modus in kind, Morse v. Fizjames,

## PRESTON.

395

The particular manner of paying vicarial tithes in the parish of Presson Copes, in the county of Northampton, Thompson v. Gray, 68

## PRIOR.

- 1. The vicar of Prior Hardwicke, in Warwicksbire, of which the chapelry of Prior Marston is a member, is not entitled to the tithes of bay in the common fields of Prior Marston, as he receives the first crop of certain meadows called the Pikes, lying in Woodland Leys, in Dean, and in Dale, in lieu of fuch tithes, Kent v. Pettiver,
- 2. The inclosed grounds in Prior Hardewick, called Lady Roufe's Inclosure, and the meadow ground in Prior Marfton Field, are not entitled to pay a modus of 30s. a year, but pay tithes in kind, Kent v. Pettiver,
- 3. The impropriator of Dean Prier, in Devansbire, is entitled to have the tithes of wheat fet out in stiches, and for every odd number of stiches to have the tenth fheaf, with notice of their being fet out, Yard w. Storrell, QUARMOŘE.

# Q.

# QUARMORE.

The inhabitants and landholders of Quarmore Forest are titheable to the impropriator of the parish of Lancaster, Smith w. Starkey,

# QUEEN CAMEL.

The vicar of Queen Camel, in Somersetsoire, is only entitled to a modus of 8d. an acre, in lieu of the tithes of the lands called the Parks, Kidley v. Beacon,

#### R.

#### RABBITS.

- 1. A modus to pay 2s. a year in lieu of the tithes of the rabbits bred in a certain warren, is good, Webb w. Agnold, 163
- 2. Rabbits shall pay tithe, Lifter w. Cane, **793**

# RAPE.

A custom to pay the tenth bushel of rape feed ready dreffed, the parson allowing 1d. for dreffing, is good, Swaine v. Perne, 342

# RAVENSWORTH,

A modus of 3s. 1d. a year is payable for Regill Grange, and 6d. a year for Addifon's Tenement, to the impropriator of Ravensworth, in Westmorland, in lieu of the tithes of corn, grain, wool, and lambs, Graham v. Dawes, 399

#### REEDS.

A custom to pay 1d. a year for a reed ground every year that it is cropped or mowed, is good, Swaine w. Perne, 342

# REWILL.

The lands called Steeple Aften, in the parish of Steeple Ashion in Wileshire, pay twelve bushels of wheat yearly to the rector of Rewill, in lieu of tithes arising out of the faid lands, Bajuton v. Besmett,

#### REWKING.

The part of Bourne Wood which lies in the parish of Rewking, in Kent, is within the weald of Kens, and tithe free, Brett w. Franklyn,

# RICKLEY.

The customary manner in which the inhabitants and landholders of Rickley, in Devensbire, pay tithes of cows calves, garden, wood, hay, cyder, and apples, Picfley v. Carew,

# RIPPLE,

The demesne lands of the manor of Rifple, in Kent was formerly parcel of the possessions of the abbey of St. Augustine, and so discharged of tithes by the statute 31. Hen. 8. c. 12. Staniey v. Casbeere, III

### RIPPO N.

The prebendary of Studley, belonging to the collegiate church of Rippon, is not entitled to the tithes of the town fields of Stenning forth; they having formerly been parcel of the possessions of the abbey of Fontaines. and thereby tithe free, the faid abbey being of the Ciffertian order, Staveley v. Ullisborne,

# RIVEHOP. See WEARMOUTH.

#### ROLLS.

- 1. The history of the liberty of THE Rolls in Chancery Lane, 1Q2 motis
- 2. The Red Hart Inn, in Fetter Lane, in not within the liberty of the Rolls, Grant v. Cannon, 313

# ROMNEY.

- 1. There is a custom in Old Romney, in Kent, that the inhabitants and landholders shall pay 14d. an acre for meadow and pasture lands in lieu of titles, Swinneck w. Higginjon,
- 2. The rector of Old Romany is bound to receive 4l. a year, in lieu of the tithes

of certain pasture lands mentioned in a deed of composition dated the sitteenth of February 1547, Eve v. W. gbrwick.

**E**2 :

4

: 3

Σ.,

5'

# RUCKINGE.

The rector of Ruckinge, in Kent, is entitled to his tithes in kind, Marfo v. Holmfby,

#### RUSPER.

The rector of Ruffer, in Suffex, is entitled to tithes of the farms called the Numery and Langburft, Private w. Stone, 367

S.

# SADDLESCOMB.

### SALEHURST.

The manor of Saleburft, in Suffex, is tithe free. Lora w Pocke, 134

# SANDALL MAGNA.

The vicar of Sandoll Magna, in Yorkshire is entitled to a pension of 1.1 a year from the rector; and to an annuity of 201. issuing out of the rectorial tithes; to 1d. for a cow, in lieu of tithe milk; to a halfpenny for a calf; to 3d. for a foal; to 1d. for every swarm of bees; and to certain rates in lieu of tithe hay and herbage in the township of Criggington, Wood v. Beaumont, 216

# SANDIACRE.

The prebendaries of Sandiacre. in Staffordbire, pay 20s. a year for stall wages to the dean and chapter of Litchfola, Dean and Chapter of Litchfold v Woodroffe, 440

#### SAVERNACK.

The tithes of the lands lying in Savernack Park, next adjoining to the Forest of Savernack, belong to the owner of the tithes of the Forest, and not to the rector of Presbutt, although the said lands lie in the parish of Presbutt, Steele v. Hitchcock, 26

# SCARBOROUGH.

The fishermen of the town of Scarborough shall pay to the rector the twentieth part of all fish caught by them at sea, in lieu of the tithes of the said fish, Audley v. Fiddy,

# SEAGRAVE.

See BARTON SEAGRAVE.

# SEASALTER.

The common marsh lands in the parish of S. afalter, in Krnt, pay a modul of 6d. an acre, in lieu of tithe herbage, Roberts v. Knowler, 238

#### SECURITY.

On a bill to compel the payment of feveral modules for twelve years past, the defendants pleaded, that their lands were the demesnes of the manor of Horiou, in Yorkfoire, and formerly parcel of a monastery of the Coffertian order; and the Court ordered a trial at law, and that in the mean time the defendants should give security for the arrears of their tithes for the twelve years, in case a verdict should go against them, Wilson v. Redman,

#### SEDGBERGH.

- 1. The rectory of Sedgeergh, in Yorkhire, was formerly parcel of the possessions of the priory of Coversham, and was granted by Henry the Eighth to Trinity College, in Cambridge, The Coslinge v. Wynne,
- Tithes decreed to the college for lands faid to lie in the parish of Dent, 16.d.

# SEQUESTRATION.

1. If a rectory be sequestered for non-payment of an augmented salary to the curate of the church, AN EXTENT obtained by a judgment creditor shall not prevent the payment of the additional salary, unless the writ was excepted before the sequestration, or, if afterwards, unless the creditor has obtained an order to Q q

- receive the rents and profits, Hurde v.
- 2. A bill for predial tithes, demanding only the fingle value, brought by a chap lain appointed by the house of commons during sequestration, Hardwicke v. Neuce, 16
- 3. A hill against churchwardens, as sequestrators of the vicarage of Cawerffield, in Bucking bamfbire, and the defendants ordered to account, Durant v. Buck,
- 4. The court of exchequer will not retain a bill filed by a figuretrator to be relieved for non payment of tithes, Berwick v. Swanton, 295

# SHALFORD.

- 1. The prebends of Shalford, in Somersetjoire, are entitled to stall wages, Dean and Chapter of Wells w Durston, 435
- 2. The vicar of Shalford, in Surry, is entitled to the tithes of peas and beans fowed, after the plough, in the common fields; to the tithe of honey, exclusive of garden and orchard tithes, and to the tithes of wax, eggs, &c. Nicholas v. Ellion,

#### SHEEP AND LAMBS.

- I. In what manner the tithe of them shall be paid where they are fed in different parishes, Brabourne v. Eyres, 126
- 2. See also Lough w. Chapman, 29
- A custom to pay one halfpenny a barren sheep sold after Canalemas and before shearing time, is void, Turnor v. We don,
- 4. In what manner tithes shall be paid for sheep sed on an undivided waste or common, Margus v. Bucher, 210
- 5. Or in different parishes, Drake v. Brooking, 471
- A mosus to pay a halfpenny for every lamb fallen and fold after lambing time and before clipping time is good, Fox v. S. awe,
- In what manner the tithe shall be paid where sheep are driven fraudulently out of the parish, Washburne v. Nunnelly, 260

- 8. The special manner of tithing sheep in the parish of Hardwicke, in Buckingbambire, Dummer v. Wingsteld, 274
- Sheep fed by an inhabitant of one parish on a common appurtenant to a cottage in another parish, shall pay tithes in the parish in which the cottage is situated, Ingram v. Reer,
- to A custom to pay the tenth lamb in kind, and where there are but seven, the seventh lamb the vicar paying three halfpence to the owner; and where there are not seven, the vicar to have a halfpenny for every lamb under that number; the owner first to chuse two, and then the vicar one, and then the owner nine and the vicar one, and so on is good, Ernste v. Watts, 305
- 11. See also Snow v. Hewitt, 368, 369
- 12. A custom that the tithe lamb shall be paid in kind, as well those that fall after as before the first of May, excepting that those which fall after are to be kept until they are a month old, and if kept longer the parson to pay for the keeping, is good, Swains v. Perns.
- 13. A custom that if a farmer has any sheep, for which no tithes hath been paid for wool or lamb, the parson shall have 4d. a score, in lieu of tithes, is bad, Snow w. Hewitt, 369
- 14. A custom for both farmers and cottagers to pay 3d. on St. Mark's Day for every lamb yeaned in the parish, is good, Leach v. Deacon, 373
- 15. A custom to fet out the tithes of lambs at shearing day is good, Peinfam v. Hoskerton, 380
- 16. A custom to pay 3d. for every lamb yeaned and fold before St. Mark's Day, and to pay tithes in kind for so many as were not sold before that day, is bad, Layfield w. Enticks.pp. 383
- 17. A custom that the tithe of lambs shall be fet out, in every year, on the seventeenth of Ma,, and run with their dams on the ground where they are yeaned till the first of August following, the parson paying 2d. a lamb to the occupier on the delivery of them on the first of August, is good, Ekizs v. Bridges, 418, A.

- A custom to pay 1d. for every sheep depastured and fold before Candlemas, in lieu of all tithes thereof, is good, Pern v. Fountain,
- Tithes shall be paid for sheep bought in after shearing time, and wintered on the premites, and fold or killed unshorn, Smith v. Johnston,
- 20. If a person seed site or other cattle on an open undivided waste or common, a custom to pay for the agisting of such cattle to the rector of the place where the owner lives, is good, although the cattle had depastured on that part of the common which lies in an adjoining parish, Crispe v. Mickleburgh, 517

#### SHENFIELD.

- I. The rector of Shen'ild, in Effex, ordered to pay full costs on the dismission of his bill against a poor labourer, who inhabited a cottage near Brent-wood, in the parish of Sourbweale, for tithes of two ewes and a sow which run on Southweale Common, Danvers v. Wood,
- 2. The impropriator of Shenfield, in Berkfire, is not entitled to tithes of fire
  wood, or to tithes of the vicar's glebe,
  nor to the tithes of the aftermath, if the
  owners make the first crop into hay;
  but quare, whether the lot acres are
  tithe free, Waterman v. Jones, 463

#### SHERBOURNE.

The vicar of Sherbourne, in Yorkshire, is entitled to the small tithes of the parish, particularly the tithe of rape seed, Wickham v. Duffield, 434

#### SHINFIELD.

The pretended custom in the parish of Shinfield, in Berkshire, that after the grass is put into cocks the owner is not to rake them up, is void, Staughton v. Hide, 394

## SIBSEY.

r. The owner of the tithes of the parish of Sib/ey, in the county of Lincoln, is entitled to the tithes of the lands called Furibbank, Wiles v. Smith,

2. Sed quære, if he is entitled to the tithes of Earl's Fen and Wilamore Fen, Ibid.

### SOHAM.

The marsh called Sobam Meere, in Cambriagesbire, pays a medus of 13s. 4d. yearly to the impropriator of Sobain, in lieu of tithes, Cressac v. Gally, 289

#### SOMERFORD.

The lands called Moudut's Park Farm, in the parish of Little Somerford, in Wilt-foir, pay a modus of 40s. a year to the rector, in lieu of tithes, Callow v. Vines, 385

# SOULDERNE.

The rector of Soulderne, in Oxfordsbire, is entitled to his tithes in kind, Turner v. Wesden,

### SOUTH CAVE.

The rector of South Cave, in Yorkshire, is not entitled to tithes of the manor of Bromshire, the lands therein having formerly been parcel of the monastery of St. Leonard, and tithe free, Clarke v. Sunderland,

#### SOUTH CERNEY.

The manner in which the vicar of Soub Cerney, in Gloucestersburg, is entitled to receive his tithes, Saunders v. Dowe,

### SOUTHFIELD.

The vicar of Loughborough, in Gloucesterspire, is entitled to the great and small tithes of so much land as lies ridge and furrow on Southfield Hill, Hill v. Wyatt,

# SOUTH WELL.

- 1. The chapter of the collegiate church of St. Mary, in Southwell, in the county of Nowingham, is entitled to the tithes of wool and lamb in the township of Soke in kind, Meires w. Aftleyn, 202
- There is no custom within the manor of Woodberrow, in Southwell, to pay a cleake

clouke ben in lieu of the tithe of wool and lamb, Meires & Afleyn, 202

# SPEXHALL.

The rector of Spexhall, in Suffilk. is entitled to the tithes of turnips, Humphreys v. Stopher, 471

## STALL WAGES.

- 1. Stall wages of 40s, a year are payable to the prebends of Shalford, in Somer-fetshire, Dean and Chapter of Wells w. Durston,
- 2. The prebendaries of Sandiacre. in Stafforaspire, pay 20s. a year for stall wages to the dean and chapter of Litchfield w. Woodroffe, 410

# STANHOPE.

The rector of the parish of Sarbop, in the county of Darbom, is entitled to the tenth part of all lead ce dug from the mines in Weardale, when cleaned and washed, without any deduction for the digging, winning, or getting it, Bastre w Wharton,

# STAPLE-FITZ-PAINE.

The manner in, and the places from which, the rector of Scaple Fitz-Paine cum Bicknel, in Somerfelfbire, is entitled to receive his tithes, Snow w Hewest, 368

### STATUTES.

The flatute 13. Hen. 8 c. 12. for regulating the payment of tithes in London, extends both to lay impropriators and to spiritual persons; but the statute 22. & 23. Car. 2. c. 15 for the maintenance of pursons, vicars, and curates, in those parishes which were destroyed by the fire of London, extends to preaching ministers only, Waru v. Itilder, 305

### HENRY THE EIGHTH.

- 21. Hen. 8. c. 13. (Plurality), 294
  31. Hen. 8. c. 13. (Diffolution), 109.
  158. 178. 212. 235. 243. 288. 297.
  359. 396. 448. 508.
- 37. Hen. 8. c. 12. (London), 38. 253. 305, 313. 321. 324. 326. 332. 366

### EDWARD THE SIXTH.

- 2. & 3. Edw. 6. c. 13. (Undivided Waste), 30
- 2. & 3. Edw. 6. c. 13. (Subtraction), 45. 65. 88. 124. 153. 179. 245
- 2. & 3. Edw. 6. c. 13. (Barren Lands), 90. 387

# QUBEN ELIZABETH.

13. Eliz. c. 10. (Dilapidations), 58.214 13. Eliz. c. 14. (Ipswich), 339

#### CHARLES THE SECOND.

22. & 23. Car. 2. c. 15. (London), 39. 305. 324

ST. CUTHBERT-WRLLS.

ST. DUNSTAN.

ST. GREGORY.

# STEANEMEADS.

The parcel of meadow called Steameneads, in the parish of Son b Cerney, in Gleacester sone, are not discharged from the payment of tithes, Sannters v. Dewi,

# ST. JOHN'S.

The vicar of St. John's, in the Isla of Thanes, is entitled to the tithe of the herbage of lands that have been sowed the same year, with corn, Turner v. Payne, 239

#### ST. IVES.

The manner in which the impropriator of St. Ive, in Cornwal, is entitled to the tithes of fifth, Stamford v. Luke, 526

ST. LAWRENCE.
See London.

### ST. LEONARD'S.

t. The owner of the forest of St. Laa2rd's, in Suffex, shall pay a buck and a doe yearly to the rector of Beeding, in lieu of tithes, Conant v. Greaves, 140

۲,

See

4 😘

IC.

1....

-

.......

LT.

ΞÌ.

1

 $A_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ 

1

0

- 2. The manor of Bromflete. in Yorkshire, was formerly parcel of the monastery of St. Leonard's in the said county, and is thereby tithe free, Ciarke v. Sunderland,
- 3. The portioner of the tithes of St. Leomard's, in the parifles of Epworth and Haxey, in Lincolafbire, is entitled to tithes from the copyholders of the manor of Epworth, linight w. Mawe, 281

# ST. NICHOLAS ATWADE.

The owners of meadow and pasture lands in the parish of St. Nicholas Atwads, in Kent. whether the same be marsh or up lands, pay 4d. an acre to the vicar, in lieu of the tithes thereof, Smiller v. Bridges, 337

# ST. PETER'S.

See SUDBURY.

# STAPLETON.

The demesse lands of the manor of Stapleton pay the thirtieth shock of corn
and grain in lieu of tithes, and 1s. 6d. a
year in lieu of tithe hay, to the vicar of
Darrington, in York/bire, Waugh v.
Greenwood,

#### STENNING FORTH.

- 1. The town fields of the village of Stenningforth, in the prehend of Studley, belonging to the collegiate church of Rippon, in Yorkshire, are discharged from the payment of tithes, as having been parcel of the possession of the abboy of Fontaines, Staveley v. Uilithorne,
- 2. The point tried at bar, in an action of trover, Ingiety v. Wyvell, 73

# STEEPLE ASHTON.

The impropriator of Rewill, in Wiltshire, is entitled to twelve bushels of wheat out of certain lands in the parish of Sceeple Assistance, in lieu of the tithes there-of, Baynton v. Bennett,

# STEPNEY.

The vicar of Stepner, in Middlelex, is entitled to 3s. 6d. a year, in lieu of the tithes of garden herbs and fruits; to 3d. a year from every person above sixteen years of age, in lieu of Easter offerings; to 6d. a year for every cow, though milked out of the parish; to 20d. a year for every sow with pig; and to tithes in kind for the first crop of turnips not sed; but not to the tithes of turnips sown as a second crop, on the same ground from which corn has been reaped and tithed in the same year, Wright v. Ederion,

# STICKNEY.

The lands called the Grange, in the parish of Stickney, in Lincolnshire, are tithe free, Francis v. Willingham, 543

#### ST. MICHAEL'S MOUNT.

- 1. The lord of the manor of St. Michael's Mount. in Cornwall, is entitled to the tithes in kind of pilchards landed there, St. Aubin v. Stude,
- 2. See also Gwavas v. Terge,

# STOCKTON.

203

The rector of Stockton, in Worcestershire, is entitled to the tithe of milk in kind, Castle v. Maultin, 205

### STOKE CANNON.

The landholders of Stoke Cannon, in Devousbire, pay the following modules in lieu of tithes; 4d. for a cow; 4d. for a calf; 2d. for barren cattle; 1d. for garden stuff; 1d. for wood felled by the owner, Rodd v. Bishop,

# STONELEIGH.

- I. The coppice wood called Gregory's Greve, in the hamlet of Finham, in the parish of Stoneseigh, in Warwickshire, is tithe free, Leigh v. Downer, 124.
- 2. The vicar of Stoneleigh is only entitled to a fincke penny, in lieu of the tithe of fire wood, Leigh v. Downes, 125
- The lands called Hellingball Grange, in the parish of Sconeleigh, are tithe free, Ibid.

 $Q_q$  3

STOWLING.

# STOWLING.

The ancient water corn mill at Stowling, in Kent, pays no tithes; but the new mill there pays tithes, Ansell v. Adman,

# STRATTON AUDLEY.

The tithes of four yard lands in the parish of Stratton Audley, in the city of Oxford, belong to the vicar of Caverifield, in Buckingbamsbire, Durant v. Bush, 164

# STUDLEY.

- I. The prebendary of Studley, in Yorksbire, is not entitled to tithes from the town fields of Sienningforik, they being tithe free, Staveley v. U.litborne,
- 2. Same point determined on a trial at bar, Inglety v. Wyveli, 73

# SUDBURY.

The lessee of the church of St. Gregory and the chapel of St. Peter, in Sudvury, is entitled to the tithes of Schoolboufe Field and of Nonsuch Field on one fide the Stour, to King's Marsh and Portman's Cross, but not to the after-pasture of Sudbury Common, Underwood v. Gibbon, 535

# SUMMING WELL.

The rector of Summingavell, in Berksbire, is not entitled to the tithe of that part of Bagworth Farm that lies within the parish, Sheris w. Boskerwille, 549

# SURRENDER.

In what case a grant of a rectory and the tithes from the impropriator for three lives, assigned for so many years, if the ceffus que vie should so long live, cannot furrender the faid grant to the impropriator, Thorogood v. Quanter,

### SURRY.

The Wealds of the county of Surry are tithe free, Salmon v. Denyer, 302

#### SWACLIFFE.

1. The rector of Swecliffe, in Oxfordfbire. is entitled to the tithes of corn and wool of Swacliffe Grange, Dewes v. Tred-well,

2. The vicar is only entitled to a medius of 2d. a close, in lieu of the tithes of hav of Swacliffe Grange, although the faid grange is fometimes divided into two and fometimes into three closes, Dewes v. Tredwell.

# SWANSCOMBE.

- 1. The vicar of Swanfcombe, in Kent, is entitled to 2d. a head for all dry and barren cattle; to 10d. for every milch cow and calf; to 2d. for every sheep; to 4d. for every lamb; and to the tithes of underwood, herbage, sheep, lambs, and wool, in kind, Waits v. Melden,
- 2. The rector of Sevanscombe, in Kent, is entitled to his tithes in kind, Watts v. Wilson,

# SWITHIN.

- 1. By 37. Hen. 8. c. 12. the parish of St. Swithin's, in London, is to pay 28. 9d. in the pound on the improved rents of houses, in lieu of the tithes thereof, Sheffield w. Peirce,
- 2. But by 22. & 23. Car. 2. c. 15. f. 2. this parish, and the others mentioned in the act, are to be affessed in gross sums, Ibid. 39 meis

#### SYDMONTAYNE FARM.

- 1. The mode in which tithes shall be paid for this farm to the impropriator and vicar of the parish of King's Clere, in the county of Hants, Kent w. Webb, 82
- 2. See also Webb v. Arneld,

#### TARRANT KEYNSTON.

The rector of Tarrant Keynston, in Dor-Justine, is entitled to the tithes of Tariant Keynston Farm and Asbley's Coppice in kind, Bishop w. Arundell,

459 TAUNTON-

# TAUNTON.

The rector of Taunton, in Somersetsbire, is entitled to 161. a year in lieu of the tithes of The Town Mills, deducting thereout 40s. a year as a fee-farm rent to the king, Coventry v. Winchester,

#### TAXES.

If a rector demise the rectory for years, and covenant to pay all tithes, taxes, and subsidies assessed by parliament or convocation on the said manor, the assignee of the reversion is bound to allow to the lesse or his assignee a proportionate part of a parliamentary tax for the use of the army, Thorogood w. Delaburre,

# TEASDALE FORREST.

The forest of Teafials, in the county of Durb m, was part of the king's ancient demesnes; but by custom lead ore dug in the said forest shall pay tithes, Tuly v. Ha full,

# TEASEL.

Trafel is a small tithe, Hunt v. Codrington, 391

# TEMPLE HEATH.

No part of Temple Heath. in Linco nshire, is within the parish of Ashly-de-la-Land, in the said county, King w. Knight, 130

# TENDER.

In what case not sufficient, Drake v. Brooking, 470

# TERRINGTON.

The rector of Terrington, in Norfolk, and Margarett Profesor, in the university of Cambridge, pays 71. 103 2d. a year to the grantee of the crown, Official w. Widdrington, 248

#### тнаснам.

The impropriator of Thacham and Henwicks, in Berkshire, is not entitled to tithe of the glebe lands belonging to the vicaridge while in the hands of the vicar's tenant, Hawkins v. Chieve.

### THANET.

In the parish of St. John's, in the Isle of Thanes, tithes for the herhage of arable land are by custom due to the vicar, for the same year in which they have been sowed with corn, Turner v. Payne, 239

### THEOBALD'S PARK.

The lands called Theobald's Park, in the parish of Enfield, in Middlefex, are not tithe free, Shaller v. Pennyfather.

# THORNTHWAITE:

The rector of Thornthwaite, in Cumber-land, is only entitled to seven bushels of rye of twenty-eight gallons each, in lieu of the tithes of corn and other grain grown on the demesne lands of the manor of Thornthwaite, Hodgion v. Skeiton,

#### THORPE.

- 1. The village of Thorpe is not a diffinct and independent parish, but part of the parish of Norte, in Yerkspire, and the vicar thereof entitled to the tithes.

  Boles v. Berke'y, 109
- 2. The lordship of Thorpe in the Willows, in Yorkshire, pays tithes to the rector of Kilhourne, in the said county, in kind, Baines w. Clarke, 244
- 3. The impropriator of Thorps, in Effer, is entitled to the tithes of the first and fecond crop of clover, and the lops and tops of old bowlings, Witherington w. Harris,

#### THORPE UNDERWOOD.

The lands lying in Thorpe Underwood, in York/hire, pay five mark: a year to the rector of Ufborne, in lieu of tithes, Waters v. Dickinfon,

### TIVERTO N.

The rector and incumbent of the portion of Pitt and Tidscombs, in Tiweston, in Devon-

303

486

Devonshire, is entitled to the tenth part of the clear profits of all corn and grain ground in the horse malt-mill there fituated, Newtown Chamberlain, 483

# TOPCLIFFE.

The vicar of Topcliffe, in Yorkfbire, is entitled to two fhillings in the pound from foreigners on every pound of rent they pay for lands depastured, with unprofitable cattle, Platts v. Cauthorne,

# TORBRYAN.

The rector of Torbryan. in Devenshire.
is entitled to tithes in kind, except for
garden stuff, milch cows, and firewood, for which certain mides are
paid, Holweel v. Woolson,
411

# TREWARDRETH.

The impropriator of Trewardreth, in Cornwall, is entitled to the tithe of pilebards and book-fifth at the place where they are landed from the fishing-boats, Raphley v. Davis,

# TRESPASS.

- r. A person who is a trespasser on a farm cannot be called upon to pay the tithes thereof, Wilb, abam v. Kingsman, 329
- 2. See also Holland v. Coker,

#### TRIAL.

Where the plaintiff, in a cross bill. fet forth above forty distinct modules, several of them varying from his answer to the original bill, the court did not think fit to direct so many trials at law, Snow v. Hewitt,

### TRING.

The tithes of the parish of Tring, in Heriforosbire, are payable to the impropriator in kind, Pyote v. Georg.

# TURKE DEAN.

Quere, Whether the vicar of Turks Dean, in Gloucestersbire, is entitled to the corn tithes of the parish, Dewey v. Price, 308

#### TURNIPS.

- r. Turnips, though fowed in the common fields, and mowed with a hoe in a garden like manner, are finall tithes, Stephens v. Martin, 358
- 2. Tithes shall be paid for turnips drawn from the ground and given to milch cows, though in the parish where the turnips grew, and the cows were milked. Humphreys w Stepher, 471
- 3. Same point, Ring fread w. Young, 510
- 4. Same point, Hajon w Yallop, 536
- 5. Tithes shall be paid for turnips though fowed as an after-crop on ground that has paid tithes in the same year, Hall v. Filiz,
- 6. But the contrary is decreed, Wright v. Elder.on, 518

#### ٧.

#### VAUGE.

The occupiers of the marsh land, called North Browned Marsh, in the parish of Vauge, in Esex, pay to the rector of Vauge 2s in the pound yearly, according to the yearly rent of the marsh, Tooker v. Griffin,

# VERDICT.

- 1. In what case a verdict varying from the issue is bad, Castle v. Maultia, 206
- 2. In what case a verdict, as to the payment of a modus is conclusive, Bains, v. Clarke, 245

# U.

#### USBORNE.

The rector of Ufborne, in Yorkshire, is entitled to five marks a year in lieu of the tithes of Thorpe Underwood, Waters w. Dukinson,

UTTOXETER.

# UTTOXETER.

- .s. The rectory of Uttexeter, in Staffordfoire belongs to the dean and canons of the king's free chapel in Windfor, Hodg for v. Wilfoaw, 88
- 2. Part of the parish Ustoneter formerly belonged to the abbey of Croxion of the Cifertian order, but being a lesser abbey, its lands are not discharged of of tithes, ibia:

#### W.

# WALDRON.

The rector of Waldren, in Suffix, is entitled to the tithes of P. fingworth Farm, in kind, Tattarshall v. Offix,

# WALFORD.

The vicar of Walferd, in Herefordfbire, is entitled to the tithes of wood, bark, underwood, and faplings, cut for poles, on the Chace, Greenway v. Kent, 479

# W'ALLOP.

The vicar of Nether Wallop, in Hambshire, is entitled to the whole tithe of lambs lambed in the parish, Hayes v. Cox, 69

# WARTHOLE.

The lord of the manor of Warthole, in Cumberland, is entitled, on paying the rector of Plumbland 61. a year, to all tithes of the faid manor, and the demesse lands thereof, Dykes v. Thompson,

# WALSINGHAM.

The lands in the parish of Walfingbam, in Norfolk, which formerly belonged to the priory of Little Walfingbam, are tithe free, Warner v. Clough, 250

#### WALTHAMSTOW.

The impropriator of Walthamstow, in Essex, is entitled to the wood selled on the sale, except of the tops of oak pollards, and the standells called black

coates and subite coates, Nathleigh v. Collard, 528

#### WAY,

317

WEALDS.
See SURRY—KENT.

# WEARDALE.

The lead ore dug from the mines in Weardale, in the county of Durham, is titheable to the rector of the parish in which the mines are fituated, Basere v. Wharton,

# WEARMOUTH.

- 1. The rector of Bishop's Wearmouth, in Durham, is entitled to one entire sum of 141. 135. 4d. a year from the owners and occupiers of the lordship of Barnes, exclusive of the yearly value of the Parson's Closes, which are part of the Glebe, in lieu of the tithes of the said lordship, but he is not entitled to the corn tithes of Rivelop, Grey v. Jenkins,
- 2. Nor he is not entitled to any tithes of hay on the lands lying in the township of East Burden, and only to a modus of 58. a year in lieu of the tithe hay, and all small tithes for Bainbridge Holme, Smith v. Johnson, 514

# WEDMORE.

The customary mode in which the vicar of Wedmore, in Somerseysbire, is entitled to the tithes of calves, Davis v. Tutton,

# WELLS.

- 1. The dean and chapter of Wells, in Somerfetsbire, are entitled to a yearly pension of 341. Os. 1d. from the vicar of St. Cuibbere's, Dean and Chapter of Wells, v. Thurle,
- 2. The archdeacon of Wells is entitled, as vicar of Philip's Norton, &c. to certain pafchalls and procurations from the feveral rectors and vicars within the archdeaconry, Sandys v. Pigett, 284.
- The dean and chapter of Wells are entitled to 40s, a year stall-wages from the

the prebendary of Scamford, Dean and Chapter of Wells w. Durston, 435

# WESTBURY.

#### See ILFORD HOSPITAL.

The rector of Westbury upon Trim, and Fenbury Saltmash, in the county of Gloucester, is entitled to the tithes of hay, corn, &c. in kind, Fans v. Martin,

# WESTLEY.

The land called Biflete Park, in the parish of Weftley, in Surry, are tithe free, Sutton v. Oldys, 280

# WESTMARSH.

The lands called Westmars, in the county of Carmarthen, are frequently over-flowed with the sea, yet not being originally barren land, they are subject to tithes, Garuden v. Gilbert,

# WESTON.

- 1. The rector of W. fon Underedge, in Gloucestersbire, is entitled to tithes of the farm called The Nortons, in kind, Cotterell v. Athorp, 235
- 2. The impropriator of Weston in Holland, in Lincolnsbure, is, with certain exceptions, entitled to the tithes of wool and lamb, corn and grain, Bullin v. Brecknock, 272
- 3. But the vicar is entitled to the odds of wool, &c. ibid. 273

# WESTONING.

The large meadow called Long Mead, in the parish of Westoning, in Bedfordshire, pays a modus of 4d. an acre yearly to the impropriator in lieu of tithes, Trustram v. Beaumont, 491.

### WHATLINGTON.

The parishioners of Whatlington, in Suffex, pay a modus of 2s. in the pound on the yearly value of their farms at Lady Day and Michaelmas, in lieu of tithes, Dodderidge v. Startop, 283

### WHITTINGDON LODGE.

The lands called Whittingdon Lodge, in the parishes of Albiouse and Buxton, it Suffex, though formerly part of Albiouse Forest, pay tithes, Burges v. Reeves, 388

#### WHITCOMBE.

- The chapel of Whitcombe is annexed to the church of St. Peter and St. Paul, in Bath, Lough v. Chapman, 28
- 2. The manner in which the inhabitants and occupiers of woodlands in Whiteombe Magna, in Gloucestershire, shall pay tithes of wood felled, Athors v. Hicks, 319
- 3. In the parish of Whitembe Magna, beech is accounted timber, ibid. 320
- 4. Part of the forest of Dartmers, in Deworshire, lies within the parish of Whitcombe, Burnesord w. Tonett, 452

### WHIXLEY.

The farm called Gatebill, in the parish of Whixiey, in Yorkfore, pays a means of cl. a year in lieu of tithes, Taxered w. Fewfdele, 285

#### WIGGINTON.

The rector of Wigginton, in Oxfordsbire, is not entitled to the small titles arising in the hamlet of Milcombe, in the parish of Blexam, in the said county, Dyde w. Kench,

# WIHAM FRACEY.

The occupiers of Westborn Farm, in this parish, pay a moant of 3d. for every cow, and three-halfpence for every heiser kept and depastured thereon, in lieu of tithe milk, Wyndbam v. Hotocher,

#### WILBERTON.

The rector of Wilberton, in the Isle of Ely, is not entitled to tithes in kind from Leizure Fen and Doles Fen, but to the produce of Pale Peice and But's Way, and certain lot acres in lieu thereof, Mallabar v. Young,

WILBY.

# WILBY.

- The rector of Wilby, in Suffolk, is entitled to the tithes of depatturing barren and unprofitable cattle, Wickbam St. Green,
- 3. In what manner the rector shall have tithes of calves and cheeses, Wicham v. Thraves, 144

### WILLASTON.

The landholders of the manor of Willafton pay 41. a year to the rector of Mixbury, in Oxford/bire, in lieu of all tithes arifing out of the faid manor, Hart v. Grantham,

# WILTON.

The rector of Wilton, in Wiltsbire, is entitled to the great tithes of Friar's Mead in kind, and to 12s. a yard land in lieu of the small tithes of the township of Natherhampton, Guynne v. Sharpe,

# WINDSOR.

The dean and canons of Windfor are entitled to the tithes of hay arifing in the parish of Langley, in Buckinghamshire, although the chapelry of Langley is annexed to the vicarage of Wyrardsbury, Wandley v. Seymour, 403

# WINTERBOURN BASSETT.

The rector of Winterbourne Basset, in Wilisbire, is entitled to tithes in kind from Glasse's Farm, lying in Stanmere; to 3d. for a cow, in lieu of tithe milk, and 6d. for a calf, Glasse v. Glasse.

393

# WINNINGTON.

The rector of Winnington, in Effex, is not entitled to any tithes for that part of of the Island of Cold Hartour which is called Kingland, and only to a rate of 2s. 4d. in the pound on the value of the remainder, in lieu of tithes, Price v. Doques, 522

# WITHIAM.

The rector of Withiam, in Suffex, is entitled to the tithes of so much of the lands of Whistingdon Lodge, formerly part of Afdown Forest, as lies within the parish, Brages v. Reeve, 388

# WOAD.

Woad shall pay tithes, Gee v. Pearch,

#### WOOD.

- 1. Oak, ash, elm, yearly fold, and employed for timber, and their lops kept by themselves, though cut for sale, are tithe free, Watts v. Weldon,
- 2. The tithes of underwood is to be taken when the wood is flacked and faggotted, Brabourne v. Eyres, 127
- 3. A custom to pay no tithes for hedgerows, or coppice-wood spent or used in the premises to which the same belong, is void, Turnor v. Weedon, 151
- 4. A custom to set out the tithes of wood by fingle flick, or flick meal, is void, Lister v. Cane, 293
- 5. Beech wood is, by custom, in the parish of Whitcombe Magna, in Gloucester
  Spire, accounted timber, Abbots w.

  Hicks, 319
- 6. Tithes are due for broom made into bavins, for the lops and tops of old pollard timber trees, and for wood growing in hedge rows, Biggs v. Mar-
- 7. The lops of oak pollards and flandills, called white coates and black coates, are not titheable, they being timber, Northleigh w. Collard, 328
- 8. Tithes are due for willow, maple, hazels, hedgerows, and underwood, though they are stemmers; but not for maiden trees useable for timber, nor for the lops and tops of such trees, Lag-field v. Cowper, 331
- Alderne poles, though above twenty years growth, shall pay tithes, Goodalt v. Perkins,
   338
- 10. Underwood made into faggots, and burnt in the owner's house out of the parish,

- parish, he having no houses for husbandry in the parish, shall pay tithes, Goodal w Perkin, 339
- growth, is good; to be reckoned, if never felled before, from the fifth planting, or if felled from the last telling, Suame v. Perne,
- 12. Woodlands cleared, and converted into arable or pasture grounds, shall pay tithes for the grain gathered, and cattle fed therein, Bossper w Gibbs. 361
- 13. Wood felled from the hedge-rows of a farm is titheable, Goddard w. Majon,
- 14. New grubbed woodlands shall pay tithes for whatever is sowed therein, Gee v. Pearch, 387
- 15. A custom to pay 1d. in lieu of all firewood cut and burnt in the parish, except such wood grew within the parish, and the owner lived out of it, and that then the owner is to pay the zithes of the same in kind, is good, Leach v. Deacon, 374
- Alder poles, hurdle-rods, and hop-poles, growed on the premises, shough used for poling the hops, shall pay tithes, Gee v. Pearch, 387
- 27. A custom to pay 1d. a year in lieu of tithes of all wood cut on certain wood lands, is good, Elstone v. Sowdin,
- 28. Wood bought in the parift and converted into charcoal by a person living out of it, shall pay tithes, Coe v Smith,
- growth, logs made into billets, and burnt in the house, and underwood, cut to repair senses with, are not titheable, Burrels v. Greenacres, 404
- 20. Setting out wood in heaps of equal fize and goodness, loose in boughs as it is cut from the trees, without fize-binding it, and making it up into marketable ware, is a legal mode of setting out the tithes thereof, Gee w. Pearch,

- 21. The loppings of old bowlings are titheable, Withcrington v. Harris, 445
- 22. Quare, If ash poles not fit for timber pay tithes, Gee v. Pearch, 439
- 23. A custom that wood spent in husbandry houses, and repairing the sences on the premises shall be discharged of tithes, is good, Waterman v. Jones, 468
- 24. Quare, Whether a custom that the cwner of woodlands, when felled, shall have the tenth part of the expense of making the tithe wood into faggots, be good, Waterman v. Jones,
- 25. Wood, though above twenty years growth, and capable of being used as timber is with the bark thereof liable to pay tithes, if cut down, corded, and fold, as firewood to iron masters for the purpose of heating their surraces, Greenway v. Kent,

#### WOODBURY.

The custom of fetting out corn in the parish of Woodbary, in Devombure, by sticks or stickes, and to pay no tithes for the odd sheaves under ten, is void.

Trewin v. Bond, 398

# WOODHAM FERYES.

The occupiers of Wooiland, in the hamlet of Becknacre pay a modus of ross, a year to the rector of Woodbam, in lieu of the tithes of all lands and woods holden of the manor or priory of Bicknacre, Gurdon v. Se.l,

#### WOOL.

- 1. In what manner tithe wool shall be paid when the sheep are kept in different parishes, Brustourus v. Egres, 126
- 2. A custom to pay the third of the wool for the tithe of all sheep bought in after Candlemas, and before shearing time, is void. Turner vo Weedon,
- 3. In what manner the tithes of wool shall be paid for sheep and lambs depastured on an undivided common, Margetts v. Butcher, 210
- 4. A custom to number sheep on new year's day; and if the same number remain

remain at shearing-time, the full tithes of the wool to be paid for as many as are shorn; but that if the number be less, the vicar only to have a halfpenny a sheep, or if more, a halfpenny for the surplus number, is a good custom, Wesbourne v. Nunnaly,

- 5. In what manner tithes shall be paid when the sheep are driven fraudulently out of the parish to avoid the payment of the tithe wool, Wasbburne v. Nunzali, 260
- The special manner in which tithe wool is paid in the parish of Hardwicke, in Buckinghamfore, Dummer v. Wingfield,
- 7. A custom to pay the tithe wool in kind where the sheep are kept the whole year, and shorn in the parish; but when they are not, then to pay 4d. a score for every month they are kept, and no tithe wool, is good, Ernste v. Watt.,
- 8. A custom to pay the tenth stone of wool immediately after the sheep are clipped; and that if the owner sell his sheep after Candlema, and before shearing time he shall pay id. for each sheep so fold and unshorn, is good, Swaine v. Perne, 342
- 9 A custom to pay the tithe of wool thorn in kind except of lamb tow, of which no tithe shall be paid, is bad, Snow v Hewitt,
- day, the tithes of the wool of all the fheep the owner had kept from the fhearing-day preceding, in kind, and 4d. a fcore a month for all fheep bought in before, or fold before fhearing-day, is good, Leach w. Deacon, 373
- for the tithe wool of such sheep as are brought into the parish after the New Year's Day, is bad, Ekins v. Bridges, 418
- pay tithe for all fleece wool whatever; but that they ought to be free from the payment of tithes for locks of wool, is good, Lifter v. Foy, 423, 424

13. Quere, Whether a modus to pay three fleeces of wool and two lambs. in lieu of all tithes, is a bad moau, as being a payment of one kind of tithe for another, York v. Newcafte, 449

# WOOLERTON.

The rector of Woolerton. in Norfo'k, is not entitled to the tithes of gross boys, logs burnt in the family, or thorns cut to repair fences, Burrell w. Greenaures,

# WOOLSTON.

The landholders of the parish of Great Wool to, in Bucking ham/h e, pay, by agreement, to the rector 461. 2 year in lieu of the tithes of certain encl sed lands Nicholls v. Dudley, 434

#### WOOTTON.

- 1. The proprietor of the tithes of Longwille Portion, in the parish of Wotton, in Oxfordsbire, is entitled to the tithes of milk, calves, wool, lambs, and the depasturing of barren cattle, in kind, of Bidwell Hill, Bidwell Meadow. and Little Berryfield. Within the said portion, Ball v. Keyburne,
- 2. The small tithes of Longwille Portion in Waston Underwood belong to the archbishop of Canterbury, and not to New College, in Oxford, Aldworth v. New College,
- 3. The impropriator of the tithes of the parish of Wootton Wabous in Warwick-faire, of which the towns of Wootton, Henley, Whithy, Utton Hail, Osford, Ereston, and Bearly, are part, has the first crop of a piece of meadow ground in Edeston, called the Tibe Pleik, in lieu of all tithe hay upon the meadows called the Ancient Dole and the Los Meadow, in Bearly: Cambridge v. Mascall,
- 4. A farm called Regers's Farm, in Bearly, is tithe free of corn, except the three tithe pieces, and the tithe acre, ibid. 263
- A modus of 6s. 8d. a year is payable for the tithes of Warren's Lands, in the parish of Wooston, ibid.
   WORCESTER.

## WORCESTER.

The impropriator of the rectory of St.

Peter, in the city of Worcester, is entitled to the tithes of Batton-ball Park and Whittingdon Farm, but not to the tithes of certain closes as specified, Worcester v. Sewbright, 492

#### WORLINGWORTH.

The demesse lands of the manor of Worlingworth, in Suffolk, pay the thirtieth flock of corn, in lieu of all tithes arising on the said lands, Barker v. Clarke, 277

#### WORPLESDEN.

The lands lying on the fouth fide of the brook that runs through Henley Park are not in the parish of Worplesden, in Surry, Office v. Glyan, 171

## WROUGHTON.

The vicar of Wroughton, in Wilesbire, is only entitled to a modus of 40s. a year for the farm called The Leofes, in lieu of all the vicarial tithes arising thereon, Hewlyn v. Wildman,

### WYRADSBURY.

The vicar of Wyradfbury, with the chapel of Langley, in the county of Bucks, annexed, is not entitled to the tithe of bay within the parish of Langley, but the same belongs to the dean and canons of Windjor, Wenderley v. Seymour,

#### Y.

# YELVERTON.

There is a modus in the parish of Yelverton cum Alfrington, in Norfolk, of three halfpence a cow, and three-halfpence a calf, in lieu of tithes, Hafon v. Yallop, 536

# YEOVILTON.

The rector of Yevvilton, in Somerfetsbire, is not entitled to tithes for cattle fed in after-grass; nor to tithes for feeding of cattle while milked, or worked in the plough; but when they are turned off and departured, except in after-grass, for the purpose of being fatted, tithes shall be paid for the feeding of them, Sandys v. Eastmond, 365

END OF THE FIRST VOLUME.

• •

1, •

3 6105 062 834 648